

NYC Department of Correction  
 Weekly Report – Friday, September 9, 2016  
 Variance Conditions (Minimum Standard 1-17(b)(1)(ii) and 1-16(c)(1)(ii))

**a. The daily number of young adults held in the following units for the period of August 30 through September 5, 2016**

Date	Punitive Segregation	RHU	Secure Unit	TRU	Second Chance	ESH
August 30	2	1	10	27	8	0
August 31	2	1	10	27	8	0
September 1	2	1	8	28	6	0
September 2	2	1	8	27	9	0
September 3	2	1	7	19	8	0
September 4	2	1	7	19	7	0
September 5	2	1	7	19	7	0

**The number of young adults released from each of these housing units:**

Punitive Segregation

During the reporting period, no young adult was released from punitive segregation.

Secure Unit

During the reporting period, one (1) young adults was released from the Secure Unit.

Transitional Restorative Unit (TRU)

During the reporting period, nine (9) young adults were released from TRU.

Second Chance

During the reporting period, six (6) young adults were released from Second Chance.

**b. For each punitive segregation sentence, the rule violation alleged; whether the sentence was approved or disapproved by the Chief of Department; and the type of housing unit in which the inmate was housed at the time of alleged rule violation (e.g., GP, Second Chance, TRU, Secure, ESH):**

During the reporting period, no young adults were sentenced to punitive segregation.

**c. For each punitive segregation sentence the Chief of Department reviewed fifteen (15) days after commencement, whether an inmate was placed in an alternative housing unit for the remainder of the sentence and, if so, where:**

During the reporting period, one (1) young adult required a fifteen (15) day review. He will serve the remainder of his sentence in punitive segregation.

- d. For each young adult released from punitive segregation at the end of his full sentence, the housing unit (e.g., GP, Second Chance, TRU, Secure, ESH) into which he was transferred:

During the reporting period, no young adult met the above criteria.

**Punitive Segregation – Recreation<sup>1</sup>**

- e. Two (2) Hours Recreation

	August 30	August 31	Sept. 1	Sept. 2	Sept. 3	Sept. 4	Sept. 5
Offered	2	2	2	2	2	2	0
Accepted	0	2	1	1	0	1	0

- f. Three (3) Hours Recreation<sup>2</sup>

- g. Punitive Segregation – 2.5 Hours Programming<sup>3</sup>

	August 30	August 31	Sept. 1	Sept. 2	Sept. 3	Sept. 4	Sept. 5
Offered	2	1	2	2	2	N/A	N/A
Accepted	2	1	2	2	2	N/A	N/A

- h. Punitive Segregation – Four (4) hours Out – Of – Cell Time<sup>4</sup>

	August 30	August 31	Sept. 1	Sept. 2	Sept. 3	Sept. 4	Sept. 5
Offered	2	2	2	2	2	2	0
Accepted	0	2	1	2	2	1	0

- i. A weekly young adult census showing in which housing units and jails young adults are housed; See attached chart.

- j. A weekly summary of young adults in TRU, Second Chance, Secure Unit or ESH (collectively, “PS alternatives”). The summary shall include:

- 1) The number and percentage of young adults in PS alternatives who had a previous placement(s) in TRU, Second Chance, or the Secure Unit followed by a

<sup>1</sup> Due to a facility lockdown, recreation was not afforded on September 5, 2016.

<sup>2</sup> The Department offers two (2) hours of outdoor recreation. It will offer an additional hour of recreation (for a total of three [3] hours of recreation) dependent on good behavior.

<sup>3</sup> Due to exigent circumstances related to unit construction, programming hours were reduced to approximately 1.5 hours on August 30<sup>th</sup> and August 31<sup>st</sup>.

<sup>4</sup> Due to a facility lockdown, four (4) hours of out-of-cell time was not afforded on September 5, 2016.

**placement in General Population (GP) housing or any housing other than a step-down from TRU or Secure Unit during their current incarceration.**

During this reporting period, one (1) young adult met the above criteria.

- One (1) young adult who had a previous placement in TRU was released to general population, followed by a placement in TRU.

**2) The number and percentage of young adults in each PS alternative or in punitive segregation who received a timely case review and a timely determination as to whether they would remain or be transferred out. Timeliness is determined by the periodicity of reviews and determinations set forth in the relevant Department directive or draft directive.**

All young adults placed in Second Chance and TRU receive their first Support Team review after being in the unit for two weeks. From that point forward, the young adults receive weekly Support Team reviews. All young adults placed in the Secure Unit receive weekly Support Team reviews.

During the reporting period, twenty-four (24) young adults met the criteria for case reviews:

- Secure Unit: eight (8) young adults received their weekly reviews.
- TRU: fourteen (14) young adults received their weekly reviews.
- Second Chance: two (2) young adults received their weekly reviews.

**3) The number and percentage of young adults transferred from each PS alternative following a case review**

During the reporting period, eleven (11) young adults received case reviews that resulted in their transfer:

- Secure Unit: no young adult was transferred as a result of his case review.
- TRU: seven (7) young adults were transferred as a result of their case review.
- Second Chance: four (4) young adults were transferred as a result of their case review.

**k. A plan and timeline detailing steps and benchmarks necessary to end punitive segregation for young adults.**

Refer to the Department's Young Adult Punitive Segregation report submitted to the Board on September 7, 2016.