In Re December Board Meeting NYC - Civilian Complaint Review Board December 14th, 2022

1	
2	X
3	CIVILIAN COMPLAINT REVIEW BOARD
4	PUBLIC MEETING
5	December 14, 2022
6	5:15 P.M.
7	X
8	
9	HELD VIA VIDEOCONFERENCE
10	100 CHURCH STREET
11	NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10007
12	
13	
14	B E F O R E:
15	ARVA RICE, CHAIR
16	JONATHAN DARCHE, ESQ., EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
17	
18	
19	
20	Transcribed by:
21	LARIN KAYWOOD
22	LAKIN KAIWOOD
23	
24	
25	
I	

December 14th, 2022

1	
2	PUBLIC MEETING AGENDA
3	
4	1. Call to Order
5	2. Adoption of Minutes
6	3. Remarks from the Chair
7	4. Remarks from the Executive Director
8	5. Presentation from Outreach on the CCRB
9 L0	6. Public Comment
11	7. Old Business
12	8. New Business
13	9. Adjourn to Executive Session
L 4	
15	
L6	
L7	
L8	
L9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

December 14th, 2022

	4
1	
2	SPEAKERS LIST
3	CHRIS DUNN, Legal Director, New York Civil Liberties Union
4	JENNVINE WONG, Staff Attorney - Legal Aid
5	Society's Cop Accountability Project
6	ANDREW WILSON, Community Member
7	KIMBERLY HARTMAN, Community Member
8	
9	
LO	
11	
L2	
L3	
L 4	
L5	
L6	
L7	
18	
L9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

2

3

4

5

CHAIR RICE: Good evening, everyone. For those utilizing ASL interpreters, we have Matt and Greg joining us and in the chat are sections on how to pin their

6

Thank you.

videos.

8

9

7

I would like to call the CCRB's December meeting to order. I'm pleased to welcome the newest member of our board, Kevin Jemmott.

11 12

13

14

10

Mr. Jemmott is a life-long New Yorker and Queens resident with extensive experience in communications, technology, and civic engagement.

16

17

18

19

15

He served as vice president and president of the Cambria Heights Civic Association where he advocated for an achieved investment in many committee initiatives; mainly, education, and zoning changes. We are lucky to have

20

21

2.2

his unique perspective, and I look

23

forward to working with him.

24

Will the Board members now please introduce themselves, starting with Mr.

25

LH REPORTING SERVICES, INC. 718-526-7100

1	
2	Jemmott?
3	MR. JEMMOTT: Good evening, everyone.
4	My name is Kevin Jemmott, and I'm one of
5	the representatives on the Board from
6	Queens as of December 1st, and this is
7	my first board meeting.
8	And I'm looking forward to working
9	with my fellow board members and I'm
10	excited to be here.
11	MR. FREEMAN: Hello. My name is
12	Willie Freeman, I'm the police
13	commissioner designee, and I'm from
14	Brooklyn.
15	CHAIR RICE: Start with those
16	attendees in the room with Mr. Merrit.
17	MR. MERRITT: Good evening. My name
18	is Herman Merritt, City Council
19	representative from Brooklyn.
20	MR. DWYER: Good even. I'm Frank
21	Dwyer, police commissioner designee.
22	MS. IRISH: Hi, Corrine Irish from
23	Harlem.
24	MR. DARCHE: My name is Jon Darche
25	and I use he/him pronouns and I'm the

1	
2	executive director of the CCRB.
3	MS. SIMMONS: I'm Esmeralda Simmons.
4	I'm a public advocate designee, and I'm
5	from Brooklyn.
6	MR. RIVADENEYRA: Good evening. My
7	name is Michael Rivadeneyra, and I use
8	he/him pronouns, and I'm a City Council
9	designee from the Bronx.
10	MR. PUMA: Good afternoon. My name
11	is Joseph Puma. I'm the Manhattan City
12	Council representative on the Board, and
13	I go by he/him pronouns and wanted to
14	welcome Mr. Jemmott to the Board.
15	MR. HOGAN: AU Hogan, Queens City
16	Council representative.
17	MR. CARCATERRA: Good evening,
18	everyone. My name is Sal Carcaterra and
19	I'm a police commissioner, commissioner
20	designee to the Board.
21	CHAIR RICE: Thank you, board
22	members. I would like to ask for a
23	motion to approve the minutes as
24	presented.
25	May I have a second?

1	
2	THE BOARD: Second.
3	CHAIR RICE: All those in favor, say
4	aye?
5	THE BOARD: Aye.
6	CHAIR RICE: Any opposed, the
7	minutes are approved as presented.
8	Earlier today, the police
9	commissioner sent a letter to her staff
10	regarding her intention to amend the
11	disciplinary process.
12	She details the certain instances of
13	the 70-plus times she deviated from the
14	CCRB's recommendations and that she had
15	interpreted the evidence differently.
16	I would like to be very clear, and
17	say that I stand by the CCRB's
18	recommendations. CCRB's investigations
19	are detailed and thorough. The members
20	of this board, and its staff are trained
21	to collect and review all evidence in an
22	impartial and fair manner.
23	The Board uses it's judgement,
24	perspective, and most importantly, the
25	evidence, to determine whether

2.2

misconduct occurred.

The NYPD approaches these cases from a different perspective when reviewing the evidence. Thirty years ago this city wrote into law that a civilian board should investigate allegations of police misconduct because the police shouldn't police themselves.

We will continue to work with the NYPD to improve the disciplinary process and look forward to conversations about how to improve the Matrix.

2022 has been another year of dedicated work and impactful growth for the CCRB. I was appointed interim chair at the beginning of this year and have gotten to see firsthand how hard this agency works for the people of New York.

We made great strides in day-to-day investigations; how we interact with the public, and larger initiatives to strengthen police accountability.

I will now mention highlights from teams across the CCRB. The agency took

2 | 3

major strides when the Board officially voted to change the rules to expand the CCRB's investigative jurisdiction, and improve transparency, and communication with the public.

The new unit on racial profiling, and bias-base policing has already begun investigating dozens of cases and the agency has received 20 cases of body-worn camera misuse.

The rule changes also officially updated CCRB terminology, in an effort to provide greater accessibility to information about police oversight, and make it easier for all New Yorkers to understand CCRB documents.

We also translated CCRB materials into 12 languages, in order to ensure that all New Yorkers can learn about their rights when interacting with officers and filing complaints.

This is part of the agency's larger effort to increase transparency, which has included posting police department

2.2

deviation letters to our website along with redacted closing reports.

Since the repeal of 50-A, we have continued efforts to make our work accessible to the public including and completing 375 FOIL requests in the last year and sending out 554 sets of records.

In May, our investigators closed the 321 protest cases, some of the most complicated cases that have come through our agency, while also looking into the thousands of other complaints that continue to come in.

The investigations unit also restructured NYPD documentation requests and collection in order to shorten investigation timelines, it has reintroduced mediation, one of the most successful resolutions for CCRB's cases.

Our administrative prosecution unit has more than triple the number of cases on its docket than it had last year.

This is largely due to the discipline

1 Matrix which has resulted in more cases 2 being recommended charges and 3 4 specifications. While the unit was below its normal 5 head count while receiving this huge 6 7 influx of cases they persevered and have since hired five prosecutors over the 8 summer and an additional four 9 10 prosecutors will start in January of 11 2023. 12 Throughout this year, our outreach 13 team, went above and beyond by reaching 14 over 35,000 New Yorkers. In their 15 efforts to educate people about their 16 rights while interacting with police officers and the resources available to 17 18 them. 19 They conducted nearly 800 presentations, making sure to reach out 20 21 to vulnarable groups, such as youth 2.2 groups and New Yorkers who are not 23 proficient in English. 24 The outreach team also participated

25

in a series of parades, marches, events,

1 2 and workshops tailored to support our LGBTQIA plus community. 3 4 I would also like to thank the Young Men's Initiative for providing us with 5 the funding to promote our resources in 6 7 various radio and print outlets; including Z100, Black Information Radio, 8 and the Urdu Times, El Diario, and the 9 10 Caribbean Times. 11 The CCRB's ability to investigate 12 profiling and bias-based police racial allegations was put into effect on 13 14 August 22, 2022. 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

The CCRB's outreach, IGA, and the community departments conducted the annual week of awareness from October 24th to 28th, to share this information with the public.

During this five-day-long event,
we've partnered with elected officials,
city agencies, and community
organizations to coordinate an outreach
social media campaign to educate the
public about the agency's ability to

2.2

investigate racial profiling and bias-based policing allegations.

The outreach team, alongside the racial profiling and bias-based policing unit conducted a total of 27 outreach events that included 15 street teams and 12 community information sessions throughout the five boroughs.

The CCRB led its fourth class of
Youth Advisory council members engaging
20 young people from all five boroughs,
who gave insight into their experiences
with police, and hosted an event to shed
light on youth and policing.

CCRB was also thrilled to host 15

SYEP students, who worked on a range of projects, including our agency-wide resource drive to provide product donations to breaking ground to boost their efforts supporting those experiencing street homelessness.

This year we set up training for all senior staff members from the NYC commission on Human Rights in how to

1 2 create a more inclusive work environment for CCRB, LGBTQIA+ staff. 3 4 The CCRB became the first agency, to our knowledge, to update employee staff 5 displays, to include pronouns, install 6 7 dispensers in all bathrooms, and menstrual product dispensers in all 8 9 bathrooms, including men's room, and 10 implemented an agency wide standard 11 e-mail signature which provides an 12 option to include an employees 13 respective pronouns. We ensured CCRB continued to be a 14 15 leading voice in national police 16 oversight conversations by participating 17 in the NACOLE's annual conference 18 and meeting with oversight agencies 19 around the country to help 20 establish the best practices. 21 2022 was a landmark year for the 2.2 CCRB and there are many more agencies, 23 and many more accomplishments by the 24 agency that were not mentioned here.

And so thank you to the entire

1 2 staff, for continuing to do great work. We will now hear from Executive 3 4 Director, Jon Darche. MR. DARCHE: Thank you, Chair Rice. 5 On the staffing front, I have some 6 7 good news and bad news: The good news first, the Office of Management and 8 9 budget or OMB approved on boarding four 10 APU prosecutors along with the digital 11 media specialist and an outreach 12 coordinator. 13 A digital media specialist and 14 outreach coordinator has already started 15 with the agency and the prosecutors are 16 expected to start in January. 17 We were hoping to hire two more --18 in addition, we are going to have a new 19 investigative class of approximately ten 20 investigators in January. 21 We are hoping to hire two more 2.2 classes around that size in 2023, but 23 the current budget outlook is that we 24 will probably not be able to do that. While our charter and investigative 25

2.2

powers have continued to grow each year, the number of people we have investigating cases has not gone up.

We need more people to keep up with our expanding responsibilities, and we'll keep working with the Office of Management and Budget to ensure that we do keep up.

Another focus for the coming year is an exemption from sealing statues. The agency has been calling for an exemption from sealing statues for several years, and yet this conduct continues to be hidden behind sealed records and this can impede and extend our work unnecessarily doing a disservice for all New Yorkers.

A clear example of this is the case which was recently in the news regarding CCRB's administrative prosecution of Police Officer Wayne Isaacs for the killing of Delrawn Small.

Officer Isaacs' records were sealed, when he was found not guilty by a jury

1 in a criminal case. In order for the 2 CCRB to properly prosecute his case, we 3 4 need access to the evidence in that criminal case. The CCRB filed a motion 5 in October of 2021 to unseal these 6 7 documents and over a year later we are still waiting to hear back from the 8 9 judge. This is just one case. 10 In the new racial profiling cases 11 that we will be investigating, there are 12 potentially hundreds, if not thousands, 13 of cases that we are going to need 14 access to. Imagine if we had to wait 15 over a year on every single one of them? 16 If our legislators eliminated those roadblocks we would be able to do our 17 18 work much more effectively and 19 expeditiously than we currently can. 20 Today, our office is open for 21 walk-in complaints, but it is also 2.2 possible to file a complaint online, 23 NYC.gov/ccrbcomplaint, that is 24 NYC.GOV/ccrbcomplaint, and you can also 25 contact us by telephone at

1	
2	1-800-341-2272 or by dialing 311.
3	If anyone wishes to file a complaint
4	tonight, we have investigators on hand,
5	ready to take any new complaints.
6	Keymani Dunkley and Emma, are you
7	guys here? Thank you Keymani, thank you
8	Emma.
9	Ivonne Torres from the CAU is also
10	here to help assist us as well.
11	If you are online and joining us and
12	would like to speak please use the
13	raise-your-hand function. Whether you
14	are joining us online or in person,
15	please limit your comments to a
16	four-minute maximum.
17	I want to thank the staff, as
18	always, for all of your hard work and
19	thanks to the public for attending.
20	CHAIR RICE: May I ask the members
21	of the Board, if you have any questions
22	of our executive director?
23	Any questions?
24	MR. DWYER: I don't have a question
25	but I do have a comment. Do you wish

1 for me to hold that for later? 2 CHAIR RICE: Make the comment, 3 4 Frank, please. 5 MR. DWYER: I am not sure exactly, but it feels like a year and a half ago 6 7 that the Matrix was introduced and at the time we and the police department 8 9 agreed that there would be ongoing 10 dialogue, and that we would submit a 11 report of moving forward with what we 12 thought were strengths and weaknesses, 13 and in truth I don't know if we ever did 14 that. 15 But what I do know, is that I have 16 sat on many panels, one as recent as 48 17 hours ago, and not only myself, but 18 another board member commented on 19 feeling that the penalties in the Matrix 20 and, in particular cases, were 21 excessive. 2.2 Given this is the case, and given 23 that I have sat on many panels where not 24 only myself, but other board members 25 have felt penalties were excessive, I

1 think that it's a good thing, and as part 2 of our ongoing process, the Matrix needs 3 4 to be evaluated for penalties that are both excessive and inadequate. 5 So I am extremely pleased that the 6 7 police department is engaging and continuing to serve the process and I 8 9 would encourage collectively as a board, 10 to submit reports, and opinions, and 11 including the majority of the opinions 12 of the Board and minority opinions of the Board of what is excessive and what 13 14 is inadequate. 15 CHAIR RICE: Thank you, Frank, I really appreciate that comment. 16 17 Anyone else? Any comment before we 18 proceed? 19 (No response.) 20 Hearing none, we will have a 21 presentation from the director of outreach, Mr. Jahi Rose. 2.2 23 MR. ROSE: Thank you, Chair Rice. 24 Please bare with me while I share my 25 screen. I'm Jahi Rose, I go by he/him

1 pronouns and I'm the director of 2 outreach for the CCRB. 3 4 Can you see my screen? 5 (No response.) MR. ROSE: As you may know, the 6 7 review board is the largest oversight independent entity of the largest police 8 9 force in the country. 10 The CCRB investigates, mediates, and 11 prosecutes complaints of misconduct 12 alleged against officers of the NYPD. 13 The agency is governed by a 15 member 14 board and we met many of the Board 15 members here. Five seats are appointed 16 by the New York City mayor, five are 17 appointed by the New York City Council; 18 and three designated by the police 19 commissioner; one appointed by the 20 public advocate and the chair is jointly 21 appointed by the New York City Mayor and the New York City Council. 2.2 23 The CCRB has a-four-part 24 jurisdiction for allegations that we can 25 help investigate. A helpful acronym to

2.2

remember is FADO, which stands for force, abuse of authority, discourtesy, and offensive language.

Just a little bit of information regarding the Rights to Know Act: The Rights to Know Act was a law that went into effect on October 19, 2018 and impacts certain interactions with the police officers under certain circumstances, the officers should identify themselves by providing their name, and other identifying information, such as rank command, and shield number, and some exceptions, should explain the purpose of an encounter.

They should also inform individuals of their right to say no when asking for their consent to search, the individual's person, property, vehicle, and/or home.

In certain circumstances they should offer a business card that lays out the officer's information, and explains on how to file a comment and/or complaint

about the encounter with the officer. Civilians can request these business cards with all encounters, or all interactions. This is a copy of what the business cards look like.

There are various ways to file complaints with the Civilian Complaint Review Board, as mentioned by executive director, Jon Darche; one of the easier ways is to utilize our website at NYC.GOV/CCRBcomplaint, and you can also call the CCRB's hotline at 1-800-341-CCRB or 1-800-341-2272.

Remember, if you see footage of misconduct on social media or in the news, you can feel free to file a complaint, even if you were not there in person. The typical handle for the CCRB is CCRB_NYC.

Additional ways to file a complaint with the CCRB include calling the 311, and you can also visit the CCRB headquarters at 100 Church Street, on the tenth floor in lower Manhattan; you

can file a complaint on social media by direct messaging the CCRB, our platforms are Facebook, Twitter, and/or Instagram. You can also send a letter to the CCRB's office at 100 Church Street, 10th floor, New York, New York, 10007.

You can also file a complaint at a local police precinct, it doesn't have to be a precinct where the encounter took place. Officers are required to accept CCRBcomplaints at any any precinct or you can request a complaint form and a postage-free envelop.

CCRB is hiring. If you are interested in positions with the agency, which include investigators, and investigator attorneys, and child preparation assistance, and so many other positions, feel free to check the NYC.gov/job website, and type CCRB in the search.

Alternatively, if you don't see a role posted and you would like to submit a cover letter and resume, feel free to

2.2

e-mail us at careers@CCRB.NYC.GOV for any future interest.

The CCRB's youth advisory
applications are live, the CCRB Youth
Advisory Council is a working committee
made up of young leaders who are on the
committee, and they are committed to
criminal justice issues and improving
police community relations.

Members advise the CCRB staff about its efforts to engage young New Yorkers, serve as ambassadors to their communities, and provide information about the agency, and joint team building activities. The eligibility to apply for the CCRB's Youth Advisory Council, you have to be between the ages of ten and 18, and a New York City resident.

If you would like more information about the Youth Advisory Council, feel free to e-mail outreach at outreach@CCRB.NYC.GOV, information regarding applying for the Youth

1	
2	Advigory Council will also be in the
	Advisory Council will also be in the
3	chat.
4	If you would like an outreach
5	presentation for community members,
6	organizations, schools, feel free to
7	also reach out to the CCRB Outreach Team
8	at outreach@CCRB.NYC.GOV, and also feel
9	free to follow us on our social media
10	platforms that's Instagram, Twitter, and
11	Facebook.
12	Thank you very much.
13	CHAIR RICE: Thank you.
14	Mr. Rose, you mentioned that the
15	Youth Advisory Council link is live,
16	when is the due date?
17	MR. ROSE: The due date will be on
18	January 31st.
19	CHAIR RICE: Do any members of the
20	Board have any questions for Jahi?
21	Any questions? Hearing none.
22	We will now enter the public comment
23	portion of the meeting. We'll begin
24	with those joining us virtually who
25	would like to make a comment, followed

1	
2	by those joining us in person.
3	For those joining virtually, please
4	use the raise-your-hand feature. And
5	please keep your comments to four
6	minutes.
7	Yojaira, would you please call on
8	the first person?
9	MS.ALVAREZ: Thank you, Chair,
10	we'll first be hearing from Chris Dunn.
11	MR. DUNN: Good afternoon. I'm
12	trying to turn on my camera. But I am
13	good and I apologize for that.
14	CHAIR RICE: It's clear, thank you.
15	MR. DUNN: First welcome
16	Mr. Jemmott. It's nice to see new board
17	members, not to put you on the spot, but
18	I think it's always nice the public to
19	hear from new public members about their
20	experience in policing and their views
21	on police oversight and so I hope in the
22	future that you will be able to do that.
23	But Arva, I want to talk about your
24	opening statement about the
25	communication that went out from the

1 2 police commissioner this morning. 3 I appreciate your strong statement, 4 and it's the right thing to be saying, and I view this as being almost a direct 5 attack on the CCRB. 6 7 And, Frank, I understand that reasonable people may disagree about the 8 Matrix, I don't think it's reasonable 9 10 for the the police commissioner to be 11 sending out this sort of communique to 12 everyone at the police department without a lot of conversation and a lot 13 of consultation with the CCRB. 14 15 This is the message, as I read it, 16 every single member of the department, 17 and I, the police commissioner, am going 18 to do undo CCRB's recommendations, and 19 I'm going to undo CCRB substantiations, 20 the CCRB is not an credible 21 investigative agency, and this is almost 2.2 buried at the bottom, we are going to 23 undo the Matrix.

And the Matrix was something that was a heavily worked on document and

24

went through a whole lot of public process. I do not think it's constructive or appropriate for the police commissioner in an e-mail to every member of the department, announcing essentially publically, and this has been given to the press and they'll be reporting about, that the police department is going to undo the Matrix.

So I believe in the CCRB, and I believe in the recommendations that the CCRB is making; and I understand the point that there should be consultation between the CCRB and the department, about discipline, of course.

But to me, this approach orders on an act of bad faith, is not an example of the police department working with the CCRB, to me it's an example of the police department getting ready to brawl with the CCRB, and Arva, I'm glad that you're pushing back, I hope the Board pushes back, there needs to be better

ways to address disciplinary concerns other than this.

CHAIR RICE: Thank you, and thank you for that perspective for your analysis, for your interpretation.

I am hoping that some of your interpretation is incorrect and that we can have a positive working relationship with NYPD as we look at it and we review the Matrix.

And as Frank pointed out that we are commissioned to do and every member of this board wants to look at and work together and utilize what was the initial promise of the Matrix, and that was to push for increased accountability with the NYPD and so I'll leave it with that and see if Jon wanted to add anything.

MR. DARCHE: And I have nothing really add to Chris' comment, go back to what board member, Dwyer said, on behalf of the agency, I have been in touch with the executives at he NYPD and have

1 2 shared concerns and have been expressed by board members about the Matrix. 3 4 I have been told there is a working group at the NYPD and has been working 5 on it and we have been waiting to 6 7 interact with them and assume the process will start now after reading the 8 9 message about discipline that was sent 10 to the members of service today. 11 MR. IRISH: I was curious, with the 12 message about the commissioner 13 differentiate -- disagreeing with 14 penalty, or disagreeing with the recommendation of the substantiation? 15 16 Because substantiation 17 recommendation has nothing to do with 18 the Matrix and you're just disagreeing. 19 MR. DARCHE: So there were three 20 instances referenced in the letter. 21 The first two instances are 2.2 individual cases, and the third instance 23 is a group of cases; and then the first case in the letter, it describes her 24 25 having a difference on the, whether the

1 officer should be disciplined at all; 2 and then the second two have to do with 3 4 the level of penalty for the misconduct that occurred. 5 In the first case, it was four 6 7 allegations substantiated against the member of service; the police 8 commissioner felt that two of them 9 10 shouldn't have been substantiated and 11 she did discipline the member of service 12 for the two allegations that she 13 determined for misconduct. 14 MR. DUNN: And Jon, to add to that, 15 I don't know if you can still hear me, 16 she's not just talking about 3 cases, 17 she says in 70 cases, she's either 18

I don't know if you can still hear me, she's not just talking about 3 cases, she says in 70 cases, she's either reduced the penalty or dismiss the case outright. So it is both. And you're absolutely right. Dismissing it outright, that's challenging the substantiation, it's got nothing to do with the Matrix.

And this is where, I hope that it's not as destructive as it sounds, she

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

1 then in the next sentence, makes a point 2 in saying she has done this more than 3 4 any recent police commissioner. It's like she is staking out territory as a 5 matter of pride that she is dismissing 6 7 CCRB substantiations, or reducing 8 penalties. 9 Again, reasonable people can 10 disagree about particular cases and 11 particular circumstances and this feels 12 like it's an attack on the CCRB. 13 MS. SIMMONS: Is it possible for the 14 Board members to get a copy of the 15 e-mail? MR. DARCHE: We have found out about 16 17 it in the paper and so I think that we 18 should distribute it to everyone. 19 MS. IRISH: I find it hard to interpret it otherwise, if it wasn't 20 21 sent to everyone except for the CCRB. 2.2 It doesn't seem indigitive of trying to 23 work anything out with the CCRB, and I

don't really understand how seeking to

amend the disciplinary process is

24

1	
2	ambiguous, but it doesn't sound like
3	trying to establish a better functioning
4	relationship with CCRB.
5	CHAIR RICE: I do not disagree with
6	that. Do any of the members of the
7	Board have a comment about that?
8	Michael?
9	MR. RIVADENEVRA: I'd just like
10	clarity on those 70 cases. In the ones
11	that discipline is being replaced, are
12	we receiving deviation or any sort of
13	communication as to why it is not at the
14	level that we had recommended?
15	Or is it sort of taken it upon
16	herself to deviate without informing us
17	her reasoning for the deviations.
18	MR. DARCHE: The police commissioner
19	is providing us with copies of
20	providing us with memos that explain the
21	reasons for her deviations.
22	CHAIR RICE: Any other questions
23	from on our board?
24	MR. DWYER: I've sat on many panels
25	in the last year or year and a half and

where board members have said that if
the Matrix did not recommend the certain
penalty, they would have imposed lower
penalty, but since they felt that the
initial agreement was to try to hold the
Matrix they were going to impose a
penalty higher demand that they
instinctively felt was appropriate.

That didn't happen just once, that has happened, and if my fellow members on the Board disagree with me, say that, but I have heard that said many times, and I heard it again 48 hours ago, when I sat on a panel 48 hours.

And so I mean, the original Matrix, was and is as it will be a working document, and it's a working document that frankly, where I think that there are many cases where the initial penalties are too high, and perhaps some cases where the initial penalties were too low.

MR. DARCHE: Mr. Dwyer makes a very good point. We were involved in

1 2 conversations with the police department during the NYPD reform process from 2020 3 4 and 2021, that resulted in the Matrix. And then when the department published 5 it's Matrix, we worked with them on the 6 7 MOU that resulted in this agency adopting the Matrix. Then, I'll find 8 9 out the exact date for the Board, there 10 were communications back and forth about 11 issues with the Matrix that resulted in 12 an amended Matrix being issued. And so actually, this is the second 13 14 version of the Matrix that we are 15 working with. And we will continue to 16 work in the department in good faith to 17 make sure that they know the issues that 18 the Board members are surfacing and we'll 19 go forward in that vein. That exactly is 20 what Mr. Dwyer is saying. 21 And so this is not the CCRB choosing 2.2 the Matrix, this is the department's 23 Matrix. 24 25 MS. IRISH: I would say from my

1 personal experience, I've have had as 2 many instances feeling like a 3 4 punishment, a penalty was excessive as 5 I've had that a penalty was too low, and I think three days for a Fourth 6 7 Amendement violation of someone being stopped and frisked without cause is 8 9 unacceptable, but I was willing to apply 10 those, and I apply those penalties 11 because I thought that both sides are 12 going to be adhering to the Matrix, and 13 it seems to me that only CCRB has been 14 ad-hearing to the Matrix while the 15 police commissioner has deviated at will, whenever, based on any reason, or 16 17 any justification at all, I don't find 18 the deviations legitimate, and I 19 understand she disagrees, but I think 20 there has to be reason, and there has to 21 be some basis for disagreement, and there 2.2 needs to be discussion, and the approach 23 of sending this e-mail blast out, is sort 24 of saying that it's not going to promote 25 a functioning

1 2 relationship and it's not meant to. It's an attack. 3 4 CHAIR RICE: And so I'm going to 5 move onto -- go ahead, yes? MR. HOGAN: I just want to ask a 6 7 question, and because we are here to try to make things correct, and so to the 8 9 point that there might be some penalties 10 that some might agree they might be too 11 harsh, and it's also at the same time in 12 the same case where you are looking at 13 body warn cameras not on, body warn 14 cameras is not present, a request by 15 CCRB to get body warn cameras never returned back, the request. 16 17 Then you also have many cases that 18 the officers are not identified, and 19 then you have "subject retired," and so 20 if we are going to correct stuff, let's 21 look at stuff, and you know, decide to 2.2 correct the stuff, and not try to lean. 23 If we're trying to make stuff better, 24 and trying to make not just the police 25 department accountable, but make us

accountable, because we have to be accountable if we're going to really look at these things objectively, and then really look at it and say what can be done better, in the interest to all that is involved.

I don't want the -- for people to look at the CCRB as an agency or an entity that is attacking officers, we're trying to correct the conduct, okay? And correcting the conduct, it has nothing to do with the reprimand. But if the conduct begins to correct, I guess the reprimand would be lesser.

And I personally think for the police commissioner, who has not been open to a whole lot of other stuff, I'm glad that she is working and doing her job, but it's interesting to put out an e-mail blast, and to that extent we get it afterwards and hearing it from the public about what her findings are, and there is supposed to be an MOU, and an agreement of working with them.

2.2

MS. SIMMONS: I do feel like this is a deliberate slight by the recently appointed police commissioner; and I know that the Chair has been ready, willing and open to meet with the commissioner on a variety of issues,

including the Matrix.

I am beginning to feel like this disrespect is genuine, in terms of not wanting to work with the CCRB, and that the CCRB, that we have to be very, very strong in terms of our response to this.

And in terms of our intent to get
the new commissioner to understand that
in fact this is their product. And if
she wants to disavow, disavow it, say
it, just say it, that they are not
working with us anymore, but for us to
be held captive to it and for them to
tell us it's a waste of time and throw
it to the wayside, is not appropriate.
I think it have served some, it has
benefitted the CCRB, and I think it has
benefitted our process, and I don't

1 2 agree with all of it, at all, and I 3 never have. 4 But this type of behavior from one 5 agency to another agency, particularly a watchdog agency, is not appropriate in 6 7 my opinion. And not withstanding the 8 mayor's generous comments about our new 9 member, the value of the CCRB, I don't 10 see that reflected in his commissioner's 11 e-mail statement. 12 And so there needs to be some 13 accountability, and you know we don't 14 believe in kicking down doors, or 15 midnight raids, on One Police Plaza, but their needs to be a little bit of 16 17 excitement from our side that this is 18 not actually outraged, but some 19 excitement from outside that is not 20 really appropriate. 21 CHAIR RICE: Any other comments? 2.2 One more. Did anyone else want to 23 make a comment? 24 Any other comments? MR. DWYER: I'll just make one more. 25

2

3

4

5

I'm trying to remove myself from the process and recognize the anxiety and stress on both sides of the debate, and I do see that.

6

7

8

9

10

12

As many of you, I suspect, saw the New York Times last week and a big article about the huge numbers of officers retiring. The New York Times, about a week ago, ran a huge number of articles about the officers retiring, large numbers, and the less public papers, is to say that you don't find Paris perhaps or whatever, running those

11

13 14

15

about financial insensitive, and other

17

16

places, and things like that; but I know

for about a year, and the Times talked

18

from talking to police officers throughout the City is their perception

20

19

that the disciplinary system, when it

21

comes from the CCRB process, it's not

2.2

understanding their workings and it is

23

one of the biggest reasons that I hear

24

But what I hear them say is that

25

that they leave.

2.2

they can do what they understand to be consistent with their training, and to do the right thing and it's likely, or I would say very, very possible, that CCRB will misunderstand what they did and rule that they were wrong and then because of the strength or the excessiveness of the Matrix that there will be an excessive penalty, in their opinion, imposed on them.

So given that I am hearing that and I suspect that it's being heard in Police Plaza, and thousands of officers are leaving, and often when I see names of the police officers leaving, and I know them to have immense experience and them leaving is a great loss, particularly in senior staff.

And I do think it's very important that as the City Charter said in the days of Mayor Dinkins when this was established, that our workings begins with the police department, must be understood by the community and the

members of the Police Department as fair and just, the Charter did not use those exacts words, but similar words, otherwise the system falls apart.

I believe that part of this, or part of the urgency in this, is to say that the process is going to be looked at in the attempts being made to quickly assure there is fairness felt and perhaps it needs to be fairness obviously felt on both sides.

CHAIR RICE: Thank you, Frank, for that comment.

I just wanted to, once again, repeat some of the things that you've heard. First of all, the discipline Matrix, as Jon eloquently has pointed out, has been an intricate process.

I also wanted to state that the CCRB did not create the penalties that exist in the discipline Matrix. So I wanted to be very clear about that, and I also wanted to reference that Ms. Irish has spoken to us about there are different

1 2 issues we're talking about. There is the penalties and also the 3 4 substantiations, and both issues will be looked at as we move forward; and they 5 are pushed forth to be done with the 6 7 CCRB; because thirty years ago, the people of New York City decided that the 8 9 police department needed the independent 10 of an oversight of an entity that would 11 be made up of a body of citizens and 12 that became the CCRB, and as long as we 13 are in effect, we will continue to carry 14 on that role. 15 Yojaira, who is next on the list? 16 MS. ALVAREZ: That concludes the 17 virtual portion of the public session. 18 CHAIR RICE: If you're interested in 19 making a public comment, please line up 20 behind the podium. As a reminder, 21 please keep your comments to four 2.2 minutes. 23 MS. WONG: Good evening. My name 24 is Jennvine Wong, I'm a staff attorney 25 with the Legal Aid Society's

2.2

Cop Accountability Project. Thank you for holding this meeting tonight, and thank you for all of the great work that CCRB has been doing.

First, I would like to commend some things that the CCRB had announced earlier, which is the posting of the redacted closing reports, that is a great step towards transparency and accountability, and I know that is something that the Legal Aid Society has been doing that through our FOIL requests through the CCRB, and so I'm really happy to see the CCRB is doing that on their own now.

So I wanted to address the discussion about the police commissioner's e-mail.

The one thing that I wanted to bring up is, and I know Chris Dunn has already brought this up, I agree with my colleague in everything that he said. When the Matrix was first announced, there was a lot of public comments and

2 | 3 |

many hours of labor and consideration were put into the public comments that were submitted about it, and one of the criticisms that was submitted was that the police commissioner having final authority could undermine the efficacy of the Matrix and could undermine the fairness of it.

And in this e-mail that was sent out, the police commissioner had deviated 70 times, more than any of her predecessors and what that really shows is that this criticism has borne out and it's undermined the CCRB and undermined the Matrix.

And it sounds as though the CCRB was not consulted, at least thus far, with at least with respect to amending the Matrix and I have to agree with my colleague, Mr. Dunn, that is very troubling, considering the MOU, considering all of the great work that CCRB has done, and has done working towards greater transparency and

1

2 accountability. I think that we all knew when the 3 4 Matrix was published, there would eventually be some comments and 5 assessment of the efficacy of it, and I 6 7 think there was also an expectation that not only would the CCRB be involved, but 8 this Matrix would be accountable to the 9 10 public and the public engagement and any 11 changes would be open to public comment. 12 It's very troubling if that's not going 13 to be the case and the police 14 commissioner is going to be, on her own, 15 doing away with whatever she feels is 16 not appropriate or should not be 17 included in the Matrix. Without any kind 18 of public engagement, and especially 19 without any engagement or analysis done 20 by the CCRB. So that's the first and 21 foremost. I know that the CCRB in the 2.2 past has advocated to retain final 23 authority over their own cases, and so I 24 think this is an example of an instance 25 where perhaps the discussion should be

1 2 revived. And I have one other comment to make 3 4 that is unrelated to the Matrix, but it's related to the racial profiling and 5 the bias unit, and it's a question that 6 7 I have with respect to the investigations and that is, how does the 8 9 CCRB investigators dealing with the 10 force, and the fact of court decisions, 11 for example in suppression hearings and 12 in criminal court where the court has 13 found that there is unlawful stop. 14 How does the CCRB investigations 15 take those court decisions into account? Is a new investigation started from 16 17 scratch, or is the CCRB giving deference 18 to that court's decision and finding 19 that the officer made an unlawful stop. 20 And, thank you for your time. 21 CHAIR RICE: I will turn it over to 2.2 Jon to respond. 23 MR. DARCHE: So with regards to 24 individual cases that we investigate 25 it's all done on a case-by-case basis

2.2

and not necessarily a one-to-one correlation between a court's decision that a police action, should result in suppression of evidence, and or in a dismissal of a criminal case, and that there was misconduct committed by the officer.

So we try and gather as much of the evidence as we possibly can and evaluate it and in many times we are in fact looking at cases before there has been any kind of traditional review of the underlining police activity, so it's not like, that we have that to rely upon, that whether or not the stop was constitutional or not.

MS. WONG: Just a follow-up questions on that: Given that the CCRB's rules have been amended, so that CCRB can self initiate complaints, I am wondering if there is anything that triggered -- for example, if there is a suppression hearing and it's been found by a court over at 100 Center, that

1 2 Officer John Doe unlawfully stop and search of an individual, is there any 3 4 kind of systemic way that the CCRB would be able to track those kind of cases and 5 trigger an investigation under this new 6 7 authority given the amendments? MR. DARCHE: Well, so the answer to 8 9 the questions is there a way? The 10 answer is there is always a way. As our 11 IT person often tells me, but that does 12 not mean there is currently a way, we 13 are not doing that now and it's not on 14 the horizon for us to do so, but you 15 know people, from Legal Aid and other 16 defender organizations in the city do 17 refer cases to us and then we do 18 investigate them. 19 MS. WONG: Thank you. 20 Would the CCRB consider finding a 21 way to systemically track the decisions? Given the new authority to self initiate 2.2 23 complaints. MR. DARCHE: Yes, I think it's 24 something that we would consider, yes. 25

1 2 CHAIR RICE: Please step to the microphone. 3 4 MR. DARCHE: So people are 5 interested in speaking, and your next. And if there is other people interested 6 7 in speaking, be line up behind the person who is about to speak. 8 9 MR. DWYER: I mean I appreciate you 10 thinking about that and this is 11 something that I thought about for a 12 long time I think that it has to go both 13 ways. 14 If evidence is not suppressed and 15 that is to say that the judge finds that 16 was a good search the gun was legally seized then we should not -- because we 17 18 all know there isn't a parallel universe 19 we shouldn't find that the officer 20 didn't do any illegal search when the 21 judge found that he didn't do an illegal search and if this is an avenue that is 2.2 23 going to be explored maybe easy to do 24 with court records today. 25 Or maybe with the thing that

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

happened with the lab two days ago and maybe a 10-year scientific process, but it has to go both ways. If we're going to decide it's a bad search, you can't say the judge allowed the gun into evidence, and but we're going to decide that it's a bad search after the Supreme

Court judge has allowed into evidence.

On the second point, and I wanted to be explicitly clear and I am not blaming anybody, but I think that there is a collective responsibility among us on the Board and given COVID and other things going on, and given facts that the budget is being cut and we did say that we would submit to the police department and I believe we initially said after 6 months, a report on what we receive a report on what we thought about the Matrix certainly at one point, we would say after a year, we would submit it. And we had an agreement to submit it and we didn't make that submission.

24 subm:

23

24

25

I want to be explicitly clear, I'm not blaming the staff. As far as I am concerned, they work persistently hard, particularly the executive director, but it's not like the comments have not been welcome. We haven't submitted a comprehensive report and this is something that I have raised a few times over the years and I think there should be a collection of what each board member thinks and should be a collection of information and of what the policymakers and the administration think and a collection of the individual investigators and other people who really do the downright day-to-day work. I think we should submit that report and I think it's an odious task because it's so complex and so many subdivisions and so I just want to be clear, and it's not that we, and it's not that we have not been welcomed to submit something. MS. IRISH: My recollection is different. I remember saying that we we

1 2 are going to prepare a report to the public not submit a report to the NYPD, 3 4 and it was not that we needed to have submitted a report in order to have 5 changes to the Matrix be evaluated, and 6 7 to me if we were holding ourselves accountable to the public, to say we are 8 9 taking it on we know it has problems and 10 we are going to monitor it, and produce 11 something that explains where we are at 12 with it. And I don't know if we said a 13 report, or just that we are going to 14 assess it in six months or a year and I 15 do believe that CCRB had staff, CCRB 16 staff has been reaching out to the 17 police department about it on a regular 18 basis. The other thing is that one of 19 the issues in trying to produce any kind 20 of assessment with the Matrix was the 21 fact that there is such an extended 2.2 delay between when we started submitting 23 the recommendations on the Matrix and 24 when the NYPD started acting on that, on 25 those recommendations and issuing a

1 disciplinary decision, and so that 2 delayed our ability to analyze what was 3 4 going on with the Matrix itself. HARTMAN: Hi, hello. My name is 5 Kimberly Hartman. And I thought that 6 7 before I came here, this is for education and I'm glad this came up in 8 9 my perception I think that public 10 education should include psychology for 11 kids at a younger age; they will know 12 how to work with it later. Which now I 13 agree with Frank, it's a 14 misunderstanding, and a lot of people 15 just seem in my perception seem to be having a defense mechanism going back 16 17 and forth. Because police officers are 18 getting harassment from the people as 19 the people are getting harassment from 20 them. 21 I think it's a misunderstanding and 2.2 I think that public education should 23 have psychology a long with it to avoid 24 less misunderstanding. 25 And that is it.

2.2

CHAIR RICE: Keep your comments under four minutes or less.

ANDREW: Hello, how are you? My name is Andrew. I would like to have some clarification the CCRB website and the gathering evidence. So if someone files a complaint against a police officer, what is the procedure for the evidence on your website, that you have set up?

MR. DARCHE: The agency speaks to civilians involved in the incident and we request police paperwork and in the cases that we have the ability to do so, we send people to the field to investigate to go to the area where the incident occurred and to see if there are witnesses that we can speak to, and most especially collect video evidence from the scene.

Once all of that evidence has been collected including body-worn camera footages; we then prepare for officer interviews, and then we interview the

1 officers, and once the officers are 2 interviewed the investigators organize 3 4 the evidence, and put it into a closing 5 report and make recommendations to the Board. 6 7 At that point, the cases go to the Board for the Board to make final 8 determinations about whether the alleged 9 10 instances occurred and if they did 11 occur, if they were misconduct. 12 ANDREW: Do you get evidence from the victim as well? 13 14 MR. DARCHE: Yes. 15 ANDREW: Thank you very much. Law 16 enforcement brutally assaulted me threw 17 me in the psych ward against my will. 18 And I went to the hospital from the 19 bodily injuries, no one from the CCRB 20 has contacted me about the other 21 recording device that captured them 2.2 giving me a beat down. 23 And when I told the CCRB that, they 24 had took the cameras off the building, 25 and erased the evidence, like they did

4 | 5

from the guy in Chicago, and they had murdered him, and they showed it on the news, and they went to Burger King and erased the video. They did the same thing. When I reported it to the CCRB review board, no one contacted me about the other reporting device.

And so how do we move forward from here? Now, am I the first person that the CCRB has done this to, or have they done it to other people? That is the same question that I ask about law enforcement.

When law enforcement gives me a beat down, they attack people, am I the first person, or have they done it to other people?

MR. DARCHE: So the agency makes every effort it can to investigate and collect evidence from as many civilians as possible.

I understand that you feel that your case was not handled appropriately by the CCRB. I have been personally

1	
2	involved with your cases for months now,
3	and I believe the investigators who
4	handled your cases have done an
5	appropriate job.
6	ANDREW: You just said that you, the
7	CCRB, would gather evidence from the
8	victim as well, you're contradicting
9	yourself. No one contacted me about the
10	evidence of the law enforcement giving
11	me a beat down, until this day.
12	You're contradicting yourself, that
13	is a contradiction.
14	MR. DARCHE: I just think that we
15	disagree about how these investigations
16	have gone forward.
17	ANDREW: I don't disagree. I agree
18	with you, I'm asking you what the
19	procedure is.
20	MR. DARCHE: You said we haven't
21	contacted you, and obtained the
22	evidence, but I believe that we have
23	your evidence.
24	ANDREW: We agree together, you have
25	informed me that the CCRB gathers

1 evidence from the victim as well. 2 So we are not disagreeing, we are 3 4 agreeing; no one has gathered evidence 5 from me down until this day, when law enforcement no one has checked out the 6 7 evidence has given me a beat down on another recording device. 8 9 So we are in an agreement, no one 10 has checked out my recording device, 11 that is what I am saying. Now, that is 12 on your website. I did not put that 13 there. MR. DWYER: Is there any evidence 14 15 that you would like to give to an investigator? 16 17 If you have more evidence, we would 18 like to investigate, we have 19 investigators here. 20 ANDREW: I would be more than happy 21 to. 2.2 MR. DWYER: One of the investigators 23 would be happy to meet with you and 24 accept whatever evidence that you have. Emma, and then from CAU, why don't 25

1	
2	you meet with Andrew, and again, get his
3	evidence.
4	Thank you.
5	ANDREW: Thank you, I appreciate
6	that. I was hoping that the CCRB might
7	do something, and I feel like that is
8	why they still attack me today.
9	And they are doing the site work
10	again, but I don't remember the date
11	right now, because I filed a complaint,
12	and that was the court case I gave them
13	before.
14	CHAIR RICE: We would appreciate
15	your question and comment, Andrew.
16	Thank you, Andrew.
17	Do we have anyone else who would
18	like to make a public comment?
19	None. Do we have any old business
20	to come before the Board this evening?
21	Any old business?
22	Do we have any new business to come
23	before the Board this evening?
24	Hearing none.
25	I am going to move now that we break

December 14th, 2022

```
1
2
             into executive session. The agenda for
3
             the executive session is the Board will
 4
             receive an update from our executive
5
             director on our annual report, and on
6
             pending personnel issues. I would like
7
             to call for a motion to close out the
8
             meeting.
9
                 MR. DWYER: Motion to close.
10
                 CHAIR RICE: Do I have a second?
11
                 THE BOARD: Second.
12
                 CHAIR RICE: All those in favor.
13
                 THE BOARD: Aye.
14
                 CHAIR RICE: So adjourned.
15
             meeting is closed.
16
                 (Time noted: 5:55 p.m.)
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	
2	CERTIFICATE
3	
4	I, Larin Kaywood, a Notary Public within
5	and for the State of New York, do hereby
6	certify:
7	That the witness whose examination is
8	hereinbefore set forth was duly sworn and that
9	such an examination is a true record of the
10	testimony given by such a witness.
11	I further certify that I am not related
12	to any of these parties to this action by blood
13	or marriage, and that I am not in any way
14	interested in the outcome of this matter.
15	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set
16	my hand this December day of 29th, 2022.
17	
18	
19	
20	Larin_Kaywood_
0.1	LARIN KAYWOOD
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	