In Re December Public Meeting NYC - Civilian Complaint Review Board December 13, 2023

1 ----X CIVILIAN COMPLAINT REVIEW BOARD PUBLIC MEETING December 13, 2023 4:22 p.m. HELD VIA VIDEOCONFERENCE BEFORE: ARVA RICE, INTERIM CHAIR JONATHAN DARCHE, ESQ., EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR Transcribed by: Stephanie O'Keeffe

December 13, 2023

2

1		
2	PUBL	IC MEETING AGENDA
3		
4	1.	Call to Order
5	2.	Adoption of Minutes
6	3.	Remarks from the Chair
7	4.	Remarks from the Executive Director
8	5.	Presentation from Outreach on the CCRB
9	6.	Public Comment
LO	7.	Old Business
11	8.	New Business
L2	9.	Adjourn to Executive Session
13		
L4		
L5		
L6		
L7		
18		
L9		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

December 13, 2023

3

1		
2	BOAR	D MEMBERS PRESENT
3		
4	1.	Arva Rice, Interim Board Chair
5	2.	Joseph Fox, Board Member
6	3.	Herman Merrit, Board Member
7	4.	Pat Smith, Board Member
8	5	Kevin Jemmott, Board Member
9	6.	Charlane Brown-Wyands, Board Member
L O	7.	AU Hogan, Board Member
L1	8.	Joseph Puma, Board Member
L2	9.	Frank Dwyer, Board Member
L3	10.	Esmeralda Simmons, Esq., Board Member
L4		
L5	Pres	enters:
L6	Jahi	Rose - Director of Outreach
L7	New	York City Civilian Complaint Review Board
L8		
L9		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

December 13, 2023

		4
1		
2	SPEAKERS	
3		
4	1. Eric Dym - Retired Lieutenant Special	
5	Assignment	
6	2. Chris Dunn - Legal Director - New York Civil	
7	Liberties Union	
8	3. Jennvine Wong - Staff Attorney - Legal Aid	
9	Society, Cop Accountability Project	
L O	4. Michael Meyers - President and Executive	
L1	Director - New York Civil Rights Coalition	
L2	(NYCRC)	
L3	5. Bahar Ostadan - Reporter - WNYC News	
L4	6. Ms. Jennings Community Member	
L5		
L6		
L7		
L8		
L9		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
		ł

1 PROCEEDING 2 MS. ALVAREZ: Good evening, everyone. Thank you so much for joining us today. For 3 4 those who would like ASL interpretation 5 services, the two interpreters today are Beth Zuriff and Allison Zilg. 6 7 Instruction on how to pin their video will be in the chat. If you're utilizing CART services, 8 CART captioning services, that link will be in 9 10 the chat, too. 11 CHAIR RICE: Good evening, everyone. 12 would like to call th December 13, 2023 meeting 13 of the CCRB to order. Good evening and welcome my name is Arva 14 15 Rice, I use she/her pronouns and I am Interim Chair of the Civilian Complain Review Board. I 16 17 would like to call the CCRB meeting to order, which I already did, and I'm gonna ask the 18 19 Board members if they could please introduce themselves, and we're gonna start on this end 20 21 with Joe. 2.2 MR. FOX: I'm Joe Fox, I'm the NYPD rep. 23 MR. MERRIT: Herman Merrit, City Council 24 Designee from Brooklyn.

MR. SMITH: Pat Smith, Mayoral appointee.

25

1	PROCEEDING
2	MR. JEMMOTT: Good evening, Kevin Jemmott,
3	Mayoral appointee from Queens.
4	MR. DARCHE: Jon Darche, I use he/him
5	pronouns, and I'm the Executive Director of the
6	CCRB.
7	MS. BROWN-WYANDS: Good evening, Charlane
8	Brown-Wyands, Police Commissioner designee.
9	MR. HOGAN: Good evening, AU Hogan, City
10	Council Appointee from South Jamaica Queens.
11	MR. DWYER: Good evening, Frank Dwyer,
12	Police Commissioner designee.
13	CHAIR RICE: Terrific. Do we have anyone
14	on the line tonight?
15	MR. PUMA: Yes, my name is Joseph Puma, I
16	go by he/him pronouns, and I'm the City Council
17	designee from Manhattan.
18	CHAIR RICE: Thank you, Joseph.
19	Anyone else on the line?
20	(No response.)
21	Okay, terrific. I'd like to ask for a
22	motion to approve the November Board meeting
23	minutes as presented.
24	MR SMITH: Madam Chair, I'd like to amend
25	the minutes to reflect that I was here

1	PROCEEDING
2	last month.
3	CHAIR RICE: Okay. We will definitely
4	make that change. Any other
5	MR SMITH: I want to be on that list on
6	page 3.
7	CHAIR RICE: Okay, we will definitely make
8	that change. Thank you.
9	Any of changes to the Board minutes?
10	(No response.)
11	Alright. So I would like to then present
12	a motion that we would accept the November
13	Board meeting minutes with the change in the
14	attendees of our board members.
15	Is there anyone who would like to make a
16	motion to accept the Board minutes as amended?
17	MR. JEMMOTT: Second it.
18	CHAIR RICE: Okay. All those in favor?
19	(Chorus of ayes.)
20	Opposed?
21	(No response.)
22	Alright. The minutes with the
23	MALE SPEAKER: (NO MIC.)
24	MR. DARCHE: If there is anyone who would
25	like a copy, we'll get them for you but they

PROCEEDING

2.2

are also posted on the website. And we'll get the corrected copy up as well.

Would you like a copy?

MALE SPEAKER: (NO MIC.)

MR. DARCHE: We'll get you a copy.

CHAIR RICE: Thank you.

Last week, Commissioner -- Police

Commissioner Caban and I signed an important memorandum of understanding that will help ensure cases are close within the statute of limitations.

Before last week, NYPD did not share document or footage of a case that was under review by the Force Investigation Division, or FID. This would cause detrimental delays in CCRB cases that were exceeding the 18-month state-mandated statute of limitation.

Most recently, this affected the investigation into the killing of Kawaski Trawick. The trial commissioner who oversaw this case's trial is citing the delays as the reason why the two officers responsible for Kawaski Trawick's death should not face discipline.

2.2

PROCEEDING

Moving forward, NYPD agreed to release all requested evidence within 90 days of an FID investigation. We are pleased to have come to this agreement with NYPD and hope it will ensure officers who commit misconduct cannot avoid discipline due to a technicality.

This MOU is part of a long list of successes throughout this year for the agency. In 2023, the CCRB received the highest number of complaints since 2012. We received 5,296 complaints, 43 percent more then last year, with the same number of investigators. Thank you so much to our investigative unit which has taken on this challenging number of cases.

As complaint numbers go up and our investigative work-load increases, we have also increased the number of Board panels held in order to vote the ever-increasing number of cases. Thank you so much to my fellow Board members for dedicating more of your time to accountability in the City.

Our Policy Team has also worked to expedite the release of agency reports. Our annual, semiannual, and quarterly reports are

PROCEEDING

2.2

all being released in record time to increase transparency and timely data with the public. We have also added more than 2,200 closing reports to our website and have completed 506 new FOIA requests.

Our Outreach Team conducted over 1,200 presentations and canvasing events this year, the largest number of events in the Agency's history. They traverse all five boroughs to engage with New Yorkers and inform them of their rights. In our annual Week of Awareness the Outreach Team conducted 30 events in five languages. They partnered with elected officials to canvass in their districts and with community-based organizations, schools, libraries, and even local businesses to meet New Yorkers where they are.

The CCRB also had it's fifth class of youth advisers who hosted an exceptional event that invited young people from around the world to discuss the problems of policing in their countries and brainstorm solutions together.

The application for the 2024 class of the Youth Advisory Council is currently open and

PROCEEDING

we welcome all ten to 18-year-old New Yorkers to apply.

and we are honored to have been a part of making New York City safer for all people for three decades. We continue to fight for better police accountability in the City, including testifying before the City Council to support direct access to body-worn camera footage, exemptions from statutes, increased budget and final disciplinary authority over our cases. We will continue to advocate for these rights and hope City and state legislators will support these important bills.

As we enter 2024, we hope to build on and exceed the work we did this year, though the whole City is facing budget cuts.

We will now hear from Executive Jonathan Darche on what this might mean for the CCRB. Jon.

MR. DARCHE: Thank you, Chair Rice.

On September 9, 2023, the Office of

Management and Budget directed all City

Agencies to cut spending by 15 percent for the

PROCEEDING

start of fiscal year 2025. The CCRB is committed to serving the people of New York the highest quality of work, completing timely thorough investigations, maintaining our transparency efforts by publishing detailed reports and fulfilling FOIA requests, prosecuting cases in the trial room, meeting New Yorkers where they are to inform them of their rights and ensure that they know the CCRB is a recourse for them if they have experienced police misconduct. Unfortunately, it will not be possible to maintain this level of work with the budget as proposed.

Currently, the CCRB has a staff of 237 full-time employees and a Board of 12 part-time members. Although, Frankly, many of them work more than what you would think a part-time person works. The enacted budget for fiscal year 2024 allocated \$25,400,000 to the CCRB and set the level of staff at 259 people, including staff in the racial profiling and biased-based policing unit at 33 individuals.

OMB announced a series of cuts, the last of

which will be in effect by June 30, 20--

PROCEEDING

July 1, 2024, approximately 18 months away.

I'm sorry, June 30, 2025, approximately 18

months away. The initial cut that was

currently in effect is the removal of 22

positions and \$1,272,000. That means the

agency will be unable to fill any currently

vacant positions in the agency, including in

the racial profiling unit. Further, OMB's

future cuts will cut an additional \$2,000,300

from the Agency's budget and leave the Agency's

headcount 228 on July 1, 2024.

This is the fiscal environment the CCRB is facing even, as Chair Rice just mentioned the number of complaints we received went up 43 percent this year over last.

In order to maintain a high quality value work we need to focus our resources. After careful consideration, the CCRB will no longer investigate: Failure to provide Right to Know Act cards with no other allegations, complaints where refusing to provide name or shield number is the only allegation, complaints where discourteous words or actions have no other allegations, threats by a member of service with

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

PROCEEDING

no action and no other allegations, refusal to process a civilian complaint with no other allegations, property seizures with no other allegations, forcible removable to the hospital with no other allegation, and untruthful statements with no other allegations, and, finally, any complaint that only has the abovereferenced allegations. We believe that approximately 800 complaints currently under investigation fall into the first eight categories. I want to be very clear, if one of these allegations is part of a larger complaint that includes other allegations outside this list that are still in the Agency's jurisdiction, the Agency will investigate the allegation. For example, if a civilian says they were forcibly removed to a hospital and claims that they were a victim of excessive force, the Agency will investigate both allegations.

These cuts are potentially the first of many. The Agency intends to carefully monitor our resources to ensure we have the proper resources available to conduct high-quality

PROCEEDING

investigations. This was a last resort. If it were possible, we would continue investigating all civilian complaints within our jurisdiction (unintelligible) public to provide subpar service.

We will continue to work with City Hall, NYPD, and any other agency to ensure these complaints are still looked into, and to get the CCRB funding that will allow us to fulfill our charter mandate.

If you wish to file a complaint with the CCRB, our office is open for walk-in complainants, but it's also possible to file complaints online at nyc.gov/ccrbcomplaint, via telephone at 1(800) 341-2272, or by calling 311, or by mailing us your complaint to CCRB 100 Church Street, New York, New York. If anyone wishes to file a complaint here now, we have two investigators ready to take any new complaints Kat White and Emma Stydahar (phonetic).

We also have a member of our Civilian

Assistance Team, Ruth Villafane (phonetic) here
as well.

Some ground rules for this afternoon, we

1 PROCEEDING will hear from folks who are at the meeting in 2 person first, before going to the people who 3 4 join online and wish to comment. Regardless of whether you are joining online or here in 5 person, please keep your comment to four 6 7 minutes. If you are joining online or want to participate, please use the raise-your-hand 8 feature. 9 10 I want to thank the staff for their hard 11 Thanks again to the members of the 12 public for participating in tonight's meeting. CHAIR RICE: 13 Thank you, Jon for that 14 report. 15 Does any member of our Board have any questions of our executive director? 16 17 (No response.) 18 Any questions for our executive director? 19 (No response.) 20 Okay, not seeing any, I'm gonna ask for a 21 presentation from our Director of Outreach, Jahi Rose. 2.2 23 MR. ROSE: Thank you very much, Chair 24 Rice. 25 Hello, my name is Jahi Rose, I am the

PROCEEDING

Director of Outreach. I go by he/him pronouns. I am just going to provide a brief overview of the CCRB.

The Civilian Complaint Review Board is nation's largest independent oversight entity over the largest police force in the country.

CCRB investigates, mediates, and prosecutes complaints of misconduct alleged against members of the New York City Police Department.

The Agency is governed by a 15-member board, a number of which you met tonight on the dais.

Five are appointed by the New York City Mayor, five are appointed by the New York the Council, three are designated by the Police Commissioner, one is appointed by the Public Advocate, and the Chair is jointly appointed by the Mayor and the City Council.

The CCRB investigates allegations of police misconduct that fall under the following categories, FADO is a helpful reminder, force, abuse of authority, discourtesy, and offensive language.

As previously mentioned, if you see any misconduct or would like to report police

PROCEEDING

misconduct, feel free to file a complaint on the Agency's website at nyc.gov/ccrbcomplaint or by calling (800 341-CCRB or 1(800)341-2272.

Remember, if you see footage of misconduct on social media or on the news, you can file a complaint, even if you are not there in person. A typical handle for the CCRB is @CCRB_NYC. Other ways to file a complaint with the CCRB include calling 311. You can also visit the CCRB's headquarters at 100 Church Street on the 10th floor in Lower Manhattan. Feel free to DM, or direct message, the CCRB on our social media platforms, which is Facebook, X, formerly known as Twitter, or Instagram. You can also send a letter to the CCRB at 100 Church Street, 10th Floor, New York, New York 10007. Complaints can also be filed within New York City at any local police precinct, it does not have to be the precinct where the interaction took place. The NYPD is required to accept complaints at the precinct. You can also request a complaint form and a self-addressed envelope.

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

Under CCRB's Youth Advisory Council

1 PROCEEDING applications are still live. CCRB's Youth 2 Advisory Council is a committee of young people 3 4 that are committed to criminal justice issues and providing -- and improving police community 5 relations. Young people ages, that live in New 6 7 York City, ages 10 to 18 are eligible to apply. The new deadline is December 30th -- 31st. 8 you would like more information, feel free to 9 10 e-mail yac@ccrb.nyc.gov. 11 If you would like to request an outreach 12 presentation by the CCRB's Outreach Team, feel 13 free to e-mail us at outreach@CCRB.nyc.gov. 14 Once again, you could also contact us and 15 follow us on our social media platforms, 16 Instagram, Twitter or X, and Facebook. 17 Thank you, Chair Rice. 18 CHAIR RICE: Thank you. 19 Does anyone have any questions for 20 Mr. Rose? 21 (No response.) 2.2 Any questions? 23 (No response.) 24 Alright. Thank you so much for that 25 presentation. Appreciate it.

PROCEEDING

We will now enter the public comment portion of the meeting. We will begin with those who are joining us virtually, who would like to make a comment, followed by those who are joining us in person. For those joining virtually, please use to Raise Your Hand feature and please keep your comments to four minutes.

Yojaira, would you please call on the first person?

MS. ALVAREZ: Thank you, Chair Rice.

We will first be hearing from Eric Dym, followed by Chris Dunn.

MR. DYM: Good evening, everyone. I am
Retired Lieutenant Special Assignment Eric Dym,
coined as the most-complained cop to the NYPD.

This Civilian Complaint Review Board of

New York City has emerged into a new role in

the investigation of racial -- racial profiling

and bias policing by members of the NYPD.

With that being said, the New York City
Council is on the verge of having a proposed
bill known as the How Many Stops Bill led by
Public Advocate Jumaane Williams. The bill

2.2

PROCEEDING

would require the New York City Police

Department to document all encounters with the public, which, in my opinion, could cause animosity for the civilian residence towards the New York City Police Department.

John Macari and I, with the New York's Finest have been trying to build a podcast, also known as The Finest Unfiltered, we have attended numerous meetings with the Civilian Complaint Review Board virtually, and what we have heard, mainly, as the biggest complaint from community is the relationship with cops and the public. We have heard complaints as not feeling the humanity between cops and the public, such as greetings, or just having organic conversations.

This bill would destroy any potential for organic and healthy relationships. The Neighborhood Coordination Officers Program was a good step in the right direction; however, it was too extreme and eliminated intrusive police work. This will be a new phenomenon and destroy all potential intrusive police work in everyday encounters.

2.2

PROCEEDING

Therefore, I would kindly like to ask, what is the Civilian Complaint Review Board's position in regards to this proposed bill, and how will the Civilian Complaint Review Board play a role if this bill is ultimately passed? How does this bill improve public safety? Is public safety a concern for the Civilian Complaint Review Board in regards to this particular bill? Has the Civilian Complaint Review Board had a role, or any input, in this particular bill that may be passed?

Of course, I would like to thank the participants and the Civilian Complaint Review Board themselves in regards to this meeting, meetings in the past, and meetings in the future. I do believe communication breaks barriers and opposition beats opportunity.

In my opinion, this bill is further demonization of the New York City Police Department. It will create unnecessary overtime in a City of financial crisis, which I just heard the Civilian Complaint Review Board is directly affected by it as well. I do believe it will deteriorate the profession as a

1 PROCEEDING 2 whole. This bill will retract from any decent humanity between the public and the police. 3 4 is unhealthy, it will be toxic and destroy organic interactions with police and community. 5 Again, I'd like thank you for the time I 6 7 have had to speak, and please, if you're able to answer some of these questions, I would 8 9 appreciate it, and thank you to everyone. 10 Thank you very much. 11 CHAIR RICE: Thank you for your comments 12 and presenting those questions. I'm gonna ask 13 our executive director to respond. 14 Thank you, Chair. MR. DARCHE: 15 The CCRB has not taken a position on the bill from the Public Advocate. The CCRB -- if it's 16 17 passed, the CCRB will use the documentation, beyond that, I don't know if it will have any 18 19 impact on the CCRB itself. CHAIR RICE: Yojaira, is there anyone 20 21 else? MS. ALVAREZ: Next we will be hearing from 22 23 Chris Dunn. 24 MR. DUNN: Arva, can you hear me? 25 CHAIR RICE: I can hear you Mr. Dunn.

PROCEEDING

MR. DUNN: Good afternoon, and hello to everybody else. For those who don't know me, I'm Chris Dunn. I'm the legal director for the New York Civil Liberties Union.

Arva, I appreciate your noting the 30th Anniversary of the CCRB. I think I've been to most of the meetings during those 30 years, so it's a body that we care about deeply and we appreciate the work.

Arva, I want to note that you spoke out publicly about the Police Commissioner's dismissal of two prominent cases earlier this month, and I appreciate your continued independence from City Hall and the Police Department. I don't know if that's good in the longterm for your position, but I appreciate you doing it.

I do want to comment on Jon's announcement about impact of the budget cuts, and I know that you do not do these things lightly.

Taking categories of complaints off the table is a nuclear option when it comes to dealing with budget cuts, and these particular

2.2

PROCEEDING

types of complaints will have particular resonance with many people in the public, given the complaints themselves. I think it would be helpful if you could talk a little bit about how it is that you were forced into this position and what you're thinking was about this response to the budget cuts.

CHAIR RICE: Mr. Dunn, was that your solitary question, it's a very good one but -- or did you have another one?

MR. DUNN: That's my only question.

CHAIR RICE: Thank you. I'm going to have Jonathan respond to that.

MR. DARCHE: It was a long process that got us to this point. And it really started back in the early budget process for the FY24 budget, when we were, again, facing real cuts, and we were proposing rolling back the investigation of racial profiling, and the City Council then responded by making funding to the CCRB a priority and actually, not just restored the cuts from the proposal, but strengthened the budgetary support for the Agency in the current enacted budget.

2.2

PROCEEDING

When the 15-percent cuts were announced by the Office of Management and Budget, because of our situation where a lot of our headcount is vacant because we are dealing with a new unit that is taking on new responsibilities, it almost immediately, combined with the hiring freeze, meant we couldn't -- we knew we were going to be very short staffed going forward. And with the increase in complaints, we were at the breaking point of our staff, who worked extremely hard and extremely diligently over the last year to do the work that they had done, but it was just not sustainable.

And so we tried to look at the complaints and see what are some of the areas where, that are more recent to our jurisdiction, and it was very -- it was very difficult, and there was a lot of conversation internally about how to get to a place where we think we can still investigate a lot of the can complaints that are the highest priority for the people of the City. And that is a painful thing for me to say was, whether or not you were walking down the street and felt a member of service was

PROCEEDING

discourteous to you, youthy that worthy of investigation, but, like, we have to look at the -- we have to be realistic about the resources we have, and if we weren't, we would have spread ourselves thin and everything would have potentially been subject to a lower-quality investigation.

MR. DUNN: I appreciate that.

MR. DARCHE: We do not want to layoff our staff. The major asset this Agency has is the people who work here, and we don't want to give up the racial profiling portion of our jurisdiction, so we are looking for areas in which there are small numbers of single-allegation complaints that we could look at that would still have a meaningful impact on the workload of our investigators.

MR. DUNN: The one thing I would like to say is, perhaps the obvious, which is, the dollars you were talking about are nominal in terms of the City budget. More importantly, it is pocket change compared to the Police Department's budget, and I think a responsible view about this, and I'm hoping that the three

1 PROCEEDING 2 Police Commissioner reps will go back to the Department and convey this message, this 3 4 nominal piece of money should come out of the Police Department budget, CCRB budget should 5 remain intact, the public, the CCRB, and the 6 7 Police Department has a genuine interest in the CCRB continuing to function the way that it has 8 9 and I'd be happy to work with the Police 10 Commissioner to identify the few millions of 11 dollars this you need because that would be a 12 simple straightforward solution. 13 Thank you, Mr. Dunn, for that CHAIR RICE: 14 suggestion. 15 Did anyone else on the Board have a 16 comment? (No response.) 17 18 Thank you. Thank you for that. 19 Yojaira, is there anyone else on the line? 20 MS. ALVAREZ: Yes. Next we will be 21 hearing from Jennvine Wong. 2.2 MS. WONG: Jennvine Wong, staff attorney 23 with the Legal Aid Society, Cop Accountability Project. 24 25 You know, I echo much of what Chris just

2.2

PROCEEDING

mentioned, specifically about the nominal -you know, the nominal amount that this winds up
being, especially in comparison to the money
that is spent on the NYPD and in comparison to
the larger City budget, and it's really
disheartening that there seems to be a lack of
commitment for police accountability in the
form of making sure that the CCRB funding is
intact to continue these important
investigations.

What the CCRB does is important in building trust between the public and the police in investigations and in building trust that police officers who engage in misconduct are actually investigated by an independent agency and are going to be somehow you know, held accountable in some way. Part of that has been helped by CCRB's commitment to transparency. I can tell you that our clients, Legal Aid clients have been heartened by transparency efforts that the CCRB has engaged in, especially when it comes to the investigations of police officer misconduct.

So, I wanted to just ask the -- I just ask

PROCEEDING

2.2

for a little bit more clarification around what specific, I guess, categories were being considered to be scaled back on for investigations or what else will be considered before that, kind of, nuclear option is undertaken, and just to clarity if it was only specifically focused on complaints of single allegations, and I would really appreciate if the Board would be able to answer that question.

CHAIR RICE: I'm sorry, Ms. Wong, I didn't hear the very beginning of your question. You were asking what categories we were looking at in terms of the cases that we would not take on with the budget cuts?

MS. WONG: Yes.

CHAIR RICE: But I didn't hear the first part of the question.

MS. WONG: Yes, to just clarify which categories they were and if there were any other categories that were going to be considered, what factors would be taken into consideration in that decision.

CHAIR RICE: Thank you.

2.2

PROCEEDING

MR. DARCHE: So, the categories, again, are failure to provide Right To Know Act cards with no other allegation, refusal to provide name or shield number with no other allegation, discourteous words or actions with no other allegation, refusal to process a civilian complaint with no other allegation, property seizures with no other allegation, forcible removal to the hospital with no other allegation, untruthful statements with no other allegation, and then any complaint that only has allegations that were just listed. So it might have multiple allegations, but they would all be one of those eight categories I just listed.

If a complaint has an allegation that is currently in you jurisdiction and one of those allegations -- one or more allegations that are currently on that list, we will investigate that allegation.

So, for example, if someone says they were the victim after excessive force while being taken -- forcibly removed to a hospital, we would investigate the forcible removal to the

1 PROCEEDING 2 hospital. And, Madam Chair, while I was -- while we 3 4 were talking, the general counsel just texted me and reminded me, I forgot to say, this is --5 we plan to put this into effect January 1, 6 7 2024. MS. WONG: I'm sorry, I just wanted to 8 clarify the categories, so only -- of those 9 10 eight categories, if those are standalone 11 allegations in and of themselves, then the CCRB 12 would not be able to investigate at that time? 13 (Audio did not pick up response.) 14 CHAIR RICE: Thank you, Ms. Wong, for that 15 question Jon for clarifying. Yojaira, the next person on the line. 16 MS. ALVAREZ: That concludes the virtual 17 portion. 18 19 I just want to note that Board Member 20 Simmons has joined us virtually. 21 CHAIR RICE: Board Member Simmons, could you introduce yourself. Esmeralda. 22 23 MS. SIMMONS: I'm getting on I'm glad to 24 be here. I heard all the questions. My name is Esmeralda Simmons. I am an appointee of the 25

2.2

PROCEEDING

Public Advocate, and I hail from Bed-Stuy, Brooklyn.

CHAIR RICE: Thank you. We are now going to enter into the public comments session for those who are here in the room, so Mr. Meyers, you have already stepped to the podium, but if you have a comment, you can step to the podium, we do ask you to keep your comments four minutes. Thank you.

MR. MEYERS: Michael Meyers, (unintelligible)Constitutional Rights coalition.

I was not gonna comment today, I really wasn't; I was gonna sit here in silence. Out of respect for my (unintelligible) as opposed to the members of this Board, but I'm forced to do so because I don't understand what's going on here. I really don't.

I heard this executive director talk about public comments, people present in attendance in the meeting coming first, and then going to the phone call, but -- and you have a right, because I'm not a member of this Board to make a point of order, but somebody should have said, wait a minute, the people took their time out

PROCEEDING

to come to the meeting to be first, as the executive direct, you already said (unintelligible). Now, I still think the people present at the meeting should have priority.

Separately, with respect to the policy decision, sounds to me like it's a power decision on the part of the executive director, so my question is, without yielding the floor, is whether or not the CCRB has voted these policies -- as these decisions as a policy change, or it just an executive prerogative now not to investigate complaint that have been categorized by the CCRB Board? What the hell is going on here? You're not gonna answer that question, but I'm not ready for the answer.

Now, with respect to the chair, I still don't know, and I didn't hear, somebody introduce her as -- or announce her as the chair that has been appointed by the mayor and City Council, whatever that person is, key speaker or whatever. Are you permanent chair or the acting chair? I just need clarification on that. I keep asking every meeting, what is

2.2

PROCEEDING

it that you don't make a decision on the chair (unintelligible) with respect, not just to you, the member of th Board, but to the public. We expect to have the chair of the Civilian Complaint Review Board (unintelligible) and advise the City Council or whoever you have to advise to make the appointment. I'm getting disgusted.

Now, my other point is that the NYPD commissioner, I have written to him three times, at least three times, maybe four times, the guy doesn't even answer, doesn't even acknowledge, much less answer my queries of him. What kind of police commissioner is this? He runs his mouth on the subways for PR purposes, but he's not acting like a police commissioner. I resent it. I really resent it.

You said you were inviting me to a public session of the CCRB, I come to as many, if any more than whatever his name is, the Legal Director of NYCLU, who keeps talking about I, I, I as opposed to we, we, we, so I assume he speaks for himself and not for the New York

PROCEEDING

Civil Liberties Union.

So, has the police commissioner committed to coming to a public meeting of the CCRB and engaging with the public so we can hear him talking with you and you talk to him? We want to know what he has to say, it's the public's right. He has been hiding from us.

As far as the public comment is concerned, I want to reiterate my point of order, my point of order, these are people that come here, who come to the meetings want to have the respect that is due us and get called on first to speak, as opposed to getting into technical difficulties of hearing people on the phone, people connect, I stopped talking on the phone because I couldn't get through, so I decided to come down to the meetings. So I thought I heard the executive director say the public would be first, people in attendance first in terms of the comments, but if the fact is, I did not hear right, I take my hat off. Not out of respect, but out of disgust and disgrace.

CHAIR RICE: Thank you for your comments. We appreciate them. Just to answer a couple of

2.2

PROCEEDING

questions about the things that you raised and then I will turn it over to our executive director to talk about the list of charges that we are making tough decision about not being able to address as a agency and as a Board.

First of all, I did want to let you know that we have not invited the commissioner to a public session, that has not happened. I believe you stated that it may have happened already and you're waiting for him.

I do want to let you know, I am still serving as interim chair of the Civilian Complaint Review Board and will continue to do that as long as I am requested to serve in that role.

Then I am gonna turn it over to Jon to talk a little bit about these really difficult decisions that we're having to make in the face of budget cuts.

MR. DARCHE: Before I get to the difficult decisions, I want to apologize to Mr. Meyers, I did state that it would go to the folks in the room first and then online, but I did not follow through and coordinate with our team to

PROCEEDING

make that happen, so the chair rightfully kept the original schedule, so I'm going to try and have it fixed for next month, but the chair did the right thing, that error was on me. She kept things rolling as was as we heard it.

With regard to the -- this is not a rule change, this is what we hope to be a temporary policy change, based on the funding levels that the Agency is currently facing. I made the decision based on my authority as the executive director. I consulted with the chair, she was aware of this decision and hopefully we will be able to change it back when we have the funding required do the level of investigation that this City expects.

MR. MEYERS: The Board, the Board has to make those decisions, the Board needs to know in advance, not just you conferring with the chair, the Board needs to make these decisions because it's the Board to whom the public, the public looks to have answers, and responsiveness to our complaints of police misconduct in all the categories, not just some of the categories, all the categories. I don't

2.2

PROCEEDING

want get out of jail free card going to any police officer who insults people, who does any of those things, eight levels that you -- eight things that you lists. I don't want them to get off because they refuse to obey your rules. This is outrageous, and the Board should say something. This is outrageous. What are you people doing here?

CHAIR RICE: Thank you, Mr. Meyers for your comments, and we will definitely take this -- continue the conversation during executive session, but as our executive director mentioned, this is not a rules change, so as a result of it not being a rules change, it is not something that needed to be voted publicly in the Board meeting.

Do we have anyone else who wanted to make a comment?

MR. DARCHE: I don't think we'll be discussing the policy in the executive session because it's -- it's not appropriate for executive session. In executive session we'll be discussing some HR issues and some general counsel updates. The conversation about the

PROCEEDING

policy will be here.

2.2

BAHAR: Hi, my name is Bahar (phonetic), I am a reporter with WNYC News covering the NYPD. I just had a clarifying question on the MOU. So is it 90 days within the start of an FID investigation that will require turning video evidence.

MR. DARCHE: Thank you, Madam Chair.

It's not just video evidence, it's all evidence and its 90 days from when we make the request.

BAHAR: Okay.

MR. DARCHE: And the other thing is that we have approximately 15 complaints open right now that are currently on FID hold, where we have made evidence requests in the past, and so 90 days from December 5 will be receiving that. And I'm sure that, based on my conversation with the Department, they're gonna not wait until the 90th day to get us this evidence, but we'll expect to the 15 cases that are currently on hold, ID hold, to have that evidence within 90 days.

BAHAR: Of the 5th. Okay great.

PROCEEDING

And is there something in the memorandum stating what happens if the Department doesn't turn over evidence within 90 days.

MR. DARCHE: No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

BAHAR: Thank you.

CHAIR RICE: Any other people with questions?

MS. JENNINGS: Hi, Ms. Jennings.

It's unfortunate that the start date of me being stalked is May 11, 2006, which mean it's been 17 years. It's 17 years, unfortunately nothing's changed with CCRB, you don't handle stalking cases. So when I (unintelligible) exhausted people to reach out to, attorney said CCRB doesn't handle it. (Unintelligible) I have a phone that's hacked, a computer that's hacked (unintelligible) something for many, many years, many years. The back of the house was a sound, my relatives said it was the washing machine, I was it was a generator. generator sound went from the back of the house to the side of the house to the middle of the house. I can hear them on. I can hear them turn it on. ConEd came and something about

1 PROCEEDING 2 electric (unintelligible) all those letters going to White House with a (unintelligible) 3 about the sonic attacks. There are some 4 5 conditions going on now (unintelligible) moment other things going on due to the 6 7 (unintelligible) I have sit in to see what's going on, I had to sit quietly and calmly 8 9 because I don't want to get in trouble. 10 (Unintelligible) to a Town Hall event that the 11 Mayor had, I spoke David Johnson, who is the 12 scheduler and assistant to the mayor, and I 13 showed him the (unintelligible) and I tole him little bit about my drama. I said, on 14 15 September 1st I went to MTA Transit (inaudible), there's cameras on the top 16 17 (unintelligible) the cameras, (unintelligible) 18 traffic in front of the train station, the 19 amount of cars is unreal. I was there for a 20 while calling presidents office 21 (unintelligible) 1 Police Plaza, I wasn't 2.2 allowed in the precinct (unintelligible) say to

get a complaint in the precinct, when that day

showed up, (unintelligible) next to me with a

I was there for a while GDX7767, unmarked

23

24

25

PROCEEDING

piece of paper (unintelligible) the people I ran to about being harassed (unintelligible) kind of like marching into me.

Let's go back for a second, I'll make it quick, on the day of (unintelligible) was there, commissioners were there, and people asked them questions, they had no answer to them, any of the questions, puppets of the Mayor's office.

I'm going to (unintelligible) Mr. Darche if he could find time to talk to me, if he can, you know, I'll have a word with him. This is very important (unintelligible) my life and safety. If you're going to spend the day watching me, what you saw (unintelligible) and what you see now is nothing compared to (unintelligible) how we're gonna cover this up because there's som many different people (unintelligible) business. I asked you inside for help, 17 years, something is wrong with this because I am at the camera, and I'm crying in the camera, so I'm at the camera, saying I'm at the camera, you see what's going on.

Nothing. So maybe Mr. Darche can find time,

1	PROCEEDING
2	you know, he could speak to me, we can have a
3	meet, you know, I don't, anything, because I do
4	fear for my life and safety and the sonic
5	attacks are quite bad because I didn't sleep at
6	night, so I can hear when they click it on, I
7	have a rumble underneath me, I have a tingling,
8	whatever's been put on me.
9	Thank you, Dear, have a good day.
10	CHAIR RICE: Thank you, Ms. Jannings.
11	Is there anyone else who has a public
12	comment?
13	(No response.)
14	Anyone else?
15	(No response.)
16	Alright, do we have any old business to
17	come before the board? Any old business?
18	(No response.)
19	Do we have any new business?
20	(No response.)
21	Okay. Hearing none, I'm going to move
22	that we break into executive session. The
23	agenda for executive session is the Board will
24	deliberate on a full Board case, the executive
25	director will discuss pending personnel

1	PROCEEDING
2	actions, and the general counsel will update
3	the Board litigation and on the FID MOU.
4	I would like to move that the meeting is
5	adjourned.
6	MALE SPEAKER: Second.
7	CHAIR RICE: All in favor?
8	(Chorus of ayes.)
9	So moved. The December meeting of the
10	CCRB is adjourned. Thank you.
11	(Time Noted: 5:17 p.m.)
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	l I

1	
2	CERTIFICATE
3	STATE OF NEW YORK)
4) ss: COUNTY OF SUFFOLK)
5	
6	I, STEPHANIE O'KEEFFE, a Reporter and
7	Notary Public within and for the State of New York,
8	do hereby certify that the within is a true and
9	accurate transcript of the proceedings taken on
LO	December 13, 2023.
11	I further certify that I am not related to
L2	any of the parties to this action by blood or
L3	marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the
L 4	outcome of this matter.
L5	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my
L6	hand this 13th day of December, 2023.
L7	
L8	
L9	Stephane Okoxhe
	Stephanie O'Keeffe
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	