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CHAIRMAN ROMANO: Good evening. My name is Benito Romano and I am the chair of the New York City Districting Commission. Thank you for coming tonight and welcome to the New York City Districting Commission's public hearing for the Bronx. I want to especially thank Lehman College and Dr. Ricardo Fernández, its president, who would like to say a word or two before we begin.

MR. FERNÁNDEZ: Good evening, ladies and gentlemen, and members of the panel. It's my pleasure to welcome you here to Lehman College, to the Lovinger Theater, for this session. Lehman is a public institution and we welcome the use of our facilities by the community for this and many other purposes.

The public is always welcome here. We want to be an institution that serves the many needs OF our community and in this borough. So, all the best in your deliberations this evening. And, again, welcome to Lehman College. This is your home.

CHAIRMAN ROMANO: Thank you, Ricardo. We have this facility for a limited time, this beautiful facility this evening, and I want to make sure that we hear from everyone who is
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signed up. For those of you who did not sign up and wish to speak, please see one of the Districting Commission staff to register.

Jonathan Ettricks is around. He has an ID around his neck. He can sign you up, or Shirley Limongi or Brian Flynn. They will make themselves known to you. You will see them around the facility. Additionally, if you require translation of your statement, please let staff at the registration desk know. They will ensure that a translator will be provided when it is your turn to speak.

Before we begin to take testimony, I would like to make a few opening comments. At the beginning of August, the Commission advertised in community and ethnic newspapers, announcing the Commission's public hearing schedule. An e-mail blast was sent effectively to over 50,000 interested individuals and organizations.

Various advocacy groups helped spread the word through their individual networks, and the hearing schedule was publicized on our Facebook page and Twitter feeds. From the look of this room, we have more work to do, although it seems like we have reached many of you. As we go
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forward in this process, we will seek other, additional ways to maximize community participation.

Tonight, we conclude the first phase of New York City's districting process, as laid out in the New York City Charter. After the Commission holds public hearings in each of the five boroughs, the Commission will meet again, tomorrow at 1:00 P.M., at the Council Chambers in City Hall, to discuss what we have learned through this public hearing process.

At tomorrow's meeting, we will direct staff to create a preliminary districting plan, according to instructions. On September 4th, at 1:00 P.M., at the City Council Chambers in City Hall, the Commission will meet again, this time to review and adopt the preliminary district plan that will then be made visible for public inspection and comment.

Please note that these meetings will be open to the public, but there will not be any opportunity for the public to speak or comment at that time. The public will have an opportunity to comment on this preliminary district plan during the second round of public hearings held
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throughout the five boroughs, beginning at 5:00 P.M. on October 2nd, 3rd, 4th, 10th, and 11th. We are still trying to determine the locations for those meetings, but they will be different from the ones previously used in order to maximize opportunities for community participation.

Comments from this round of public hearings will then be considered during a stated Commission meeting scheduled for October 18th, where the staff will once again be directed to revise the preliminary district plan in response to the comments that we received.

At the next stated Commission meeting on October 30th, the revised plan will be presented, considered, and adopted by the Commission. By November 5th, this revised plan will then be delivered to the City Council for its inspection and approval.

According to the City Charter, by November 27th, the Council must either adopt the revised district plan, at which point the plan will be filed with the City Clerk, or the Council can object to the revised district plan, in which case, the plan will be returned to the Commission
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with the Council's comments and objections.
The Commission will then have until January 5, 2013, to create another revised plan. This will then set the stage for a new set of public hearings, which have been tentatively scheduled from January 28th to February 8th in each of the five boroughs.

Again, we aim to have these hearings in different locations from the prior two rounds of public hearings in order to maximize opportunities for your participation. The Commission will then have until March 5th to create, adopt, and submit a final plan to the City Clerk and to the U.S. Department of Justice for Voting Rights Act Section V pre-clearance.

This process is described in a flow chart that is available for you tonight. Another handout that is available are two maps, one map of the five boroughs with the current City Council district lines, and another map of the Bronx with the borough's current City Council district line. Both maps include the total population within the districts.

The population figures reflected in the handouts have already been adjusted to reflect
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prisoners serving State sentences, but having residents within the respective Council districts as of the time of the taking of the U.S. Census in 2010. The Commission will take these figures into consideration when drawing the district clients.

It's also important to keep in mind as we conduct public hearings that we are not writing on a blank slate, but are operating within an established legal framework. We are legally required to consider, during the districting processes, the following. The total population difference of any district cannot be greater than 10 percent of the average population for all districts.

District lines should ensure fair and effective representation of racial and language minority groups in New York City. The lines should keep neighborhoods and communities with established ties of common interest and association intact. Districts should be intact and contiguous. Districts should not cross borough boundaries, if at all possible, and districts should avoid diminishing the effective representation of voters.

NEW YORK CITY DISTRICTING COMMISSION

I want to bring to your attention our website, at www.nyc.gov/districting. The site is the central repository of all documents and videos related to the Districting Commission. It also contains a complete schedule of our public hearings and a portal where you can pre-register for all hearings and be added to our mailing list. I hope you will all visit the site and let us know how it can be made more informative and interactive.

One final note. We have a number of speakers tonight. Each of you has three minutes, which is really not very long. Keep in mind, however, that we already have a substantial amount of data from the U.S. Census and elsewhere. We also welcome, and will carefully read, any written submission given to us.

All of this data is important to our decision, but your testimony at these hearings is especially critical. In your remarks, tell us about your neighborhoods. Where are its natural boundaries, as you see them? So that we can follow your comments, please tell us what Council district you are concerned about, and how, if at all, you would like to see it changed.
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Thank you again for participating in this important event. At this point, I would like to have the commissioners, beginning on my left, introduce themselves, and then we will hear from our first witness.

MR. PADAVAN: Good evening. I am Frank Padavan from Queens County.

MS. BRAGG: Hi, I am Jamila Ponton Bragg from Manhattan.

MS PERSAUD: Good evening, Roxanne Persaud, Brooklyn.

MS. PROVENZANO: Hi, I am Madeline Provenzano from the Bronx.

MR. ROBERT: I am John Robert from the Bronx.

MR. YU: Justin Yu from Manhattan.
MR. HACKWORTH: Thaddeus Hackworth, staff to the Commission.

CHAIRMAN ROMANO: I neglected to mention that I am from the Bronx as well.

MR. HUM: Carl Hum, staff to the Commission.
MS. LIN: Linda Lin from Queens.
MS. WOLFE: Gloria Wolfe, Manhattan.
MR. ODOM: Oscar Odom III, Brooklyn.
MS. HANKS: Kamillah Hanks, Staten Island.
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MR. CERULLO: Scott Cerullo, Staten Island. MR. HART: Rob Hart, Staten Island. MR. HACKWORTH: Our first speaker tonight will be Zellnor Myrie, to be followed by William Stanford, Jr.

MR. MYRIE: Good afternoon, and thank you for the opportunity to testify today. My name is Zellnor Myrie and I am a current Bronx resident, urban studies graduate student and former New York City Council aide. In the coming months, many qualified citizens and policymakers will offer detailed suggestions to the Commission that will help advance the tenets of democratic representation in New York City, while underscoring the ideals of the Voting Rights Act. Today, I simply want to direct the Commission's attention to some of the legislative implications of the districting process to stress the importance of a thorough and comprehensive process that gives communities of color a voice in government. The expansion of the City Council from 35 members to 51 came on the heels of the Supreme Court decision exhorting our city to increase representation in communities of color. Representation for representation's sake, of
course, was never the goal. And, as such, it is important that we measure the intact that increased minority representation has had on the City Council. My graduate research on Council legislation enacted over the past 15 years suggests that representation hasn't just been nominal, but that black, Hispanic, and Asian members have incrementally increased their intact on City policy.

Consider the following. From 1998 to 2000, only 8 percent of past legislation was sponsored by a black, Asian, or Hispanic member. From 2001 to 2003, however, that number jumped to 20 percent. 2004 to 2006 saw an increase to 27 percent, while that number climbed to 31 percent from 2007 to 2009.

During this current session, January of 2010 through June of this year, we are on pace to have 36 percent of Council legislation sponsored by a minority member. And this increase isn't just in overall policies; legislation that has had particular intact on communities of color, like foreclosure prevention, immigrant assistant programs, and enforcement mechanisms aimed at repairing housing has also increased.
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Seven such bills were passed in the 1998 to 2000 session, while our current cycle has already won 37 such bills enacted. While these passage rates still have room for improvement, given the corresponding population numbers of people of color in our city, it is clear there is a connection between minority representation and policy enactment on the Council.

Districting that continues to encourage such representation not only helps engage Constitutionally-protected communities in this process, but helps increase policy outcomes for them as well. In addition to these implications, I would also like to ask the Commission to keep our city's growing young population in mind as they render their districting decisions.

Our city gained over 300,000 people between the ages of 25 and 34 over the past decade. And here in our borough, we boast the highest percentage of persons under the age of 18 in the entire City, at 26 percent (timer dings). Thank you again for the opportunity to testify, and your time and attention to these matters.

CHAIRMAN ROMANO: Mr. Myrie, do you have that testimony in a form that you could share
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with us in writing?
MR. MYRIE: Sure, I gave it.
CHAIRMAN ROMANO: You did?

MR. MYRIE: Yes, I did.
CHAIRMAN ROMANO: Very good. Thank you.
MR. HACKWORTH: Our next speaker will be William Stanford, Jr., to be followed by Anthony Rivieccio.

MR. STANFORD: You made several mistakes between last Monday and today. It's possible you have a small attendance here today because Robert Jackson decided to hold a similar forum regarding what was going on in his City Council district.

Okay. You made several mistakes. We were in Queens; we couldn't enter the auditorium before 5:00, okay? Now we are here in the Bronx. We couldn't enter this auditorium before 5:00. You shouldn't call yourself the New York City Districting Commission. You should call yourself Saturday Night Live part-time players.

You say you start a forum at 5:00 and then detain us and say, "We are still organizing." You should have finished organizing before 5:00. Bad planning on your part doesn't constitute an emergency on our part. As I said before, that's
why I said you should start these public forums at 7:00, not 5:00. Because as I said before, some people are still working 9:00 to 5:00. But did you listen? No.
(Unintelligible). MTA, LATFOR, and the (unintelligible), four groups that don't know what they are doing; one in the north, one in the south, one in the east, one in the west. You could decide which spot you want, okay?

This forum started over 30 minutes late. Some of you were over ten minutes late. Are you serious? And then we had these people over here in Queens Library. Oh, they opened the door for us. They said, "You have to wait because we are still organizing."

So my question for you, them, and the Queens Library employees was the same question I asked the LATFOR representation, "Are you confused? Are you stupid or are you mentally retarded?" It's like, this is false advertising. You say you started at 5:00, you started when? Whenever the hell you get around to it? Are you serious?

You shouldn't even bother holding these public forums if you are going to do it that way. You know, I find that that's very insulting. And

NEW YORK CITY DISTRICTING COMMISSION this is embarrassing. You said you were holding this forum here in the Music Building, in the East Dining Room. This is Lovinger Theater. What happened to the East Dining Room? Was it contaminated? (Laughter.)

You didn't even tell us before time you were changing the room. There is no excuse for that. You are supposed to tell us what's happening before time, not after. Now, hopefully, during rounds two and three, you will clean up. You get your act together. I hope you get your act together because, as I said before -- maybe I didn't say this to you before -- you need to get it together or throw in the towel and go home and quit stalling (unintelligible) over 20 years ago.

This is getting ridiculous. If I can make it to these sites early -- (timer dings) -- why can't you do the same? Again, what is with the zigzagging? What is with the zigzagging district? Are you using those zigzagging scissors?

CHAIRMAN ROMANO: Thank you.
MR. STANFORD: Go across the lines. Go by the bus corridors.

CHAIRMAN ROMANO: Thank you.

NEW YORK CITY DISTRICTING COMMISSION

MR. STANFORD: Thank you.
MR. HACKWORTH: Our next speaker will be Anthony Rivieccio, followed by Bernel-Arthur Richardson.

MR. RIVIECCIO: Good afternoon. I promise I won't get that dramatic. Since $I$ only have a few minutes, let me just get right to the point and be very procedural, if I may. My name is Anthony Rivieccio, and I am a resident in the 11th Council District, a business owner as well as local president of a local club called Northwest Bronx Democrats.

Our community district, we live in Community District 7. Community District 7, I mentioned it as a community board. Of course, we know it as a community district. In our community district, and I could actually be wrong, we have at least three City Council people in that district. Actually, I think it's four.

But, nevertheless, what $I$ would like to see in a perfect world is maybe one or two representatives per community district. I guess in a perfect world, of course, I would like to see one. If I look at this map, and I am just now pulling this out of my head, I would say that

NEW YORK CITY DISTRICTING COMMISSION
the 11th Councilman District where I live at is currently majority by Riverdale.

So, if we followed my analogy, then the 11th Councilman District would no longer be in Community District 7. It would be where it is now, Community District 8. And the councilperson of Community Council 11 would, of course, represent Community District 8.

So, who would represent Community District 7? Well, the only other councilpeople that hold a plurality in that district would be the 14th and the 15th Council Districts, the Council areas of Councilmen Fernando Cabrera and Joel Rivera.

So, again, in a perfect world, I would like to see the merger, or at least one of those other Council districts, cover the bulk of Community District 7 itself. I think, especially after what has happened in the local, State and congressional redistricting, I can't emphasize to you enough that areas such as Bedford Park, Norwood, and Kingsbridge not just need to be united, which they are not now, but they need to be united under one, community district as well as Council district. Thank you.
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CHAIRMAN ROMANO: Thank you, Mr. Rivieccio. MR. HACKWORTH: Our next speaker will be Bernel-Arthur Richardson, to be followed by Robert Press.

MR. RICHARDSON: Good evening. My name is Bernel-Arthur Richardson, and I am the executive director of Black United Leadership of the Bronx, better known as BULB. I am here tonight to speak pertaining to one particular district. And I am addressing the district, the current district, the 16th Council District.

In your opening remarks, you spoke about the need to maintain communities of common interest. The 16th District represents one of only two African-American districts in the entire county of the Bronx, one of only two. And yet, we are hearing that the possibility exists that that district will be designated, taking out some of the African-American communities and placing them somewhere else.

Now, we don't know if that's a fact. But my job tonight is to say to the Commission that it is in our interest, the African-American community, to maintain that district. We only have one other than us, and that is up in the

NEW YORK CITY DISTRICTING COMMISSION northeast. So, if it does not happen that we can have an African-American district, we will only end up with one, and from Gun Hill Road to the rest of the Bronx, there will be no African-American representation in the City Council.

That, ladies and gentlemen, would be a tragic event. It's a travesty that for all this time we have struggled to maintain that district. We have worked hard to maintain that district, and now we are concerned that that may not occur. So, my job tonight is to appeal to you as you consider drawing the lines.

Bear in mind that the Voting Rights Act specifically refers to communities of interest based on color, ethnicity, religion, and other cultural facts. Well, we combine all of that. We are prepared. My organization will be submitting to the Commission our version of a map for you to consider.

We hope that in the coming weeks, in the coming months, as the Commission continues, that our concerns will be considered, our issues will be addressed, and we look forward to working with the Commission. Thank you.
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CHAIRMAN ROMANO: Thank you, Mr. Richardson. MR. HACKWORTH: Our next speaker will be Robert Press, to be followed by John Moncreef. MR. PRESS: Thank you. My name is Robert Press. Since there are so many people on line that want to speak to you after me, I will be brief. This year, New York State went through redistricting at the Federal and State level. The State Senate and State Assembly are the ones who do this redistricting at all levels above here. They could not come together on congressional lines, and a federal judge had to do it. The State Senate, when they did their redistricting, did not help the public, but they helped themselves by creating a 63rd State Senate district or an extra State Senate district that they felt would be an extra Republican district. The Bronx has grown significantly as, according to your statistics, by over 75,000 people, which would mean the Bronx would need another half of a Council district. I see that you are an independent redistricting committee, and hope that you will be impartial, as I know a few of you members.

But there are people in the Bronx who, after
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the redistricting by the State and Federal level have a new congressman, a new State senator and a new Assembly member. I hope next year they won't have a new City Council member, too. That would mean four different officials, and none of them were voted out. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN ROMANO: Thank you, Mr. Press. MR. HACKWORTH: Our next speaker is John Moncreef, to be followed by Richard Vitacco. MR. MONCREEF: Good evening, panel. Thank you for having my presence here. I come on behalf of Assembly Member Eric Stevenson. I have a statement that he would like me to make to the panel. He wants the statement to go on record. He hopes that the 16th Council District "remains the same or, if any changes are made, they should be made in a way in which African Americans are not disenfranchised. Many African-American neighborhoods are being proposed to be cut out of the district, which is discriminatory and should be unaccepted." Assemblyman Stevenson's office. Thank you again.

CHAIRMAN ROMANO: Thank you, Mr. Moncreef. MR. HACKWORTH: Our next speaker is Richard
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 Vitacco, followed by Marie Descorbeth. Richard Vitacco?(Silence.)
Marie Descorbeth?
MS. DESCORBETH: Good afternoon to all of you on the panel. My name is Marie Descorbeth and I live in the 46th District in Brooklyn. I want to say a special hello to Ms. Roxanne. And I have been in the community since 1998. Concerning the redistricting for our community, it's to our concern that it will be an imperative to keep the district together.

We don't want to implement any change that will divide our community. As well, I want to keep our traditional service together. We don't want so many people to increase it, our community. We want to keep the community together.

We want to keep the diversity as it used to be by communicating in the area, the zoning from East 108th, by Seaview Avenue and also by Canarsie Flatlands. We want to keep that together. We want to keep only one Council district leadership.

And we want to keep our business partners.

We want our communication service to keep going. We want to keep our diversity as it used to be in the past. It is a pleasure -- it is a privilege for me to be here tonight, as I didn't have the time -- have a chance to testify at the Queens community public hearing, and wasn't able to. Thank you for the information.

CHAIRMAN ROMANO: Thank you, Ms. Descorbeth. MR. HACKWORTH: Our last registered speaker is Ms. Rachel Cyprien.

MS. CYPRIEN: Good evening. I also want to thank all of you, the panel, and everyone here, and a special thanks to Roxanne, who represents my district, District 46. And, like Marie, I didn't have a chance to attend the hearing in Queens, so I am here tonight.

And my main concern is that our district not be chopped up. We need to keep as limited individuals representing the community as possible because too many people representing a community causes division. Everyone has different ideas. When we have an issue, we don't know who to go to.

And there is a huge Haitian population in Canarsie and we need a representative, a Haitian
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representative, so that people who speak Creole have someone that they can go to if their English is not as strong as mine.

And, again, that is my main concern, that the district is being chopped up into too many people representing one district. So that's a big concern. And Canarsie doesn't have a long train line. It's the L train. So, again, we need to have one person representing the community and stop dividing the community up. That's my concern, and I hope it will be addressed. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN ROMANO: Ben, thank you. Ms. Cyprien.

MR. HACKWORTH: We will call, again, Richard Vitacco.
(Silence.)
CHAIRMAN ROMANO: We have run through all of the speakers who pre-registered or registered at the desk. Is there anyone else who would like to address the Commission? If they would, just indicate that they would, and if they could just provide our staff person with your name so we can have a record for our purposes.

MS. SIMMONS-OLIVER (from audience): Can a
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staff person please raise their hand?
CHAIRMAN ROMANO: Right there.
MS. SIMMONS-OLIVER: Thank you to the Commission for the opportunity. For those in the audience, my name is Cheryl Simmons-Oliver. I am a resident of the 12th Council District. At this time, I am going to speak to the 16th. I am also a member of the Black United Leadership of the Bronx.

The 16th Council District is the historical African-American district within Bronx County. And though we recognize the growth and the various ethnicities that have relocated to our borough, it is extremely important that the interests of the African-American community within Bronx County be preserved.

It would be a disservice to all of those who have struggled over the course of many years to establish an identity within this borough for this 16th District to be eradicated, broken up, and divided in a way that we no longer exist. And that's what we are talking about here. We would be relegated to simply having one intact Council District within the Bronx that would be fully African American.
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And, though I don't want you to feel that we would like to exclude anyone else, I think the preservation of our identity is extremely important for the children in this community, the families that are relocating to this community, and those who have been in the forefront of establishing the Civil Rights and the Voters Rights Act, which has made it possible for many of you who sit at this table to be here today. I thank you so very much.

CHAIRMAN ROMANO: Ma'am, I have a question before you leave. What neighborhoods do you view as encompassed within the area you just described?

MS. SIMMONS-OLIVER: The 16th. That would be Morrisania. That's Morrisania. That's the Claremont area. That is the Forest area.

VOICE FROM AUDIENCE: Hyde Bridge.
MS. SIMMONS-OLIVER: Hyde Bridge.
VOICE FROM AUDIENCE: Concourse Village.
MS. SIMMONS-OLIVER: Concourse Village.
CHAIRMAN ROMANO: Thank you very much.
That's helpful.
MS. SIMMONS-OLIVER: You are very welcome.
MR. HACKWORTH: We have an additional
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speaker, Emmanuel Findlay, Jr.
MR. FINDLAY: Good afternoon. Good evening. What I want to speak on was to make sure that these lines also included the up-and-coming demographics of the African-Americans, the immigrants that are coming in. We also want to make sure they are taken into account, because these are areas where we are growing, areas where we are starting to make an intact in the community. We want to make sure that we are also taken into account, not just us as the African-Americans that are already here. We want to make sure that when you guys are drawing these lines, you will take into account that we also live in these areas and we also want to be represented.

MR. ODOM: Any particular Council District you are talking about?

MR. FINDLAY: The 16th.
CHAIRMAN ROMANO: Thank you, Mr. Findlay. Is there anyone else who would like to address the Commission at this time? Yes, sir.

MR. REYES: Good evening. My name is Lennin Reyes. I am a journalism student here at Lehman. I live in the 16th Council District, but I am
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here to discuss an area in the northeast Bronx that has been hit hard by the redistricting, and that's the vicinity of the Park Side Housing Projects.

While its surrounding community is in the 13th Council District, Park Side is stuck in the 11th Council District, which is based around Riverdale.

VOICE FROM AUDIENCE: "Stuck"?
MR. REYES: And Park Side, the disparity -and this redistricting affected the ability for Park Side to obtain big funding for security cameras, which was evident when Councilman James Vacca got the money to install security cameras in the Pelham Parkway and Throgs Neck Housing Projects, which are in the 13th, yet Park Side didn't receive any.

So, if possible, it would be appropriate to place -- if Park Side Housing Projects, which are over by B, and R, now, Avenues, by Bronx Park East, in the 13th Council District. Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN ROMANO: Thank you, Mr. Reyes. Again, is there anyone else who would like to address the Commission at this time? We are
going to recess for 15 minutes to let anyone else who is on their way arrive and get settled and sign up. We will reconvene in 15 minutes and see where we are. Thank you very much. We are recessed.
(Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.)
CHAIRMAN ROMANO: Excuse me, may I have your attention. If there anyone else who wishes to speak to the Commission at this time, if they would just indicate by raising their hands, and they can be recognized.
(Silence.)
We have invited up every speaker who pre-registered or registered this evening to address the Commission. There appears to be no one else who wants to address the Commission. We will adjourn until tomorrow at 1:00 P.M. (Continued on the next page.)

The staff will remain behind in the event that someone comes later, and will receive their written statement and their name, and we will try to arrange to have them appear at another hearing. We stand adjourned until tomorrow at 1:00 P.M. Thank you.
(Whereupon, at 6:34 p.m., the above matter was concluded.)

I, JOSHUA B. EDWARDS, RPR, a Notary Public for and within the State of New York, do hereby certify that the above is a correct transcription of my stenographic notes.
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