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CHAIRPERSON ROMANO: Good evening everyone. Good evening.

And thank you for coming tonight and welcome to the second round of the New York City Districting Commission public hearings, this one in Manhattan.

My name is Benito Romano and I am the Chair of the New York City Districting Commission, and on behalf of the Commission $I$ want to thank the Director of the Schomberg Center for hosting us here tonight.

We have the facility only a few hours, and I want to make sure that we hear from everyone who has signed up and pre-registered.

For those of you who did not sign up, and wish to speak, please see the staff at the registration table outside of this room to register.

If you require a translation for your testimony, please let the staff at registration desk know. They will ensure that a translator will be provided to you when it is your turn to testify.

Before we take testimony I would like to make a few observations. At the beginning of
this month the Commission advertised in community and ethnic newspapers announcing the Commission's public hearing schedule.

An e-mail blast was sent to over 5,500 individuals and organizations, various advocacy groups helped spread the word throughout their individual networks, and the hearing schedule was publicized on our Face Book page and Twitter feed.

We will continue to find other ways to maximize community participation and interest, and it looks from today's audience like we are succeeding.

Tonight represents the second round of public hearings that the Commission will conduct throughout the City.

Over 450 people attended our first round of hearings in late August and the Commission heard from more than 131 individuals. Since then the Commission has released a preliminary draft Council district plan on September 4th that adjusted the current Council lines for population proportionality because in the last ten years many Council districts have either increased or decreased in population creating a significant
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deviation from the ideal Council district population of 160,710.

The preliminary draft plan was also posted on the Commission's Website

WWW.NYC.gov/districting, it was also displayed in public areas in Brooklyn, like such as Brooklyn's Borough Hall, the Queens Library and the District Commission offices here in Manhattan and linked to other Web sites such as the New York City Public Library.

On the same day the Commission also launched an online mapping tool to allow the public to draw Council district maps for the Commission's consideration.

The Commission's map also opened the resource room at the Commission's offices in lower Manhattan.

The resource room is available for those without access to computer terminals, who wish to draw and submit a map to the Commission or for those wishing to receive one-on-one assistance with map drawing as the resource room is staffed by Justin Bassett, our mapping associate.

The room is also, is by appointment only but it does operate past normal business hours. The Commission has received a few maps so far and we encourage you to explore the mapping tool available on our Website. You will also be able to see some of the maps submitted by some of the members of the public.

Finally, the Commission has launched a speakers bureau where an organization or group can request a staff member to talk about the decennial districting process.

So far the speakers bureau has addressed a number of civic organizations, community boards and even a grade school class.

If there is any one wishing to have a speakers bureau attend to address their group, or organization, please see Jonathan Ettricks or Carlos Carino to set up an appointment.

The Commission is eager to hear tonight the testimony and comments on the preliminary draft plan.

After tonight's hearing, and the others in the second round of hearings the Commission will consider the comments during its next scheduled public meeting on October 18th. At that meeting the Commission will direct the staff to revise the preliminary district plan in response to comments we receive during the second round.

Then at the Commission's public meeting on October 30, a revised plan reflecting the comments received will be presented to the Commission and the Commission will decide whether to adopt the plan. If the Commission adopts the plan, it will then be delivered to the City Council for its inspection and approval, which has to occur by November 5th.

According to the City Charter by November 26, the City Council must, we must submit it by -- (Inaudible) -- but according to the City Council, the City Council has until November 26th to either adopt the revised district plan at which point the plan will then be filed with the City Clerk or the Council can object and revise the district plan, at which point the plan will be returned to the Commission with the Council's comments and concerns.

If the Commission takes neither action it will be deemed adopted.

If the Council objects to the revised district plan, the Commission will then have until January 5, 2013, to create a revised plan. This will then trigger a new set of public hearings to receive comment and feedback on that revised plan.

The Commission will then have until March 5th to create, adopt and submit a final plan to the City Clerk and the U.S. Department of Justice for pre-clearance under the voting rights act.

This process is described in a flow chart that is available for you tonight, as it was during the first round of hearings. Other handouts include a copy of the preliminary draft plan, and a large map of Manhattan about the preliminary draft map lines and a listing of public participation tools that are available.

It is worth reviewing again that there are certain factors the law obligates the Commission to consider during the districting process: These include the difference in population between the least populous and the most populous districts shall not exceed 10 percent of the average population for all districts.

The plan must maintain fair and effective representation of racial and language minority groups in New York City.

The plan must keep neighborhoods and communities with established ties of common interest and association intact.

The plan must provide districts that are compact and contiguous. They must, if at all possible, keep districts within the borough, and they must avoid diminishing the effective representation of voters.

I want to also bring to your attention our Website, WWW.NYC.gov districting.

The site is the central repository of all documents and videos relating to the Districting Commission.

It also contains a schedule of our public hearings, all documents and maps submitted to the Commission, our online mapping tool, and a portal where you can pre-register for all of the hearings and be added to our mailing list.

I hope that you will all visit the site and let us know how it can be made more informative and interactive.

One final note. We have an extraordinary number of speakers registered tonight. Each of you unfortunately has to be limited to two minutes, so that we can hear from everyone. Keep in mind that we have assembled a substantial amount of data from the U.S. census, we also have
taken written submissions, and if you wish to offer one, the data we have will be important to our decision, but these hearings provide critical input as well.

Tell us about your neighborhoods, where are its natural boundaries as you see them, where should we draw the Council lines and why.

That concludes my remarks, and I would like now to have the Commissioners as is customary to introduce themselves, and we start from the far left.

MR. PATAVAN: Frank Patavan from Queens.
MS. PROVENZANO: Madeline Provenzano from the Bronx.

MR. YU: Justin Yu from Manhattan.
MR. ODOM: Oscar Odom, III, Brooklyn.
MS. LINN: Linda Lin, Queens.
MR. HART: Rob Hart from Staten Island.
CHAIRPERSON ROMANO: Benito Romano from Manhattan.

MS. WOLFE: Gloria Carvajal Wolfe from Manhattan.

MS. PERSAUD: Roxane Persaud, Brooklyn.
MR. OGNIBENE: Thomas Ognibene, Queens County.

MS. HANKS: Kamillah Hanks, Staten Island. MR. CERULLO: Scott Cerullo, Staten Island. GENERAL COUNSEL: Thaddeus Hackworth, staff to the Commission.

We are ready for our first speaker. Because of the number of speakers tonight, I will be calling the name of the speaker and then the name of the following speaker, so that the second name called can approach the microphone that is not being used, that way we will be able to get through more testimony in a timely fashion.

Our first speaker tonight will be James Hong to be followed by Daniel Marks Cohen.

A SPEAKER: Good afternoon, members and staff of the Districting Commission, chairman Romano, citizens and residents of Manhattan. My name is James Hong and I am the civic participation coordinator at the (Inaudible) Center for Community Action.

And today I speak on behalf of AACCRD, the Asian-American community coalition on re-districting and democracy.

The commission of the non partisan Pan-Asian coalition is to advance the opportunities for all Asian-Americans to have meaningful participation
in their elections.
Now before we get started, I want to first applaud the Commission on the construction and maintenance of the NYC web site, including mapping software and terminals made available to the public.

And we have been well served by the advanced notice of the hearings and materials posted online and the accessible locations and times of these public hearings.

Now, but, in the end, what this whole process comes down to is the maps. And the maps that were released in early September have been in a word disappointing for us. We are nearly in the exact same place as a community that we were in August. The Commission seemed to do little to address the vast demographic changes in our City in the last ten years, and not to mention the robust public input provided to the Commission in August.

So, looking at the map, particularly the Asian-American neighborhoods, it is as if the first round of hearings never happened, and those comments were not heard.

We know that the first draft was meant to begin the conversation, but the conversation had already been halfway finished by the time those maps came out.

So, again, in Chinatown and Lower East Side, in Richmond Hill and South Ozone Park and South Ozone Park, and Sunset Park and Bensonhurst in Elmhurst, Bayside our communities continue to be divided.

And this continues to be one of the biggest problems and challenges for the Asian-American community.

We want to recommend to the Commission again the unity map, which is the unparalleled commission, it brings together the Voting Rights Act, stipulations of the City Charter, the one-person-one-vote principle and simultaneously maintains communities of interest just like the Charter asks for.

We again recommend that to you.
And thank you this is really not a lot of time, but thank you.

THE GENERAL COUNSEL: Our next speaker will be Daniel Marks Cohen to be followed by William Stanford.

A SPEAKER: Good evening and thank you.

My name is Daniel Marks Cohen, and I am a New York City Democratic Committeeman representing the 69th Assembly District of Manhattan's upper west side and the New York State democratic committee.

The Assemblyman for the 69th is Daniel 0'Donnell.

I am a livelong resident of the upper west side, my parents live in the district, my wife and I live in the district and we are raising our newborn son, a third generation upper west-sider, as well.

The part of the upper west side that I live in, between 96 th Street and 125 th Street is the poorest portion of the 69th assembly district, it is the least served in terms of services and transportation, and has more crime.

I believe that at least one reason for its consistent and uneven status is the fact that the 69th is cut up into multiple pieces of nine different districts.

This was so -- was improved recently by the passage of federal redistricting legislation, which re-drew the two congressional districts, the 8th by representative Jerry Nadler and the

15th by representative Charley Rangel, but it was made worse by the three state Senate districts, Tom Duane, of the 29, Bill Perkins of the 30th and of the 31st.

The latter of the 31st is particularly egregious, stretching from 204th Street to 24th Street along the West Coast of Manhattan island.

It looks more like a Latin American country of Chile than a proper state senate district.

Sadly that is not your purview today, we are stuck with this absurd district for the next ten years.

The recent proposed draft of the Council lines is a modest improvement, the northern part of the area that I live in, I represent is no longer in the 8th district, it is currently represented by Council Member Melissa Mark-Viverito of East Harlem, which is a district stretching over such a large geographic area with limited resources.

The area is now split between the 7th and the 9th, Council Members Robert Jackson and Inez Dickens respectfully. The line that divides the districts and deliberately the fractures the community in a way that I can only assume as to
limit of voting power of the residents in the district, so as to not have too much sway in either the 7th, seen as Washington Heights, and the 9th, which is viewed as the West Harlem seat.

Plainly put, the northern-most district lines proposed are non-sense.

Members of my community who live in the 8th district have to go to 116th Street and Lexington Avenue for help from their Council Member is absurd and is blatantly disrespectful to divide the area between the 7th and 9th districts along such a random line.

In closing, the easiest solution is to move everything west of Central Park and Morningside Park in the south district. If this causes the 7th to exceed its capacity, move the line of the 6th district north to pick up some more additional voters.

The proposal is to keep the line more natural to keep Manhattan Valley representation on the west side where it belongs, rather than an appendage to another district, or for demographic reasons.

Thank you.
THE GENERAL COUNSEL: Our next speaker will be William Stanford, to be followed by Elisa Cose.

A SPEAKER: What you did to me on Tuesday was a blatant attack.

What you did during round two was a blatant attack.

What you did to all of us, including myself, was a blatant attack.

What you did to me here today was a blatant attack.

You owe me a damn apology, you owe it to me now. Okay.

You specifically told us the -- were between 5:30 and 9. I couldn't make it to, ah, I couldn't make it on Tuesday, due to a prior commitment.

I am not blaming you for that.
But what I am blaming you for is blunt stupidity.

You knew that I pre-registered to speak at that public hearing, okay, and I did it early, and just forgot about me.

So you did not, couldn't care less about me. I do not find that amusing.

During round one and now we are had in round
two, and I am still blasting you about your behavior.

It sounds like Gorilla Monsoon.
History has been made here and history repeats itself. That's what it sounds like. You definitely know me apology --

No reason why you couldn't keep the public hearing open until 9 and accommodate me. But you chose to neglect me instead. I hope you are satisfied with the damage you caused.

Okay. And again, let's see what happened here earlier today.

This public hearing was supposed to start at 5:30, you did not tell us where exactly you would hold the public hearing, which is inconsiderate.

When I discovered where you were holding it, I told, I was told that you couldn't answer, I couldn't -- you were still organizing, after 5, under 30 minutes before bell time, which is the same careless mistake that you made during round one.

Apparently, having learned anything from round one, so you are making the same careless mistake during round two.

Isn't that wonderful?

You like to make careless mistakes?
Nasty attacks. I will orchestrate nasty attacks, too.

Treat that as a learning experience.
That's what you need is a learning experience, and a reality check.

THE GENERAL COUNSEL: Thank you.
Our next speaker will be Elisa Cose, to be followed by Maya Nicklaus.

A SPEAKER: My name is Elisa Cose, and I am almost ten years old and a fifth grade student at PS 163 on West 97th Street. I am here to testify in support of Councilwoman Melissa Mark-Viverito.

I want the Commission to think about how it will affect our school if our district is moved from our community.

Council Melissa fights for New York City public schools.

She does a lot with our after school program called LEAP. LEAP helps many parents who work late. It is funded by other grants, the PTA and --
(Inaudible)
Last year LEAP lost a \$10,000 grant, and because of that LEAP had to cut back the programs
starting much later in the year. That was very hard for everyone that depends on LEAP, particularly working parents that need an affordable and safe after school program.

The Council Member for our school, know that puts students first.

We have no idea whether the next City Council Member will be as dedicated or even care about me and the other students at my school.

Melissa also helps fund us -- she understands that we need things like computers if we are to do our best. Nobody can guarantee that school budgets won't be cut. But Council Member Melissa has helped to reduce the harm that has come to us with the budget cuts. She is a great leader that wants children to strive, she cares about our future. She also cares about public safety.

She is the one that got us our crossing guard who greets us every day as we go to school. 97th Street and Columbus Avenue is a very dangerous intersection, and when it was asked for a cross guard, they were repeatedly told no.

Melissa fought hard and finally got a Yes, and because of the program it is a much safer
community.
Neighborhoods are supposed to be kept together. The Commission proposed plan breaks up the district in a way that is harmful to our community.

I hope that you will consider what I have said, and keep Melissa as our City Council representative.

Thank you.
(Applause).
THE GENERAL COUNSEL: Our next speaker will be Maya Nicklaus to be followed by Sofia Krulivitch.

A SPEAKER: Hello, my name is Maya Nicklaus. I am in the fifth grade at PS 163. I am testifying in support of Council Member Melissa Mark-Viverito. I don't want the districts to be changed so that she is not our Councilwoman because she has done so many good things for our district, like crossing guards, after school programs and public housing.

If our district is changed we may lose a lot of important things for our district.

Our new Council Member may not be as understanding and helpful to our community.

One of the things that Melissa Mark-Viverito has done for our community is get us a crossing guard. A few years ago they were building buildings around the corner from my school.

There was a lot of traffic and big delivery trucks delivering supplies to the stores.

The Columbus Avenue intersection on the east side of West 97th Street was very dangerous, a lot of kids and parents were crossing there to get to school.

It was putting them in danger, the parents asked for a crossing guard, but the Police Department refused. The Police Department said it was a safe intersection but they were looking at records that were ten years old, from before the intersection was busy.

The parents told Ms. Melissa Mark-Viverito, so she asked for a crossing guard. At first they still said no, but she fought hard and finally got us a crossing guard.

Now it is safe for us to walk to school.
Ms. Melissa Mark-Viverito also helped us to fund the school's after school program, LEAP. LEAP is a safe place for kids to go if their parents can't pick them up right after school.

It is very important for a lot of the kids at our school.

If LEAP was taken away, they wouldn't have any where to go after school. These are just two examples of the great things that Ms. Melissa Mark-Viverito does for us.

If she stays as our Councilwoman she can continue doing good things for us. Thank you. (Applause).

THE GENERAL COUNSEL: Our next speaker will be Sophia Krulivitch, to be followed by Isaac Gluck.

A SPEAKER: Dear members of the New York City Districting Commission, I am Sofia Krulivitch, a fifth grader at PS 163 and I am here today to tell you why it is important for Melissa Mark-Viverito to continue to be our City Council woman.

It is important for her to keep representing PS 163 because she has proven that she cares about our school and the students.

Several years ago, parents from PS 163 told her about the intersection, and there was a huge construction site putting kids and parents in danger.

Our parents were told by the community board and the Police Department that the intersection was not qualified for a crossing guard.

Ms. Melissa Mark-Viverito listened to our worried parents and came to the intersection to see for herself. She saw that many of our classmates were in danger when they went to school every morning.

Melissa went to go see the intersection for herself, and she agreed that it needed a crossing guard and it was dangerous for kids to cross the street. Ms. Melissa Mark-Viverito fought very hard for a crossing guard. Thanks to her, many PS 163 students can get to school safely.

I ask you to please keep our school and Councilwoman Melissa Mark-Viverito in the district.

We need her to keep fighting for our students and school safety.

Thank you.
(Applause).
THE GENERAL COUNSEL: Our next speaker will be Isaac Gluck, to be followed by Henry Calderon.

A SPEAKER: Good evening.
Thank you for giving me the opportunity to speak in this public hearing.

I am here to testify on behalf of Melissa Mark-Viverito, and why we shouldn't replace her.

My name is Isaac Gluck and I am 9 years old, and I am a fifth grade student of PS 163, the Alfred E. Smith school. I play soccer and baseball as well as violin. I am interested in playing professional sports and possibly being a doctor like my dad.

I have 3 reasons why Melissa Mark-Viverito should stay as our District Councilwoman.

In 2010, construction workers were building stores and markets in the area we call Columbus Square from 97th Street to 99th Street, on Columbus and Amsterdam. PS 163 is right next door.

This is a busy intersection with cars jammed up, and with an even bigger problem with construction.

School parents spoke up and asked the NYPD for a crossing guard. They said no. We spoke about it with Melissa Mark-Viverito and she asked.

And she then asked the NYPD for a crossing guard, like we did. The first time they said No, and said it was because no one had been hit by a car or truck.

Melissa fought hard and one day they put a crossing guard at the intersection. That day was a big change for the school, it made us all much safer.

Ms. Viverito helped fund LEAP.
LEAP is an after school program that allows kids, whose parents cannot pick them up, stay in the safe environment where they have activities which keep them happy and excited. They also have time and space to do their homework.

Melissa Viverito worked hard to get this program. This helps kids in different ways, including the cost of baby-sitters. When she raised money for this LEAP program, Melissa took a giant LEAP forward for our community and the kids.

Melissa Mark-Viverito helped fund money so that we could get new computers. Or computers are old, and new ones cost a lot of money. Our school did not have as much money as we needed, so Melissa Mark-Viverito, she got the funding that we needed and now we have our new computers. We use our computers so that kids can learn how to type and search interesting facts.

We also will be using them to teach computer programming to kids. Thanks to Melissa Mark-Viverito we have helpful items and helpful people for these reasons.

I think we need to keep her as our District Councilwoman.

Thank you again and have a good evening. (Applause).

THE GENERAL COUNSEL: Our next speaker will be Henry Calderon, to be followed by Rebecca Mayfield.

A SPEAKER: Never follow a child. Good evening, Chairperson Romano and Commission members.

My name is Henry Calderon, and I am the Chairperson of the East Harlem Chamber of Commerce, I am also the owner of a small business in East Harlem. I was born and raised in East Harlem and I have worked here all my life.

I was a member of the previous Districting Commission in the year 2002, 2003, and I was privileged to service as Chair of that Commission.

I appreciate the difficult task that you have before you: You need to implement a simple
but crucial concept, one-person-one-vote.
You need to ensure that minority groups are fairly represented.

There are many council districts in the City, which minority groups constitute a numerical minority. This is when one looks at communities of interest in order to have equitable district lines.

What are the criteria for community of interest?

Language is one, culture is another. Geography is important as well. Another one is having the same landlord.

People who have the same landlord can certainly have shared experiences, especially when the landlord is the City of New York.

The New York City Housing Authority has more apartments in East Harlem than any other part of the City, and having district lines that meander through our housing projects creating artificial boundaries only adds to the many problems that already exist to the housing projects.

The people of the South Bronx and East Harlem share a similar history. The majority of the residents speak the same language and listen to the same music. Many shop at La Marqueta, and have picnics in the South Bronx St. Mary's Park. We share more than just the number 6 train. We share heart and soul. It is possible that someone just looks another a map and doesn't know the people that live there and could take away La Marqueta from its people.

This is why we look to the Commission to correct this error and put La Marqueta back into CD \#8.
(Applause).
Having closed the map, which we call deep Harlem, South Bronx, of People United.

Thank you.
(Applause).
THE GENERAL COUNSEL: Our next speaker will be Rebecca Mayfield to be followed by Melissa Mark-Viverito.

A SPEAKER: My name is Rebecca Mayfield and I attend school 163.

I am a supporter of Melissa Mark-Viverito because I believe that she has made a lot of helpful changes in my school and the community.

For example, she designated funds for my
school's after school program called LEAP. I am the daughter of a single working mother so LEAP is a safe environment for me to hang out until she can pick me up.

If this affordable program is cut, kids will not have a good place to hang out after school.

And in addition to this, Melissa VIverito made sure that the dangerous intersection that I use near the school had a crossing guard, making it easy and safe for kids like me to get to school.

If you take away Melissa, you are also splitting up the community, and she is a part of it just like you and me.

These are not just words of a 10-year old girl, but these are the words of the community.

We will speak as a community, we will fight as a community, because we want to stay as a community.

Thank you.
(Applause).
THE GENERAL COUNSEL: Our next speaker is Council Member Melissa Mark-Viverito --
(Applause).
-- to be followed by Julius Tajiddin.

A SPEAKER: Commission members, if I could ask: I actually came to listen for a little bit. I know that I preregistered, but I would like to maybe wait a couple more minutes while I hear the other testimony and testify later.

Thank you.
THE GENERAL COUNSEL: So, our next speaker will be Julius Tajiddin, to be followed by Herman Francis.

A SPEAKER: Section two of the Voting Rights Act, as amended in 1982, largely controls how district lines can be drawn.

It prohibits any procedure that results in the denial or abridgement of anyone's right to vote, based on race, color or minority language status.

And it prohibits laws or practices that deny minority voters an equal opportunity to participate in the political process and to elect representatives of their choice.

The black electorate of West Harlem is protected under section two.

According to the Center of American Research as of 2010, according to the U.S. census, West Harlem has approximately 60,000
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African-Americans, 52,000 so-called Hispanics and 32,000 whites.

The Commission's redistricting plan and even a plan I read recently, the upper Manhattan plan does not consider this data, which would make such plans defective and unconstitutional on their face.

The majority, section 5 of the Voting Rights Act also addresses discrimination, but works a little differently. It includes covering changes to district lines.

New district plans will only be pre-cleared if they are not intended to dilute racial and language minority votes, and leave racial and language minority voters no worse off than they were before redistricting, using old district lines now but new population data.

The Commission's redistricting plan leaves the black voters of West Harlem way worse than they are now.

Other plans do the same.
The black vote in West Harlem will be diluted, and puts pockets of the black community volnerable to predators.
(Applause)
-- for Justice, the Guide to Redistricting of 2010 edition, it was determined that because Barack Obama had been carved out of a Congressional District, that he previously ran for back in 2000, such redistricting was unfair. Why? That is because Obama was won more than 30 percent of the vote against incumbent Bobby Rush and such showing after relatively hasty campaigning, set the stage for um, a real potential rematch.

Similarly, I ran against Robert Jackson in 2009, for his councilmanic seat in District 7, although I lost there is an inclination that I would run again in 2013 because he will be termed out and I demonstrate strong possibility of winning that vacant seat.

However, the Commission's proposed map carves me out of district not by blocks, but by cutting through an ally and going around the block where I live.

Moreover, the Commission's redistrict weakens the black electorate in District 7 and creates a reformed district that would make the minority white electorate in the West Harlem area the majority by adding to District 7 the upper west side.

Notwithstanding federal law, the New York City Charter at section 52 sets the criteria for redistricting, and includes keeping intact neighborhoods and communities with racial, economic, ethnic, religious and cultural ties.

THE GENERAL COUNSEL: Thank you. We will need to continue. Remember that when you go over time, it makes it so that your neighbor may not be able to testify.

A SPEAKER: I am almost finished. I have a couple more line.

The subculture of Harlem is such a community. It ignores that Harlem has a black American history culture and style that is even more connected by spirituality and economy. This connection has been intact for over 100 years.

Harlem includes West Harlem, but for purposes of districts West Harlem must remain intact in District 7.
(Applause).
THE GENERAL COUNSEL: Thank you.
A SPEAKER: Some of these plans, including the Commission's, makes District 7 like a railcar which that is also a violation of section 52 of the New York City Charter.

THE GENERAL COUNSEL: Thank you. The next speaker is Herman Francis, to be followed by Margaret Fung.

A SPEAKER: Good evening, Commissioners. Yes. I spoke.

I am a long time Bronx resident, former member of Community Board 1, also a former school board member.

Yes, you have made my case, when you talked about the Voting Rights Act, on Tuesday night, about the lack of morality and the decision making process.

The Voting Rights Act came out directly because of the 15 th and 19 th amendments of the U.S. Constitution.

Non of you females could vote in the 1920s, because of the 15th amendment which gave black males which -- did not give black females the right to vote. Everybody that come here has benefited from the 13th, 14th, 15th amendments of the Constitution, which the Voting Rights Act derived from because of the lack of moral behavior for those who made decisions to enforce the 13th and 19th amendments of the Constitution.

The division of the 8th district where $I$ live at in the Bronx is solely because of the distribution of goods and services, pitting one side against the other.

The key to this is the ED, electoral district -- county lines, assembly districts.

And the big fight will be the congressional and state districts within the county lines.

But yet you decide to split the City Council line, solely to fuel the real estate industry.

Integration is legal.
Our ancestors fought hard and long in this struggle to put us in the position we are in today right now.
(Applause)
And we intend to make the -- into a fight for --

This is a decision-making process. As one who got drafted 45 years ago by the U.S. military to dodge bullets for the policy of the U.S. government, the policy you have now borders on worse than what Hitler and Mussolini put in Germany and Italy.
(Applause)
I am telling you this right now: Even though you were appointed, you still short-handed up there because some of you are not taking your responsibilities seriously enough.

Yet there is a city-wide election next year where we intend to make sure that we put some of you on the cheese line and make you understand what it is to earn a living.

You all have a very blessed year.
(Applause).
THE GENERAL COUNSEL: Our next speaker is, (Applause) our next speaker is Margaret Fung to be followed by Gloria Anne Kerstein.

A SPEAKER: Hello. My name is Margaret Fung and I am executive director of the Asian-American Legal Defense and Education Fund.

We are a 38 year old organization that defends the civil rights of Asian-Americans through litigation, advocacy, education and organizing.

We were involved in the 1991 redistricting process by submitting testimony and proposed maps when the Council was increased from 35 to 51 seats, and we testified again in 2002.

This year with our partners, Latino Justice, the National Institute for Latino Policy and the

Center for Law and Social Justice at Medgar Evers College, we submitted a full 51 district map to this Commission.

Now, unfortunately, we are disappointed that the Commission's preliminary map, which was released on September 4th, failed to take into account many of the statements made by advocates and community residents who took the time out to testify at the first round of public hearings in August.

In Manhattan, Brooklyn and Queens, Asian-Americans repeatedly pointed out that the changing demographics of our City required, required changes that would allow impossible to keep Asian-American neighborhoods together within single district. Every other community of color in New York City is facing the same kinds of issues.

So, look in Queens, the south Asian and Indo-Caribbean communities, the Richmond Hill and South Ozone Park continue to be split in half.

In Brooklyn, Bensonhurst Brooklyn with the growing Chinese- American community, our neighborhood continues to be split among four council districts.

And in Lower Manhattan, districts 1 and 2, they were created in 1991 as Asian-Latino opportunity district and now they are both predominantly white.

District 1 is 45 percent white, and the Asian-American population has dropped to 36 percent in Chinatown.

In district 2 it is now 57 percent white with only 20 percent Latino and 11 percent Asian-American residents.

It is possible to create an Asian-Latino coalition district to preserve minority representation in Lower Manhattan in the coming decade, and without pitting incumbents against each other, so this hasn't been considered.

So we urge the Commission to take a closer look at the Unity Map that follows the legal criterion of one-person-one-vote, the Voting Rights Act and the City Charter.

Thank you.
(Applause).
THE GENERAL COUNSEL: Our next speaker is Gloria Kerstein to be followed by Plot Eggers.

A SPEAKER: I am Gloria Kerstein, and I am the President of the Duke Ellington Boulevard

Neighborhood Association.
The Commission Members up there know where Duke Ellington Boulevard is?

No?
West 106th Street where the Duke lived many years ago, and it is in the heart of Manhattan Valley, which is the very unique community that is right now being split in half by the current proposal from you, the Commission.

Manhattan Valley has struggled for many years as a primarily minority immigrant neighborhood from the time that my grandmother came in 1911 from Ireland when the neighborhood was the Kellys and the Cohens, the Irish and German Jewish and then it became Puerto Rico and Dominican, and now primarily still Dominican and Latin, as a whole from 110th down to 100, West 110th, West 100th from Broadway to Central Park West.

We struggled as a community 30 years ago when I first came there, because we had a "king" in our neighborhood called crack.

And I want you to just try to visualize 15 different block associations and neighborhood associations and coops from the Manhattan Valley
area, which included small blocks west of Amsterdam to Broadway, 109 where Obama once lived, 107 and 108, along Duke Ellington Boulevard, down to north of Central Park, a multiblock association -- I want you to envision 17 years ago us boarding a bus because we had a hotel which rented rooms by the hour, 52 units, to the drug trade so people could smoke up their crack.

We boarded the bus and went up to Scarsdale to the owner's home to protest the fact that his hotel was a boom to the drug trade and we in Manhattan Village we angry and wanted that hotel closed down.

I want you to envision the entire neighborhood getting on the buses and going up there.

And now you are splitting us up.
By the way, those buses included Frederick Douglas Houses, and the tenant association leaders there, I wanted you to see that to break the spine of Manhattan Valley does not address the struggle that we had to bring our neighborhood out of crack, make it a safe and good neighborhood that you should not break this
up.
(Applause)
Melissa Mark-Viverito supported us in our efforts.

And we want Melissa Mark-Viverito to continue with us, because she knows what it means to face these struggles.

Thank you.
(Applause).
THE GENERAL COUNSEL: Our next speaker is
Ptol Eggers, to be followed by Blanca Vasquez.
A SPEAKER: Ptol Edgars is a student at PS 163, and speaking for Ptol as a colleague is Mei Gellert.

A SPEAKER: Hello ladies and gentlemen.
My name is Mei Gellert and I am in the fifth grade at PS 163 in Melissa Mark-Viverito's district.

Please pay attention to me because I have something important to say.
(Applause).
I am here because I want you to know that my school district needs Melissa as a councilwoman. She looked after our safety and gave a voice when it was needed.

We also need her to keep fighting for the support of our community.

On 97th Street there is a great need for safety. The big complex was getting built.

Most of us to fought to get a crossing guard so that no one would get hurt, by the big trucks transporting materials.

To this day, the crossing guard protects us and a mechanism was installed to add extra time to cross the street.

I am really lucky to have a parent who can pick me up after school, but I have friends that attend the after school program.

This is a safe place where kids can play and do their homework until their parents can come up and pick them up.

Imagine if we get someone new to represent our district, they may not consider finding the money to fund our after school program.

We need a representative that will continue to find the resources that will keep LEAP leaping.

Ms. Viverito continues to work on many issues that support our community. She supports people that need housing and tries to get to know
our community.
Melissa took the time to visit us at our school. We asked her very hard questions about her job and our government -- not once, not twice, but three times.

If it is not broken, don't try to fix it. Thank you.

THE GENERAL COUNSEL: Our next speaker is Blanca Vasquez, to be followed by Peggy Morales.

A SPEAKER: It is an honor to follow you.
I am going to make three points.
I have been living in Manhattan Valley 96 to 110th Street for over 30 years.

I am the co-chair of the Manhattan Valley Preservation Coalition. It is a very unique neighborhood, it is a neighborhood -- we have rich neighbors on Riverside Drive and we have projects.

It is a mixed income neighborhood, it is one of the few that still remains in Manhattan.

We also had been a very successfully organized neighborhood and we are really concerned that this is why or at least part of why these lines are drawn.

We were successful in -- after the Extel
building built a -- you know, that actually, you could see from anywhere in the neighborhood, and it was out of character with our community.

Manhattan Valley organized to curtail that kind of run-away development, so that we were long process with the community board and a lot of the housing groups, this is a neighborhood that has a very strong history of housing, it goes back to the '60s and '70s, a lot of HDFCs which I live in, right -- low income non-profit housing.

We were able to keep tall buildings down to 12 stories on the big avenues and small buildings down to seven stories on side streets.

You don't do that without a lot of organization.

In other words, our little piece of Manhattan, Manhattan Valley succeeded in curtailing high-rise development.

Now we get lines that destroy us, that split us in half, that takes the community that is well organized, and the people have long-term relationships: Tenant associations, HDFCs, large garden groups.

I mean everybody joined in this effort. We
feel like we were being punished for having succeeded.

So we are concerned with what you have done to us. Attached just to a richer community below us, the south of us and taken the north of us and actually attached us to Columbia University. I am a graduate of Columbia, you know, but they are over delving.
(Applause).
THE GENERAL COUNSEL: The building security has asked that if those who are sitting, standing in the aisles could take seats, they would very much appreciate that.

Our next speaker is Peggy Morales, to be followed by Rachael Fauss.

A SPEAKER: Good evening members of the Council, members of the community.

My name is Peggy Morales and I am the district leader for the 68th assembly district, part B, and I am also the state committee woman for the 68th assembly, and I am here because this is personal, this is personal to all of us.

This is not just a political ploy, this cannot be a political ploy, this can't be a map that was drawn, you know, because we needed 160,000 people here or 160,000 people there, in order to fill the gap and make sure that all of us have what we need.

At the end of the day, I am a resident of East Harlem, I was born in East Harlem, I was raised in East Harlem.

And I am the third generation of Puerto Ricans to immigrate into the East Harlem community.

My grandmother and my mother and myself, and all of the members of my family for as long as we can remember shopped at La Marqueta, which is specifically what $I$ am here to address and talk about.
(Applause.)
A SPEAKER: La Marqueta, to the East Harlem community, whether you are Puerto Rican, Mexican, African-American, or anyone else, is part of our history, part of our culture, part of what we call our own historic icon.

It is part of the very fabric of what makes the East Harlem community the East Harlem community El Barrio.

It is because of La Marqueta that so many of us have grown, have come together, have shared, and have now become attached to it in a way that is personal. It is not only the music, it is not only the sounds, it is the smells, it is the taste, it is everything about La Marqueta that reminds you that you are in a place that is like no other in the City of New York, which is El Barrio, East Harlem.

And we will ask and fight this Commission in necessary and anyone else that attempts to take it out of the East Harlem community, out of district 8, under Melissa Mark-Viverito.

Thank you for your time.
(Applause.)
THE GENERAL COUNSEL: Our next speaker will be Rachael Fauss, to be followed by Yma Rodriguez.

A SPEAKER: Good evening, members of the Commission.

My name is Rachael Fauss, and I am the policy and research manager of Citizens Union, a non-partizan good government, dedicated to making democracy work for all New Yorkers.

I first wanted to thank you for doing some steps to improve the transparency of the Commission, through your Website and offering online software. We met with the staff of about this and appreciate the work that you have done on that.

I will get right to the recommendations that I have.

I have more detailed testimony that I will leave with you.

First, districts should be corrected to ensure proper representation, an opportunity for minority groups to elect candidates of their choice, where the population and other important redistricting criteria such as protecting communities of interest with support in the creation of majority minority districts.

In Manhattan, specifically the Commission should look at increasing Asian representation in district 1, by looking to neighboring lower areas in Manhattan.

In Brooklyn, the Commission should examine creating more cohesive districts for Latinos and Asian-Americans in Brooklyn, looking at population centers in Bensonhurst and Sunset Park.

In Queens the Commission should look at increasing the ability of Latinos and

Asian-Americans to the candidates of their choice, specifically looking at Elmhurst and Jackson Heights.

And in the Bronx, as the district 8 is currently drawn, it under-represents Manhattan.

The districts have a deviation of over 4 percent, meaning that they are over populated.

And we think that this should be corrected by putting more of district 8 back into Manhattan.

In Staten Island, district 49 should be more compact and better aligned with existing neighborhood boundaries.

Specifically, looking at the size of the district, we think that the Commission should try to narrow it to as much as possible to be within one percent of the ideal size of the district.

We also wanted to let you know that we consider -- (inaudible) -- Staten Island having three full districts is a positive one.

Lastly, we have urged the Commission to release a score card that lists the criteria used in proposing the districts.

It will be helpful for New Yorkers to have greater details regarding the adherence to
criterion of the Charter so it can be known what factors were used in balancing the districts.

And we are going to present further testimony next week.

Thank you.
(Applause)
THE GENERAL COUNSEL: Our next speaker is Yma Rodriguez to be followed by Viveca Diaz. Yma Rodriguez of the Puerto Rico Unidos.
(Pause)
Okay. To be followed by Viveca Diaz. (Pause)

So, when you hear your name called, if you can make your way down towards the microphones, so that you are ready to be next. That would be appreciated.

A SPEAKER: Okay. Good evening. My name is Viveca Diaz, and I am a resident of El Barrio East Harlem. (Applause)

And thank you.
Land, money, votes.
Votes, land, money.
What does thou see?
Hopefully you do not see us, the people of El Barrio as trees.

Hopefully we will be seen as a recognized community who fights together for their land, El Barrio.

Hopefully, we will be recognized for all of the new and old money coming in, because our next big marketplace La Marqueta, once again, is on 116th and Park Avenue.
(Applause).
Hopefully, we will be recognized for our faithful voting power: Land, money, votes, votes land, money.

But what does thou see?
(Applause).
THE GENERAL COUNSEL: Do we have Yma Rodriguez?

A SPEAKER: My name is Yma Rodriguez.
THE GENERAL COUNSEL: To be followed by Raul Reyes.

A SPEAKER: Yes.
My name is Yma Rodriguez.
And I am with Puerto Rican Unidos, and we brought over close to 1,000 signatures from community residents who would like to see East Harlem stay East Harlem.

We want the Commission to follow their, the

Charter and make sure that this community stays intact. I not only represent Puerto Ricans in this community but all Latinos. We deserve to keep our market -- La Marqueta is an icon for Puerto Ricans in East Harlem and throughout the world.

And I think that we need to be respected. (Applause).

Puerto Rican Unidos supports the map put out by the unity coalition, and we would like to see that map being considered.

And we are asking that you guys do your job and keep this community intact. We deserve that. Thank you.
(Applause).
THE GENERAL COUNSEL: Our next speaker is Raul Reyes, to be followed by Council Member Ydanis Rodriquez.

A SPEAKER: Could I please have a translator.

THE GENERAL COUNSEL: We will have a translator come down.

So for those of you who need interpreters, if you can let them know at the desk, they can have one available for you. Our staff will get
you an interpreter.
Stay there if -- we will move on to the Council Member and then you will be called next.

A SPEAKER: Can I have my own translator?
THE GENERAL COUNSEL: Is that her? All right. Raul Reyes.

A SPEAKER: (Through a Spanish Interpreter). Good afternoon, members of the Commission. We again find our bottom for the El Barrio.

I don't know what is this idea, where you get it from, but this is not acceptable for El Barrio.

I don't speak English but I, because I eat rice and beans and no hamburgers.

I don't know how many of you members know El Barrio, know La Marqueta. The points that, to remove our important and you cannot take it from El Barrio.
(Applause.)
111th, that belongs to HPD, the next year it will be, that belong to the HPD department, and it will be in construction until next year.

The three million that are coming, if we can tolerate that we lost the three million that come for La Marqueta.

Okay. What Raul is saying is a lot of the areas that have been taken out of our district, primarily 111th street and other properties, are key properties to our district that will be developed or there are plans to develop, HPD has plans to develop these and there are interests who want these properties and they should stay in El Barrio.

THE GENERAL COUNSEL: We move to move on to our next speaker.

A SPEAKER: (Speaking in English).
My translator was not doing a good job. Sorry.

A SPEAKER: (Through a Spanish Interpreter) The translator was not up to par, so he needs to have more time.

Basically, what Raul is saying is that the terminal at 127th Street, which belongs to East Harlem, is another area that wants to be, that is important in our district that has been taken out and that he feels should be in our district.

We feel. What Raul is saying is that this Commission, historically or is, is putting, pitting community against community, they are pitting us against the Bronx and they are pitting
us against Central Harlem and we will not tolerate that.
(Applause).
Basically, Raul is saying that he is --
Oh, my God, it couldn't have been said better.

Basically, what Raul is saying is we are not tolerating this, he is a longstanding, he is a 55 year resident of East Harlem, and this is, this is a political game, basically.
(Speaking in Spanish).
(Applause)
THE GENERAL COUNSEL: There are others waiting to be testifying.

A SPEAKER: (Speaking in English) Let me finish.

Look back, in the elections that East Harlem -- we decided that, -- we decided that, nobody else.

Six years the district -- who will be --
THE GENERAL COUNSEL: Thank you.
(Applause)
A SPEAKER: (Speaking in English).
We are not playing games with this issue. We are supporting the district as it is, always.

THE GENERAL COUNSEL: We have to have other speakers.

A SPEAKER: (Through a Spanish Interpreter) I think he said it best.

THE GENERAL COUNSEL: Our next speaker is Council Member Ydanis Rodriquez.

A SPEAKER: Thank you.
First of all I would like to thank the Commission for the great work that you have been assigned to do.

Second, I would like to say, to say and show everyone, that last week, the Black Asian and Latino Caucus, in a meeting we passed the resolution making it the public statement calling for the Commission to guarantee that the Black, Asian and Latino empowerment does not get reduced, diminished in our City.
(Applause)
You know, we are the great City, we have great nation.

As a former social study teacher I would never know when I started teaching that I will share with my former students that the first person who settled in New York City was a Dominican, who came in 1613, a Latino became the
first immigrant that settled our island.
When we see the exhibition here, you know to be more aware that in 1862, the majority of the population of this nation, 80 percent were black. Suddenly, also when I was elected, I had the great privilege and opportunity to serve with my colleague, Melissa Mark-Viverito, and the rest of my colleagues.

In a Council Member that for the first time black, Asian and Latino made the majority 27 out of 51.
(Applause).
A SPEAKER: I ask each member of the Commission to look to the audience.

This is what New York City is right now, a City that is composed of majority by black, Asian and Latino, and working together, with -brothers and sisters, we have the opportunity to be sure that at the end of this process, that the black vote and Asian vote does not get diluted.

That is what I am calling you here today, and that is what $I$ expect to see.
(Applause).
A SPEAKER: I finished saying that I am really disappointed in how the line really
changed in El Barrio and taking the La Marqueta out of Melissa Mark-Viverito's district, how this --also, had been reviewed by 76 percent voting population, to 63 percent, even my district office, at 177th and Wadsworth has been taken out, removed from this.

So, I hope that at the end of this process and we will be able to make those changes, and I hope that this will be mainly composed of one -Ft. Tryon Park to Inwood and 7, keeping it basically as it is now.

I submitted a map to the Chairman of the Executive Commission, and I hope that we will work together with Melissa, the Unity Map and the black and Latinos to be sure that each different ethnic group vote does not get diluted.

Thank you.
THE GENERAL COUNSEL: Our next speaker is Agnes Rivera to be followed by Council Member Inez Dickens.

A SPEAKER: Hi, my name is Agnes Rivera, and I am with Community Voices Heard, known as CVH.

And just to let you know, I am a member and a leader of my area in district 8 and I am very proud to live in the East Harlem and also being
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You are destroying history, culture, you are not taking any opportunity to say: Would you do this to Little Italy, would you do this to Chinatown? Would you do this to anybody else? (Applause).

Latinos, I am a Latina, and I am proud. Shame on you, shame on you to take this moment as a political place. Shame on you.

This is not what history is supposed to be like.

History is culture. History is love. History is unity.

History is not destroying that what is built from my grandchildren, that sit in this arena listening to all of us and shame that you have to have little children to save their district, to speak to you.

How dare you? You will not destroy us.
We are down with the Unity. We are down with the Unity Map. And we want that.

Extend it to Third Avenue.
I will help her. I will help her to door-knock and do whatever it needs to be done, but don't destroy La Marqueta, don't destroy my
(Applause)
Don't destroy. How dare you.
(Unanimous shouts from the audience: Shame On You, Shame On You)

THE GENERAL COUNSEL: Our next speaker is a Council Member, our next speaker is Council Member Inez Dickens to be followed by -(Applause) -- to be followed by Belina Anderson.

A SPEAKER: Good evening to the Districting Commission and the members of my community and all communities that are here today.
(Applause)
The critical nature of the districting process is not lost on anyone in this room.

We have come here to say to you that we know that districting will affect the future of our community for the next decade.

Today I am no doubt speaking to you as a senior member of the New York City Council, representing the district that we currently sit in, but I am also speaking to you as someone that has lived in upper Manhattan, in Harlem, my entire life.

I am speaking as someone that has participated in shaping and fostering the vitality of our communities, its political vitality, its social vitality, its culture vitality.

Moreover, I have owned and operated a business in this community, a business that depends upon the deep knowledge of the constituent communities and demographic patterns that make up upper Manhattan. I can say with the assurance of multiple generations that $I$ know this robust and dynamic part of the City and maybe better than you do.

And it is with that deep knowledge and experience that $I$ can say that the Districting Commission's first map does not reflect the reality of any of our communities.

And at first glance $I$ was disappointed in the map because it appeared to ignore obvious natural boundaries, like the large cliff that separates the Polo Grounds and Rangel houses from Washington Heights, that connects those developments to Central Harlem in the valley.

I was disappointed that the Commission map ignored the obvious and more importantly simple demarcation that Amsterdam Avenue constitutes between 125th Street, all the way to 155th Street; and was disappointed that Commission's proposal ignored the desires of the community between 96th and 110th Street on the west side to be represented by one elected official that could be -- Applause) -- accountable to their needs, instead of straight across multiple elected.

It was damn disappointing that La Marqueta, an important institution in East Harlem would be irrationally drawn into my district.
(Applause)
But, quite frankly, nothing was more disappointing than the manner in which the proposed plan encodes a cynicism pushed by some disparaged element, in our communities that Latinos and African-Americans cannot work together to elect a representative of the community choosing; a proposed plan that assumes that Latino is just Latino and ignores the rich national identities that distinguish Puerto Ricans, the Dominicans, Mexicans and Columbians to name just a few.
(Applause)
A SPEAKER: We reject the cynicism of a plan that cracks the Dominican community in half at

I95 and asserts that the Dominican community south of 195 has more in common with the Upper West Side than with the other parts of Washington Heights, currently represented by that same elected official.

But that disappointment was at first glance, because I was thankfully informed by the Commission that this was merely their first draft, and I know that they are going to make some changes.
(Applause).
A SPEAKER: But their first draft was produced looking solely at census numbers, indiscriminate of the particulars of all of our communities.

I was informed that the testimony provided tonight would guide any further attempts to draw the maps and those maps would undoubtedly and had better be a more accurate depiction of our communities.

After closely examining the rational that produced the proposed maps by the Commission, we are here today to describe to you what we are calling the Upper Manhattan Empowerment Districting Plan, the plan corrects the disappointments that $I$ have just described to you.

Let's talk some boundaries, and we can also talk numbers, because $I$ gave that in as part of my testimony.

Because I know you don't go by emotions, you know by numbers, and I dealt with numbers.
(Applause)
You go by numbers.
Our plan suggests these boundaries, the district boundaries of 9th councilmanic district should be Amsterdam and Morningside Avenues to the west, and 110 Street to the south, 155th Street, and my Polo Grounds and Rangel Houses to the north and Lexington Avenue and Madison Avenues to the east, as it is now, and not an invasion into East Harlem.
(Applause)
The district boundaries of the 7th councilmanic district -- and the reason I am saying about the 7 th and maybe the $8 t h$, and the 10th, is because when you draw lines for your, my own district, it impacts upon my neighbors.

So I cannot, it would be remiss if I did not discuss that.
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The district boundaries of the 7th councilmanic district should have a contiguous border along the Hudson River --
(Prompting by the General Counsel)
A SPEAKER: No, I will not stop.
(Applause)
A SPEAKER: -- along the Hudson River to the west at 97 th Street to the south, Morningside and Amsterdam Avenues to the west, and --

CHAIRPERSON ROMANO: Can we --
(Chanting by the audience: Let Her Speak)
CHAIRPERSON ROMANO: Councilwoman, can we take this part of it as a written submission?

We have so many speakers to get to tonight.
Audience Yelling)
It is the kind of data that we actually, it is helpful to have it in writing as well. And we have many other speakers that need to speak tonight, and we will run out of time.

A SPEAKER: Do you all want to hear the boundaries that I am proposing?

All right.
Are you listening to the communities or are you not?

CHAIRPERSON ROMANO: I am also listening to
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A SPEAKER: Are you listening to the communities?
(Chanting by the audience: Let Her Speak)
CHAIRPERSON ROMANO: We have a list of speakers. We will not have an opportunity to hear them. Anyone else -- I am afraid that we will just run out of time.
(Chanting by the audience: Let Her Speak)
A SPEAKER: If you let me go on: You wouldn't have wasted this time. 195 is a common sense boundary and the district lines should not go further north than 195.

Also to follow historic district lines and maintain the connections of communities of interest, the district should also include the area encompassing Jumel Terrace historic district.

The boundaries of the 10th councilmanic district should include the total areas north of I95, including the wholly contained neighborhoods of Hudson Heights and Inwood.

For population purposes, the district has to extend south of the common sense boundary, and that extension should not crack half of the heart
of Washington Heights' Dominican community. Instead the area east of Ft. Washington Avenue and west of Amsterdam Avenue, down to 155 Street should be included.

If we follow these boundaries, we end up with a plan that is simple, balanced and fair. A plan that is simple because we use long unbroken common-sense boundaries, and stay away from the jagged blocks that are the harbingers of gerrymandering.

These recommended district boundaries are logical because they are based on community district lines recognized in this City since 1975.

The plan is balanced, because we managed to keep major institutions within single districts.

We also do the same with communities like Hudson Heights and Inwood, Sugar Hill, Hamilton Heights and a portion of the upper west side, otherwise known as Manhattan Valley; instead of splitting and instead of splitting between districts, these neighborhoods are now contained wholly within one district or another.

Finally, and most importantly, the plan introduces the realistic characteristics of upper

Manhattan, which previous maps ignore. Our plan, the people's plan acknowledges that the 9 council district that $I$ represent is a traditionally black district that deserves to be protected. (Applause).

A SPEAKER: The plan does not crack in half

And I want you all to learn the definition of cracking.

But instead recognizes the demographic realities of our north and solidifies the 10th council district as a primarily Dominican community; and our plan sees the potential for collaborative action, for a coalition, if you will, in the 7 th council district.

This is a critical component to fairness because that district is in transition. It is extraordinarily mixed with African-Americans, other blacks, Latinos of multiple nationalities, and white voters.

For a fair coalition, the courts have set three important standards that our proposed district meets: First the majority of the district has to be constituted.

Ours is not only a majority, but with that
majority, composed with over 50 percent of the population.

Second, there has to be a pattern of voter behavior confirming the possibility for a coalition. That most definitely exists.

Even a cursory look at the voting patterns in the areas we have identified does not indicate that voters will only vote only for their race. In fact races over the past ten years, up and down, the ballot proves otherwise.

And finally, there needs to be evidence that a minority candidate can beat a majority candidate and that is obviously the case in this district.

## (Applause)

Several court cases, including cases even in the State of New York, and I have given you exhibits of Thornberg versus King.

CHAIRPERSON ROMANO: Councilwoman, we have your boundaries, we have the boundaries.

A SPEAKER: Our approach and the approach cynicism --

CHAIRPERSON ROMANO: We must move on.
A SPEAKER: That we can work together is the right approach. Simple fair and balance we ask you.

I ask you as a lifetime resident of this community, as a representative of this community to adopt this approach.

Thank you for your time and you are trying to get me to stop speaking.
(Applause).
CHAIRPERSON ROMANO: Thank you.
THE GENERAL COUNSEL: Our next speaker is --
(Continued Crowd Cheering)
CHAIRPERSON ROMANO: We will recess.
Thaddeus, we will recess.
Five minutes. We will take a five minute recess.
(Whereupon, a short recess was taken.)
CHAIRPERSON ROMANO: I will call the next speaker.

THE GENERAL COUNSEL: I just wanted to remind you that we have to leave this facility at 9 p.m.

So, to the extent that you exceed the time, two minutes, you are depriving your neighbor of being able to testify. Please keep that in mind.

Our next speaker is Belina Anderson, to be followed by Council Member Melissa Mark-Viverito.

A SPEAKER: Good evening.
I would like to think that that applause was for me, but $I$ am sure that it is for Councilwoman Viverito.

My name is Belina Anderson.
And thank you for the opportunity to testify this evening, and for your service on the Commission.

I moved to my current neighborhood of Manhattan Valley in 2006 and I tried to become oriented to the neighborhood, its cultures reflected therein and the City' elected representatives that represent my neighborhood and other areas nearby.

I became especially interested in my elected City representatives when I became the president of my condominium board, and I just do have to say that Councilwoman Mark-Viverito and her staff has been very responsive to our needs.

I am not here, however, to speak for or against the proposed maps, because of a particular elected individual, Councilwoman Mark-Viverito or any other.

Quite to the contrary, I think that the Redistricting Commission should not take into consideration the political fortunes or misfortunes, its decisions may bestow or inflict as the case may be upon any particular representative.

I simply wish to explain why I am here.
I am paying much closer attention to City politics in my current position as representative for my building.

That said, $I$ need to say that $I$ am here in my individual capacity and my views expressed tonight are my own.

I visited the Commission's Website and informed myself about the process and the criteria for the districts. My comments are directed to the process and specifically the lack of information correlating the criteria with the proposed changes.

Please direct me to a source for this information, if I have missed it, but I did not see an explanation for the proposed changes and how they further the Commission's mission to advance the objectives found in the City's Charter.

Therefore, it is difficult to support or oppose the Commission's proposed changes because

I just don't know the underlying basis.
Again, if I missed it please let me know where to find it.

I will just quickly --
I would add a couple of points from the perspective of someone that is not deeply immersed in this issue. Just looking at the maps on the Website, it seems that they are not very contiguous since they seem to go over water boundaries and connect different parts of the City, three different boroughs.

Again, this is a sketchy look from the Website. So it is hard to understand how these boundaries can be considered compact, of one criterion, or keeping intact neighborhoods and communities of interest which is another.

My last point is about continuity.
During the past years I have lived in Manhattan Valley my polling place has changed twice: It started at Towers on the Park, moved to Frederick Douglas Boulevard and is now it is on 109th Street.

I just want to say that continuity really helps to keep people engaged and continuously engaged. And the continuity of the district boundaries is something to be considered.
(Prompting by the General Counsel)
One sentence, please.
Finally and most importantly, I am not asking the Commission for a particular outcome or proposing my own map, I am asking the Commission to justify its proposed changes during the deliberation and public comment period and when it presents its final map.

Thank you.
(Applause).
THE GENERAL COUNSEL: Once again, I want to remind everyone that because we have so many speakers that it is unlikely that we will get through everyone by the end of the night. So each additional minute that you speak is a minute that you have deprived from your neighbor.

Our next speaker will be Council member Melissa Mark-Viverito -- (Applause) -- to be followed by Council Member Robert Jackson.

A SPEAKER: I want to thank the Commission members and everyone that is here and I also want to thank my colleagues that spoke before me.

Clearly, we are not to be divided.
And I think one greater symbol of unity than
to be in this institution Schomberg Research
Library, who is a -- (Speaking in Spanish) -- we are united, there are points of unity, we will not be divided.
(Applause.)
So, I am Council Member Mark-Viverito, and I proudly represent council district 8, El Barrio, East Harlem, Manhattan Valley, part of the upper westside and parts of Mott Haven.

I am here this evening to express serious concerns, as you are hearing here tonight, across my district that are being expressed with regards to your preliminary draft.

It splits up neighborhoods, in opposition to the Charter mandate.

I join my community strongly in urging the Districting Commission to use the Unity Map proposal as a starting point in redrawing the 8th councilmanic district.

This proposal maximizes representations of people of color, in our City, and it preserves communities of interest and respecting neighborhood boundaries.

The Commission's proposal radically, radically changed district 8 on all fronts.

The west side of the district, 30 percent of the district was radically and just overnight eliminated.

The El Barrio -- (inaudible) -- boundaries were severely shifted and suddenly the Bronx part of my district grew from 10 percent to more than 50 percent.

Despite the Charter's requirement that district be -- (Applause) -- preserve communities of interest, this draft goes against that at its core.

And what makes no sense whatsoever and really at the heart of it is La Marqueta.

The El Barrio, East Harlem neighborhood is the cradle of the Puerto Rican community, not only to New York City but to cities across this nation.
(Applause)
La Marqueta is the iconic landmark of the Puerto Rican community, which speaks to I believe the fact that I don't understand if you truly understand the neighborhoods for which you are drawing lines.

I will say that I welcome the opportunity to increase my representation of the Bronx, in fact, the Unity Map proposal nearly doubles districts 8's portion of the Bronx, from 11 to 20 percent.

The concern though is under the Commission's proposal, the average Manhattan Council Member would represent a 167,000 people, the highest number of any of the five boroughs which in fact leads to under representation.

Moreover, it is residents and not boroughs that have a right to equal representation.

There is nothing in the Charter, the Districting Commission rules or equal protection law that provides for borough representation. In fact the very reason that the City Charter was amended in 1989, was that the Supreme Court found that the emphasis on boroughs had the actual effect of disenfranchising voters.
(Applause)
So, to conclude, I have heard the message from my constituents loud and clear, and hopefully you are hearing them loud and clear here tonight: The proposed district lines as currently drawn can't stand.

I urge the Commission to use the Unity Map as a basis for reconfiguring upper Manhattan and the Bronx, as a way of preserving communities of
interest, and minimizing the splitting up of our city's neighborhoods.

I also urge the Commission to consider an additional set of hearings where the community can have another opportunity to weigh on the lines -- (Applause) -- because after tonight, you don't have on your calendar a scheduled hearing in which we will see the second redrawing of the lines.

We need transparency, we need accountability, we need another set of hearings.

Thank you.
(Applause)
THE GENERAL COUNSEL: Thank you. Before I call the next --

Before I call the next speaker, can we have order --

We need to hear from other witnesses.
Thank you.
Before I call the next speaker, I would like to remind you that the building security has asked to please those that are standing in aisles can take seats. Let's be respectful to our host here and do as they ask, please.

Our next speaker is Council Member Robert

Jackson, to be followed by Debbie Quinones.
A SPEAKER: Well, first, let me thank the Commission for being here in Harlem.

As you know, I am a member of the City Council representing the 7th councilmanic district, but also I co-chair the New York City Council black Latino and Asian caucus. There are 27 members of us.

In general let me say that clearly the message that I want to community this evening from a general point of view is that we want to continue the diversity of our great City and we do not want to dilute the minority representation in our great City. I ask you that loud and clear.

I read in The New York Times, on Wednesday, about people raising that in the Bronx, and I raise that to you tonight.

But also more specifically, when I last was in front of you, I had asked you to keep the 7th councilmanic district as it was.

Obviously, that did not occur because you came out with your preliminary map. I am a realist and I do understand that the 10 councilmanic district is so low it has to go somewhere.

But, clearly the jagged lines that you make the 10 councilmanic district come down to the Heights and then the 7 to come up on the east side of Washington Heights, that is not the best lines that you can do.

So, I ask you to take a look at that again, understanding that in New York County, in Manhattan, you have 10 Council Members in Manhattan, New York County, with six of them being people of color.

That should not be diminished, because we are protected under the Voting Rights Act with Manhattan.
(Applause)
So I ask you to look at that. Clearly the 9 councilmanic district should remain a majority district where black representatives should elected. The 7 councilmanic district should remain where either a black or Latino should be elected and the 8 councilmanic district where a Latino should be elected.

I ask you to consider that in your deliberations.

Thank you.
(Applause)

THE GENERAL COUNSEL: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON ROMANO: Thank you.
THE GENERAL COUNSEL: Thank you. Our next speaker is Debbie Quinnones, to be followed by Ruben Vargas.

A SPEAKER: Good evening. My name is Debbie Quinones and I am a life-long resident of East Harlem and El Barrio.

Thank you for this opportunity to testify on the City Districting Commission.

My testimony this evening comes from a place of deep profound respect and love for my community.

And I apologize in advance if I become emotional. As --

A SPEAKER: Take your time.
A SPEAKER: I am. That's right. As a life-long resident of East Harlem, community leader and activist and board member of the upper Manhattan Empowerment Zone and former board member of Civitas, and currently on the community board, I understand the difficulties that this Commission faces in trying to keep a process that promotes integrity, it promotes --

I can't even see what $I$ wrote down. I am sorry.

But, here it is.
The process, intent and responsibility to engage in the community and maintaining a transparency are very difficult.

So, with this I want to thank the Commission for all of the hard work that you have done.

But I also must address the issue of redistricting itself and the process of the discussions with the incumbents to seek their approval, that keeps them, wow, that keeps them from really talking to the community about the issue that they can serve us better.

I find that this discussion started a year ago, was glossed over by the elected officials assuming that from the lack the community participation in the past, that they just do what they do and they get what they get.

I went to a meeting last year and only 8 people showed up. There was no follow-up. There was no communication.

So I am concerned why is it that there was no political or public education campaign to help us to participate in the process?

I am very concerned about the elected officials who did not follow up, but instead arrogantly assumed that they were untouchable.

Guess what? We are all touched by the loss of La Marqueta and Randall's Island.
(Applause)
You know. There is clear violations in the City Charter with the placement of Randall's Island in Queens, that states that a district should not cross over borders into another borough unless absolutely necessary.

Well, what's so necessary?
I don't understand why do you have to go to Randall's Island, has to go to Queens. It doesn't make sense. For years, it has been in district 8, for years East Harlem residents have attempted to have a better relationship with Randall's Island.

Is this some backlash because we want to do the right thing and hold them accountable.

I don't understand.
I have a deep concern for La Marqueta, which is by the way a cultural and spiritual sanctuary in El Barrio; it has become a political football, but it still belongs in our district.

THE GENERAL COUNSEL: Thank you for your testimony.

Our next speaker is --
A SPEAKER: Wait a minute.
I have some more things to say.
East Harlem its not "Silly Putty" for us to be stretched away to the point where we have no representation.

Two minutes is not enough to hear what we to say, because this community is being ripped off and this can no longer be tolerated.

Thank you.
THE GENERAL COUNSEL: Our next speaker is Ruben Vargas to be followed by Harry Rodriguez.

A SPEAKER: Good evening, everyone. Good evening distinguished commissioners.

My name is Ruben Dario Vargas, I am a representative of the United Democratic Organization.

We are an organization that encourages the regular citizens to participate in the electoral democratic process.

In fact, our mission statement is we do politics, we make politicians.

Our organization doesn't like to see elected officials running on a -- (Inaudible).

Our organization is to reinforce the American democratic process.

Concerning the district, the redistricting, it is hard to understand -- that the reason why the district has been, are being redrawn, it is because it is required by law, it is because of the census, the last census, indicated that we had lost people from Manhattan, they have been shifting to the Bronx.

No one wants things in the way that sometimes have to be done.

But the district has been in compliance with the voting right after 1965, with the City Charter section of 5052, and especially has been in compliance with section 5 of the voting right after 1965.

I like to clarify here, the people are believing that by the way the district has been drawn, that means that somebody is going to carry in a flat, carry La Marqueta to the Bronx, that is not what happened.

La Marqueta will stay right where it is, and everyone will stay where we are.

I just want to congratulate the Commission for a job well done.

Keep it like it is. Thank you.
(Applause)
THE GENERAL COUNSEL: Our next speaker is Harry Rodriguez, to be followed by Assembly Member Keith Wright.

A SPEAKER: Hello. My name is Harry Rodriguez, and I am the -- (Applause) -- senior district leader here in East Harlem, 68 Part B, also the -- (Applause) -- New York Council Democrats.

I am also was a former chairman of planning board 11, for several terms. Now, I am here to let you know the outrage of my community regarding La Marqueta.

For one, nobody lives there.
So why would you count them?
Why would you move La Marqueta?
Why would you move them to councilmanic district 9? There are homeless people living there?

I don't think so.
And you know that La Marqueta is a jewel for the people of East Harlem, especially to the Puerto Rican people where I reside.

And all my friends out there they love La

Marqueta, the development of La Marqueta, so we can get the jobs that we need in our community. Okay.

That is the reason why they are trying to take La Marqueta away from us. We will never stop fighting. And we will fight until we stop this.

Okay. I just want to say that, I recommend, with the Unity Map and make sure that the 6, 7 district is coterminous and go further out to a little part but about not 50 percent.

Maybe -- that will cover everything, and make East Harlem whole and not affect anybody else, keep it the way it was.

But I am personally here to let you know that my community is very angry and they will be coming and fighting, and we will get it together and make sure that you guys come up with good lines.

We will not take this lying down. The community has spoken, and you know how they feel and we will continue fighting.

Thank you for the time.
(Applause)
THE GENERAL COUNSEL: Our next speaker is

Assembly Member Keith Wright, to be followed by M. Ndigo Washington.

A SPEAKER: Good evening.
I want to thank the New York City Districting Commission for allowing me to give testimony as to why it is of the upmost importance that we reconsider the proposed district maps for the New York City Council.

As your Commission tackles yet another legislative redistricting attempt, it is essential that you work together with the elected officials that understand the needs of these neighborhoods, and with the community members who deserve fair and effective councilmanic representation.

In my testimony I will outline why the upper Manhattan we must promise a fair and smart redistricting process, in order to preserve the unity and progress that has empowered our communities.

As it stands, the New York City Council maps do little to bind together communities of interest, or to allow the Hispanic and African-American population in upper Manhattan a necessary voice in City government.

I support the upper Manhattan empowerment redistricting map, which will effectively maintain political participation and viability, and this plan is simple and fair, because it uses community districts as its base.

Any changes to existing boundaries were done carefully, are not drastic and reflect the success that the existing neighborhoods have.

The plan adheres to the provision of the Voting Rights Act while also protecting the historic and diverse demographics of upper Manhattan.

The plan also grants many of the large institutions contained in the area their own council district, allowing for more focus, better representation and centralization of resources for both the districts and the institutions.

Perhaps most foolishly, the proposed map slices through many of upper Manhattan's most well established and well-known areas and neighborhoods, including El Barrio in East Harlem - -
(Prompting by the General Counsel). Just wait a minute.
-- on the heels of a huge revitalization of

DISTRICTING COMMISSION PUBLIC HEARING

El Barrio, the same for La Marqueta, this Commission is looking to split the marketplace between districts, neglecting to consider that the site is of economic importance, and historically part of the 8 councilmanic district.

This type of oversight is careless, but avoidable. It would undoubtedly have a devastating effect on our community, and the map proposed by the New York City Districting Commission represent an eerie, eerie reoccurrence of the federal court's failure to address the needs of upper Manhattan in the recent statewide redistricting process.

As you move forward in this redistricting, I ask that you familiarize yourself with the needs of these communities, and consider what in fact is at stake, if you neglect existing boundaries, and attempt to reshape neighborhoods that have been solidified for the at least the last decade.

District 8 currently goes into the Bronx.
There is no reason why it needs to be 50 percent in the Bronx.

There is no reason.
(Applause).
There is no reason.

So, I just want to say thank you very much for allowing me to deliver this testimony on behalf of the communities that I have dedicated my life and career to representing.

And I will be glad to answer any and all questions, which I am sure you won't ask.

All right. Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON ROMANO: Thank you.
THE GENERAL COUNSEL: Our next speaker will be M. Ndigo Washington to be followed by Esmeralda Simmons.

A SPEAKER: Good evening, members of the New York City Districting Commission.

My name is Monique Ndigo Washington, and I am the president of the Terrace Apartments Tenant Association, which includes four buildings near the southern district of district 7 .

I am here to lend my voice as a member of the Harlem community, and join with others to ensure that the boundaries of district 7 are drawn to meet the legal criteria set forth by the New York City Charter, the Voting Rights Act and the Justice Department.

I represent four generations of residing in West Harlem.

Let me take a quick moment to explain why this is significant. If you ask any person, anywhere in the world if they ever heard of Harlem, I am sure their answer would be a resounding Yes.

Harlem is known for its unique culture, history and heritage. We have given rise to artists, writers and activists. Harlem was the place to be seen and to see.

However, that dirty word gentrification arrived 20 years ago and has threatened to change and erase our history.

I witnessed landlords evict tenants illegally from rent stabilized apartments and Mitchell- Lama housing so that they can increase their rent and secure market rate. Small business owners have suffered the same fait, and had to close their doors because they are unable to compete with big-box retail stores such as banks, Columbia, Duane Reade, Starbucks and Chase Bank.

Some of the black-owned businesses who have remained more recent, have recently shut their doors and remained on the 125 th Street corridor have relocated more often and have had to go on further east.

This is a huge concern for the future of our community since Mayor Bloomberg's proposal revamp 125th Street.

I would like to call your attention to an important aspect as occurred with drafting the preliminary lines.

On 128th Street, cuts across St. Nicholas Avenue and Convent Avenue. Why has this line been cut across an ally which is literally sandwiched between a building where St. Nicholas park ends.

Why would this line stop at 128th Street and not extend to 127 th Street?

After realizing that $I$ was not the only person who has been cut out of a line in district 7, as well as other communities in Brooklyn, I can't help to be suspicious and conclude that this drawing-out was intentional and absolutely political.
(Applause)
Is this an attempt to silence the voice of grass roots and possible elected representatives for the upcoming 2013 City Council race, representation that may challenge politics and buck the status quo?

THE GENERAL COUNSEL: We need to move an ahead.
(Applause)
A SPEAKER: I am the founder of the group, Take Back Our City.

We ask that a take a close look when you consider drawing these lines to strike a balance between me and the criteria outlined in the Justice Department and the current population of this district.

THE GENERAL COUNSEL: We need to move on.
A SPEAKER: Yes, I am.
Respectively, 8 and 9.
Be reminded that the residents here, we share a common bond. We send our children to schools in the area, participate in civic and religious organizations and support our institutions.

We hope that this will be protected and maintained.

Thank you for your time.
And I hope you will include my testimony as we move forward to finalize these lines.

And we will not be silenced.

Our voice is important.
THE GENERAL COUNSEL: Our next speaker is Esmeralda Simmons, to be followed by Council Member Rosie Mendes.

A SPEAKER: Good evening.
My name is Esmeralda Simmons and I am the executive director of the Center for Law and Social Justice of Medgar Evers College CUNY.

The center has a 25 year history of doing voting rights work in New York City.

Our most recent exercise in voting rights work has been in the New York State redistricting process, where along with our other Unity Map colleagues, we were successful in having the federal court adopt the Unity Map for the congressional lines.

We are now here before you.
Through our current racial and justice redistricting project, the Center for Law and Social Justice has been an active member of the Unity Map group that produced the City Council Unity Map that has been submitted to the Commission.

The Unity Map coalition also is now making the following recommendations.
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And the Center for Law and Social Justice requests and strongly urges this Commission to number 1, adopt a Unity Map as its final plan.

The Commission has a historic accomplishment before it, for consideration at this time.

The Unity Map for the City of New York is the first ever 51 district plan that has been submitted with Latino, black and Asian advocates and community groups, not everybody, I admit not everybody, agreeing to respect each others' communities and fairly share the City' districts. The Unity Plan --

Let me finish by saying this.
THE GENERAL COUNSEL: Is there anything in writing that you can share with us?

CHAIRPERSON ROMANO: Is there anything in writing that you can share with us?

A SPEAKER: I have given copies. You have copies of it.

There are two things that $I$ need to say. The Commission has to complete its task within a time frame. We all understand that.

However, the Commission should complete its task by allowing public comment to the Commission on its final plan before it is submitted to the

City Council.
Anything less would be making a mockery of the Commission's pledge to have an open and transparent process, which allows for real public comment.
(Applause).
A SPEAKER: The final plan is the most important document that the Commission will be releasing during its tenure, say for any amended final plan.

The final plan is a document take the public is waiting for, and that is the document that we seek to comment on.

CHAIRPERSON ROMANO: Thank you.
A SPEAKER: Finally, finally, in my written testimony, and we will testify again in Brooklyn, we talk about the fact that in Harlem the Commission's draft district 9, not only splits Central Harlem from West Harlem, but Central Harlem from East Harlem. It cuts off El Barrio, it cuts off the Community Cultural Center, it cuts off Randall's Island.

It is completely unnecessary and callus to the community's interests.

CHAIRPERSON ROMANO: Thank you.

We have to move on because we have other speakers.

A SPEAKER: We will be -- we will continue to be watching the Commission.

CHAIRPERSON ROMANO: Thank you.
A SPEAKER: And we hope that we can be cooperating with you to a final product that we could all see actually represents the voters of this City.

Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON ROMANO: Thank you.
(Applause)
THE GENERAL COUNSEL: Our next speaker is Council Member Rosie Mendez, followed by Council Member Margaret Chin.

A SPEAKER: Fair evening.
I can't say good evening because I don't know if it is going that good.

But, I am here to talk about my district, district 2.

I represent the Lower East Side, what is now called the East Village and I still call the Lower East Side, Gramercy Park, Kips Bay and parts of Murray Hill.

My first statement goes to the proposed
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Unity Map, which I am not in favor of.
The proposed Unity Map takes away approximately 6,000 units of low and moderate income housing for my district. That is approximately 10,000 in population of Latino and African-American residents.

It takes away 4 public housing, it takes away two Mitchell-Lamas and it takes away a small portion of a co-op that still has rent stabilized individuals in there.

So, losing that um, in the Unity Map compromises me as a minority person elected to that district, it also compromises district 1 and 3 where we have an Asian representative and a LGBT representative.

So the Unity Map really destroys three minority represented districts.

And I am not in favor of it.
In terms of the proposed District Commission map, um, my objections with it is that it puts a lot of the west side and takes me from being an eastside Council Member to also representing a large portion of the west side.

I believe that we can tweek the current map to keep it in the eastside, about 10 blocks that are heavily populated were taken out of my district.

If that is put in with more some more blocks we can achieve the same amount of racial composition that the district currently has. Thank you for letting me testify tonight.

CHAIRPERSON ROMANO: Thank you very much.
A SPEAKER: In time, yes.
CHAIRPERSON ROMANO: Most appreciated.
A SPEAKER: Thank you for the opportunity to testify before you.

My name is Margaret Chin, and I am the City Council Member that represents district 1, in Lower Manhattan.

22 years ago I testified before the Districting Commission, asking for Chinatown to be kept as a whole and connected to Manhattan, Lower Manhattan neighborhoods, Battery Park City, Tribeca and the financial district, and parts of the lower east side.

At that time we asked for an opportunity to have Asian representation. And the District Commission at that time created 3 districts, because also wanted to support the hopes and aspirations of the Latino community to have
representation in lower Manhattan, as well as the gay community.

So 3 districts were created and the third district and also the district that Rosie represents, they had a minority -- a Latino represented district too, since the beginning.

The Asian community, it took us a little while, it took us 20 years to get here. We had to register people to vote and we had to get them to come out to vote. But there were also opportunities where we had three Asian candidates running.

And we saw the potential.
And in the election of 2009, we had two Asian candidates running, and we were running against an incumbent and we were able to elect an Asian-American, myself to the City Council.

So that is why --
(Applause).
That is why I support the preliminary map that the Commission has put out for district 1 that pretty much kept district 1 as is.

There will be some minor adjustments along the border.

And that is why I do not support the Unity

Map proposal for Lower Manhattan, because that map, the Unity Map pits two minority groups together.

And right now, we have Latino representation and we have Asian representation, and we work well together. So, I think that we should really keep our district the way it is. Thank you very much.

THE GENERAL COUNSEL: Thank you very much. CHAIRPERSON ROMANO: Thank you very much.

THE GENERAL COUNSEL: Our next speaker is Edwin Marcial to be followed by David Nocenti.

A SPEAKER: Thank you.
Long time. My name is Edwin Marcial, and I represent the United Puerto Rican of the State of New York, Inc.

And before I start with my little speech, I would like to find out, with all your respect, how many Latinos are on the Commission right now?

AN AUDIENCE MEMBER: None.
A SPEAKER: One. One.
And at least we got one in there. So you are supposed to fight for us?

CHAIRPERSON ROMANO: Me.
A SPEAKER: You too. You are a Puerto Rican
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 today?CHAIRPERSON ROMANO: Puerto Rican today.
A SPEAKER: Good. Good.
All right. Beautiful. So we got two. All right.

Don't forget, this is the beginning, this is the beginning of a movement. We need, we need our district the way it is.

If we had to change a little bit, fine, I am agreeing with that. I don't know where you come from, because I never seen your face before in East Harlem. We need people from East Harlem to make the decision, of whatever East Harlem is going to be. I respect, I respect you as a Commission, because I believe -- they have brains to decide what the best, is best for our community.

I have close to 3,000 petitions here. (Applause).

I have, I believe that about three or four thousand more around here today. So, I would like to give this to you as a token of our communication, our dedication and organization. Because you know, when I get mad I get stupid and I don't want to be stupid here today.

Please make sure that whatever decision you make, keep the goddamn Marqueta the way it is. (Applause).

A SPEAKER: I am sorry about my language. But my time is finished?

CHAIRPERSON ROMANO: Yes.
A SPEAKER: I want to continue a little more.

Thank you and I appreciate what you are doing. But make sure, make sure, if it is broken, don't try to fix it.
(Applause).
THE GENERAL COUNSEL: Our next speaker is David Nocenti. David Nocenti, to be followed by Gustavo Rosado.
(Audience Chanting: Save East Harlem). A SPEAKER: Chairman Romano.

THE GENERAL COUNSEL: Someone is trying to speak.

A SPEAKER: Chairman Romano and members of the Commission, my name is David Nocenti, the executive director of Union Settlement Association, which is the oldest and largest social service provider in East Harlem, we have been there since 1895.

I am also a member of the East Harlem Chamber of Commence.

I am a member of East Harlem Human Services Consortium.

I am a member of East Harlem Community Alliance. We work closely with the East Harlem Business Capital Corporation, with the East Harlem Mexican Chamber of Commerce, with the East Harlem Council of Community Improvement and I could go on.

CHAIRPERSON ROMANO: Your expertise is acknowledged.

A SPEAKER: East Harlem is undoubtedly a single community of common interest.

This is, you have heard a lot about La Marqueta, and it is not just about La Marqueta, you can't solve the problem by simply moving one line and moving La Marqueta back into council district 8.

21 blocks of East Harlem have been removed and they need to be moved back in.

This is more than just a historical or a perception problem, this is a legal problem.

You are well aware of the requirements of the City Charter which require that you keep
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intact communities of common interest such as East Harlem El Barrio and a compactness requirement which prohibits the creation of districts that are more than two times as long as they are wide.

With the new district that goes up to the Highbridge area of the Bronx, even if you take out Central Park, in council district 8 and move that, you ignore that, it is still going to be three times as large.

The Council, which the City Charter requires that these, these criteria be followed to the maximum extent possible, and I think the Unity Map really shows what needs to be done with much more compactness and keeping the communities of interest intact.
(Applause)
So I want to state my strong support for the other organizations that put together the Unity Map.

I am afraid that I have only brought 290 petitions with me, not the 3,000 that you just received.

I will leave them at the front desk. Thank you very much for giving me the opportunity to speak.

CHAIRPERSON ROMANO: Thank you. (Applause)

THE GENERAL COUNSEL: Our next speaker will be Gustavo Rosado, to be followed by Joan Levine.

A SPEAKER: Good evening.
My name is Gustavo Rosado, and I am the executive director of the El Barrio Operation Fight Back -- (Applause) -- a community-based organization that has been servicing the East Harlem community for more than 25 years.

These lines not only attack a representative that we have elected to represent us, but more importantly, it attacks a community, East Harlem, El Barrio, community planning board 11, which is a community that is recognized and acknowledged over a long period of time, with a distinct history, identity and tradition.

The 8th council district lines, as they are currently proposed, are designed to minimize and practically eliminate our voice as a community. It is like the dividing up a family of a household and sending your son off to live with your cousin, and your daughter to live with someone else, and you to go live with families,
with family friends.
Obviously, we could all agree that that is something that does not make sense. In particular, this neighborhood has traditionally had a strong Hispanic presence, and at a time when the Latino members are growing and we finally have an opportunity for fair representation, a plan like this appears to be designed to strategically divide and conquer.

It is devastating to our community, it is unfair, it is unjust and it doesn't have to be this way. There are alternatives.

It is my understanding that there are sufficient numbers in El Barrio, and with the assistance of adjacent neighborhood areas to keep El Barrio and community planning board together as a community.

It needs to be done, and it must be done. Thank you.
(Applause)
THE GENERAL COUNSEL: Our next speaker is Joan Levine, and to be followed by Madelyn Innocent.

A SPEAKER: Good evening.
I would like to speak about a community of
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 interest.My name is Joan Levine, and I am co-chair with Ms. Sarah Martin of the Morningside Heights West Harlem Sanitation Coalition.

Ms. Martin is president of the Grant Houses Residents' Association, a public housing development containing 1,940 units.

I am the former chair of the community relations committee at Morningside Gardens, a co-op containing almost 1,000 apartments, adjacent to Grant Houses.

Both developments were part of the same redevelopment project completed in 1957. Our two developments run from 123rd to LaSalle Street, from Broadway to both sides of Amsterdam Avenue.

Almost 20 years ago, the group from the two complexes formed a coalition in order to work on quality of life issues in our neighborhood together. We decided correctly that in unity there is strength.

Since that time, we have been a force in the community. All of these accomplishments would not have been possible if both developments had not been in the same councilmanic, assembly and state senatorial districts.

The project -- projected redistricting lines for the new district 7 seem to keep us together once more, but it is imperative that the final draft does the same.

Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON ROMANO: Thank you.
THE GENERAL COUNSEL: Our next speaker is Madelyn Innocent, to be followed by Roberto Anazaghati.

A SPEAKER: Good evening.
My name is Madelyn Innocent, and I have lived in Douglas Houses since 1957. I am aware that this meeting is about redistricting, ah, district 8, and that means that we will be losing a great human being and a great Council Member, Melissa Mark-Viverito, who I got to know -(Applause) -- who I got to know what she stands for and what she will fight for, as well.

I met Melissa Mark-Viverito on Broadway when she was campaigning for Council Member, and she seemed to be very interested in helping the neighborhood as well as being sincere about improving the conditions of public housing.

That was the first politician to engage me in conversation, for public housing, and that impressed me very much.

I mention these things, because when my mother told me stories before we moved into public housing, how local politicians helped my family to move in and other families, as well.

Council Member Viverito has restored my faith in politicians because of her time and dedication to the improvement of public housing. Melissa is a person who inspires people to do better in their lives.

Melissa has the most public housing in her district, and gets the challenges and issues public housing residents are facing.

For the last two years I have been working with Melissa and her staff with participatory budgeting in district 8, and I have seen her engage with the residents of Douglas Houses whereas there has not been anyone putting in the time and effort as well as money to ensure public housing will be saved.

This reminds me of the old days and the stories my mother told me as a child, and as a young adult.

Losing her will be devastating to the residents because we will be losing one person
that I feel who had taken the time to help us in our problems, and believe me there are huge problems with NYCHA.
(Applause)
CHAIRPERSON ROMANO: Thank you very much.
A SPEAKER: I have a little more.
Lastly, in my life I have experienced good and bad.

The good, the good is that Melissa Viverito is an asset to our community, that empowers us to speak up and get involved with things, things you may not otherwise get involved with.

The bad is that we have to start over and go backwards in time to someone who doesn't know us at all.

CHAIRPERSON ROMANO: Thank you.
THE GENERAL COUNSEL: Our next speaker is Roberto Anazaghsti, to be followed by Curtis Arluck.

A SPEAKER: My name is Roberto Anazaghsti, I was born here, 117th Street. And this, and this is -- this piece is called a legitimate rape of El Barrio.

Number 1, we should be having a hearing in East Harlem, number 1.

This hearing is good, very good. It should be another one.
(Applause)
It reminds me of my old days with the urban renewal plan, which only had a polling place to elect people back in 1965. I am only 76.

I do not agree with any of the borders suggested in the maps made by the Unity Coalition and/or the Commission. And the so-called unity plan it lives out Fifth to Madison Avenue, which includes Taft Projects, which is between 111 and 112 Street which has over 5,000 families, and I forgot to put in Schomberg and 1270 Fifth Avenue and others.

Then it goes to Highbridge to pick up population.

Does that make any sense?
Leaving out the famous La Marqueta is really a cultural and economic attempt to legitimize the rape of El Barrio, not only for the Puerto Ricans, but for the Mexicans and Africans, and to bring in outsiders who already represent the one percent in their quest to big in big-box multinational corporations.

Do we want in El Barrio like 125th Street, the way it looks now, with the big-box stores, or do you want the small stores, which will be pushed out when the big guys come.

This is a survival issue for African-Americans, Africans who are now new immigrants, and also Latino owners of businesses.

Many of us appreciate the street vendors, they remind us of us when the Puerto Ricans started back in the 1930s, with bodegas and selling shopping bags and shoe shining operations.

This represents a tourist opportunity like Chinatown or Harlem with their marketplace.

It also provides goods and services that our population can afford.

I propose that the community adopt the same lines of the community board 11, which is from 96th Street to the bulkhead on 141st Street.

Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON ROMANO: Thank you very much.
THE GENERAL COUNSEL: Our next speaker is Curtis Arluck to be followed by Henrietta Lyle.

A SPEAKER: I am Curtis Arluck, and I am the democratic district leader from the 69th assembly district, part C, covering Morningside Heights and parts of Manhattan Valley.

I am not a candidate for City Council nor do I have a candidate for City Council.

In 2009, I had three candidates for City Council, and that is part of the crux of my testimony.

I am happy to report that one of my three candidates for City Council, Melissa Mark-Viverito, who spoke so eloquently today, received a higher percentage in the upper west side than she did in East Harlem.

We supported Melissa and we want to support her again.
(Applause)
But, every community, every community is entitled to representation.

Central Harlem is entitled to representation, East Harlem, all of East Harlem is entitled to representation.

Washington Heights is entitled to representation. And the upper west side from 96 th to west 125 th, a compact cohesive community of interest is also entitled to be part of one district, not three districts, as it now is.

You should listen very hard, commissioners
to everybody from a community, when they say: My community cannot be cut up.

But you should listen less hard to people who say: My community cannot be cut up, but we want to grab parts from other communities.

Every community is entitled to representation. I think that you have the germ of a plan, some speakers have alluded to it, that will work.

A 10th district based in Washington Heights, a 9 district based in Central Harlem, an 8 district based in East Harlem, repeat, all of East Harlem and a 7th district going roughly from 96th Street to 155th Street, encompassing all of the upper west side along Hudson River, only two community boards 7 and 9.

That is the plan that you should work on. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ROMANO: Thank you very much. (Applause).

THE GENERAL COUNSEL: Our next speaker is Henrietta Lyle, to be followed by Sarah Martin.

A SPEAKER: Good evening.
My name is Henrietta Lyle and I am chair of the community board 10. I have been in this
community for 39 years, and I think many of our board members were actually born in this community, across the street at Harlem Hospital. As the chair of community board 10, I have an intimate knowledge of the districts that make up Central Harlem. A sensible plan recognizes that Harlem truly begins at 110th Street, a Harlem based district needs to remain above 110th Street.

The dividing line for the northern part of this district has been 155th Street.

As board chair it is important to me that the lines be maintained, at least for adherence, to community district lines that are universally recognized for community districts.

A district that attempts blatantly to cross those lines, as does the 7 councilmanic district, as proposed in the plan, is totally inappropriate and drafts together two communities that are fundamentally different.

I do support the upper Manhattan empowerment district plan and I hope to, it moves further, and I defer the rest of my time to our Councilwoman Inez Dickens.

CHAIRPERSON ROMANO: Thank you.

THE GENERAL COUNSEL: Our next speaker is Sarah Martin, to be followed by Laura Friedman.

A SPEAKER: My name is Sarah Martin, and I live in Grant Houses, a public housing development with approximately 4,400 people.

Across the street, as Joan Levine stated before is Morningside Gardens, a middle income co-op.

Approximately 18 years plus ago we joined, because we realized that we had so many problems in common.

You know, like our rats played together, and we smell the garbage, et cetera, et cetera -(Laughter) --

So, coming together we have cleaned up quite a lot of that with our representatives, Robert Jackson, Bill Perkins, and Assemblyman Daniel O'Donnell, and to change that, I am hoping that the plan stays the way it is, and -- I hope it stays that way.

Because to change that would weaken our voting strength and we will not be a larger community as we are now.

And even furthermore, in all districts, all I think we all want is a fair plan, and I think
you have heard a couple of them here in this auditorium.

So, I am hoping that the Commission will take that into consideration, when the final plan comes out. Because we are the people, the plan should be for us.

Because without the people there is no need for a plan.

Thank you.
(Applause).
CHAIRPERSON ROMANO: Thank you.
THE GENERAL COUNSEL: Our next speaker is Laura Friedman, to be followed by Brian Paul.

A SPEAKER: My name is Laura Friedman and I am the president of the Morningside Heights historic district committee.

Thank you for allowing us to submit testimony on behalf of the Morningside Heights community today and tonight.

Morningside Heights is a diverse historic and architecturally rich neighborhood. The area's distinctive natural and landscaped boundaries are defined by an elevated plateau, boarded by two Twentieth century homestead designed parks, Riverside Park at the western boundary and Morningside Park is the eastern.

The south boundary is formed by 110th Street, and the north by 125th Street.

As diverse as we are, our community has a long history of working together, to address issues that affect our neighbors.

Currently Morningside Heights is allocated to both the 7 and 9 districts, separated by a wandering north-south border.

In your newly drawn draft plans you divide our community along an east-west corridor, up the middle of Amsterdam Avenue.

We ask you to recognize that the current redistricting is an opportunity for the City to restore our community to its natural borders with its diverse population and to place us in one community in one council district.

Morningside Heights is a vibrant and coherent neighborhood.

There are many fine institutions in this area, and in order to coordinate the needs that they have, an organization was formed many years ago that continues to exist today, and that organization is called the Morningside Alliance, which by its own definition seeks to foster communication and collaboration among the more than 16 institutions that are located or doing work in Morningside Heights.

Among them are Columbia University that has student housing and schools on both sides of Amsterdam, on both sides of Amsterdam, and the Seminary which has its student housing on both sides of Amsterdam, St. Luke's Hospital which is entirely on the other side of Amsterdam, as is the Cathedral of St. John the Divine.

In the northern part of Morningside Heights, and creating an amazing example of for us, is who you just heard speak, Sarah Martin and Joan Levine, creating the Sanitation Coalition. So we all work together around that issue.

Another innovative organization was just created called the Morningside Village, and the president, ah --

CHAIRPERSON ROMANO: I have a question. A SPEAKER: I will wrap up in a minute. CHAIRPERSON ROMANO: I have a question. If you can just give me a concluding sentence --

A SPEAKER: President Irene Zola (phonetic), she started a group called the Morningside Village, which is a volunteer thing to help seniors and an organization to help seniors stay at home with volunteers, and then the Morningside Heights district committee which has members all over the neighborhood and we work with block associations and the co-op.

CHAIRPERSON ROMANO: Thank you. I have a question.

You referred to the natural boundary that ought to be applied to Morningside Heights, but I don't think I heard --

What is in your view what is the natural boundary?

A SPEAKER: 110th Street on the south side. CHAIRPERSON ROMANO: On the east side. A SPEAKER: Morningside Park.

The upper, the upper part of the park on the east and Riverside Park on the west.

CHAIRPERSON ROMANO: And below Morningside Park?

A SPEAKER: 110th Street is a natural divide, as is 125th Street.

And we ask you to keep those boarders intact. And I submitted this testimony in writing.

And we just want to say that we think that please don't chop us up into political pieces, thus weakening our ability to represent our needs and making a false divide where it, where it need not exist.

CHAIRPERSON ROMANO: Thank you. A SPEAKER: Thank you very much. CHAIRPERSON ROMANO: Thank you. (Applause.)

THE GENERAL COUNSEL: Your next speaker is Brian Paul, to be followed by Susan Rodriguez. (Pause)

A SPEAKER: Sorry about that. I was out of the room.

My name is Brian Paul, and I am the research and policy coordinator at Common Cause New York, non- partisan citizen body, a leading force in -and accountable government.

Before getting into the Manhattan specifics, we would like to thank the Commission for setting up the enter-active mapping tool, and this is definitely a step forward compared to anything that we saw at state level redistricting.

That is a good step.
We encourage people to take advantage of it.
Pertaining to the Manhattan draft plan, this

Commission described this plan as a base line, which adjusts for population while making sure following the Voting Rights Act and Charter criteria.

Throughout most of the City, we -- we agree that that is what was done, but it really seems to us that the situation in district 8 doesn't fly with what this draft is supposed to do. There is no --

District 8 has to move further into the Bronx, but there is no reason that it has to go as far as this Commission draft drew it.

In this draft district 8 drops -- the west side, but also major blocks along Park and Madison Avenue and Randall's Island, and would have a majority Bronx population.

And this, these changes are way beyond what is necessary and looks like they were, it pushes that far into the Bronx because all of the districts in Manhattan are packed in, at almost plus 5 percent, the legal limit, while the districts in the Bronx are much less than one percent on average.

That is a discrepancy. It doesn't seem rational to us.

And just to move on, it is very puzzling that the map would move Randall's Island from district 8, when the Charter says that the districts should never cross borough boarders unless absolutely less.

Randall's Island is part of Manhattan, and why should it move to Queens? That is very strange.

The changes to East Harlem may look minor on paper, just a block or two, as you heard many people testify, these are really crucial community institutions within those small areas.

So those should be put back, if we are talking about respecting communities of interest.

And I will bring this testimony up to you in a minute.

We were approached by community voices, heard to examine how the draft would have to change if we restored the boundaries in East Harlem, and it is really not very much change necessary.

You just bring district 15 in the Bronx, south, district 17 over to Yankee Stadium Highbridge, which it currently represents any way and you would be able to pick up all of East

Harlem that was cut out in this draft.
And this plan I have here demonstrates that there is no demographic or legal reason preventing district 8 from maintaining its current boundaries in East Harlem.

We also suggest that the Commission take a closer look at the Unity Plan, which is a good model of the vibrations between different interest groups.

Thank you for the opportunity to testify. CHAIRPERSON ROMANO: Have you submitted that to us?

A SPEAKER: I will bring it up. CHAIRPERSON ROMANO: Thank you. (Applause)

THE GENERAL COUNSEL: Our next speaker is Susan Rodriguez, to be followed by Brad Taylor. A SPEAKER: Excuse me. I am not your next speaker, but I want to give you a point of information.

You asked a question about Morningside Heights.

I noticed that the people have a problem saying --

Morningside Heights is in West Harlem, there
is West Harlem, Central Harlem, East Harlem -let's get it straight. Morningside Heights, Manhattanville, Sugar Hill and Hamilton Heights make up West Harlem. 155th -- so 110th, from the, from St. Nichlaus Park, loosely over to the Hudson River. I will be a speaker when you call my name, but let's get West Harlem straight.

Thank you.
(Applause)
THE GENERAL COUNSEL: Susan Rodriguez, to be followed by Brad Taylor.
(Pause)
THE GENERAL COUNSEL: Do we have Susan Rodriguez?

Brad Taylor? I will go to the next. Mark Levine.

Yes, Mark Levine. Mark Levine followed by Elizabeth Kellner.

A SPEAKER: Thank you. My name again is Mark Levine, and I am a democratic district leader from the 71st assembly district in Washington Heights.

I am here to provide feedback on the drawing of the 7 th and 10 th councilmanic districts
uptown.
In short the Commissioner's proposal for these districts was simply terrible.

There are few principles that most New Yorkers expect commissioners to be mindful of in drawing our new maps.

One, there should be an effort to keep together communities of common interest.

Two, minority voting power should be protected.

And three, the basic notion of fairness should be adhered to.

Unfortunately when it comes to northern Manhattan, the Commission's plan failed on each of these counts.

First, the plan rips apart northern Manhattan neighborhoods along the Hudson, namely Hamilton, Washington Heights and Inwood, areas with deep ties and decade long histories and common representation in the 7 council district, areas that remain connected today in the assembly and senate districts.

I and many others testified about the nature of these deep ties in the August hearing, so I won't repeat myself on this today.

Suffice to say that these waterfront neighborhoods are connected by common parks and transit lines, a common school district, the presence of Columbia University campuses, similarly high rates of home ownership and much more.

That is why every effort should be made to keep these waterfront neighborhoods together in a common district.

Second, the Commission's preliminary plan dramatically dilutes Latino voting power in the 10 district, which traditionally has been overwhelmingly Latino, reducing primary democratic voters to an expected 51 percent Latino.

Unless you think that is made up for by increasing Latino representation in the 7 district, that number drops to 30 percent expected vote turnout in a democratic primary.

Finally, there is the issue of fairness as it has been remarked on.

The districts of Manhattan have 5 percent more voters than the comparable citywide average.

This has deprived our borough of a seat in the City Council and has directly impacted northern Manhattan where the lines for the 7 and the 10 have been even more distorted because of the need to go over the average number.

There are good plans on the table, many have been referred to today, and I urge you to drop the preliminary draft and bring together the neighborhoods of northern Manhattan.

Thank you.
(Applause).
CHAIRPERSON ROMANO: Thank you.
THE GENERAL COUNSEL: Our next speaker is Elizabeth Kellner, to be followed by Mei Gellert.

A SPEAKER: My name is Elizabeth Kellner.
I have lived in Manhattan Valley for 36 years, with my husband, where we raised our two now grown children. In 1976, when we were both students at Columbia, we defied the university's warnings never to venture south of 110th Street, and we purchased an empty row house really cheap.

I come before you today to urge the Commission not to divide the Manhattan Valley neighborhood between two council districts, as proposed, and to include it in the upper west side district where it naturally belongs.
(Applause)

The objective of this all important redistricting exercise is to ensure fair and adequate representation for communities of interest, especially for historically disenfranchised population groups.

It is not emphatically to accommodate jockeying among the candidates for an advantage in seeking future political office -- (Applause) -- especially when that advantage would be won at the expense of a community of interest.

I know Manhattan Valley extremely well. Over the 36 years that $I$ have lived there, my family has been involved in many community groups and activities on many issues: Tenants rights, public schools.

My daughter went to that wonderful PS 163, where the children spoke and I was on the bus that went to Scarsdale to fight the owner of the crack hotel.

Manhattan Valley is socially, economically and ethnically diverse. We have a significant number of public housing and subsidized units and luxury co-ops and everything in between.

There are hostels and hotels, landmarks, historic districts, new construction, nursing homes, senior assisted living, several free schools, libraries, youth programs, drug rehab treatment center, and it all works.

We have chain stores and mom and pops. I could go on and on.

We all live, work, play, pray and volunteer side by side.

What the Commission would do would destroy our unified voice and set us back years.

I want to remind some of the people here that in July of 1981, the cover of New York Magazine was all about Manhattan Valley. The name of that article written by Richard West was Fighting to Save a Neighborhood.

Well, we thought we saved that neighborhood.
Please do not undo what we have achieved, and keep us together as Manhattan Valley.

CHAIRPERSON ROMANO: Thank you.
THE GENERAL COUNSEL: Our next speaker I was Mei Gellert, to be followed by Winifred Armstrong.

Do we have Mei Gellert?
Winifred Armstrong.
Winifred Armstrong to be followed by Marc Landis.

A SPEAKER: Good evening Commissioners, good evening neighbors.

Thank you for your work.
I am speaking from Park West, I am speaking for the Park West Neighborhood History Group, on a very compared to most of this, a relatively small issue but similar.

We ask that in considering new council districts City Council districts, Park West Village be kept intact.

The present proposals of the district commission divide a community that was built as a village and which we have worked over the 50 years of its existence, to maintain and enjoy as a community.

We are roughly 5,000 people living in 2,500 apartments in seven buildings between 97 th and 100th Street, along Central Park West and Columbus Avenue on the upper west side of Manhattan. Park West Village was built on the ashes of another old community, as one of the urban renewal projects of Robert Moses. Its express intent was to attract the multi-ethnic middle class working community to this multi-economic neighborhood.

It succeeded, and I think by now we can say that it is we who live there who have made it succeed.

Our resident civic groups include people from both sides of the street. We were conceived as a neighborhood and are well served by a library, police and fire station down 100th Street, and a community board 7 who knows us well.

Please do not divide us down the middle as your proposals now do. I have a brochure, which we prepared, Park West Village, History of a Diverse Community, which will give you a further sense of our community's past present and I hope future.

Would you tell me where $I$ should leave that, please.

CHAIRPERSON ROMANO: You can leave it with Mr. Hackworth.

A SPEAKER: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON ROMANO: Thank you very much. (Applause).

THE GENERAL COUNSEL: Our next speaker is Marc Landis, to be followed by Isaac Gluck.

A SPEAKER: Mark Landis had to go to a
school event for his kids, and he seated his time to me.

I am Joan Paylo, a 41 year resident of the upper west side, and for the past ten years the female democratic district leader who represents part B of the 69th assembly district, which includes the legendary Park West Village that Win Armstrong just spoke about.

Park West Village has long been synonymous with the upper west side, and as such I believe that it is two super blocks which range from 97th to 100th Street, Central Park West to Amsterdam, must remain in one City Council district.

I also strongly urge that it be included in district 6, along with the rest of the upper west side.

Melissa Mark-Viverito has done a great job for us -- (Applause) -- but when she leaves office, we can't be guaranteed that we will get another elected official in the Council who will work so hard to come across the park and serve us as she has tried. Yet her community office is on the east side of the park, and it is not easy to get there.

As Paul Bunton had stated in testimony that he has submitted to you, Park West Village absolutely must continue to be part of a district that is linked with its neighbors, by common subway and bus lines, with easy access to the constituent services available in a single City Council district office.

If you live in or near Park West Village or if you spend any amount of time there, it is plain to see how much Park West Village is part of the larger community that until recently was known as the upper west side Mitchell-Lama quarter.

If you stood on the corner of Columbus and West 96th through West 97th for a few hours, you would observe how people come from south and north as part of one community, to use local merchants, dry cleaners, delis, physical therapy and veterinary offices, supermarkets, the historic West 97th Street Green Market, schools, Godard Riverside Community Center, its senior centers, numerous houses of worship, the post office, the library and public transportation.

Just a little more to say.
Park West Village has always been a solid community, even when part of the community
complex went condo --
And I am trying to read it off my blackberry, and I left my printed copy at home.

CHAIRPERSON ROMANO: Feel free to submit it in writing.

A SPEAKER: I will send it by e-mail when I get home.

Columbus Square was built in its midst, and at that time the neighborhood from south of 96th Street, including Godard, Riverside and neighboring churches fought to keep Park West Village as one.

They are very close --
And I will submit the rest of my --
I am everything a hard time reading this. I apologize.

CHAIRPERSON ROMANO: We need to move on. There are many people waiting. There are people that have been waiting two hours to speak.

A SPEAKER: This is also important.
CHAIRPERSON ROMANO: They have important things to say, as well.

A SPEAKER: The committee has sliced and diced the upper west side.

In my district, from West 81 to West 100th

Street, I have 3 state senators, and 3 Council people.

We would like very much for Park West Village to be included in the district 6.

And I refer to the testimony of my colleagues Curtis Arluck, Marc Landis, Nick Prego and others in saying that please stop slicing and dicing politically.

Do not punish us, because we are very outspoken, and we are fighting various development in our neighborhood and I just hope that the slicing and dicing politically is not to silence us.

Thank you.
THE GENERAL COUNSEL: The next speaker is Isaac Gluck.

Our next speaker will be Sandra Morales de Leon to be followed by April Tyler.

A SPEAKER: Good evening.
My name is Saundra Morales de Leon, and I am a life long resident of East Harlem.

And I am also deputy director of the East Harlem Business Capital Corporation, whose mission is to significantly enhance the economy and commerce of East Harlem, create jobs and help entrepreneurs and small businesses become successful.

I am here tonight to speak in opposition to the proposed 8 council district plan, whose lines disproportionately traverse two counties, the Bronx and Manhattan, disenfranchise a particular community of interest and eliminates historical landmarks that are critical to the culture of El Barrio.

Please note the following:
The Districting Commission is required under The Charter to preserve communities of interest. The part of East Harlem, including district 8 is overwhelmingly Latino and constitutes a community of interest. To move pieces of the neighborhood like proverbial chess pieces on a board to a neighboring Council district that does not share the same cultural identity is to split up this important community of interest.

Secondly, all residents of the East Harlem community need to be fairly represented on the City Council, keeping as much as possible to the lines that are currently drawn and which currently represent the majority concentration of Latinos and specifically Puerto Ricans.

Third, the proposed redistricting would seize 49 percent of the population of the new district from another county, the Bronx. This action will completely decimate El Barrio's escalating political power and influence as a community.

Fourth, the blocks being cut from East Harlem and moved to council district 9 and Central Harlem --

Some of the individual blocks go as high as 55 to 65 percent Latino. The Non-Partisan Organization and Common Cause have said publicly that there is no demographic reason for the way East Harlem is being split up.

La Marqueta and other historic and cultural landmarks for the Puerto Rican community currently reside in Council district 8.

In order for these to be fully preserved and to receive proper and warranted attention, they should continue to be represented completely within the East Harlem council district.

Removing La Marqueta from the heart of the Latino community is akin to placing the Apollo Theatre in a district representing Chelsea or Chinatown.

The cultural relevance would be diluted. Moreover, La Marqueta is also an economic development engine for our East Harlem community and we need to reserve the jobs and the economic opportunities that will continue to offer.

Finally, we are asking that the District Commission review our proposed new map, the East Harlem South Bronx map for district 8 -- and I have it attached and have copies for the Commission -- which is sensitive to the need for fair representation, maintains the community of interest and preserves historical landmarks where they have the most cultural relevance.

CHAIRPERSON ROMANO: Thank you. We will accept that from you.

THE GENERAL COUNSEL: Our next speaker will be April Tyler, to be followed by Wellington Chen.

A SPEAKER: Good evening.
My name is April Tyler, and I am long time resident of West Harlem, a long time community activist and a former district leader in West Harlem.

I initially agreed with Council Member Jackson, when he stated at the last hearing that the 7 councilmanic district should remain the same, but in review the census data I realized that because of the dramatically low census count in district 10, that the district did have to be changed, and there has to be dramatic adjustments in all of upper Manhattan.

But the preliminary lines that are with proposed by the Commission are, to put it simply, just wrong.

In councilmanic district 7, the northwest boundary of the 7 district as drawn by the Commission would cut across 142nd Street from Amsterdam Avenue to Riverside Drive.

This is right in the middle of a residential block, a brownstone block, mainly homeowners and a block with residents who go to the same subway stop, go to the same markets, use a local park -the same people, and also, are mostly members of the Hamilton Heights Homeowners Association.

And I think that cutting across that very unnatural boundary just doesn't make sense, to me or to community residents.

There are other examples, but in the interest of time I won't go over them.

But $I$ will point out that $I$ did go down to
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 the Commission and redrew a councilmanic map for district 7, and because it did not meet the population requirements, um, I redrew that district as well as the district and made alterations in all of upper Manhattan.I would encourage residents of the community to take a look at the map, it is online, and make comments and make adjustments.

And I think that what is clear in this hearing and in this room is that we the community know how our community line should look and you should listen to us and not make arbitrary decisions -- (Applause) -- about how our district lines should look.

And then lastly, and $I$ realize $I$ am a little over time, $I$ would echo -- and this is a very important point -- the fact that the way that the lines are drawn now, um, we will potentially lose minority representation in possibly 3 districts.

So we are now in Manhattan, and we have 6 representatives of color and important voices on the City Council, and we might be reduced to 3 . And that will be a dramatic change for our community, for the resources that we get, for the local organizations that get resources from

Council Members.
And I think that that is just wrong and you should listen to us and you should draw the maps the way we want them drawn, not some arbitrary statistician who is putting them together.

I thank you for your time and attention. (Applause)

CHAIRPERSON ROMANO: Thank you very much.
THE GENERAL COUNSEL: Our next speaker is Wellington Chen, to be followed by Elsie Encarnacion.

A SPEAKER: Commission members, ladies and gentlemen, good evening.

I am executive director of the Chinatown Partnership, a local non-profit.

I am also here tonight on behalf of a number of Chinatown organizations, many of them cannot be here tonight. I also have a copy of a letter from another community group, which I believe had been delivered online, but $I$ will deliver a physical copy here.

I am here to talk about Council district number 1, and why the current configurations for Chinatown, Little, Battery Park City, Tribeca Soho and Lower Manhattan, and why they should remain intact.

For several hundred years, Chinatown has yearned to have its own representative and to have a voice, at the table. And the same goes for our neighbor to the east, the lower east side in the Latino community. That dream finally came into fruition three years ago, when after two decades hard work and perseverance -- one of our own, Council Member Margaret Chin was finally elected to represent Chinatown and Little Italy. (Applause)

Imagine the first settlers arriving in Manhattan that ever since the beginning of time we have waited for this moment. Most of you can understand our pride and joy when we see the outstanding results that our Council district member and the team has produced and delivered.

The task before you is not an easy one, as any decision you make will have profound implications and I wish you much wisdom.

It is a little analogous to the wise King Solomon, when he asked, by the two mothers to protect their child, when it is wise to split up that proverbial baby.

As good intention as the unity plan is, we would like to point out that it makes no sense to split up Battery Park City and Tribeca from the financial district and to mess up the current Lower Manhattan configuration.

It makes no sense to divide this historic district, as the whole world knows, that this is an integral part of the origination point.

The Chinatown Partnership was created out of the ashes of Nine Eleven. We are integrally tied to lower Manhattan as the history will judge.

The current district 1 allows for two representatives from the Lower East Side and Chinatown to both have a seated at the Council, one being Rosie Mendez and from Chinatown Margaret Chin.

Please preserve this configuration as a whole, and as the lady who testified before, before me, if it ain't broken, don't fix it.

Please keep Lower Manhattan whole -(Applause) -- integrated and leave well enough alone.

Thank you for listening.
THE GENERAL COUNSEL: Our next speaker is Elsie Encarnacion to be followed by Christopher Kui.

A SPEAKER: Good evening.
I am a life long resident of East Harlem. I am here this evening to voice my concerns with the proposed lines of council district 8. As a voter and active community member I understand and appreciate all of the work put into drafting the lines.

I also understand that our neighbors in the Bronx have a tremendous growth in population and are in need of representation.

However, I do not believe that the lines, as they are currently being proposed, best meets the needs of my community.

As Commission members, you all have a responsibility to provide voters with fair and effective representation. By squeezing in East Harlem's lines and pushing our Bronx portion out into the Cross Bronx Expressway, we stand to face many hardships like limited funding and resources for our schools, housing developments and senior centers.

In addition, this proposal makes East Harlem only 49 percent of the district, leaving 51 percent in the Bronx.

This action will dilute our political power and influence as a community.

Looking at the map I see broken-up community after broken-up community with High Bridge being cut into three Council districts, East Harlem divided by two, Manhattan Valley split into three and no one kept whole.

I ask the Commission members to look at alternative possibilities, like the Unity Map which I support. The Unity Map still takes into account the growth in the Bronx, and keeps communities like East Harlem, Manhattan Valley and Mott Haven united.

Allow us as voters a real opportunity to have our voices counted and redraw the lines to fit our needs. In addition to the Unity Map lines, I ask the Commission that they allow for another round of public comment on the final draft of lines before they are voted on.

Thank you.
(Applause).
THE GENERAL COUNSEL: Do we have Christopher Kui? Okay.

Moving on to Cheryl Pahaham, followed by Jamaal Nelson.

A SPEAKER: My name is Cheryl Pahaham.

I am a candidate for City Council in district 7, which is located on western side of northern Manhattan, and my ability to run for office is directly affected by the outcome of this redistricting.

The New York City districting process is fundamentally undemocratic because it privileges politicians and their allies over the public.

The New York City Charter lets politicians decide how to redraw the boundaries of Council districts and gives them excessive influence in selecting candidates to run in the next election, long before the public is given the opportunity to voice its concerns and preferences in redistricting.

New York City's districting process is deeply flawed and must be reformed through a Charter revision. It is not fair to residents, voters and candidates that redistricting starts so late and ends just before the next municipal primary election.

This timing only benefits politician in office and their associates.

New York City has the resources and the know how to do better and to treat its residents with
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much more respect by starting much earlier, opening up the process and enabling more meaningful participation by the public. Otherwise, politicians in office will have too much sway in future elections and in the people's choices of candidates.

Knowing that the process is politically charged and time sensitive, the Commission should have prepared several options of redrawn districts for the public to consider, instead of just one proposal.

Offering just a single preliminary plan has caused many people to believe that redistricting is finished. The Commission has an obligation to help the public understand that there is not one way to redraw the boundaries of Council districts.

For instance, the Commission could have also offered a plan that kept Council district 7 largely intact.

I am attaching to my testimony a petition signed by northern Manhattan residents and others that asked the Mayor and the Council to revise the City Charter before 2020 to deal with this problem and enable the public to effectively participate in this process.

The petition also asks that the boundaries of district 7 be kept largely intact, and the Commission's provide new drafts of maps with this option.

Finally, I am very concerned that the Commission's preliminary plan will adversely impact the ability of African-Americans in New York county to elect candidates of their choice, because it reduces the number of Council districts in the county where an African-American can get elected.

In the existing configuration of Council districts there are two districts in New York County that have elected African-American candidates, 7 and 9.

The Commission proposed district 7 increases the number of white residents and white voters and moves district 7 into neighborhoods that could experience increases in high income white residents due to Columbia University's planned development, rising rents and current home prices that are out of reach for most minorities.

These trends could make it less likely that African-Americans will be able to elect

African-American candidates in the proposed district 7. This outcome could be avoided by leaving district 7 intact.

As part of its work the Commission should analyze and make public its assessment of the impact of its preliminary plan and any revised maps on the ability of African-Americans and others in New York county that are protected under the Voting Rights Act to elect candidates of their choosing.
(Applause)
CHAIRPERSON ROMANO: Thank you. Next speaker.

THE GENERAL COUNSEL: Just another reminder, if you go over time you are depriving other people from being able to speak tonight.

Our next speaker is Jamaal Nelson, to be followed by Leah Hotzel.

A SPEAKER: The New York City Redistricting Commission's of proposed lines of 7, 9 and 10 councilmanic districts reveal a stunning insensitivity to the historic and contemporary community, and contemporary community identity.

In the age of voter suppression an insidious attempt to crack and clash minority communities. When the underserved and underrepresented communities of upper Manhattan examine the Commission's lines, we can't help but hear the silent thunder on Langston Hughes words: I swear to the Lord I cannot see why democracy means everybody but me.
(Applause).
The implication of the Commission's lines would result in the unnecessary cracking and clashing of already underserved and underrepresented minority communities that have been far too long pitted against one another, and it will not happen now.

No one needs to look any further than how the Commission's lines seek to diminish the dominant Dominican community of Washington Heights and Inwood. One of our city's most dynamic emerging demographics have voted as one block with one voice as one community.

The Commission's line seeks to crack this magnificent monument of a community into two with a rusty jagged chisel of blatant insensitivity.
(Applause)
Community cracking is a wanton abuse of the districting process, and we stand in solidarity with our Dominican brothers and sisters and we say No.
(Applause)
Here in northern Manhattan, we have news for the Commission, the Latino community is no monolith, but a mosaic of cultural expressions, each of which with their own distinctive hews of varying idioms, cuisines and proud distinctions.

Central Harlem is not exempt from the Commission's wanton insensitivity to community lines, and we will fight any attempt to crack the foundation of the 7 th councilmanic district, Central Harlem.

Attempts have been made to attempt to crack Central Harlem in the past.

We remember being pummeled by the sledgehammer of voter suppression in the '60s; the eruption of social unrest in the '70s; the rumblings of the urban blight of the '80s and '90s: And we survived them all and we will survive this attempt to crack.
(Applause)
In closing, I urge the Commission to take a balanced approach and for God's sake keep La Marqueta in East Harlem.

CHAIRPERSON ROMANO: Thank you very much.
THE GENERAL COUNSEL: Our next speaker is
Leah Hotzel.
Okay. Felix Campos.
A SPEAKER: Good evening and thank you for this opportunity.

My name is Felix Campos, and I am born, bred and buttered in Spanish Harlem.

I have lived in Washington Heights and also, and Harlem and the South Bronx and I am back.

## (Applause)

The points that I want to make are not new. They have been said by many before me, and I will just reiterate them, not as eloquently as our brother over here with the red tie and the nice shirt, WEB Junior.

But in any event, what I would like for this Commission to acknowledge and to recognize, are the natural boundaries that have been spoken about that have defined neighborhoods for, if not tens of years, hundreds of years in East Harlem, it has always been the East River on one side, Central Park and Fifth Avenue on the other and I think they should remain that way.

Taking La Marqueta out of El Barrio Spanish
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Harlem is as it has been said before, like taking the Apollo Theatre out of Harlem, the pyramids out of Egypt or the Eiffel Tower out of France.
(Applause)
It just does not make any sense. In doing that, you remove one of the economic hubs at 116th Street has, which is one of the four major economic hubs of Spanish Harlem and is a tourist attraction that can be developed, that can be utilized to bring in new revenues through cultural tourism, among other things that East Harlem has always represented.

And that is a first home for immigrant communities that have always naturally revitalized the neighborhood, economically and culturally through the presence of once other previous groups have decided to move on.

The proposed district line also threatens ethnic and cultural uniqueness of Spanish Harem and other neighborhoods in the city, not just the Spanish Harlem and ah -- sorry -- that cultural uniqueness that have always been identified as stimulus for cultural tourism in El Barrio that help to stymie a community that is defined as a solid, where everyone is recognized and seen, and not as a melting plot.

Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON ROMANO: Thank you.
THE GENERAL COUNSEL: We have now completed our list of pre-registered speakers and we are moving on to those that have registered at the door.

First we have Arafa Speaks to be followed by Rev. G. Morgan Thomas.

We have Arafa Speaks or the Rev. Morgan Thomas? We will move on for the moment.

A SPEAKER: Reverend Morgan Thomas who is the chair of Community Board 9, she is no longer here. She was here almost 45 minutes before you began, and she was not able to remain.

Community Board 9, which is 110th Street to 155th Street, the park line, Morningside, St. Nichlaus, and Jackie Robinson Park to the Hudson River is not in support of the preliminary drawing and favors the Commission to be able to take strong attention to the testimony of City Councilwoman Dickens and the Upper Manhattan empowerment development plan that has been presented to you this evening.

THE GENERAL COUNSEL: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ROMANO: Thank you.
(Applause).
THE GENERAL COUNSEL: Our next speaker will be Serehta Patterson to be followed by Dr. Vicki Gholsoh.
(Pause).
A SPEAKER: All right. Okay.
Good evening, my name is Serehta Patterson and I represent the Rangel Houses in West Harlem, and I wanted to talk tonight because I wanted to make a point that sometimes you have to go back in history to find out where we are right now, and today.

So, I am going back a little.
Because back in time, towards the beginning, before the 19th Century, we had --

Oh, my God, my phone is ringing now. Please excuse me.

Okay. But, back then, we had Irish who first settled in Harlem, when they first came to New York, Harlem was the first place that they settled down in.

Okay. And as the century went on, we had the Jews and they settled in Harlem as well during the beginning of the 19th Century.

After that came the blacks. We were the renaissance, we came and helped build up Harlem and tried to make it as best for everyone along with the Jews and the Irish.

So I wanted to say that because after that came the Puerto Ricans, who settled on the East side of Harlem, which became Spanish Harlem.

So, in saying that, I am saying that at the time people were here, we all had this place, this was Harlem, when they all got here.

And then people, then when we came and one behind the other, people started to leave.

So, as they left, yes, we settled, we stayed here but we did not never say, this was just our home. This was for everyone, any and everyone who came before, because we are all God's people.

So, I am just saying that everyone should live in Harlem and be happy, because this is God's land and we all should be here but we shouldn't have to give up Harlem and Harlem's name or its heritage.

We are district 9 and we should stay district 9. We are upper Harlem and it should stay that way.
(Applause)

And anyone that wants to live in Harlem more power to you, you are more than welcome because we are here to live together, not to be separated, not on the east side nor the west side.

CHAIRPERSON ROMANO: Thank you very much.
THE GENERAL COUNSEL: Our next speaker is Dr. Vicky Gholsoh, to be followed by Tiena Traversa.

A SPEAKER: I am hoping that these of us in the room are now prepared to do two things: As commissioners, you have to be as active and as passionate as my neighbors have been, in changing what other commissioners behind you will have to go through.

There is no reason from this point forward that we should repeat the same process. People perpetuate these mistakes.

The intellect that is up on that stage as commissioners should never ever have allowed the first draft to come out to the public, because it does two things.
(Applause).
It engages us in conversations and arguments against each other, which is a waste of time. If the homework had been done correctly, that first preliminary draft would never have been a public document.

It was, it has been said this evening by several people that (1), there are other recommendations that have come forward, (2), those recommendations should result in another document, if not the final document, and (3), there should be participation to discuss that document, and not have that document go directly for a vote to anyone.

And the reason now, more so than ever, is because this City and it is known by the neighbors, especially in the poorer neighborhoods, is under the influence of economic determinations.

If you have seven of you have been appointed and another five of you that have been appointed, and that first draft is what we got, then those "statements" that have been made this evening in terms of who is manipulating our communities, and the political process and the power going forward, is absolutely correct.

Participatory democracy as demonstrated by these young kids that stood up here today, we
have a responsibility to two groups, one are the elders, they have paid their dues, and they can tell you with common sense that what is being done is incorrect.

And two are the children.
(Applause)
Because if we don't get it correct this time around, if we illustrate to them that adults can manipulate systems so that certain outcomes come about, then all of us are engaged in evil and all of us are engaged in criminal activity.

And I won't talk about the morality of it.
So, I hope that every single commissioner you put your professional credibility on the line, and you demand of the people who appointed you to this Commission, that there are changes that need to be made in the process. There has to be other engagement than hearings. If I speak to you, this is not a discourse. If I go to a computer, if I go to an office and draw additional lines, that is not intellectual interaction.

And we can only grow and fine-tune a democracy when we work, sit down and meet together.
(Applause)
CHAIRPERSON ROMANO: Thank you.
THE GENERAL COUNSEL: Our next speaker is Tiena Traversa, to be followed by Pat Sherwood.

A SPEAKER: My name is Tiena Traversa, and I am a resident of East Harlem. And in the interest of time, $I$ am in alliance with the previous speakers who are advocating for the Unity Map, so I am going to move ahead and go straight to La Marqueta.

What I want to share with everyone was -what a gentleman was alluding to who mentioned that there was a project coming down the pipes, at La Marqueta. That is called Marqueta Mile.

Marqueta Mile is the brain child of Irwin Cohen, who actually was responsible for Chelsea market, which is very successful.

And what is supposed to happen at La Marqueta Mile is supposed to extend from La Marqueta straight down to 132nd Street, and there are supposed to be kiosks built to make sure -and also open space, to make sure that there is some economic development and also develop some jobs of over, I believe my record states over 4,000 jobs, to make sure that this happens.

So, 900 kiosks are supposed to be built for this along with open space.

We already had some groups here that are construction workers, and I am pretty sure that they will see that job when it comes down the pipe. So, therefore we don't want to lose the political, cultural and especially the economic benefits that is coming down the pipe, particularly the Marqueta Mile, remember the name, Marqueta Miles, and ensure that it stays in El Barrio, East Harlem, Spanish Harlem, my home, everyone's home who is here, and I want to make sure that everyone who is educated to that and we are fighting more than you realize.

This is the heart of who we are. Thank you for listening.
(Applause)
CHAIRPERSON ROMANO: Thank you.
THE GENERAL COUNSEL: Do we have Pat
Sherwood?
(Pause)
Moving on to John Ruiz.
(Pause)
Okay. Maritta Dunn.
A SPEAKER: She is here.

THE GENERAL COUNSEL: To be followed by Laura Gonzalez.

A SPEAKER: Good evening. I did not put this information on my printed text, but I am the former chair of Community Board 9, which represents West Harlem.

I am the former vice-president of the Manhattanville Tenant Association, and I am currently on the board of directors for the West Harlem Local Development Corporation, which negotiated the community benefits agreement with Columbia University on their Manhattanville campus. Just some background.

Changes to a councilmanic district should never restrict or compromise the interests and concerns of the majority of people residing there. Changes to a councilmanic district should never pit one group of citizens against another, or result in creating a divide, based on color, heritage or nationality.

What you should do is avoid gerrymandering, protect important community boundaries, unify districts that is currently split into three, and ensure that historic institutions are contained in a single district.

As a voting resident of upper Manhattan, for well over 50 years, I have had the privilege of living through and being involved in many of its changes and accomplishments.

It is with this experience that I fully ask that you drop this plan and if you must do something, then recognize the upper Manhattan empowerment district plan.

Thank you.
(Applause).
THE GENERAL COUNSEL: Laura Gonzalez?
Um, the name is illegible.
I believe that it is Mr. or Ms. Rivera Consale?

Wanito Thomas McClausa?
(Pause)
A SPEAKER: I am Judy Iason, and live in River View Towers across from the pollution plant/state park.

What we went through almost 40 years ago to negotiate with the state and we had to surrender and give in about having the pollution plant.

From then on it was the nightmare of the crack addictions, the gangs, the corrupt police, as well as our own share of rats and various other urban, urban agony.

In the meantime, since so many of us did not speak one another's language there was a core of people of different nationalities and ethnicity who knew how to speak in a language that had to do with for the good of all of the people.

That is how we talked: On the elevator, on the line at the supermarket, waiting for the bus, wherever we were, we talked about what can we do to make it all right for all of us.

Do you know what it took to do everything including drawing pictures sometimes, you have a person in your house that is going to help you fix the leak, because the super cannot. He has not had a contract in five years; why should he work?

But someone who can't speak English and happens to not read in his own language or anyone else's but knew how to fix anything that had to do with plumbing.

So there you are explaining that it can't be between 1:00 and 3:00 o'clock because I have to go and get my kids.

But he is also willing to stand outside because you don't know each other, you don't want
to leave him in the house and he doesn't want to stay in the house, he had someplace to go for his lunch.

We had to do so much negotiating and exchanging of cultures and having patience with one another and building the community. Why is it? I don't want to sound like Paul Mooney.

But how come as soon as we make it whole and useful for ourselves, somebody wants to come to take it apart and reap the benefits.
(Applause.)
You just can't do it like that. It is not an erector set.

What ever happened to just happened to just tweaking a little bit there and there, and not slashing and gashing.

What in the world are you doing? It took us so long just to get to this point. Now we have brand new voters, as the other speakers said, Dr. Gholsoh told us, the youth are watching us. We have to do this a certain way, from the White House down to Manhattan, to the five boroughs, right down to the State of New York, we have to demonstrate a good example. We are what we have been waiting for.

Thank you for listening.
CHAIRPERSON ROMANO: Thank you.
(Applause)
THE GENERAL COUNSEL: Our next speaker is Walter Edwards, to be followed by Carlos Torres. Walter Edwards. Carlo Torres. It is now --

CHAIRPERSON ROMANO: There is someone.
THE GENERAL COUNSEL: We are required by our host to vacate the premises by $9 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{m}$. and if you are registered to speak tonight and did not have the ability to speak tonight because of the time, please contact our office and we will prioritize you at a hearing next week.

There are hearings in Staten Island, Queens, and Brooklyn, and you can contact us by telephone at 212.442.0256, or by e-mailing hearings at districting dot NYC dot Gov.

A SPEAKER: Excuse me.
That is absolutely unacceptable. You laid out a process, I preregistered and I do not plan on taking more than my two minutes, but I plan on taking my two minutes if it will please the Commission.

Thank you. My name is Elizabeth Lorris

Ritter, and I am the president of the Hudson Heights Owner Coalition, and I have way more than two minutes of testimony which I will submit to you in writing.

This is not the first time that you have seen me, and I guess it won't be the last.

But my basic issue with this plan is that in northern Manhattan we have been pretty consistently shortchanged by the City budgeting process, and one of the ways that we get made whole for our parks, our schools --

Excuse me. I know you have been here a really long time, and I am grateful for that, but I would also really appreciate your listening to me, sir.

THE GENERAL COUNSEL: We are required to leave premises.

A SPEAKER: I hear that.
A SPEAKER: Finish speaking, girl.
A SPEAKER: Our roads, our schools, our housing -- so many things depend on discretionary funding from our Council Members and what we get now because we have two Council Members, is upper Manhattan has 20 percent of the representation in the Manhattan borough delegation.

We have 20 percent of the Manhattan borough board.

By reducing, by going with this map, and putting all of Inwood and most of Washington Heights together under one councilmanic district -- and I have no issue with -- (Inaudible) I will be happy to be represented by him -- but you reduce our seat at the table, our seats at the table, to one seat.

That is not fair. It is not fair and it is not right and it is not reasonable.

I will submit the rest of this to you in writing and defer to the people who also have been waiting.

Thank you.
(Applause)
THE GENERAL COUNSEL: This hearing was noticed until 9 p.m. and we informed the Schomberg Center that we would be complete at that point.

A SPEAKER: You guys took about three minutes before the nine o'clock hour to let us know that it is over, but I will take 10 seconds. My name is Adiane Mack and I live in East Harlem on 117th between First and Second, I am a community member. I want to invite all of you as well as the commissioners up here to come to the East Harlem Harvest Festival, which is October 27, on a Saturday from 12 to 6 p.m., and it is going to be in La Marqueta in the placita, and it is an opportunity to support La Marqueta and support the placita and support the small businesses in East Harlem that are constantly being ignored.

October 27, Saturday from 12 to 6 . Come with your children. It is a fantastic event, supporting East Harlem. Thank you.

THE GENERAL COUNSEL: Thank you all for coming, and thank you to our host, the Schomburg Center.

A SPEAKER: It is 8:59, and I will speak quickly.

My name is Alex Luis Castex-Porter and I live in district 10.

It should be noted that Washington Heights and Inwood, the connections in these neighborhoods are a north-south connection and the geographical features are a north-south connection.

I encourage you all to take a walking tour of Washington Heights and Inwood this weekend because the current map clearly shows that you do not know these neighborhoods and how they are divided both geographically and via ethnicity. And again these are north-south divisions and the maps don't reflect it.

THE GENERAL COUNSEL: We stand adjourned. We thank you very much for coming here tonight. We no longer need microphones. Thank you. (Time noted: 9:00 p.m.)
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


|  | ```37:12, 39:23, 42:11, 44:9, 46:15, 48:15, 51:8, 51:11, 52:17, 53:17, 59:19, 61:8, 61:9, 71:25, 75:20, 80:1, 82:4, 85:13, 87:4, 89:1, 92:10, 96:3, 99:14, 103:12, 105:14, 107:12, 108:5, 109:22, 111:8, 113:18, 115:22, 117:22, 119:2, 120:13, 124:10, 127:17, 128:12, 128:18, 131:12, 133:20, 133:24, 135:24, 139:18, 142:17, 145:10, 147:24, 149:23, 153:18, 158:8, 159:4, 161:8, 164:4, 166:1, 170:5 following [4] - 11:8, 96:25, 125:3, 140:10 follows [1] - 39:17 foolishly [1] - 90:18 football [1] - 84:23 force [2]-110:21, 124:16 forget \([1]\) - 104:6 forgot [2] - 17:22, 114:13 formed [3] - 110:17, 121:2, 121:22 former [10] - 35:7, 35:8, 57:21, 57:23, 82:20, 87:11, 110:8, 142:22, 166:5, 166:7 forth [1] - 92:21 fortunes [1] - 73:1 forward [7]-26:16, 91:14, 95:24, 124:21, 161:16, 162:6, 162:23 foster [1] - 121:25 fostering [1] -62:1 fought \([7]-20: 24\), 22:19, 24:12, 26:2, 36:12, 43:5, 138:11 found [2] - 73:22, 78:14 foundation [1] - 155:12 founder [1]-95:5 four [5]-38:24, 92:16, 92:24, 104:20, 157:7 Fourth [1] - 141:7 fractures [1]-15:24 frame [1] - 97:22 France [1] - 157:3 Francis [3]-2:5, 31:9, 35:3 Frank [1] - 10:12 FRANK [1]-1:13 frankly [1] - 63:12 Frederick [2]-41:19, 74:21``` | free $[2]-133: 1,138: 4$ <br> Friedman $[4]-2: 15$, <br> 119:2, 120:13, 120:14 <br> friends $[3]-43: 12$, <br> 87:25, 109:1 <br> front $[2]-80: 20,107: 24$ <br> fronts $[1]-76: 25$ <br> fruition $[1]-146: 7$ <br> Ft $[2]-59: 10,68: 2$ <br> fuel $[1]-36: 10$ <br> full $[2]-38: 2,50: 20$ <br> fully $[2]-141: 18,167: 5$ <br> Fund $[1]-37: 15$ <br> fund $[5]-20: 10,22: 23$, <br> 26:6, 26:18, $43: 19$ <br> fundamentally $[2]-$ <br> 118:20, 150:7 <br> funded $[1]-19: 21$ <br> funding $[3]-26: 22$, <br> 148:19, $171: 22$ <br> funds $[1]-29: 25$ <br> Fung $[4]-2: 5,35: 4$, <br> $37: 11,37: 13$ <br> future $[6]-20: 17$, <br> $61: 17,94: 2,132: 8$, <br> 135:15, 151:5 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| ```goddamn [1] - 105:2 goes [7] - 45:9, 77:10, 91:20, 99:25, 107:6, 114:15, 146:4 Gonzalez [2] - 166:2, 167:11 goods [2] - 36:3, 115:14 Gorilla [1] - 18:3 Gov [1] - 170:18 government [5] - 36:21, 44:4, 48:21, 89:25, 124:17 grab [1] - 117:5 grade [5] - 6:12, 19:11, 21:15, 25:5, 42:17 grader [1] - 23:15 graduate [1] - 46:7 Gramercy [1] - 99:23 grandchildren [1] - 60:15 grandmother [2] - 40:12, 47:10 grant [1] - 19:24 Grant [3] - 110:5, 110:11, 119:4 grants [2] - 19:21, 90:13 grass [1] - 94:23 grateful [1] - 171:13 great [12]-20:15, 23:5, 43:3, 57:9, 57:19, 57:20, 58:6, 80:12, 80:14, 111:15, 136:17 greater [2]-50:25, 75:25 Green [1] - 137:19 greets [1] - 20:20 grew [1] - 77:6 Grounds [2] - 62:20, 65:14 group [9]-6:7, 6:14, 59:16, 95:5, 96:21, 110:16, 122:24, 145:19, 166:18 Group [1] - 134:5 groups [16] - 4:6, 8:23, 28:2, 28:5, 45:7, 45:24, 49:10, 97:9, 103:2, 127:9, 132:5, 132:13, 135:4, 157:17, 163:1, 165:3 grow [1] - 163:23 growing [2] - 38:23, 109:6 grown [2] - 47:25, 131:16 growth [2]-148:9, 149:10 guarantee [2]-20:12, 57:15``` | ```guaranteed [1] - 136:19 guard [15] - 20:20, 20:23, 22:3, 22:12, 22:18, 22:20, 24:3, 24:11, 24:13, 25:21, 25:24, 26:3, 30:9, 43:5, 43:8 guards [1] - 21:20 guess [1] - 171:6 Guess [1] - 84:3 Guide [1] - 33:1 guide [1] - 64:17 Gustavo [4]-2:13, 105:15, 108:5, 108:7 guys [4]-53:12, 88:18, 115:3, 172:21```H <br> HACKWORTH $[1]-1: 12$ <br> Hackworth $[2]-11: 3$, <br> 135:19 <br> half $[6]-38: 21,40: 8$, <br> 45:21, $63: 25,67: 25,69: 6$ <br> halfway $[1]-13: 2$ <br> Hall $[1]-5: 7$ <br> hamburgers $[1]-54: 14$ <br> Hamilton $[4]-68: 18$, <br> 128:3, 129:18, 143:19 <br> handed $[1]-37: 1$ <br> handouts $[1]-8: 10$ <br> hang $[2]-30: 3,30: 6$ <br> HANKS $[2]-1: 22,11: 1$ <br> Hanks $[1]-11: 1$ <br> happens $[2]-164: 25$, <br> 168:18 <br> happy $[4]-26: 10$, <br> 116:7, 160:18, 172:7 <br> harbingers $[1]-68: 9$ <br> hard $[16]-20: 2,20: 24$, <br> 22:19, 24:13, 26:2, <br> 26:12, $36: 12,44: 3$, <br> $74: 13,83: 7,86: 4$, <br> 116:25, 117:3, 136:21, <br> 138:15, 146:8 <br> hardships $[1]-148: 19$ <br> Harem $[1]-157: 19$ <br> Harlem [150] - 15:18, <br> $16: 4,27: 16,27: 18$, <br> $27: 19,28: 19,28: 25$, <br> 29:14, 31:21, 31:25, <br> $32: 19,32: 22,33: 24$, <br> $34: 13,34: 14,34: 18$, <br> $34: 19,47: 5,47: 6,47: 8$, <br> $47: 16,47: 22,48: 7$, <br> 48:10, 51:19, 52:24, <br> 53:5, 55:19, 56:1, 56:9, <br> $56: 17,59: 25,61: 23$, <br> $62: 22,63: 9,65: 17,76: 8$, <br> $77: 14,80: 3,82: 8,82: 18$, | 84:15, 85:5, 87:8, 87:23, 88:13, 90:21, 92:19, 92:25, 93:4, 93:6, 93:8, 98:17, 98:19, 98:20, 104:12, 104:13, 105:24, 106:1, 106:3, 106:5, 106:6, 106:8, 106:9, 106:13, 106:20, 107:2, 108:11, 108:14, 110:4, 113:25, 115:13, 116:11, 116:17, 116:18, 117:11, 117:12, 117:13, 118:3, 118:6, 118:7, 118:8, 126:9, 126:20, 127:1, 127:5, 127:25, 128:1, 128:4, 128:8, 139:21, 139:23, 139:25, 140:13, 140:20, 141:8, 141:9, 141:14, 141:21, 142:3, 142:8, 142:21, 142:23, 148:2, 148:22, 149:4, 149:11, 155:9, 155:13, 155:15, 155:25, 156:8, 156:10, 156:21, 157:1, 157:2, 157:8, 157:12, 157:21, 159:9, 159:20, 159:21, 159:24, 160:2, 160:7, 160:10, 160:18, 160:20, 160:23, 161:1, 164:6, 165:11, 166:6, 166:10, 172:25, 173:3, 173:8, 173:12 <br> Harlem's [2] - 148:17, 160:20 <br> Harlem) [1] - 105:16 harm [1] - 20:14 harmful [1] - 21:4 <br> Harry [4] - 2:10, 85:13, 87:4, 87:6 <br> HART [2] - 1:18, 10:18 <br> Hart [1] - 10:18 <br> Harvest [1] - 173:3 <br> hasn't [1] - 39:15 <br> hasty [1]-33:9 <br> Have you [1] - 127:11 <br> Haven [2] - 76:9, 149:12 <br> HDFCs [2] - 45:9, 45:23 <br> hear [11] - 3:13, 6:17, <br> 9:23, 31:4, 51:13, 66:20, <br> 67:7, 79:18, 85:8, 154:3, 171:18 <br> heard [10] - 4:18, 12:24, 78:18, 93:4, 106:15, 120:1, 122:13, 123:10, 126:10, 126:18 <br> Heard [1] - 59:22 hearing [18] - 4:3, 4:7, 6:20, 17:21, 18:8, 18:13, 18:15, 25:1, 76:11, 78:20, 79:7, 113:24, | ```114:1, 129:24, 142:25, 144:10, 170:14, 172:17 hearings [18] - 3:5, 4:15, 4:18, 6:21, 8:1, 8:9, 9:13, 9:16, 10:3, 12:8, 12:10, 12:23, 38:9, 79:4, 79:11, 163:18, 170:15, 170:17 heart [6] - 29:5, 40:6, 67:25, 77:13, 141:22, 165:15 heavily [1] - 101:1 heels [1] - 90:25 Heights [35]-16:3, 50:3, 62:21, 64:4, 67:22, 68:18, 68:19, 81:3, 81:4, 110:3, 115:25, 116:20, 117:10, 120:15, 120:18, 120:20, 121:7, 121:18, 122:3, 122:11, 123:3, 123:9, 127:22, 127:25, 128:2, 128:3, 128:23, 129:18, 143:19, 154:17, 156:9, 171:2, 172:5, 173:20, 174:1 Heights' [1] - 68:1 Hello [4]-21:14, 37:13, 42:15, 87:6 help [13] - 16:9, 60:23, 83:23, 94:18, 113:1, 122:25, 123:1, 139:25, 151:15, 154:3, 157:24, 168:13 helped [7] - 4:6, 20:14, 22:22, 26:6, 26:18, 112:4, 160:2 helpful \([6]\) - 21:25, 27:3, 29:24, 50:24, 66:17 helping [1] - 111:21 helps [4]-19:20, 20:10, 26:13, 74:24 Henrietta [4]-2:14, 115:22, 117:22, 117:24 Henry [4]-2:3, 24:23, 27:10, 27:15 hereby [1] - 174:15 heritage [3]-93:7, 160:21, 166:20 Herman [3] - 2:5, 31:8, 35:3 hews [1] - 155:7 Hi [1] - 59:21 High [1] - 149:3 high [4] - 45:19, 130:5, 141:10, 152:20 high-rise [1] - 45:19 Highbridge [3] - 107:7, 114:15, 126:24 higher [1] - 116:10 highest [1] - 78:5``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


|  |  | ```identities [1] - 63:20 identity [3] - 108:18, 140:18, 153:23 idioms [1] - 155:8 ignore [3] - 62:18, 69:1, 107:9 ignored [3] - 62:24, 63:3, 173:9 ignores [2]-34:14, 63:19 III [2] - 1:16, 10:16 illegally [1] - 93:14 illegible [1] - 167:12 illustrate [1] - 163:8 Imagine [2]-43:17, 146:12 immersed [1] - 74:7 immigrant [3] - 40:11, 58:1, 157:13 immigrants [1] - 115:6 immigrate [1] - 47:8 impact [2] - 152:8, 153:6 impacted [1] - 130:25 impacts [1] - 65:23 imperative [1] - 111:3 implement [1] - 27:25 implication [1] - 154:8 implications [1] - 146:20 importance [2] - 89:7, 91:4 important [23] - 10:2, 21:23, 23:1, 23:16, 23:19, 28:12, 42:20, 49:11, 54:17, 55:20, 63:9, 69:22, 94:6, 96:1, 98:8, 118:12, 132:1, 138:20, 138:21, 140:19, 144:17, 144:21, 166:22 importantly [4] - 62:24, 68:24, 75:4, 108:14 impossible [1] - 38:14 impressed [1] - 112:1 improve [1] - 48:24 improved [1] - 14:22 improvement [2] - 15:14, 112:8 Improvement [1] - 106:9 improving [1] - 111:23 IN[1] - 1:10 inappropriate [1] - 118:18 inaudible [2] - 50:19, 77:4 Inaudible [4] - 7:12, 11:18, 19:23, 172:6 Inaudible) [1] - 85:25``` | ```Inc [1] - 103:16 inclination [1] - 33:13 include [7]-8:10, 8:17, 67:16, 67:20, 95:23, 131:23, 135:4 included [5] - 41:1, 41:19, 68:4, 136:14, 139:4 includes [6] - 32:10, 34:4, 34:18, 92:16, 114:11, 136:7 income [5] - 44:19, 45:10, 100:4, 119:7, 152:20 inconsiderate [1] - 18:15 incorrect [1] - 163:4 increase [2]-77:25, 93:15 increased [2]-4:24, 37:22 increases [2] - 152:17, 152:20 increasing [3] - 49:16, 49:25, 130:17 incumbent [2]-33:7, 102:16 incumbents [2] - 39:14, 83:10 indicate [1] - 70:7 indicated [1] - 86:7 indiscriminate [1] - 64:14 individual [4]-4:7, 72:22, 73:10, 141:10 individuals [3] - 4:5, 4:19, 100:10 Indo [1] - 38:20 Indo-Caribbean [1] - 38:20 industry [1] - 36:10 Inez [5] - 2:9, 15:22, 59:20, 61:8, 118:24 inflict [1] - 73:2 influence [4]-141:5, 149:1, 150:11, 162:15 information [4] - 73:16, 73:19, 127:20, 166:4 informative \({ }_{[1]}\) - 9:18 informed [4] - 64:7, 64:16, 73:13, 172:18 initially \([1]\) - 142:24 Innocent [4]-2:13, 109:23, 111:8, 111:11 innovative [1] - 122:16 input [2] - 10:4, 12:19 insensitivity [3] - 153:22, 154:22, 155:10 insidious [1] - 153:24``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| inspection $[1]-7: 8$ inspires $[1]-112: 9$ installed $[1]-43: 9$ instance $[1]-151: 18$ Institute $[1]-37: 25$ institution $[2]-63: 9$, $76: 1$ institutions $[8]-68: 16$, $90: 14,90: 17,95: 19$, 121:20, 122:2, 126:12, 166:24 intact $[15]-9: 1,34: 4$, $34: 17,34: 20,53: 2$, $53: 13,74: 15,107: 1$, 107:16, 123:23, 134:10, $146: 1,151: 20,152: 3$, $153: 3$ integral $[1]-147: 7$ integrally $[1]-147: 9$ integrated $[1]-147: 20$ Integration $[1]-36: 11$ integrity $[1]-82: 24$ intellect $[1]-161: 19$ intellectual $[1]-163: 21$ intend $[2]-36: 16,37: 5$ intended $[1]-32: 13$ intent $[2]-83: 3,134: 23$ intention $[1]-146: 25$ intentional $[1]-94: 19$ interaction $[1]-163: 22$ interactive $[1]-9: 19$ interest $[29]-4: 11,9: 1$, 13:17, 28:7, 28:10, $49: 13,67: 16,74: 16$, $76: 22,77: 10,79: 1$, 89:23, 106:14, 107:1, 107:16, 110:1, 116:23, 126:14, 127:9, 129:8, $132: 4,132: 10,140: 7$, $140: 12,140: 15,140: 19$, $142: 12,143: 24,164: 7$ interested $[3]-25: 7$, $72: 15,111: 21$ interesting $[1]-26: 25$ interests $[3]-55: 6$, $98: 24,166: 15$ interpreter $[1]-54: 1$ Interpreter $[2]-55: 14$, $57: 3$ Interpreter) $[1]-54: 7$ interpreters $[1]-53: 23$ intersection $[11]-$ $20: 22,22: 7,22: 14$, 22:16, 23:23, 24:2, 24:5, 24:9, 25:17, 26:3, 30:8 intimate $[1]-118: 5$ introduce $[1]-10: 10$ introduces $[1]-68: 25$ invasion $[1]-65: 17$ | ```invite [1] - 173:1 involved [5] - 37:20, 113:11, 113:12, 132:13, 167:3 Inwood [8] - 59:10, 67:22, 68:18, 129:18, 154:17, 172:4, 173:21, 174:1 Ireland [1] - 40:13 Irene [1]-122:23 Irish [3]-40:14, 159:19, 160:4 irrationally [1] - 63:10 Irwin [1] - 164:15 Is that [9]-54:5, 55:17, 55:22, 80:11, 100:20, 113:9, 113:13, 144:10, 171:7 Is there [2]-97:14, 97:16 is this [4]-56:9, 84:18, 94:22, 113:22 Isaac [6] - 2:3, 23:11, 24:23, 25:4, 135:24, 139:16 Island [14]-10:18, 11:1, 11:2, 50:11, 50:19, 84:4, 84:8, 84:13, 84:17, 98:22, 125:15, 126:2, 126:6, 170:15 island [2] - 15:7, 58:1 issue [10]-56:24, 74:7, 83:8, 83:13, 115:4, 122:15, 130:20, 134:7, 171:7, 172:6 issues [6] - 38:18, 43:24, 110:18, 112:12, 121:6, 132:14 Italy [3]-36:23, 60:4, 146:10 items [1] - 27:3 \\ J \\ Jackie [1] - 158:18 \\ Jackson [8]-2:10, \\ 15:22, 33:11, 50:3, \\ 75:20, 80:1, 119:17, \\ 142:25 \\ jagged [3] - 68:9, 81:1, 154:22 \\ Jamaal [2] - 149:24, 153:17 \\ James [3]-2:2, 11:12, 11:17 \\ jammed [1] - 25:17 \\ January [1] - 7:24 \\ Jerry [1] - 14:25 \\ jewel [1] - 87:22 \\ Jewish [1] - 40:15``` | ```Jews [2] - 159:24, 160:4 Joan [7]-2:13, 108:5, 109:22, 110:2, 119:6, 122:13, 136:3 job [6] - 44:4, 53:12, 55:12, 86:25, 136:17, 165:5 jobs [5] - 88:2, 139:25, 142:4, 164:24, 164:25 jockeying [1] - 132:7 John [2] - 122:10, 165:22 join [2] - 76:16, 92:19 joined [2] - 45:25, 119:9 Jonathan [1] - 6:15 joy [1] - 146:15 judge [1] - 147:10 Judy [2] - 2:16, 167:17 Julius [3]-2:4, 30:25, 31:8 July [1] - 133:11 Jumel [1] - 67:17 Junior [1] - 156:16 justice [1] - 96:18 Justice [9] - 8:5, 33:1, 37:24, 38:1, 92:23, 95:10, 96:8, 96:20, 97:1 justify [1] - 75:7 JUSTIN [1] - 1:15 Justin [2] - 5:23, 10:15 \begin{tabular}{c}  K \\ \hline Kamillah \([1]-11: 1\) \\ KAMILLAH \([1]-1: 22\) \\ keep \([36]\) - 8:24, 9:4, \\ 16:19, 16:20, 18:7, 21:7, \\ 23:19, 24:15, 24:18, \\ 26:10, 27:5, 38:15, 43:1, \\ \(43: 21,45: 12,53: 4\), \\ 53:13, 68:16, 71:23, \\ \(74: 24,80: 20,82: 23\), \\ 88:14, 100:25, 103:7, \\ 105:2, 106:25, 109:15, \\ 111:2, 123:22, 129:7, \\ 130:8, 133:17, 138:11, \\ 147:19, 155:24 \\ Keep \([2]-9: 23,87: 1\) \\ keeping \([5]-34: 4\), \\ 59:10, \(74: 15,107: 15\), \\ 140:22 \\ keeps \([3]-83: 11\), \\ 149:10 \\ Keith \([3]-2: 11,87: 5\), \\ 89:1 \\ Kellner \([4]-2: 16\), \\ 128:19, 131:12, 131:13 \\ Kellys \([1]-40: 14\) \\ kept \([7]-21: 2,101: 17\), \\ 102:22, 134:10, 149:6, \end{tabular}``` | ```151:19, 152:3 Kerstein [4]-2:6, 37:12, 39:23, 39:24 key [2] - 36:5, 55:4 kids [16]-22:9, 22:24, 23:1, 23:24, 24:11, 26:8, 26:13, 26:17, 26:24, 27:2, 30:5, 30:10, 43:14, 136:1, 162:25, 168:23 kinds [1] - 38:17 king [1] - 40:21 King [2] - 70:18, 146:21 KINGS [2] - 1:16, 1:20 kiosks [2] - 164:21, 165:1 Kips [1] - 99:23 knock [1]-60:24 Knowing [1] - 151:7 knowledge [3] - 62:7, 62:13, 118:5 Krulivitch [4]-2:3, 21:13, 23:11, 23:15 Kui [2] - 147:25, 149:22```  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


|  | ```Leah [2] - 153:18, 156:3 leak [1] - 168:14 LEAP [15] - 19:20, 19:24, 19:25, 20:2, 22:23, 22:24, 23:3, 26:6, 26:7, 26:15, 26:16, 30:1, 30:2, 43:21 leaping [1] - 43:22 learn [2]-26:24, 69:8 learned [1] - 18:22 learning [2] - 19:4, 19:5 leave [10] - 32:14, 49:7, 71:19, 107:24, 135:16, 135:18, 147:20, 160:12, 169:1, 171:17 leaves [2] - 32:18, 136:18 Leaving [1] - 114:18 leaving [2] - 148:23, 153:3 legal [6] - 36:11, 39:17, 92:21, 106:23, 125:21, 127:3 Legal [1] - 37:15 legendary [1] - 136:7 legislation [1] - 14:23 legislative [1] - 89:10 legitimate [1]-113:22 legitimize [1] - 114:19 lend [1]-92:18 Leon [2]-139:18, 139:20 let's [3] - 18:11, 128:2, 128:8 Let's [2] - 65:3, 79:23 lets [1] - 150:9 letter [1]-145:18 letting [1] - 101:6 level [1] - 124:22 Levine [11]-2:13, 2:15, 108:5, 109:22, 110:2, 119:6, 122:14, 128:17, 128:18, 128:21 Lexington [2] - 16:8, 65:15 LGBT \({ }_{[1]}\) - 100:15 libraries [1] - 133:2 Library [3] - 5:7, 5:10, 76:2 library [2] - 135:7, 137:22 life [9]-27:19, 61:24, 82:7, 82:18, 92:4, 110:18, 113:7, 139:21, 148:2 life-long [2] - 82:7, 82:18 lifetime [1] - 71:2 limit [2] - 16:1, 125:21``` |  | 114:10 <br> living [6] - 37:7, 44:12, 87:19, 133:1, 134:16, 167:3 <br> local [5] - 112:4, 137:16, 143:17, 144:25, 145:15 <br> Local [1] - 166:10 <br> located [2]-122:2, <br> 150:2 <br> locations [1] - 12:9 <br> logical ${ }_{[1]}$ - 68:12 <br> long-term [1] - 45:22 <br> longstanding [1] - 56:8 <br> looks [6] - 4:12, 15:8, <br> 28:6, 29:6, 115:1, 125:18 <br> loosely [1] - 128:6 <br> Lord [1] - 154:5 <br> Lorris [1] - 170:25 <br> lose [3]-21:22, 144:18, <br> 165:6 <br> Losing [1] - 112:24 <br> losing [3] - 100:11, <br> 111:14, 112:25 <br> loss [1] - 84:3 <br> lost [5] - 19:24, 33:13, <br> 54:24, 61:15, $86: 8$ <br> lot [15]-13:20, 19:19, <br> 21:22, 22:5, 22:9, 23:1, <br> 26:20, 29:23, 45:6, 45:9, <br> 45:15, 55:1, 100:21, <br> 106:15, 119:16 <br> loud [3] - 78:19, 78:20, <br> 80:14 <br> love [3]-60:12, 82:12, <br> 87:25 <br> low [4] - 45:10, 80:25, <br> 100:3, 143:3 <br> Lower [12]-13:4, 39:1, 39:13, 99:21, 99:23, <br> 101:14, 101:18, 103:1, <br> 145:25, 147:4, 147:12, <br> 147:19 <br> lower [6] - 5:17, 49:17, 101:20, 102:1, 146:5, 147:10 <br> lucky [1] - 43:11 <br> Luis [1] - 173:18 <br> Luke's [1] - 122:8 <br> lunch [1] - 169:3 <br> luxury [1] - 132:23 <br> lying [1] - 88:20 <br> Lyle [4]-2:14, 115:22, <br> 117:22, 117:24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 96:13, 96:15, 96:21, } \\ & 96: 22,96: 24,97: 3,97: 6, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 131:14, 131:21, 132:11, } \\ & \text { 132:20, 133:12, 133:17, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 59:2 } \\ & \text { voice }[11]-42: 24, \end{aligned}$ |
| 100:20, 144:3, 144:18 | 97:12, 100:1, 100:2, <br> 100:11, 100:16, 102.25, | $149: 5,149: 11$ | 89:25, 92:18, 94:22, |
| Um [1] - 167:12 unable [1] - 93:18 unacceptable [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 100:11, 100:16, 102:25, } \\ & \text { 103:2, 107:13, 107:19, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Vargas [4] - 2:10, 82:5, } \\ & 85: 13,85: 16 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 96: 1,108: 21,133: 9 \\ & 146: 4,148: 3,150: 14 \end{aligned}$ |
| 170:20 |  | Vasquez [3] - 2:6 | voices [3] - 126:17, 144:21, 149:14 |
| Unanimous [1] - 61:4 unbroken [1] - 68:7 unconstitutional [1] | $\begin{gathered} \text { universally }[1]-118: 14 \\ \text { University }[4]-46: 6, \\ \text { 122:4, 130:4, 166:12 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { 42:11, } 44: 9 \\ \text { vast }[1]-12: 17 \\ \text { vendors }[1]-115: 7 \end{array}$ | Voices [1] - 59:22 <br> volnerable [1] - 32:24 |
|  | 122:4, 130:4, 166:12 <br> University's [1] - 152:21 university's [1] - 131:17 | venture [1]-131:18 <br> versus [1]-70:18 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { volunteer [2]-122:25, } \\ & \text { 133:6 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 150:7 | university's [1] - 131:17 <br> unjust [1] - 109:11 | veterinary ${ }_{[1]}$ - 137:18 | 133:6 <br> volunteers [1] - 123:2 <br> vote $[16]$ - 13:16, 28:1, |
|  | unlikely [1] - 75:14 unnatural [1] - 143:21 unnecessary [2] - | viability [1] - 90:3 <br> vibrant [1] - 121:18 <br> vibrations [1] - 127:8 | 31:15, 32:22, 33:7, <br> 35:17, 35:20, 39:18, <br> 58:20, 59:16, 70:8 |
| 154:1, 154:11 underserved ${ }^{2}$ 2] | $98: 23,154: 9$ | vibrations [1] - 127:8 <br> vice [1] - 166:7 <br> vice-president $[1]$ - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 58:20, 59:16, 70:8, } \\ & \text { 102:9, 102:10, 130:19, } \\ & 162: 11 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 154:1, 154:10 } \\ & \text { understand }[15]-37: 6, \end{aligned}$ | untouchable [1] - 84:2 upcoming [1] - 94:24 | 166:7 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { voted }[2]-149: 18, \\ & \text { 154:18 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 74:13, 77:21, 77:22, | $\text { upmost }[1]-89: 6$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Vicky }[1]-161: 8 \\ & \text { videos }[1]-9: 10 \\ & \text { view }[2]-80: 11,123: 11 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { voter }[4]-70: 3,148: 5, \\ & 153: 24,155: 17 \end{aligned}$ |
| 80:24, 82:22, 84:12 <br> 84:20, 86:4, 89:12, | Upper [3]-64:2, 64:24, |  |  |
| $97: 22,146: 15,148: 6,$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 158:22 } \\ & \text { upper [39] - 14:4, 14:8, } \end{aligned}$ | View [1] - 167:18 | 31:18, 32:15, 32:19, |
| 148:8, 151:15 understanding [3] | $\begin{aligned} & 14: 11,14: 13,32: 4, \\ & 33: 25,61: 23,62: 9, \end{aligned}$ | viewed [1] - 16: views [1] - 73:10 | $\begin{aligned} & 69: 20,70: 8,78: 16,99: 8, \\ & 130: 14,130: 23,148: 15, \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 21:25, 81:7, 109:13 } \\ & \text { understands [1] - 20:11 } \end{aligned}$ | 68:19, 68:25, 76:8, | Village [15] - 41:13 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 149:13, 150:19, 152:18, } \\ & \text { 169:19 } \end{aligned}$ |
| understands [1] - 20:11 undo [1]-133:16 | $78: 24,82: 19,89: 16$ $89: 24,90: 1,90: 11$, | 134:10, 134:20, 135:12, | votes [4] - 32:14, 51:21, |
| undoubtedly [3] - | $90: 19,91: 12,116: 1$ | 136:7, 136:9, 137:1, | 52:10 <br> Votes [1] - 51:22 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 64:18, 91:7, 106:13 } \\ & \text { uneven }[1]-14: 19 \\ & \text { unfair }[2]-33: 5,109: 11 \\ & \text { unfortunately }[2]-9: 22, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 116:21, 117:15, 118:21, } \\ & \text { 123:16, 131:23, 134:19, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 137:7, 137:9, 137:24, } \\ & 138: 12,139: 4 \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | 123:16, 131:23, 134:19, 136:4, 136:10, 136:15, | village [1] - 134:13 <br> violation [1] - 34:25 | 31:10, 32:8, 35:11, <br> 35:14, 35:22, 39:18 |
| 38:4Unfortunately $[1]$ | 137:11, 138:24, 143: <br> 144:5, 154:2, 160:23 |  | 81:12, 90:10, 92:22, |
|  | 167:1, 167:7, 171:23 | violin [1] - 25:7 <br> visit [2] - 9:17, 44 | 125:3, 153:9 |
| 129:13 Unidos [3] - 51:9, | uptown [1]-129:1 urban [5] -114:4, |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { voting }[13]-8: 6,16: 1 \text {, } \\ \text { 52:10, } 59: 3,70: 6,86: 13, \end{gathered}$ |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 134:22, } 155: 19,168: 1 \\ \text { urge }[7]-39: 16,78: 23, \end{gathered}$ | visited [1] - 73:12 <br> visualize [1] - 40:23 <br> vitality [4]-62:2, 62:3, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 86:15, 96:10, 96:11, } \\ & \text { 119:22, 129:9, 130:11, } \\ & \text { 167:1 } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 105:22 } \\ & \text { unique }[3] \text { - 40:7, 44:15, } \end{aligned}$ | urged [1]-50:2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 51:11, } 51: 18 \\ & \text { Viverito [42] - 2:4, 2:9, } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| ```93:6 uniqueness [2] - 157:19, 157:22 United [3] - 29:14, 85:17, 103:15 united [2] - 76:3, 149:12 units [4]-41:7, 100:3, 110:7, 132:22 unity [9] - 13:13, 53:10,``` | $\begin{aligned} & \text { urging }[1]-76: 16 \\ & \text { useful }[1]-169: 9 \\ & \text { uses }[1]-90: 4 \\ & \text { utilized }[1]-157: 10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 15:18, 19:13, 21:17, } \\ & \text { 22:1, 22:17, 22:22, 23:6, } \\ & \text { 23:17, 24:4, 24:12, } \\ & 24: 16,25: 3,25: 10, \\ & 25: 22,26: 6,26: 12, \\ & 26: 18,26: 22,27: 3, \\ & 29: 19,29: 22,30: 7, \\ & 30: 23,42: 3,42: 5,43: 23, \end{aligned}$ | Wait [1] - 85:3 <br> wait $[2]$ - $31: 4,90: 24$ <br> waited [1] - 146:14 <br> waiting [7] - 56:14, <br> 98:12, 138:18, 138:19, <br> 168:8, 169:25, 172:14 <br> walk [1] - 22:21 <br> walking [1]-173:25 |
|  | V |  |  |
|  | vacant [1] - 33:16 <br> vacate [1] - 170:10 |  |  |



| $\frac{\mathbf{Z}}{\frac{\text { Zolal } 11]}{}-122: 23} \text { Zone }[1]-82: 20$ |
| :---: |
|  |  |

