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CHAIRMAN ROMANO: Good evening. Thank you for coming tonight and welcome to the second round of the New York City Districting Commission's public hearing. My name is Benito Romano and I am the Chair of the New York City Districting Commission. And on behalf of the Commission, I want to thank you Dr. William Pollard, the president of the college, for hosting us tonight.

We have the facilities only for a few hours and I want to make sure that we hear from anyone who was signed up. And for those of you who did not sign up, who wish to speak, please see the staff registration desk outside of this room. If you require a translator for your testimony, please let the staff at the registration desk know, they will ensure that a translator will be provided when it's your turn to testify. Before we take testimony, I would like to make a few observations. At the beginning of this month, the Commission advertised in community and ethnic newspapers announcing the Commission's public hearing schedule. An e-mail blast was sent to over 5,500 individuals and organizations. Various advocacy groups helped
spread the word through their individual networks and the hearing schedule was publicized on our Facebook page and Twitter feed. We will continue to find other ways to maximize community participation and interest.

Tonight represents the second round of public hearings that the Commission will conduct throughout the City. Over 450 people attended our first round of hearings in late August and the Commission heard from more than 131 individuals.

Since then, the Commission has released a preliminary draft Council district plan on September 4th that adjusted the current Council lines for populations proportionality, because in the last ten years, many Council districts have either increased or decreased in populations, creating a significant deviations from the ideal Council district population of 160,710. The preliminary draft plan was also posted on the Commission's Web site, WWW.nyc.gov/districting; it was displayed in public areas, such as Brooklyn Borough Hall, the Queens Library and the District Commission office in lower Manhattan, and links to other Web sites, such as The New

York Public Library.
On the same day, the Commission also launched an online mapping tool to allow the public to draw Council district maps for the Commission's consideration. The Commission staff also opened the resource room at the Commission's office in lower Manhattan. The resource room is available for those without access to computer terminals who wish to draw and submit maps to the Commission or for those wishing to receive one-on-one assistance with map drawing, as the resource room is staffed by Justin Bassett, our mapping associate. The room is by appointment only, but it's open well past normal business hours. The Commission has received a few maps so far, and we encourage you to explore the mapping tool available on our Web site. You will also be able to see some of the maps submitted by the public.

Finally, the Commission has also launched the Speakers' Bureau, where an organization or a group can request a staff member to talk about the decennial districting process. So far, the Speakers' Bureau has addressed a number of civic organizations, community boards and even a
grade-school class. If there is anyone wishing to have the Speakers' Bureau address their group or organization, please see Jonathan Ettricks or Carlos Carino to set up an appointment.

The Commission is eager to hear tonight's testimony and comments on the preliminary draft plan. After today's hearing, and the others in the second round of hearings, the Commission will consider the comments during its next scheduled public meeting, on October 18th. At that meeting, the Commission will direct the staff to revise the preliminary district plan in response to the comments received during this second round. Then, at the Commission's public meetings on October 30th, a revised plan, reflecting the comments received, will be presented and the Commission will decide whether to adopt the plan. If the Commission adopts the plan, it will then be delivered to the City Council for its inspection and approval by November 5th.

According to the City Charter, by November 26th, the City Council must either adopt the revised district plan, at which point, the plan will then be filed with the City Clerk, or the Council can object to the revised district
plan, at which, point the plan will be returned to the Commission, with the Council's comments and concerns. If the Council takes neither action, it will be deemed adopted.

If the Council objects to the revised district plan, the Commission will then have until January 5, 2013 to create a revised plan. This will then trigger a new set of public hearings to receive comment and feedback on the revised plan.

The Commission will then have until March 5th to create, adopt and submit a final plan for the City Clerk and to U.S. Department of Justice for preclearance under the Voting Rights Act.

This process is described in a flow chart that is available for you tonight, as it was during first round of hearings. Other handouts include a copy of the preliminary draft plan map, an enlarged map of Brooklyn with the preliminary draft lines and a listing of public participation tools that are available.

As before, it's again worth noting that there are certain factors the law obligates the Commission to consider during the districting
process; these include that the difference in population between the least populous and the most populous district shall not exceed 10 percent of the average population for all districts; districts must fairly and effectively represent the racial and language minority groups in New York City; districts must keep neighborhoods and communities with established ties of common interest and association intact; districts must be compact and they must be contiguous; at all cost, we must keep districts within the borough and we must avoid diminishing the effective representation of voters.

I want to also bring to your attention our Web site at www.nyc.gov/districting. This site is the central repository of all documents and videos relating to the districting Commission. It also contains a complete schedule of our public hearings, all documents and maps submitted to the Commission, our online mapping tool, and a portal, where you can preregister for all hearings and be added to our mailing list. I hope you will all visit the site and let us know how it can be made more informative and interactive.

One final note, we have a number of speakers tonight, each of you has three minutes, which is not very long, but keep in mind, we have assembled a substantial amount of data from the U.S. Census and elsewhere. We also will take written submissions you wish to offer. The data we have will be important to our decision, but these hearings provide critical input. Tell us about your neighborhoods, where are the natural boundaries as you see them.

Now, that concludes my remarks. At this
time, I would like to have the commissioners introduce themselves and then we will hear from our first witness, start on my left.

MR. ROBERT: Thank you.
John Robert, from the Bronx.
MR. ODOM: Oscar Odom, III, Brooklyn. MR. YU: Justin Yu, from Manhattan. MS. BRAGG: Jamila Ponton Bragg, Manhattan. MR. HUM: Carl Hum, staff to the Commission. CHAIRMAN ROMANO: Benito Romano, from Manhattan. MR. HACKWORTH: Thaddeus Hackworth, staff to the Commission. MR. OGNIBENE: Thomas Ognibene, Queens

County.
MS. WOLFE: Gloria Carvajal Wolfe, Manhattan.

MR. CERULLO: Scott Cerullo, Staten Island.
MR. PADAVAN: Frank Padavan, Queens.
MR. HACKWORTH: Before $I$ call the first speaker tonight, I will explain the ground rules for giving testimony tonight.

Every speaker has three minutes to testify. When your three minutes have expired, you will hear a chime; at that point, please finish your sentence and yield the microphone to the next speaker. Because the Commission only has the use of these facilities until 9 o'clock taking more than your three minutes of time may result in your neighbor or fellow community member not being able to speak at all. Please keep that in mind and be respectful of others wishing to testify.

If you would like to provide more detailed testimony to the Commission, you are encouraged to submit your written testimony by providing a copy at the registration desk or by e-mailing it to hearings@districting.nyc.gov or by mailing it to the Commission's office.

I will first call those who have preregistered to speak, followed by those who have registered today at the desk. I will announce the next speaker, as well as the following speaker.

When you hear your name called, please approach the nearest microphone, so you can be ready to give your testimony when your name is called.

If you have any questions, please ask our staff members at the registration desk.

I will now call our first speaker, Council Member Charles Barron.

MR. BARRON: Thank you very much. My concern around redistricting is the same concern I had when the Congressional lines were drawn. We find ourselves, black people, I am very concerned about black and Latino people and our empowerment, and the Congressional lines, when they were drawn, the percentages of black voters were getting closer and closer to the 51 percent mark, which is by law, by some of the districts designated as that, and what that leaves open to happen is often our districts, we lose power if we lose black population. So in the

Congressional district, we fought to try to get people to understand the importance of our inclusion in these bodies, these assembly bodies and council bodices. For this Council district, I am concerned about the 46th District in Canarsie. Now, they are breaking up Canarsie in some instances into two and three communities, and there is no longer one contiguous community that can have the inclusion of those who have been excluded. And I am also concerned that some of the elected officials have influence over that and they look at certain candidates and draw their homes out of the district so that these candidates won't have an opportunity to run. That, we should not tolerate. We should make sure that the plans that are drawn up, that are therefore the best representation that we could get to the inclusion of all of us who have historically been locked of out of power. Because this is about power, when you redraw lines, it's about power, who will have the power to make decisions in this city. So my district does have some parts of Canarsie, and I think that it should be given back to the 46 th and that we should look at Brownsville and East Flatbush
and other areas where my district, the 42nd Council District, is where mine is predominantly East New York. So I am concerned about that, I am supporting the Mercedes Narcisse plan and the Unity Plan that was presented to you that makes the 46th Council District a district that gives us a fair share and supports voters who may have been locked out of the process or haven't had all of the opportunities.

So I am hoping when you draw up these lines that you look at the 46th, look at the 42 nd and $I$ believe some of the neighboring districts around there to make sure that it is not sliced up so that the people of Canarsie will be disempowered and located in other areas. This process is supposed to be fair and it's supposed to be empowering for those who have historically been locked out. Thank you.

MR. HACKWORTH: Our next speaker will be Doreen McGill, to be followed by Council Member Stephen Levin.

MS. McGILL: Thank you for allowing me to speak here tonight.

I request information for the dozens of family day care providers who are being pushed
out of our jobs so that early (inaudible) can be born on October 1st. We were not individually informed about the ACS budget network requirements and may be unemployed as small business owners once our present babies start preschool. Those of us who don't find jobs, may find ourselves on Public Assistance for no reasons given by the UFT.

A parent called me on Friday, frantic that she could not enroll her son in my day care. I have seen what happens when -- excuse me -- new programs are born and I am tired of being starved to make room for bullies and corrupters.

MR. HACKWORTH: Our next speaker will be Council Member Stephen Levin, followed by Dr. Wah Lee.

MR. LEVIN: Thank you very much to members of the Commission for the opportunity to testify before you today. My name is Stephen Levin. I am currently City Council Member for the 33rd District in Brooklyn, which includes the neighborhoods of Greenpoint, Williamsburg, South Williamsburg, Brooklyn Navy Yard, Vinegar Hill, DUMBO, Downtown Brooklyn, Brooklyn Heights, Boerum Hill and Park Slope.

As you can see, the 33rd District is remarkably diverse, both ethnically and geographically, and I consider it an honor every day to represent all of these neighborhoods in Brooklyn.

First, I would like to thank the Commission and all of the commissioners for diligence and transparency that you have exhibited throughout this process. It is a massive and extremely complex endeavor to balance the various interests that exist at times at odds with one another within each of this 51 Council districts of New York City, in each of the five boroughs and in the city as a whole. I believe that you have so far done so in a way that gives the public confidence in the process and in the results.

With regard to the proposed lines of the 33rd District, the 33rd District and its neighboring districts, I believe that these lines reflect the population shifts that have occurred in north Brooklyn over the last ten years. The 33rd District currently has a population of roughly 171,000 people, which is well over the target population of 160,000 for the Council district; therefore, the geographical decreases
is inevitable, and the fact that this Commission has proposed to reduce the district at the southeastern edge in Park Slope makes logical sense. Under the proposed lines, Park Slope would be redrawn into the 39th District, which would allow all of Park Slope to be represented by a unified counsel district.

In addition, the Commission has proposed including several additional election districts into northern Bedford-Stuyvesant to the 33rd District. For the last ten years, this area has gone from being to mostly manufacturing and industrial to significantly residential, as the Orthodox Jewish population of Williamsburg, which is currently almost entirely within the 33rd District has moved south. This expansion makes logical sense for two reasons; first, this would allow the Orthodox Jewish community in north Brooklyn to be within a unified council district; secondly, this sift would allow for the 35 th Council District, which has seen a tremendous increase in Caucasian population over the last ten years to maintain its status as a majority-minority district, something that I believe is important for the diversity and the

Brooklyn Council delegation and the Council a whole. There is one small area, however, which has been shifted from the 33rd District to the 34th District, and that area is commonly referred to as the Broadway Triangle, which consists of the 78th and 79th Election Districts for the 53rd Assembly District. This area which has been in the 33rd District for the past ten years is the site of a rezoning in the past from Council in 2009, which has been the source of litigation in the intervening years. As council member and before I was he elected to council, I spent a tremendous amount of time working on this rezoning, and it is my sincere hope that my office will have the opportunity to continue to address the needs for a rezoning of the Broadway Triangle in the next term; therefore, I request of the Commission that this area comprised of the 78th and the 79th ED's of the 53 Assemble District be redrawn back into the 33rd Council District.

Thank you very much for your time, consideration service to the City of New York. Thank you.

MR. HACKWORTH: Our next speaker is Dr. Wah

Lee, to be followed by William Sanford, Jr. DR. LEE: Good afternoon. My name is Dr. Wah Lee. I have testified on behalf of OCA-New York at the Commission's initial Brooklyn hearing on August 11th and I will testifying on behalf of OCA-New York today.

With respect to City Council District 38, OCA-New York appreciates that this Commission recognizes the importance of keeping both sides of Eighth Avenue together in Sunset Park and that it has also incorporated the community of common interests extending east towards Bensonhurst, approximately between 60th and 71st Streets. With respect to proposed City Council District 47, we are very surprised that little of its district boundaries have changed when compared to the current map. Does the districting Commission feel that population demographics have not changed between 2000 and 2010? By proposing to keep District 47 essentially the same over ten years, is this Commission suggesting that the Asian community of common interest has not grown in Bensonhurst between the census of 2000 and 2010 . OCA-New York will reiterate, the 2010 census
revealed the number of Asian Americans has reached over a quarter million in Brooklyn, having grown by 41 percent since 2000. 2010 census data showed Sunset Park as 35 percent Asian and Bensonhurst as 37 percent Asian. How and why is it that the Asian community of common interest can be kept in tact in proposed District 38, Sunset Park, and be divided in proposed District 47, Bensonhurst?

OCA-New York supports the Unity Map proposed by accord which supports large Asian-American communities of interest together in accordance with the principles of redistricting. Western and Eastern Bensonhurst should not be divided. The core of the western part of Bensonhurst is 18th Avenue. This 18th Avenue commercial strip caters to the Asians in its surrounding vicinity westward, northward and eastward. On the 18 Avenue commercial strip, considerably beginning at 86th Street and stretching northward to the 60's, there has been many changes to local community centers, restaurants, groceries and other storefronts within the past decade which serves the particularly Asian community.

For example, the First Otis Church, in

Brooklyn, on 18th Avenue and 84th Street now caters to Asian-language speakers. In addition, there are two high schools in the western part of Bensonhurst. New Utrecht High School is about one-quarter Asian and Franklin D. Roosevelt High School is over one-third Asian. This is not counting the students from this neighborhood who attend Brooklyn Tech, Stuyvesant or Bronx Science. OCA-New York supports the currently proposed City Council District 38 and does not support the currently proposed City Council District 47. The alternately proposed are the district lines for 47 as drawn in the Unity Map. Thank you.

MR. HACKWORTH: Thank you.
Our next speaker William Sanford, Jr., to be follow by Joan Gibbs.

MR. SANFORD: The name is Mr. X. And, that is, I didn't appreciate that comment you made to me yesterday. Okay? You told me I should focus on my testimony, but you didn't tell the Chair, a/k/a Mr. Potato Head, to (inaudible) me last Tuesday, which I didn't appreciate. That is that a double standard, I don't appreciate that. Why would you come down on me like that?
(Recess taken to fix the microphone.)
MR. SANFORD: So, as I said, that is, I didn't appreciate that comment that you made to me yesterday. Okay? If you could tell me I need to focus on my testimony, then you can tell the Chair to accommodate me in future public hearings, especially if I preregistered.

What I saw basically was a double standard, a imbalance. I didn't appreciate that. I won't say that was offensive, that comment you said was offensive, but I will say it's disturbing, and I didn't appreciate it. If I preregistered to speak at a public hearing, I expect to be accommodated before the ending time, whatever time that may be. I don't expect you to leave me hanging by a needle and thread, which is what you did in borough last Tuesday. If you can't PWYP, practice what you preach, why are you hear? You don't need to be here. You shouldn't be here.

MR. HACKWORTH: Is there anyone in the booth who can come down and help us with the microphone? Perhaps we can swap it out with one of the ones on the table.

MR. SANFORD: I will wait.
(Recess taken to fix the microphone.)

MR. HACKWORTH: You can continue with your testimony now.

MR. SANFORD: That was done deliberately and I don't know appreciate it. I definitely don't appreciate that. That was done deliberately. The first time was comical enough; the second time was more comical. Now, that is more living proof that you have a beef with me which you don't want me to know. First, you dis me in my borough last Tuesday and now you dis me here in Brooklyn today.

First of all, other people are registered to speak and I don't want to take their time.

What you did to me last Tuesday was unacceptable, I already told you what happened. But I will say this much, you know, if it happens again, $I$ am taking legal action against you. I don't have to tolerate that. If $I$ preregister to speak at a public hearing, you are going to accommodate me. You are violating my rights. I said that before. So if it happens again, there is a good chance I might take legal action against you. Maybe then you will wake up and realize that you have a responsibility and it should be carried out. I will see you during
round three. That is, if you promote it.
MR. HACKWORTH: Our next speaker is Joan Gibbs, to be followed by Shmuel Lefkowitz.

MS. GIBBS: Good evening. My name is Joan Gibbs and I am the general counsel for the Center for Law and Social Justice here at Medgar Evers. As our executive director, Esmerelda Simmons testified in Harlem at the Schomburg last week, I won't repeat our background or our mission or anything like that. Short and get straight to the point.

I would like to begin with some recommendations that we have for the Commission. The first is we urge that the Commission adopt the Unity Map as its final plan. The Unity Map, which as you probably have heard by now, was the product of the work of a coalition of civil rights organizations, in addition to the Center for Law and Social Justice, LatinoJustice, the Asian-American Legal Defense Fund, as well as National Institute for Latino Policy. The map has laid out an entire 51 District City Council's plan and it is our opinion in keeping with the two mandates of the (inaudible); namely, the 10 percent rule and also, and most important
perhaps, the Voting Rights Act.
Our second recommendation, we think that this is critically important, is that the Commission allow time for the community to comment on your final plan. On your current schedule -- or your current schedule, rather, does not provide for comment on the final plan before it is submitted to the City Council, and we think that that is completely unacceptable.

As for particular districts, I would like to talk about two, mainly; that is, first, the 46th District, which you have heard something about already. But I would like to talk about something else that has not been spoken about, as of yet, at least, and that is the evidence of racially polarized voting from past elections under the current districting as you have drawn it. So what we did at CLSJ was we looked at some election results for the 46th District under the Commission's plan and on the Unity Plan. And to that end, when Ferrer ran against Bloomberg, 26 of the people and the Commission's district as drawn voted for Ferrer versus 71.1 percent voted for Bloomberg. On the Unity Plan, by contrast, 32 percent the people voted for -- 35 percent of
the people voted for Ferrer and 65 percent voted for Bloomberg. When Bloomberg ran against Thompson, under your plan, 45.2 percent of the people voted for Thompson, 51 percent vote for Bloomberg. Under the Unity Map that we have submitted 57 percent voted for Thompson and 40 percent voted for am Bloomberg and we think that is really evidence of greatly polarized voting. And we also would like to urge you to reconsider the current configuration of the district in Harlem. Thank you.

MR. HACKWORTH: Our next speaker is Rabbi Shmuel Lefkowitz, to be followed by Helal Sheik.

RABBI LEFKOWITZ: Thank you. Shmuel Lefkowitz, vice president of community services of Agudath Israel of America.

We are also here for common interest, we want the common interest of our community to be united. I would like to thank the Commission for the opportunity to testify and also for the outreach that they did, they did a very good outreach program in reaching out to the different communities, especially ours, to ask us what is it that we see in the present districting Commission's plan before it was submitted. The
district Commission subsequently submitted their plan and basically it's the same -- it's very similar to what was submitted that we had for the past ten years and basically the new plan cuts our community into nine parts. We are represented -- we have residents of our community in Council Districts 38, 39, 40, 43, 44, 46, 47 and 48, some of the districts that were mentioned here before, different groups that are interested in common interests, we are part of those districts, and we should really have our own district. There is no net gain in the voting power, if we are disseminated into nine different parts. Our biggest chunk of the community is in Council District 44, which is represented by Councilman David Greenfield, and in that area, we do have a councilman and we have a representative and we have representation in that community. But, again, even in the 44th, small parts of Borough Park in the 44th were fine, but if Borough Park was divided into the 38 -- pieces went into the 38 th and the 39 th. Kengsington when into -- which was currently -- which is currently in the past district map entirely in the 39 th, is proposed to be cut into the 39 th and
the 40th. It's divided into two Council districts. Midwood is divided into the 45th, the 40th and the 47th. Marine Park was completely thrown into the 46th. Bensonhurst was cut into the three parts, the Jewish part of Bensonhurst was cut into the $43 r d$, the 44 th and the 47 th. We are proposing the map, it's on the Web, into three distinct districts that joins our community, communities of interests, our interest to solidify and strengthen the community's voice in pursuit of common interests and concerns. Are there better ways to design Council districts in our community? Maybe. Has anyone produced an alternative? Not that I know of. We would welcome other ideas, but the goal is there is no question that the borders of the two districts we have created are the borders of our community, give or take a bit. The border of Eighth Avenue to Flatbush Avenue, from Church Avenue to Avenue U, with some minor changes in there, that is our community and we want to remain united, and based on the numbers that divides into three beautiful, nice districts, thank you very much.

MR. HACKWORTH: Thank you.
Our next speaker is Helal Sheik, to be
followed by Shimshi Heszkel.
MR. SHEIK: Good evening. My name is Helal Sheik. I am a public school teacher. I came here for District 37. I live in Brooklyn, District 37 , which is border of city line guarded by Dewey Street. The Bangladeshi people, we are growing over there, like right (inaudible) we have mostly Bengali people, like almost 3,000 people there, we are praying in the same mosques, but there is two different districts, one is in District 32 and District 37. So all since we don't have any representative for Bangladeshi, but most of the people are on the border Dewey Street, so $I$ am requesting if it is possible to take a little bit up to at least Woodhaven Boulevard through the highway, Woodhaven Boulevard through if you go Jamaica Avenue, so then we have a decent amount of people that we are -- we are all the same communities, like the same all from Bangladeshi, we pray same mosque, but we are voting for different, and we don't have any representative from that side.

So I would request if it is possible to add in something from that side. Because all of the people there on that side living right on the
border, we Bangladeshi, we don't have no representative, so please, I mean try to reconsider for that part, if you could do it? Thank you. Thank you.

MR. HACKWORTH: Our next speaker is Shimshi Heszkel, to be followed by Joel Rosenfeld.

MR. HESZKEL: Good evening. My name is Shimshi Heszkel. I am the executive director of Mekimi, an organization serving thousands of cancer patients throughout New York City and tri-state area. Hundreds of them are located in the Borough Park area, which is the 44th Council District and the 39th Council District. Our organization brings joy, happiness and comfort to the sick. We surprise a lot on the discretionary funding from City Council, including councilman David Greenfield and Councilman Brad Lander.

By cutting out the 39th Council District from Borough Park and making the entire Borough Park under Councilman David Greenfield, our funds will be reduced substantially and it will have an effect on the amount of services we could provide to the cancer patients; therefore, I ask you, the Commission, to please leave Councilman Brad Lander with his part of Borough Park, so he also
represents us, he represents the people we serve, and we can bring happiness to a bigger part of the community and have more funds by having more council members representing us. Thank you very much.

MR. HACKWORTH: Thank you.
Our next speaker is Joel Rosenfeld, to be followed by Avi Spitzer.

MR. ROSENFELD: Good evening. My name is Joel Rosenfeld. My wife and I, together with our kids, are lifelong residents of Borough Park, in Brooklyn. I am a member of the Bobov community, one of the largest Hasidic sects in New York. When your Founding Fathers created this great nation, they understood that even though the majority rules, the minority still has -- should get representative and should have their rights. Borough Park as the neighborhood with the largest population of the Hasidic Jews outside of Israel has particular needs, has a very large concentration of Holocaust survivors and on a city level, Borough Park is currently represented by two great councilman, David Greenfield and Brad Lander. There is two ways to dilute a voice of a community; one, is split the community into
several districts, so that they are a minority in each district and have no voice; the other one is to put a whole community into one district. We all know how the City is run, where the mayor has all of the power and an individual city council member, other than district proclamations and naming streets, has little power and the only way to have a say in the matter is to get a coalition of a few council members, thereby by forming a consensus.

Borough Park has it's unique needs, and if the whole Borough Park gets lumped into Dave Greenfield's district it will lose its voice in City Council and, quite frankly, many of the worthy organizations of Borough Park will lose the funding that Lander has given them; therefore, $I$ would like to urge the committee to leave the 39th and 44th Districts the way it was until now, so that are Borough Park can have two districts that represent them. Thank you.

MR. HACKWORTH: Thank you.
Our next speaker is Avi Spitzer, to be followed by Kim Wong.

MR. SPITZER: Good evening. My name is Avi Spitzer. I serve as the executive director of
the Sephardic Community Federation, SCF. SCF is the umbrella public policy organizations representing the Sephardic Jewish community of Greater New York. The bulk of the Sephardic Jewish community's population is located in Brooklyn, and I am here today to testify specifically about areas of concern to the Brooklyn Sephardic community.

First, I am concerned that the proposed map would dilute the strength of the Sephardic Jewish community in the Midwood area, along Avenues I through K. Previously, the area from East 9th Street to East 17th Street was all in the 44th Council District. Under the new proposal, Avenue I would be in the 40 th and April of $J$ would be in the 45th Council Districts. The SCF thinks this would hurt the community and we strongly recommend that you restore the old lines that have this area completely within the 44th Council District.

The 44th is home to the majority of the Sephardic Jewish community and to remove these blocks would dilute the Sephardic community's vote. What's more, major community institutions, like Yeshivah of Flatbush would be displaced
under this proposal.
I would ask, also, that the rest of Avenue I through J, up through 26th Street be included in either the 44 th or the 48 th Council District. That strip would be (inaudible) in the 45 th Council District. More generally, we support keeping these lines in the 45th Council District; however, we would recommend that the Jewish community from Avenue I to Kings Highway, from Nostrand to Flatbush Avenue either be included in this very same 45th Council District or be included in the 48th Council District to maximize communities of interest.

MS. BRAGG: Can you say those again? I'm sorry.

MR. SPITZER: I'm sorry?
MS. BRAGG: Would you state those boundaries again.

MR. SPITZER: Sure. Avenue I to Kings Highway, from Nostrand to Flatbush Avenue either be included in this very same 45th Council District or be included in the 48th Council District to maximize communities of interest.

Also, we support the move of Manhattan Beach from the 48th to the 46th Council District of a
similar population.
Finally, we oppose any proposal to created in so-call super Jewish districts. That is why we join with the neighbors of Borough Park in asking that Borough Park have two members of the City Council representing it, specifically the 44th and the 39th Council Districts, rather than just one.

Thank you for your consideration.
MR. HACKWORTH: Thank you.
Our next speaker will be Kim Wong, to be followed by Joan Gordon.

MS. WONG: Good evening. My name is Kim Wong and I am speaking on behalf of ACCORD or the Asian American Community Coalition on Redistricting and Democracy.

ACCORD is a nonpartisan pan-Asian coalition of organizations and individuals committed to advancing the opportunity of Asian Americans and minority communes to meaningfully participate in the political process.

The growth of the Asian-American population in New York City has dramatically outpaced all other racial groups, as well as the population at large. And now, in 2012, it is imperative that
the City Council districts be redrawn so that the Asian-American voters will no longer disenfranchised and their collective voices made ineffective in elections.

In Brooklyn, the growth of the Asian-American population is a astounding, a 40 percent overall increase and a 46 percent increase among the voting-age population. There are now over a quarter of a million Asian Americans in Brooklyn alone. This means around 1 out of 4 Asian Americans in New York City is a resident of Brooklyn. Many of the Asian Americans live in an area that spans from parts of Sunset Park over to much of Bensonhurst. As in other boroughs being divided among multiple districts the fracturing or cracking of minority population is today's greatest problem New York City's Asian American are facing in our current district lines in preliminary map released last month. That map divides and dilutes the Asian-American population of southwest Brooklyn. Instead of being divided into five districts, we are how divided into four. Which is still simply unacceptable to our community.

Consider the southern boundaries of District

38, while 65th Street is the main thoroughfare for Bensonhurst, the district's southern boundaries runs parallel to this on 66 Street, just one block south. Also, District 43, 44 and 47 converge in the very middle of Bensonhurst, as defined by our community.

As done in the Unity Map, we recommend a configuration whereby Sunset Park and the core of Bensonhurst are contained in just two districts. We again express our coalitions's support for the Unity Map drawn in collaboration with the African-American, Latino and Asian-American communities.

ACCORD also supports and recommends the testimony of its member groups, especially those such as OCA-New York and the United Chinese Association of Brooklyn that have constituencies at issue in these areas. We look forward to a district plan that gives an equal voice to the Asian-American voters and voters of Brooklyn and all of New York City. Thank you.

MR. HACKWORTH: Thank you.
Our next speaker is Joan Gordon, to be followed by Resach Greenberg. Do we have Joan Gordon here?

Okay. Our next speaker will be Resach Greenberg, to be followed by Shea Langsam. Resach Greenberg? Shea Langsam?

Yeruchim Silber?
To be followed by Jeff Leb.
MR. LANGSAM: Hi, my name is Shea Langsam, I am from the Rav Chesed organization, we are helping --

MS. BRAGG: To the mike, please.
MR. LANGSAM: Hi, my name is Shea Langsam. I am from Rav Chesed organization, in Borough Park, we are helping needy families.

I would like to ask the Commission to consider about redistricting with the Council District (inaudible) and we are looking forward to their support and for the help of our communities so thank you.

MR. HACKWORTH: The next speaker will be Yeruchim Silber, to be followed by Jeff Leb.

MR. SILBER: Thank you.
To all of the members of the Commission, first, let me thank you on behalf of all of the citizens on New York for your very important work in ensuring that all New Yorkers are properly and
adequately represented in the City Council.
My name is Yeruchim Silber and I am the executive director of the Borough Park Jewish Community Council, or JCC.

Since 1997, JCC has served as a one-stop shop for all of the social service needs of hundred of thousands residents of Borough Park and the surrounding areas of Sunset Park, Dyker Heights and Kengsington.

We serve all clients, regardless of race, religion, or any other factor, with needs such as benefits enrollment, crisis assistance, career counseling, job development and much more.

For many, our councils are lifeline to accessing important government benefits that work to help lift them out the poverty. We are a proud affiliate of Metropolitan Council on Jewish Poverty and, thus, have access to all of the services provided by Met Council.

Throughout the years of existence, a good portion of our budget has come through City Council member allocations. We have been fortunate to be represented by council members who have recognized the important work that we do and are providing funding allocations
accordingly. We, on our part, take great efforts to use the dollars wisely and with full transparency.

The Borough Park neighborhood has a history of being represented by these two very able council members. From the time the council was first expanded in 1991, this neighborhood has been divided into the 39th and 44th Council District, as my previously colleagues have testified so ably. During the last process ten years ago, a good portion of the Borough Park section on the 39th District was eliminated; although, the district still represents about 25 percent of the neighborhood. In the current proposed map, the area is eliminated even further, where the 39th Council District represents almost none of Borough Park.

In the current fiscal year, our organization received nearly $\$ 15,000$ in discretionary funds from Council Member Lander, who represents the 39th.

In addition to that direct funding, Council Member Lander, along with his colleague, Council Member Greenfield, who represents the 44th District, have been staunch advocates for our
needs, both on funding and our other issues. By losing that seat, our organization, and many others, as others have previously testified, could lose access to valuable funding representing the (inaudible) of our organizations as well as the advocacy of additional members.

I would like to respectfully request the Commission that the 39th District continue representing portions of Borough Park. I believe a fair division would be have the areas west of 13th Avenue represented by the 39th Council District and the areas east of 13th Avenue continue to represented by the 44th Council District. This division would ensure the fairest and best representation for the residents of Borough Park. Thank you.

MR. HACKWORTH: Our next speaker is Jeff Leb, to be followed by Rabbi David Niederman. MR. LEB: Good evening. My name is Jeff Leb. I am the coo of Otsar Family Services, I am a longtime political and community activist, and I am here today to testify about the Jewish communities in southern Brooklyn and the proposed redistricting maps.

I would like to start by saying that I am
very pleased that Marine Park remains in the 45th Council District and that the community of interest in Manhattan Beach is now part of that district as well.

I am concerned that the Jewish community Midwood is diluted by having parts of Avenue I through Avenue K divided into three different Council districts and recommend that those areas be reunited into the 44 th Council Districts.

Also, I believe that the super Jewish districts only serve to dilute the power of the Jewish community and oppose any proposal to create super Jewish districts. For example, I believe it is in the best interest of the Borough Park community to have two council members, instead of one, as is currently proposed.

Thank you very much for allowing me the time to share my views in the redistricting and thank you for your consideration.

MR. HACKWORTH: Thank you.
Our next speaker is Rabbi David Niederman, to be followed by --

So our next speaker will be David Pollock, to be followed by Akiva Kizelnik.

MR. POLLOCK: Thank you.

Good evening and thanks. My name is David Pollock. I am with the Jewish Community Relations Council. I have been honored to serve as the associate executive director and I have been there since 1980, which means that this is my fourth cycle of redistricting. I have earned high gray hairs. I am here --

I have had to analyze and comment on the redistricting process for the Jewish communities of New York. And you will note that I say communities, because there is no single Jewish community. We are incredibly diverse. Geographically, we reside throughout the City. Religiously, we span respective from secularism to ultra Orthodoxy. Economically, we are rich, poor, and everything in between. Politically, we are liberal to conservatives, and our immigrants are from the former Soviet Union, Syria, Israel, Iran. We have very significant communities all over, and each of these communities will come to the redistricting process with different ideas, and what $I$ have tried to do is to talk about consensus. Because at the JCRC, we try to reach some consensus.

So what did we do? Fortunately, you have a
very talented executive director, Carl reached out to us very early on in this process and asked us for our general comments, and I did two things. One is that I created two sets of maps for the use of the staff, and these maps show the contours of the Jewish community, both where the Jews are and, by the way, where the Russian speakers are. And fortunately what I found is that when I looked at the preliminary draft, it was very, very clear that the makeup of the map was sensitive to the concerns of the contours of the Jewish community, where the Jewish community started and stopped, and also some general principles that we spoke about with the staff.

The general principles are, No. 1, about elected officials. We believe that the interests of the Jewish communities can be protected and advance by sensitive and effective individuals, whether they are Jewish or not. We didn't come up with this this year, by the way; this goes back to 1982.

The district lines, we believe that district lines affecting Jewish communities should be facilitate the election of effective, sensitive and receptive public officials. And finally,
maintaining a critical mass. Towards that end, we have advocated that districts include, wherever possible, a critical mass of Jews and that district lines keep smaller Jewish communities together so that their electoral clout is not negated. So -- I'm sorry.

Putting all of that into the diversity, the preliminary draft is not perfect, but I am looking at it, and given my experience, I understand and I probably could guess where all of the lines -- most of the lines are coming from.

Some of the problems that my colleague Rabbi Niederman will talk about are of my own making, because I gave, you know, the data that I gave the staff did not include the changes in the election districts from 2012. But that is something -- generally, this is a very sensitive map and it is a very excellent starting point.

One of the concerns that we do have is about the possibility, given, you know, some of the comments that you have heard, people have tried to work toward the balkanization of the city, that we should keep everyone alike together and have as many single interest constituencies
possible --
MR. HACKWORTH: Mr. Pollock, I'm sorry, we have a number of speakers tonight.

MR. POLLOCK: Okay.
We believe the key to effective representation for Jewish community is having large enough numbers in the district to be important for a number of a broader coalition. I think that holds true for other communities. And we think that when elected officials are called on to effectively balance parochial and universal interests, those elected officials are destined to serve our city well.

Thank you very much.
MR. HACKWORTH: Thank you.
Our next speaker is Akiva Kizelnik, to be
followed by Hymie Shamah.
MR. KIZELNIK: Good evening, thank you for taking my time. My name is Akiva Kizelnik. I am a member of the Community Board 12.

MR. ROMANO: Can you bring the mike up towards you a little?

MR. KIZELNIK: Yes.
My name is Akiva Kizelnik. I am a member of Community Board 12. I am also a member of the
distinct organization called (inaudible). I live on 42nd Street, between Fort Hamilton Parkway and New Utrecht. In the old map, I always felt like I was on the outside because I was from the few streets in our community that was in the 38 th District. We have two distinguished council members representing our community, Councilman Greenfield in the 44, Councilman Lander in Districts 39. I do appreciate the fact that you put me into District 39, but I feel that you took away a big part of District 39 from Councilman Lander -- from Borough Park from Councilman Lander and what happened is more people live in my neighborhood became border residents, you have a few streets from the District 39, a few blocks District 38, and I would like to separate it out of the bulk of the community and I feel that the model that we had, which is having two representatives represent our community, Councilman Greenfield and Councilman Lander, work very well. So I would ask you if you can give back Councilman Lander a bigger part of our community so we should all feel that we are equally represented. Thank you. MR. HACKWORTH: Our next speaker will
actually be Hymie Shamah, to be followed by Rabbi David Niederman.

MR. SHAMAH: I thank the Commission for allowing me to speak. My name is Hymie Shamah. I am current president of Congregation Beth Torah, located at 1061 Ocean Parkway.

Congregation Beth Torah is one of the leading Sephardic synagogues along Ocean Parkway in the Midwood area of Brooklyn. We serve over 800 families in this neighborhood. Recently on the high holidays, we hosted over 2500 people at our services. Almost all of our members live between Avenue $I$ and Avenue 0 and between McDonald Avenue and Ocean Avenue. That community from Ocean Avenue is served by a -- excuse me.

I was disappointed to learn that our neighborhood, which was almost entirely represented by Councilman David Greenfield, is now being represent by three members of City Council. Specifically, aside from us all living in the same area, we shop on the same strip on Avenue J between Coney Island and Ocean Avenue, many of us send our children to the Yeshivah of Flatbush, whether it is the preschool on East 9th Street, the elementary school on East 10th Street
or the high school on East 17th Street.
Also, many of the issues that we face, whether it's the traffic along Ocean -- Coney Island Avenue or along Ocean Parkway are areas of mutual concern. The synagogue that I represent is one of several large Sephardic synagogues that serve the large Jewish community in this neighborhood. I imagine that all other synagogues have the similar concerns. We would like to maintain our status in Districts 44 and I respectfully request that you reinstate the areas of Avenue I and Avenue K from Avenue K on the East 9th Street side to Coney Island Avenue back into Councilman David Greenfield's district, District 44. Thank you.

MR. HACKWORTH: Thank you.
Our next speaker is Rabbi David Niederman, to be followed by Hindi Bendel.

MR. NIEDERMAN: Good evening. Thank you very much, Members of the Distinguished Commission for the opportunity for us to appear, the people, and tell you exactly what is true on the ground, actually what is happening and what we believe is in the best interest of the community to ensure that a delivery of services
continues, and expands, even.
So the UJO, that is the director of UJO, UJO was founded close to fifty years ago and today it represents a growing community of about 70,000 people, Jewish residents living in the area, in Williamsburg and expanding and representing more than 200 institutions, synagogues, schools. And the important thing in that square mile, we have 18,000 children. Out of this 18,000 children, our schools is a -- over, over a thousand -- 1300 students have been there for ten years in the Broadway Triangle area and now a big building erected -- a new building, a $\$ 20$ million project is being erected in the Broadway Triangle area, which is going to accommodate 1300 new -- 1100 -I'm sorry, 1100 new students. So we are very happy that ten years ago you trusted us and we told you that a shift in population because of internal expansion is moving, is moving south and instead of running to Union, you expanded and included the 33rd -- the Broadway Triangle within the 33 Councilmanic District. Since then, the census -- and I gave you some information -- will show that the community continues to grow, and you recognize that. And, therefore, Bedford, you
extended the 33rd District into Bedford, to cover the thousands of people who live there and institutions. But somehow, which I don't know, people did not recognize that what you did last year -- ten years ago was actually a mirror to the Broadway Triangle, which is so vital for close to twenty years, but the last ten years, we have worked so closely on the development of that area that has been recognized by the City and that piece was omitted. As a community of interest, the -- it's crucial and it's important that we the -- the Broadway Triangle goes in, back into the congress -- the Council -- 33 Councilmanic District, so that you don't divide the community in half at such an important time. Thank you very much. MR. HACKWORTH: Thank you. Our next speaker is Hindy Bendel, to be followed by Ari Kagan. MS. BENDEL: Good evening. I want to thank you for the opportunity to speak tonight. I am speaking on behalf of Community Board 14. My name is Hindy Bendel and I am a member of the Community Board 14. I am an active member in the community and I am a homeowner within the area of
the 44th District that is being remapped, which is Avenue I through K from McDonald Avenue to East 17th Street.

Councilman Greenfield represents this area and has made many significant improvements to our community. As a member of the community board, I have worked with Councilman Greenfield regarding various issues. Councilman Greenfield is always forthcoming and advocates for our community's behalf. Avenue J is a vibrant commercial strip and Councilman Greenfield is aware of our concerns, he has recently intervened to move a bus stop closer to a train station, so that we wouldn't have to walk a block from the train to get a bus; he has improved the cleanliness of Avenue J and the surrounding areas and he has helped to continue -- he has helped continue to invigorate our neighborhood.

It would be a great disadvantage if the redistricting occurs. In order to see the continued growth and improvement, Community Board 14 strongly opposes the change to the 44 th District. We are requesting that you please keep this community together and do not ratify the proposed change.

Thank you so much and have a great evening. MR. HACKWORTH: Thank you. Our next speaker is Ari Kagan, to be followed by Gregory Davidzon. MR. KAGAN: Good evening. Dear members of the New York City Council District Commission. My name is Ari Kagan and I am a board member of The United Association of East European Jewry, a not-for-profit organization, working primarily with Russian-speaking immigrants. I am also a newly elected democratic district leader in the 46th Assembly District. I live in Brighton Beach and I love New York. The Russian community is at home in our great city. Russian community members achieve tremendous success in business, cultures, sports, arts, science medicine and politics. There are over 400,000 Russian Americans in all five boroughs. Brooklyn is a home of the largest Russian-speaking community in the United States of America. We went a long way for the last ten years in New York's electoral politics. Today, there are Russian Americans in New York State Assembly and in New York State Senate, but until now, there are no Russian Americans in New York City Council and community
is eager to change the situation.
When we looked at the proposed redistricting map, it became obvious that the lines of the 48th Council District are redrawn in a special way to divide the Russian-speaking voters and not to give real opportunities to Russian Americans be in elections in this district.

Currently, 48th District includes all Brighton Beach, Manhattan Beach, parts of the Sheepshead Bay, (inaudible) and Midwood. The Russian-American community today is about 25 percent of the total electorate in the 48th District. The Commission cut out Manhattan Beach from the 48th District and instead added to 48th District a significant part of Midwood; by doing this, the Commission is diluting the voting power of the Russian-speaking community in the 48th District. So I am asking the Districting Commission to move back to the 44th District, currently represented by Councilman David Greenfield, several blocks in Midwood in areas of Avenue J, K, M, N that were added to the common boundaries of 48th District by you.

I am also asking the Commission to include several buildings with many Russian-speaking
residents located between Seabreeze Avenue and West Brighton into the 48th District, excluding them from the cut off lines from the 47 th District -- I will give you a copy of my speech -- which implementing all of these measures, you will ensuring that Russian-speaking communities will have next year a fair chance to elect a first Russian American to the New York City Council. It will be a tremendous milestone for our community and for the whole New York City.

Thank you very much.
MR. HACKWORTH: Thank you.
Our next speaker will be Gregory Davidzon, followed by Chaim Israel.

MR. DAVIDZON: Dear members of New York City
Council Redistricting Commission. My name is
Gregory Davidson and I am on one of the Russian-speaking radio station, in few Russian newspapers. My --

You know, I try to be short. And probably my speech will be very similar to Ari Kagan, because we are on the same team.

Based on my job at the radio station, the newspaper, we have a very big feedback from the

Russian-speaking community and I believe we can express our vision. And my concern most about the two districts, which are 44 and 48.

From my point of view, without absolutely -without any significant reasons, it was split. I think nobody will argue the main goal of redistricting is to keep communities together. Sometimes possible, sometimes impossible, but in this case, I don't see any reason why some part of 44th District was cut and put together with the 48th and 48th cut another way.

If you take a look on the old map, I believe majority of the people who live in 45th -- I'm sorry, 44th and 48th satisfied with their representative and with the lines. So I would like to ask Commission to move back to the 44th District, currently represented by Councilman David Greenfield, several blocks in Midwood, in areas between Avenue J, K L, N and take them certainly from the new plan for them to the in the 48th.

At the same time, I would like to ask you to increase a little bit the 48th District, including the Seabreeze Avenue and West Brighton. And anything else, I think it's very
acceptable what we see on the new map.
Thank you very much.
MR. HACKWORTH: Thank you.
Our next speaker is Chaim Israel, to be followed by Rochelle Zami.

MR. ISRAEL: Good evening Ladies and Gentlemen, Members of the Commission, and thank you for the privilege.

My name is Chaim Israel. I am a lifelong resident of Borough Park and I am active member of Bloomberg's not-for-profit organization serving the needy and less fortunate in the neighborhood.

I would like to speak of the support of the Council district map proposed by Greater of Israel of American. My focus is the southern tier of Brooklyn, particularly it's contiguous neighborhoods comprising the bulk of the Orthodox Jewish population and the subject area, these neighborhoods are Borough Park, Mapleton, Kengsington, Midwood, Madison, Marine Park and parts of Bensonhurst.

According to the most recent census, the combined population of the subject neighborhoods, the population is in excess of 540,000 people,
equivalent to more that three full councilmanic districts.

Please allow me to address the lesser issue first. The preliminary draft released by the Commission last month essentially follows the district lines established ten years ago, excepting some minor variances, superficially appearing as insignificant adjustments; however, closer examination of the district lines, particularly along the edges of the neighborhoods such as Borough Park, west of Fort Hamilton Parkway, parts of Kengsington, portions of Marine Park and other small pockets of Orthodox Jewish neighborhoods revealed a series of degradation of political efficacy of those voters as the preliminary plan divides these already relatively small areas into yet multiple districts. That is the lesser issue.

The larger issue is as follows: The contiguous Orthodox Jewish neighborhoods in the southern tier of Brooklyn in the preliminary plan are sliced into nine, nine councilmanic districts. The only neighborhood, which some disgracefully refer to as the "super Jewish district," and I say that in quotations, is the

44th Councilmanic District in Borough Park, the other neighborhoods are sliced into eight other districts, effectively watering down their votes essentially to make them virtually inconsequential.

Additionally, the northwestern portion of Borough Park is joined with Park Slope, a community with which it shares very few issues and concerns. The most recent councilmanic race illustrates the varying unfairness and inequity. The winning candidate received less than 5 percent of the Borough Park vote.

I will close with saying that while the current lines may have reflected demographics ten years ago, they most certainly don't reflect the facts around today.

The current census data indicate a sharp population growth in Orthodox Jewish community, both numerically and geographically. To ignore these facts, would be grossly unjust, unfair and it would, in my opinion, violate the spirit of the Voting Rights, as it vacuums political competition and participation of the political process guaranteed by the Constitute of this great democracy, the United States of America.

Thank you for listening.
MR. HACKWORTH: Thank you.
Our next speaker is Rochelle Zami, to be followed by Joe Levy.

MS. ZAMI: Good evening. My name is Rochelle Zami and I am a board member of Community Board 12 and I am an active member and volunteer in the Sephardic Jewish community.

I am here today to express my concern regarding the new maps of the 44th District.

The Sephardic Jewish community has been split from the previous maps. Previously, Councilman David Greenfield represented the area from Avenue I to Avenue K, from McDonald Avenue to East 17th Street, and in other areas until Coney Island Avenue. The new maps put Avenue I to J from East 9th Street to East 17th Street in one district and the next block, Avenue J to K from East 9th Street to East 17th Street, in another district. This makes no sense. These are communities of common interest. Many people living in these neighborhoods shop, pray and send their children to the same schools that are in the rest of the area that is represented by Councilman David Greenfield. I am hoping that
the Commission would please consider reversing these lines to the previous ones, so that the areas of Avenue $I$ through $K$ and East 9th Street up east can be put back into Councilman David Greenfield's district.

My children are students at the Yeshivah of Flatbush on East 17th Street and Avenue J, Yeshivah of Flatbush has thousands of children, most of whom live in Councilman David Greenfield's district and would be quite upset to learn that he no longer represents their school.

Thank you for your time.
MR. HACKWORTH: Thank you.
The next speaker is Joe Levy, to be followed by David Shlomovich.

MR. LEVY: Good evening and thank you for the opportunity to speak in front of you.

My name is Joe Levy and I am a member of the Community Board 12, I am also a member of the Beth Torah Congregation and are very active in local community affairs.

I am ere to ask the Commission to reverse its decision to split Midwood into so many different parts. Specifically $I$ refer to a disappointing change that would split the heart
of the Sephardic Jewish community from Avenue I to Avenue K.

Currently, Council Member David Greenfield represents the areas up until East 17th Street, the new proposal will give one block, Avenue I, to one council member, another block, Avenue J, to another council member, and would leave a third council member, Greenfield, with the rest. If anything, we should be adding the blocks to Greenfield's districts, not taking blocks away. That is why I urge the Commission to, at the very least, go back to the old map, which would have Greenfield represent both of these areas.

Otherwise, we will be left with a situation where literally myself and my friends, who are all a part of the same community and live within blocks of each other, will be represented by three different members of the City Council. That would dilute our voice and would be unfair to our community.

Thank you very much for our time.
MR. HACKWORTH: Thank you.
Our next speaker is David Shlomovich, to be followed by Mercedes Narcisse.

MR. SHLOMOVICH: Good evening. I want to
thank you, the Commission, for giving us the opportunity to present our opinion over here. That didn't happen in the place where $I$ came for.

So but I am living in this district for almost forty years, Councilman David Greenfield was elected to the Council in 2010, the councilman won with majority of the votes in every single neighborhood of that district, Midwood, Borough Park and Bensonhurst. I am proud to say that I personally know Councilman Greenfield for many years, and I know him always to be professional, concerned and helpful leader to our neighborhood and our district. He has proven time and time again that this community can always count on his honesty and integrity.

As a chairman of the community -- of the transportation community of the Community Board 12, I worked with councilman on many issues, including traffic on Coney Island Avenue, safety and other public issues that we have. There is no reason to remove East 10th Street and Coney Island Avenue, why there is two blocks, there is in the other area that they took away from him that also I disagree. And personally East 10th Street, and what was the reason the East 10th and

Coney Island Avenue is part of the same people, we have the same ideas, same issues and take out East 10th and Coney Island Avenue and just give it to the other councilman? It is for this reason that $I$ urge the Commission to include our neighborhood back into his new district, East 10th -- in specific, East 10th and Coney Island Avenue should go back to David Greenfield's district.

Thank you very much and have a good night.
MR. HACKWORTH: Thank you.
Our next speaker is Mercedes Narcisse, to be followed by Paul Schubert.

MS. NARCISSE: First and foremost, I have to say thank God for giving me the opportunity to be here this evening.

Members of distinguished panel, fellow Brooklynites -- which is all of my friends here in the house, whether you are from every part of Brooklyn, you are my friend. We are in it together -- elected officials, ladies and gentlemen, my name is Mercedes Narcisse, I am a registered nurse for over twenty-three years and live and own a business and work in the Brooklyn 46th District for more over twenty-three years.

I have -- I am a board member of Community Board 18, I am a member of the Lions Club, I am a member of the medical mission for NOVA, all of that, I am talking about the culture part, the business part, I have some business partner, we work so hard in the community together.

And, now, I have to say I thank you for giving me the opportunity to speak to you.

This issue is very dear to my heart, the issue of redistricting. Every ten years, after a national, a head count has always been continuous and filled with controversy. What should be an otherwise simple activity and process, drawing electoral district lines to elect -- to reflect changes in populations, has now become a complex, hostile and bitter partisan slugfest that has obviated and frustrated ordinary citizen, like myself, of New York City and State. So, here we are again today.

On October 2nd, the local media reported a statement from Commissioner Hum on the issue of fairness in the redistricting process, and I quote, we certainly understand the gravity of having a map that reflects our city and making sure minority groups are represented fairly. It
was a map to start the conversation. We didn't get everything right.

Our community in Brooklyn 46th District, now holds you, which is Commissioner Hum's statement -- I mean holds Commissioner to that statement. This time, you can make sure that you get everything right by adopting or taking a second look and incorporate all of the part of the Unity Map submitted by the Center for Law and Special Justice.

My community and I support my map and as well as the Unity Map. We believe that it is far more objective and adheres to the letter and the spirit of both the City Charter and the Voting Rights Act of 1965 more than the Commission present proposed boundaries.

I believe that the proposed redistricting City Council map are presently drawn by the Redistricting Commission are deeply fractured and flawed and designed to protect the incumbency, while disenfranchising entire communities.

In this context, and after careful review of the proposed districts, $I$ am in total agreement with Brennan Center, that quote, "Epidemic of gerrymandering causes a growing threat to our
democracy." You have the ability to end that.
MR. ROMANO: Thank you.
MS. NARCISSE: For example, in our 46th District, a community where I live and work all of my adult life, raise my children, the first one going to medical school right now, and own a business. In the proposed Commission map, my house is deliberately drawn out of the district, to place me in 42nd Council District.

MR. ROMANO: Thank you.
MS. NARCISSE: What this attempts to do is to make sure that $I$ would not be eligible to run for the 46th District in 2013 and, therefore, allow a candidate sanctioned and endorsed by the Brooklyn Democratic party postured to win the seat.

MR. ROMANO: Thank you.
MS. NARCISSE: It will virtually ensure that I will lose in the 42nd Districts, since I am not known there, have and never worked reason to (inaudible) the institution of people's democracy.

MR. ROMANO: Thank you. We need to.
MS. NARCISSE: A broken district, I reject that entirely and I intend to follow up, and I
thank you for your time and I thank you all. May God bless you. And maybe you were elected and selected, do the right thing for the communities. Thank you very much.

MR. HACKWORTH: The next speaker was Paul Schubert, to be followed by Steve Chung.

And please remember, as I mentioned at the beginning of the hearing, if you go over the time, you may be depriving your neighbors or other community members of their opportunity to testify today.

MR. SCHUBERT: Greetings, gentleman and ladies.

Now, approximately 300 years ago, this great nation was founded on the principle that all men are equal, and around 1919 we decided to extend that privilege to the ladies. Now, in our inner cities, we have many different groups, we have Irish people, Dominican people, Italian people, and more recent immigrants from the Middle East and the Soviet Union and Eastern Europe.

Now, the original concept was that $X$ amount of people, like 160,000, could be represented by one city councilman. But regrettably, man being what he is, we don't want people who do not dress
like us, eat the same foods our grandma cooks and go to the same religious institution representing us. And as a result of this, I see a map that is confusion. Now, using -- the Google earth satellite enhanced version, I believe the only really fair shake in a Jeffersonian democracy, which means all man's vote is equal to any other man's, regardless of who his grandmother is, should be a rectangle. And using modern GPS and satellite imagery, I believe we could cut the City into rectangles of 160,000 average, we would increase the Council seats. I am saying here 140,820, 45th District to the 33rd, which is a 170. And if I were to reduce all of these districts to 160, as required by City Charter, I believe I could add maybe half a dozen Council seats. And we would make sure that everybody in their rectangle got represented equally. As was the original desire of the Founding Fathers of our country. And I believe also the writers of our State Charter and of our City Charter. And I want to thank you, all of you people coming out here. Democracy only works when you get involved; it is not a spectator sport. MR. HACKWORTH: Thank you.

Our next speaker is Steve Chung, to be followed by Jacqueline Smartt.

And I just want to note at this time that we have many, many speakers that are registered and given the time limitations in this location, it is possible that not all will be able to speak tonight.

Go ahead.
MR. CHUNG: Hi, good evening, ladies and gentlemen, and good evening the Redistricting Commission.

My name is Steve Chung and I am the president of the United Chinese Association of Brooklyn and we call ourself UCA.

UCA was founded in 2002 and its goal is to improve the wellbeing of the Chinese immigrants and family in Bensonhurst, and UCA also is a member of ACCORD, which is the Asian American Community Coalition on Redistricting and Democracy. And we will endorse also one of our event organizations, the Asian American Legal Defense and Education Fund proposed City Council district called the Unity Map.

And today, I would like to focus my concern in the two most populated Asian neighborhoods in

Brooklyn, which is the Sunset Park and Bensonhurst. Although, grouping Asian community interests into a political district is one of our objectives, but it is not our primary goal. Even though we can draw a very close Asian population majority, a new City Council district, by using the newly created first ever Asian population majority Assembly District 49, using it as a base core and we can actually stretch it out into Bensonhurst neighborhood, we can almost create a 50 percent Asian population majority district. But we didn't do that, because the Unity Map is a collective agreement. We are also concerned on other minority group, like the black community and the Latino community.

And the other thing is I would like to -- on behalf of our Chinese community in Bensonhurst, we would like to endorse these two city district, which is the 38, including the Sunset Park and also District 47, which is the Bensonhurst. By doing that, we can create an Asian population rate in Sunset Park which is 37 percent Asian and 34 percent in Bensonhurst.

With the fastest Asian population increase rate in the next ten years, we most likely we can
create two of, uh, the influential districts in Brooklyn, and I hope by doing that we can motivate the Asian population to get involved in voting and being more contributive to our political system. Thank you.

MR. HACKWORTH: Thank you.
Our next speaker is Jacqueline Smartt, to be followed by Valeriy Savinkin.

MS. SMARTT: Good evening. My name, as he said before, is Jacqueline Smartt and I am a resident of Canarsie. I moved to Canarsie in 1995, when the area was in the height of white plant. Initially, we had Jewish and Italian neighbors on either side of us, but eventually that did he demographic changed; white-owned businesses moved and minority-owned businesses took over. Contrary to popular opinion at the time, we did not bring down the neighborhood, rather the immigrant population that moved in, accustomed to owning and maintaining properties in the countries that they migrated from, improved their properties and instead of declining, the property values climbed upwards. During the real estate recession, real estate in Canarsie maintained its value and an
article in P.M. News referred to Canarsie as the best kept secret in Brooklyn. Our population -our voting population is a voting population who maintains an interest in seeing their community improve. The recent move to redistrict our neighborhoods is nothing more than a move to discombobulate our community. This move is nothing more than a blatant motion to (inaudible) our neighborhood. The resulting loss of funds for our section our community will result in a loss of services that we pay our taxes for and deserve to continue to have.

I hope that you listen to the concerns of the voters and residents of Canarsie and that this meeting isn't just a sham formality on which you continue with your plans, which will benefit only a few. We have earned benefits that we currently enjoy and the redistricting proposal would land parts of our community with neighborhoods that do not enjoy same reputation as we do. We live in Canarsie and would like to continue to enjoy the current benefits that we have earned. We would like to remain a Canarsie that is united and not represented by three different Council people. Thank you.

MR. HACKWORTH: Thank you.
The next speaker is Valeriy Savinkin, to be followed by Alexander Rapaport.

MR. SAVINKIN: Dear members of the New York City Districting Commission, my name is Larry Savinkin, also known as Valeriy Savinkin. I represent two community organizations: Odessa Community of New York and September 11th Family Group.

For many year, I served as an executive director, and now has a president, of both mentioned organizations, and I can proudly say that besides myself, I represent hundreds of residents of the region in south Brooklyn called Little Odessa.

On behalf of them, $I$ have three main reasons to ask the Districting Commission to reconsider its plan in relation to the boundaries of the 48th Council District.

First, Little Odessa is a region located in south Brooklyn between Manhattan Beach on the east and Coney Island Avenue on the west. Historically, immigrants from the former Soviet Union settled here for a few thousands years, situated many along the shore of the ocean from

Manhattan Beach to West 5th Street. (Inaudible) and so on, many members of the Odessa community of New York reside from the Seabreeze Avenue and West Brighton Avenue between Ocean Parkway and West 5th Street; unfortunately, according to the preliminary draft map, this area is not included in the 48th District. The border between 47th and 48th districts divides our community in parts, diminishing its voting power.

Second, according to the Redistricting Commission plan 48th District, there is no park area at all; meantime, Asser Levy Park, located between Ocean Avenue, Surf Avenue, West 5th Street and Seabreeze Avenue is not just a green area, but very popular, special place for the Russian-speaking community in south Brooklyn. For years, numerous community organizations organized here various public events for tens of thousands of Russian-speaking Americans. That is why September 11th Family Group found this park the most appropriate area for the 9/11 Memorial and built it in 2005; since then, we maintained the area year round and organized traditional public ceremonies every year. Thousands of our community members come every year to the
memorial. To suggest another group of common interest, in this case commemoration of the Russian-speaking community (inaudible). The future of this park is an important issue for local residents and we hope (inaudible) will help us to keep this park, including the 9/11 Memorial to serve various needs of Russian-speaking immigrants, especially if its territory will become part of the 48th District.

Third, one of the criteria that the Commission shall apply in drawing new districts is compact. City of New York Charter 2-A, Section 52 1d explicitly prohibits any district to be more than twice as long as its length. I checked the proposed -- I am almost done. I checked the proposed map of the 48th Council District using Google map and found the following: Its width is equal to the distance between Brighton Beach and --

MR. ROMANO: Thank you.
MR. HACKWORTH: Thank you very much. We have to move on.

MR. SAVINKIN: Okay.
MR. HACKWORTH: Thank you.
Our next speaker will be Alexander Rapaport,
to be followed by Descorbeth Marie Pascal.
Do we have Alexander Rapaport?
Descorbeth Marie Pascal?
To be followed by Elizabeth Grace Hall.
MS. PASCAL: Thank you, Canarsie and thank you, again, Mr. Commissioner and everybody here and thank you to the leaders representative and thank you again for representing Canarsie once again in this public hearing.

And I have been living in Canarsie since --
MR. HUM: Can you try to talk into the mike?
MR. OGNIBENE: Take that.
MS. PASCAL: Thank you.
I have been living in Canarsie since fifteen years, I grew up and I went to school, I worked there in the same environment and right now with the districting lines, I really don't consider, I really don't approve it and do not agree with it. And I want hi, voted to count in my district in my community. I want to be represented as my community under one City Council, not under more than one. We want to keep our community together and we want to support the Unity Map that protects and empowers our community.

Thank you very much.
MR. HACKWORTH: Our next speaker is
Elizabeth Grace Hall, to be followed by Michael Roberts.

Do we have Elizabeth Grace Hall?
Do we have Michael Roberts?
Michael Roberts, followed by Sandra Conner.
MR. ROBERTS: Good evening. My name is Michael Roberts and I have lived and worked in Brooklyn for over twenty years. I have also been involved in the political arena for some time now, so I know that the way that district lines are drawn puts voters together in groups, some voters are kept together in one district and others are separated into the different districts. In our system, whichever group has more votes within a district usually decides which representative wins.

Just look at New York City and Brooklyn and districting boundaries that are presently drawn and it tells a story of a divided, separated city. African Americans, Caribbean Americans and new immigrant minority districts are routinely cracked, packed and diluted, so they do not threaten the political (inaudible).

Today, City Council districts look like cases of very pop art, done by a drunken artist, who simply splashes paint on a piece of canvas. This is the kind of gerrymandering that is at work in the proposed lines of 2013. The way the lines are drawn can keep a community together or split it apart. Changing where they (inaudible) who feel responsible for its concerns. The way the lines are drawn can impact who wins an election. Ultimately, the way the lines are drawn can change who controls the governing body and can change which policies get passed into law. Many incumbents give consultants and lawyers large sums of money to have their district custom designed, with enough friendly votes to make it extremely likely that they will win the election. There is total opposition and votes are wasted in this process. The presence proposed lines and boundaries in Brooklyn would crack districts, eliminate political challengers, dilute minority and neighborhoods, waste votes, split communities of interest, as in Canarsie, in the 46th District. And most egregious, let politicians pick and choose their voters, instead of voters picking their leaders.

Mr. Commissioner, you said that you want to get it right, please review the Unity Map submitted and proposed by the Center For Law and Social Justice. You don't have to approve everything on the map, but the map has done a far better job of adhering to the spirit and letter of the New York City Charter and the Voting Rights Act of 1965 than your Redistricting Commission has done at this point at this time. Your boundaries divide people of color and that is definitely not fair.

Thank you for your time.
MR. HACKWORTH: Our next speaker is Sandra Conner, to be followed by a Mdabu Yusuf.

MS. CONNER: Good evening, thank you for giving me this opportunity to speak and sport the Unity Map from the Social Justice Law Department -- Center on Social Justice.

We have come over here to this country and not because we -- at this point, not because we chose to come, we were brought over several hundred years ago, and we are used to seeing a great deal of injustice and I am hoping with, um, perhaps with, um, some decency, you will stop constantly trying to divide people of color
opportunity to have a power representation. We don't really have it in our City Council now, we can't support people who actually help our communities, and we hope that you will accept this map and use it when you are making your decisions.

Thank you.
MR. HACKWORTH: Thank you.
Our next speaker is Mdabu Yusuf, to be followed by Kobir Chowdhury.

MR. YUSUF: Good evening the panel of redistricting process. My name is Mohammed Abu Yusuf, I am from the East New York area, the vicinity of between Crosby, Pennsylvania and Linden Avenue and Jamaica. In this area, the reality, the actual progress is so tremendous that over a period of ten years, there is a big population increase from the Southern Asian countries, especially from Bangladesh. And the majority for 85,90 percent of the people are Muslim and they have, over a period of one decade, they have developed in tremendous ways in various trades in trade and business, culture, religious, (inaudible), every signs, and in this area, mostly our Muslim people are attending, if

I give an example, it's a small one, in the mosque we have 6 to 7 mosques we have built on our own budget, not from a single penny from any government authority. This mosque is, we are as a Muslim attending five times a day. And in this area, at least in this these five times, more than 1,000 people are attending the prayer, and in private prayers, we are attending altogether around 10,000 people, or you can say about 8 to 10,000. And if you go, for example, we have a religious traditions of principles that two years, we are celebrating our second mosque. This is our founding principle followed around the globe. And if you see this, each celebration, from your sight, anybody, you can go and see, that every two years in the summer, because the season is (inaudible) us, we are going outside and celebrating that holiday and that holiday indicates how many people we are there.

So and these are the people are contributing in the diversive united society of America, and we have tremendous growth of young generations (inaudible) who are even contributing very remarkably.

So we would like to request the panel to redistricting program that make such a district whereby our community integrity will remain and we can enhance our progress with the (inaudible) community and with the diversity program. Thank you.

MR. HACKWORTH: Thank you.
Our next speaker is Kobir Chowdhury, to be followed by Kathleen Verna.

MR. CHOWDHURY: Good evening, Commission. My name is Kobir Chowdhury. I am also from the area of Ozone Park, City Line, East New York. I could honestly say I am from all three different places at the same time, because I live on 75th Street, Ozone Park; I work on (inaudible) Street, which is the City Line, and a big part of my family lives right by East New York.

I am here also from a very uniformed organization called Bangladeshi American Democratic Council of New York. We are trying to unite, you know, populations from Bangladesh to unify their voice and, you know, help grow the community in a positive way.

What I see also that you could statistically see in different schools, starting from I.S. 218,
in Brooklyn, also in 159, P.S. 159 that is, P.S. 214, also in, you know, P.S. 64, you have Frank Kelly, you have Elizabeth Blackwell, a tremendous growth in student population. Some of the neighboring schools have almost 40,50 percent student population in recent years from Bangladeshi community.

So I won't take too much time; I respect everybody else's, you know, opinion, also.

What I would suggest, two things: Either extend Council District 37 to at least ten, fifteen blocks towards District 32, which is, you know, Brother Sheik had suggested to Woodhaven, Jamaica or Linden Boulevard. Or, a better one, just give us a district of our own with Ozone Park, part of the south Ozone Park, whole City Line area and part of East New York.

Thank you very much.
MR. HACKWORTH: Thank you.
Our next speaker is Kathleen Verna, to be followed by Henderson Wint.

MS. VERNA: Good evening, ladies and gentlemen. My name is Kathleen Verna and I am the member of the Remsen Church of God. I am
here tonight on behalf of Remsen Church of God, which is a part of the 46 th District. It's a pleasure to testify for our district and for our community.

We want to be united, not divided. We do not want to be represented by two different City Councils. We are registered voters and we would like our vote to be counted for our community. We want to keep our Caribbean-, African-American community together, because we belong together, we share the same community value, the same culture and the same interest. We believe that united -- we believe that unity will protect and empower our community. We support the Unity Map. Thank you so much and may God bless. Thank you.

MR. HACKWORTH: Our next speaker is Henderson Wint, to be followed by Rabbi Yechezkel Pikus.

MR. WINT: I shall now thank the Commission for holding this hearing tonight for a very significant cause.

I live in Canarsie for over thirty years. I am very much involved in the community civics, a member of 69th Precinct, Council, a political
volunteer.
We do not want to be represented by three different City Council. Please let it be one? We want to be represented to whom we choose. Please let it be? We are tired of partial representation or going around in circles. Cutting the banyan tree. Canarsie. We want to keep our Caribbean-, African-American values rich and diverse with our ethnic groups and culture and core values.

Let the record show, support the Unity Map that guarantee, protect, empower. We the people are within our rights to choose the American dream, unity and strength. Do not divide us. Thank you.

MR. HACKWORTH: Our next speaker is Rabbi Yechezkel Pikus, to be followed by Ofelia Edwards.

MR. PIKUS: My name is Yechezkel Pikus. I am the director of Council of Jewish Agencies. I represent -- the constituency that we serve is found in two different districts, the 44 th and 48th. The homogeneity of these two communities has been enhanced to the influx of individuals who have moved there because of its homogenous
nature. Culturally I would say that homogenous in many respects. We are very happy with the current setup, maintaining these two districts as is, the 44th and 48th. We feel that it -- the current situation gives us enough input into the needs of the community and that our representatives are sensitive to our needs and will continue to be, given the makeup of these communities. We want to preserve these communities as they are right now and keeping intact both of the 44th and 48th.

I thank you.
MR. HACKWORTH: Thank you.
Our next speaker is Ofelia Edwards, to be followed by Julie Osborne.

MS. EDWARDS: Good evening members of the Commission. My name is Ofelia Edwards and I have been a resident of Canarsie for approximately seventeen years.

The redistricting proposed line does Brooklyn a great disservice and cracks up the entire community in ways that deny them the right and opportunity to elect one of their own to City Council; this is unacceptable. And just as the people of the Bronx objected to the way that they
broke up established neighborhoods like El Barrio in northern Manhattan and Brooklyn's Chinatown in a way that is counter to the City Charter, we object to the lines that break up Canarsie, Paerdegat and Remsen in Brooklyn 46th District simply to preserve the power base of a political regime; this does a disservice to residents of this district that have remained a united community for many years. How can people who have lived, voted, send their children to schools in Canarsie, Flatlands and Remsen Gardens suddenly find themselves out of the district and joined to the community that they scarcely know be fair and sensible? We urge you to reconsider and take a closer look of what the effect of your presence lines will have on the boundary changes to the 46th District and the very lives of the people who live there. We want to be able to live like a community and share interests like we always have. We also demand that this Commission be guided by the letter, spirit of the city's Charter and the Voting Rights Act of 1965. I also support the Unity Map as a better alternative to the Commission's present boundaries that clearly will result in the
preserving of the political incumbency, waste votes, deny the community and individuals the right to participate in the democratic process and create a care system based on political patronage. I urge you to reconsider the lines, keep the 46th Council District intact, don't dilute and waste our votes and don't be influenced by the political bosses.

Thank you.
MR. HACKWORTH: Thank you.
Our next speaker is Julie Osborne, to be followed by Loe Fahie.

MS. OSBORNE: Good evening. My name is Julie Osborne, I am representing the East 88th Street Block Association in Canarsie. Good evening, Chairman and Members of the Commission.

I want to put on the record my strong opposition to the way that our electoral lines are drawn in Brooklyn, but in particular in the 46th City Council District. The proposed changes to the 213 map of the district cracks the black and minority communities and dilute their voting power, making it more impossible to elect a representative that reflects the demographics of the district.

By the United States Census 2010, members in the district is 42.2 percent black, 42.6 percent white, with over 10,000 Asians and 11,000 Hispanics; therefore, this qualifies the 46th Council District for designation of a minority-majority district and this satisfies the Federal Voting Rights Act of 1965.

The present lines dilute and crack the district and so violate the Voting Rights Act. A better alternative is the Unity Map that was drawn by the Center of Law and Social Justice; while not perfect, I believe that this map will keep intact communities of interest in the 46 th District.

Our minority population grew by about 1 percent and this demographic change demands a revision of the present lines so that the district reflects the change.

I also urge this commission to recommit self to a transparent process. Extensive public input, and a recognition of neighborhoods and communities of interest in this redistricting process. These lines should not be drawn to guarantee that one ethnic or racial group unfairly controls political power over the
majority because of the geographic gerrymandering and the bias input from the Brooklyn's political bosses with their own agendas.

In conclusion, the present lines leave much to be desired, and it is my hope that you redraw lines in keeping with the letter of the Voting Rights Act of 1965. I also --

Thank you.
MR. HACKWORTH: Thank you.
The next speaker is Loe Fahie, to be followed by Gary Schlesinger.

MS. FAHIE: Good evening. Sorry, I just found out about this a couple of hours ago, so I am not going to be as well versed in statistics. But I do thank you for this forum, and I hope it actually does something.

I am here to strongly propose that the eastern border of the 36th District is extended to Saratoga Avenue, not stopping at Malcolm X or, as it proposed, at Patchen Avenue. As part of Community Board 3, we are hoping not to be divided from our community. It makes sense topographically, stylistically, architecturally, historically for us to be a part of our Community Board.

Currently, we are within the 41st District, instead of the 31st, which doesn't quite make sense to me. The eastern area of Bed-Stuy should be adjoined with the rest of its neighborhood, from where I stand. We have about redistributed or displaced into Ocean Hill; which, having grown up in Bed-Stuy, I actually hadn't heard of until a few years ago. So I would love to be a part of my own community.

Even after moving away to various neighborhoods, in all of your districts, I have come back to Bed-Stuy, I have seen a lot of progress and I am a part of it and I would like to be not be severed from. We deserve a harmonious neighborhood that corrects this inexplicable, or it probably can be explained, but illogical severing of our community, as we all strive to grow and improve and preserve the neighborhood we love, which is all of Bed-Stuy. Having attended our district's monthly public meeting, it seem that we are more of a square peg in a round hole. So, once again, I reiterate, I would love to see that our district borders somehow extends to what is officially the border, historically, at the least on some
accounts, Saratoga Avenue.
The Districting Commission has finally seen it fit to redraw these lines, so this is why I am here today, to hope that you would consider to extend that border.

Let's see.
One other thing I would like to say, fifty seconds left, is a common theme I have been seeing today is unity, and we feel that we deserve that unity within our community, within our neighborhood. This is not about -- I am not here about race, I am not here about class or gender, I care about unifying a community, one that have been severed by just a few blocks and not necessarily allowed to be fully a part of the progress that we are trying to make as we moved forward.

Thank you.
MR. HACKWORTH: Thank you.
Our next speaker is Gary Schlesinger, to be followed by -- it's difficult for me to read this name, but I believe it says Avrohom Icahn (phonetic).

MR. SCHLESINGER: Distinguished Members, I appear before you as a representative of the role
of Jewish community, which is represented at the 33rd and 35th Council District. My name is Gary Schlesinger. I am the executive board chairman of the United Jewish Community Advocacy Relations and Enrichment a/k/a UJcare, which represents thousands of Orthodox Jewish represents from Williamsburg, Clinton Hill, Fort Green and Bed-Stuy.

As a child of immigrants and Holocaust survivors, $I$ can only say that only the United States we have a chance to speak openly to lawmakers, who right the laws, implement policy and are ready and happy to hear what we have to say.

Over sixty years have past since our parents, immigrants, many from Eastern Europe, arrived here in this blessed country after fleeing the Holocaust during World War II. They built from scratch new institutions and poured millions upon millions of dollars into schools, synagogues, community centers. We are continuing to do exactly that until this day.

As of today, our new younger generation want to stay in the same community, because of that, we are one of the fastest growing community in
the United States. We are very worried that this will change and we will been diluted and our influences as a community will be diminished. As one community, we share the same culture, same religious beliefs in our way of life. There is a certain ethnic sensitivity that should be considered when the lines are drawn because there is so many special needs.

Some political forces proposed in the current draft to create a so-called super Jewish district, which will change the current City Council district. We are currently represented in two districts, the 33rd and the 35th. We believe it is better for our Orthodox Jewish community to leave the old lines untouched. It provides us with an additional Council member. In addition to Council Member Stephen Levin, from the 33rd Council District we also have Council Member Tish James, from the 35th Council District.

A super Jewish District will dilute community strength and will choke our voice to be more effectively heard within the City Council. We need two Council members. And we love our Council Member Tish James, who has been a great
voice to our community. It is important to have our voices heard. We believe we will prosper we will continue to raise our children as great American citizen.

Please look at these district lines fairly. Please don't changed our current district lines. Let our voices be heard and not the voice of the former disgraced assemblyman who wants to change our district lines for his own political agenda.

Thank you very much for listening.
MR. HACKWORTH: Our next speaker is Avrohom Icahn, to be followed by Marina Yelsky.

MR. KAHN: I thank you so much. Hi, name is Avrohom Kahn from -- director of COJO of Flatbush and I am speaking on behalf of many, many constituents who have e-mailed me, have told me their personal interests and they are, especially in the Flatbush neighborhood, Midwood neighborhood, Marine Park neighborhood, they would like to keep the 44 th and the 48 th Districts the same, the way it was by their -the way it was prior to this and they would like to make sure that David Greenfield will be left in taking care of the issues of the Flatbush neighborhood, of the Coney Island area, and --
where he was taken out of, that should be given back to David Greenfield.

It is important, I hear a lot of the different things that are going on here tonight, my constituents are stating that they are very happy with how they are being represented and they want to keep that strength and they want to grow with that strength and they want their neighborhoods to continue growing, so we would like to keep it the way it is, the 44th, the 48th, and let David Greenfield have back that area that was his in the past.

Thank you very much, and I hope you take my recommendation.

MR. HACKWORTH: Thank you.
MR. ROMANO: We are going to be take a five-minute recess. We have about going for about two hours.

We are adjourned for five minutes.
MR. HACKWORTH: Our next speaker is Marina Yelsky, to be followed by Jody Celauro.

MS. YELSKY: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. My name is Marina Yelsky. I came from Canarsie, part of District 46. Thank you to let me talk.

I have a business in Canarsie and this community I would like to not divide it, I would like it to be united. We don't want to be represented by different City Council's. We go to the same church, we share in the same community and we want to be registered by only one City Council, we want to keep our community together. Please support Unity Map for our community. Thank you very much to let me speak. Thank you.

MR. HACKWORTH: Thank you.
Our next speaker is Jody Celauro, to be followed by Moshe Zakheim.

MR. CELAURO: Thank you, Committee. My name is Jody Celauro. I am from Canarsie, Brooklyn. I have a lifelong stake in that neighborhood. I am actually a dinosaur from that neighborhood, because I have been there all my life. We have a fifty-three-year-old family business still on Avenue L, in Canarsie, and I have been civically active and community active for many, many decades.

What I would like to say to you all is you have heard a good segment of this group tonight, those represented by Canarsie, and you have heard
the fervor, you have heard the passion, you have hear the way they feel in their hearts about breaking up this neighborhood.

For those of you who are familiar, or for those of you who are not, we have an enclave, we have a little suburb in the heart of Brooklyn. We are physically, physically separated by water, by the Paerdegat Basin, by Jamaica Bay and by Fresh Creek from the rest of the neighborhood, from the rest of the borough; as a result of that, we have always had a unique status, we have always had a unique life.

Now, throughout all of the decades that I have been in the home improvement business, I have been in thousands of people's homes in that neighborhood, those of the original residents from the 1960s, '70s and '80s, those who are there now, and the one common bound, the one common bound that they all share is the desire to live in a quiet, peaceful suburban-like setting, have a nice life for themselves, send their kids to a good school and to thrive in that community. Our neighborhood is quite different, and as a result of that, to rip representation away from Canarsie is to rip at the very core, to rip at
the sole of that neighborhood. I know what it's like, I know the way it's been and I know the way it is now. We cannot do that. We must maintain the uniqueness, the shopping centers, the schools, the family-oriented type of neighborhood that we have.

And I ask you one thing, everyone that has spoken tonight has talked about why we shouldn't change, why we shouldn't redistrict, but nobody here really knows what the reasons or the basis are for doing it in the first place.

I submit to you that those reasons are not authentic, yet they are politically driven for self-serving considerations, and that is the worst type of decisions that could be made to affect the people of any neighborhood, and let alone the people of Canarsie. So I humbly stand before you today and I ask you to consider that special magical neighborhood that has always existed at the southeastern end of Brooklyn, that neighborhood that we call Canarsie. Thank you.

MR. HACKWORTH: Thank you.
Our next speaker is Moshe Zakheim, to be followed by Dawne Courtney.

MR. ZAKHEIM: Good evening. Thank you force
opportunity to address the committee.
My name is Moshe Zakheim. I serve as the president of COJO, Council of Jewish Organizations of Flatbush. In addition, I serve as the cochair of Ohel Children's Home and q number of other nonprofit organizations, all for the purpose of helping those that are less fortunate.

Simply, if the goal of this committee is to provide support, if it is to help the community, then why change it? If it's not broken, why fix it? Our goal, and COJO is there to provide services to the community. It has been doing so very, very well respected for many, many year. How does it provide funding? It gets it from the government. If we are going to start dividing up or, excuse me, making that one super one organization -- super one district and we are going to hurt ourselves, we are going to hurt the people, not ourselves. We are going to hurt the people.

I heard the terminology used as a super Jewish district, let me tell you what to say, as we say, it's safe to say that when a person has a lot of the assets, you don't put it in one basic,
you don't invest it in one area, you invest it in different areas.

Here, we have needs for the Flatbush community, for the Borough Park community, from Marine Park, the service will be provided even more so and greater if it is maintained the way it has been doing now. Let the request from the committee is to allow it to continue, to maintain it as it is, it has been providing very, very good services, we are providing excellent services, this community, this organization that I am involved in, other organizations and we would like to continue that way.

Thank you.
MR. HACKWORTH: Thank you.
Our next speaker is Dawne Courtney, to be followed by Anne Marie Piene (phonetic).

MS. COURTNEY: Good evening, Members of the Council. My name is Dawne Courtney Newman (phonetic).

MS. BRAGG: Could you please peak into the mike?

MS. COURTNEY: Sorry.
Good evening, Members of the Council. My name is Dawne Courtney Newman. I am a resident
of Canarsie and the 46th Council District. I have been a resident there for over thirty years. When I was a child, I was brought to America and the first place I lived was in Canarsie. My aunt has been a resident there for over -- from since the '60s. And I attended school there. I attended South Shore High School. I left Canarsie, went over to CUNY Hunter College, I attended Hunter College. And then later Columbia University, where I have a social work degree. Now, I own property in Canarsie and I have recently heard of this redistricting last week, so it's sort of like rushed, $I$ am not really prepared, so I am trying to voice my concern here today and thank you for listening to me. As a result, $I$ now own property in Canarsie, and I have a small business there, as well, on Rockaway Parkway. One of my concerns is the bullying of politicians to stop, we want you all to stop cracking, you know, Canarsie. We need to have one Canarsie with representation of our choice and this change will bring about long-term negative -- a long-term negative impact on Canarsie. I -- instead of redistricting, we want more businesses, safer streets, to maintain the
parks, maintain the schools and we also need strong representation to improve and strengthen our community instead of weakening it. We would like to -- we like -- we like the 46th Council District to remain as it is now, so we would like for you to not redistrict the 46th Council District.

Thank you.
MR. HACKWORTH: Thank you.
Our next speaker is Anne Marie Piene, or Prene (phonetic).

No? Okay.
Possibly Anne Marie Pierre?
Any Anne Maries around?
All right. Michele Foster or --
AUDIENCE MEMBER: Luard.
MR. HACKWORTH: Pardon?
AUDIENCE MEMBER: Michele Luard.
MS. LUARD: I don't think I need the mike. Can you hear me?

I would like you to take --
No. You need that?
MR. HACKWORTH: Yes.
MS. LUARD: Okay.
I would like you to take notes of what I am
saying, because, to me sometimes, you are not paying attention, okay?

I live in the 46th District, I would like it to remain that way. Here are my reasons: One, with this rezoning, you are moving eight -- at least eight schools into East New York. East New York is densely populated with schools, low-functioning schools. District 19 schools are closed every go-around, okay. Now, you are going to expose my kids to the same level of education because $I$ am now going to be a part of that district. I do not want that. I would like my kids to continue being a part of the 46 th District. Okay, that is one.

Two, property value, the value of our properties will be devalued almost automatically. We don't want that.

The third reason, our safety, I respect and I love the representation in District 42, I have nothing against them, but we need our representation to continue the way it is, we need the money for our schools to remain the way it is.

Based on what I have heard tonight, there is not one person who has accepted this map;
therefore, if every one of us, if our request is granted, then the maps will remain the way it is, or at least be done the way the other sets of people have it.

My last request is, the next time this meeting is going to be held, I think we would like to know. I am a part of a block association, we were not aware of it, nobody knew. I was told this afternoon. I came here to find out, you know, what exactly is happening. I would like it publicized, that is the only way it's going to be democratic. All right. Thank you.

MR. HACKWORTH: Thank you.
Is there a Michelle, who is here on behalf of Mercedes Narcisse?

AUDIENCE MEMBER: Michelle left.
MR. HACKWORTH: Okay, thank you.
Our next speaker will be Antonio Reynoso, followed by Marie Olivie Jeune.

MR. REYNOSO: First, my name as Antonio
Reynoso and I am here on behalf of Council Member Diana Reyna, and I just want to say I want to thank you guys for taking the time out of your
lives to be on this Council, and I know how tough it is to be here as volunteers and try to do this job, so I thank you and commend you for the work you are doing.

I am here, a little tardy, I am here with many community members. The reason I am late is because we just came from a rally in which we were fighting discrimination and perpetuated segregation in a portion of our district called the Broadway Triangle. So that is why we were tardy, we were in a rally with over two hundred people and it was very successful.

On behalf of the 34th Council District, I would like to thank you for your time, effort and dedication in ensuring that new Council District lines accurately represent the current and ever-dynamic communities of New York City.

As you are well aware, the redistricting process requires a delicate balance between objectivity and awareness; the process must amend the lines according to the new census data, but at the same time, protect the community boundaries that have developed over generations and are defined by families, business owners, places of worship and community-based
organizations.
After a review of the proposed lines for the 34th District, I am pleased to say that the Commission has preserved many of the historical lines that define the Williamsburg, Bushwick and richer community.

Lines for Los Sures, or the south side of Williamsburg, will not change, according to the proposal, which is a welcome acknowledgement of Los Sures's perseverance in the face of significant gentrification along its borders.

I would also like to highlight the inclusion of the Broadway Triangle into the 34th District. It is no surprise to anyone familiar with the Broadway Triangle that it remains a hotly contested and controversial area. I am glad to see that the Commission has recognized the need to include the Broadway Triangle in a majority-minority district.

In the case of a series of city housing projects located in the Broadway Triangle, the Manhattan Supreme Court found that the proposed developers planned to construct buildings that would have perpetrated discrimination against Latino and black families and, thus, underserved
the local community. Having a majority-minority district represent this area will help guarantee that the residents, who have been marginalized for decades, have a voice in local policy matters.

The area of concern regarding the lines in the 34th District is the souther portion of Meeker Avenue, where several buildings, including, but not limited to, 72, 74 and 76 Richardson Street and 600 and 605 Metropolitan Avenue, have residents that are predominantly Latino. The exclusion of the residents from the 34th District leaves them vulnerable to gentrification and, ultimately, displacement. Despite the significant changes that the development of the Greenpoint-Williamsburg waterfront have brought to north Brooklyn, the 34th District has been able to preserve the cultural identities of many different ethnic and racial groups; Italians, Latinos, blacks, Asians and other residents. Given the exceptionally high growth rate experience in the 33rd District, the fact that the 33rd District has -- and the fact that the 33rd District has a larger population than the 34 th District, the area south
of Meeker Avenue should be kept intact and represented by the 34 th District.

Once again, thank you for your invaluable services to the city and I look forward to working with the Commission and the constituents of the 34th District to ensure that the new district lines best represent the community's interest.

Thank you very much.
MR. HACKWORTH: Thank you.
The next speaker is Marie Olivie Jeune.
No? Okay.
Wayne, whose last name is eligible, from Community Gardens.

Wayne?
AUDIENCE MEMBER: Yes.
MR. HACKWORTH: To be followed by Raheem Jones.

WAYNE: Good evening, distinguished panel Commission.

Truly, I am just here representing a community garden. I work with an organization that is trying to spearhead a movement to bring more resources around sustainability, food and eliminating food deserts in the community, and I
am speaking on behalf of the community represented in my -- in that area, the 42 District, which is predominantly African-American and Latino, and truly I find out that there is a political climate to suppress the vote and it's unprecedented nationwide. And when you hear that there has been some disparity in redistricting, it raises a red flag that many should address. So I am just somewhat concerned and I just have a few points that I would like to bring to the Commission's awareness, when you make a decision regarding redistricting, and one of them is census disparity. There has been some report that it was flawed and I would like to know if the Commission has made some allowances for any flaw counting regarding the census.

And in light of the political climate around voter suppression, it is truly and unprecedented steps -- there have been unprecedented steps to disenfranchise a certain community, so I just want to make sure that the Commission is aware of these things.

In terms of population growth, you know, it's simple matter of science, I know my 12-year old son, I gave him a glass of milk and we were
doing a study of volume, when the glass starts to overflow, it pours over and I asked him what do you do, he said, oh, you get another glass and you fill it. So when I see the Commission sees a population increase, in instead of getting another glass, they want to chop it up, I truly wish you could take some advise from my son regarding this, and I truly hope that you consider the diversity, New York is well known for its diversity, Brooklyn should be a model of that, so I really would like those to consider the Unity Map in terms of implementing that proposal to express the diversity of this borough.

Thank you.
MR. HACKWORTH: Thank you.
The next speaker is Raheem Jones, to be followed by Stanley Scott.

MR. JONES: Good evening, Commission.
I lived in Brooklyn all my life, over a half a century, I lived in several sections of Brooklyn, and what I have noticed over the many decades is that many things in our neighborhoods where African Americans live have been cut out, cut down.

I don't know how long all of y'all have been on this Commission, but I could remember back in the '80s, in the Crown Heights section, there was a certain enclave or a certain ethnicity which of course was not people of color that was drawn and this was all done illegally, so I just want y'all to know this is new districting that you have for Crown Heights, District 36, that we are not with it, we don't approve of it, and we want everything to stay the same as it was. You know, we want things to change for the better.

I mean, we need more schools, we need more institutions, we need more training facilities, so this is what the people in Crown Heights, Brooklyn need, okay?

I could tell you for example, you closed a hospital called St. Mary's, that hospital has been closed now for almost seven years and it is sitting right there and it isn't doing anything. I mean, this was a hospital that was needed in the neighborhood, and you are talking about closing more things, so we definitely don't appreciate it, we would like to see the new Unity Map that was drawn by the Center for Law and Social Justice be approved. This is what I have
to say.
Thank you.
MR. HACKWORTH: Thank you.
Our next speaker is Stanley Scott, to be followed by Arisma or Arisha Theodore.

Do we have Stanley Scott?
Arisha or Arisma Theodore?
AUDIENCE MEMBER: Right here.
MR. HACKWORTH: To be followed by Sylvia Whiteside.

MR. THEODORE: First of all, thank you for allowing me to testify.

And I want to thank all of the people who are here, thank you for coming out, because it shows that we do know the importance of this process.

First of all, what happens that the proposed lines of the 46th District harm and dilute the political power of our Canarsie community, as most of you are well aware, I am sure.

The proposed lines break up Canarsie into three difference districts; 42 and 45 th District.

This matter pertains more so to quality of life than anything else. Most of us moved into Canarsie with certain expectations and now we
find ourselves being drawn into the lines of other districts that do not share our core values.

Further, I strongly believe that property values that have already dropped due to the economic downturn will further drop in light of what is being proposed right now.

The things that we are accorded certain benefits that other communities do not currently because we have worked hard for them and we have earned them. I don't believe the districts that we have, we have been lumped into can adequally represent the Canarsie area, as we differ in many ways, including our core values; I, therefore, that we revert to the old lines or support the Unity Map lines that were proposed.

Thank you very much.
MR. HACKWORTH: Thank you.
Our next speaker will be Sylvia Whiteside, to be followed by Josette Papailler.

MS. WHITESIDE: Good evening, Commissioners and good evening, my fellow Brooklynites.

My name is Sylvia Whiteside and as a past president of a Bayview Houses in Canarsie, a resident of this development for over
fifty-five years, and a member of Community Board 18, I am requesting that our development be included in the councilmanic district which is 46th with the rests of Canarsie and not excluded, as we were the last time. We were included in District 42, which covers East New York and Brownsville. And Canarsie is in the far eastern part of Brooklyn and Canarsie. So we had to skip over Seaview Village and we are by the Belt Parkway and we zoned that way. Our residents -Bayview Houses is a development that is located in Canarsie, the boundaries are Rockaway Parkway, Seaview Avenue, East 102nd Street and Shore Parkway, it was open in September 1955 and has a multiethnic population of 400,100 families. Our residents provide economic stability for this community, they are an important part of the fabric that makes Canarsie a desirable community to live in. The children go to the local schools and families are members of the local religious institutions, and some participate in local civic organizations. Our Council man was supportive of us; however, it was very difficult for him, since we were so far away and most of his constituents resided in Brownsville and East New York.

The responsibility of the elected official for Canarsie should provide us with assistance that was done prior to the current redistricting ten years ago.

According to Ramon Martinez, a community historian and founder of Canarsie History Museum, New York started in 1524 in Canarsie, thirty-two years after Columbus came to America. It was settled by the Canarsie native American Indians. Their burial ground is located on Rockaway Parkway, Shore Parkway, East 95th Street and Schenck Avenue. In 1667, Canarsie, along with Flatlands, was charted as a township. How can Commission violate the Charter and divide that historic community? So we are asking you to follow along with historic values and to support the Unity Map.

Thank you.
MR. HACKWORTH: Thank you.
Our next speaker is Josette Papailler, to be followed by Maxine Gordon.

MS. PAPAILLER: Good evening. My name is Josette Papailler and I have been living in Canarsie since 1983 and I am a retired lab technician and I used to own a business in

Rockaway Parkway; therefore, living so long in Canarsie and growing my three children in Canarsie and I would like to ask the Commissioners please do not keep us apart?

Because we have children going to school, we have grandchildren growing up, by dividing us, that is not going to solve anything. Because we want to stay united and we want more work to be done in the community. So we want the community to stay the same, not divided.

Thank you.
MR. HACKWORTH: Thank you.
Our next speaker is Maxine Gordon, to be followed by Ola Alabi.

MS. GORDON: Good evening, everyone. It is my pleasure to be here this evening to testify for the 46th District and my community.

I am a homeowner and have lived in Canarsie for nearly eighteen years, I have five children that have grown up in Canarsie and all of them attend P.S. 115, I have two children that still attend 115.

At P.S. 115, teachers, parents and community leaders have worked hard to make 115 what it is today, a community school.

Ms. Annie, the crossing guard at Avenue N has been crossing children for over twenty years, she is now in her eighties. Everyone knows Ms. Annie and knows that she will see that theirs children are safely crossed to school.

Many children have graduated from 115, many are now adults, but yet they are still able to come back to 115 and see their favorite teachers, because they are still there.

What is going to happen if we become part of the 46th District?

Getting funding in any school is difficult enough, but our teachers, PTA parents and community leaders work hard to get what our children need. Without proper funding, 115 will lose some of their great teachers. Many of them have been teaching there for over twenty-five years. There are even teachers that went to school as children at 115 and have come back there to teach. What does this tell you about our community?

We want to be represented by one City Council, not by three different City Council. We want to stay as a unified community because we share the same community values and culture.

Please support the Unity Map that protects and empowers our community.

Thank you four allowing me to testify regarding the redistricting proposal in my community.

MR. HACKWORTH: Thank you.
Our next speaker is Ola Alabi, to be followed by Renee Cassell.

MS. ALABI: Good evening, Chair Carl Hum and to the entire Commission. It's good to see all of you and for the work you do and it's good to see all of the residents of Brooklyn.

I just want to quickly comment on the proposed or the draft map of the 35th Council district. I -- generally speaking, I think it's a very good map, because I think there was a real attempt for neighborhood continuity and to keep communities together. I just have -- I specifically want to commend you on including Lafayette Gardens Public Housing in the 35th district, because it's a housing development that has benefited largely from resources in the 35th, although currently it's located in the 36th District, so I thought that was a good change that you all did. In particular, to balance the
demographics of the district. I thought that was good.

I just have one critique and that is in the southern portion of the district, usually Empire Boulevard was the southernmost boundary of the district, now it goes over to Lincoln Road, and you know, I just think that after Empire Boulevard that, you know, that is Prosepct Lefferts Gardens, a whole other community, and I think that we probably could serve the 35th better if we included more of Crown Heights on the east and left of the boundary at Empire Boulevard. I think it's only fair that Prospect Lefferts Gardens remain one community, rather than trying to divide it up amongst several blocks to the south.

So those are my critiques. Generally speaking, it's a good. That's my critique.

Yes?
MS. BRAGG: What is your suggestion for the Crown Heights boundary?

MS. ALABI: I think at one point you stop at Montgomery, is it?

MS. BRAGG: I think so. I'm sorry, my map4.
MS. ALABI: When you move over to the east?

MS. BRAGG: My map does not have the streets very well.

So you are saying move it further to the east?

MS. ALABI: Yes. But also move it south to Empire and I think it should stop at Empire Boulevard.

MS. WOLFE: Stop at Empire Boulevard?
MS. ALABI: Yes.
Thank you so much.
MR. HACKWORTH: Thank you.
Our next speaker is Renee Cassell, to be followed by Sam Rubin.

MS. CASSELL: Good evening, Brooklynites and good evening, the Commission.

My name is Renee Cassell and I am -- I was born and raised in Manhattan and once I moved to New Rochelle and then I moved to Brooklyn. I am a Brooklynite. I claim it. I love Brooklyn and I love being in the 40th district.

I have lived in Prospect Lefferts Gardens neighborhood for over twelve years, I picked this neighborhood because of its historical value, we -- I am also a member of the 100 Sterling Street Block Association. This community is
distinguished by its historical and national landmark status in 1979 by the City of New York and again in 2009. Also included in the national landmark status, which we received in 1992. It's with great pride that I am included in this historical community.

I just want to piggyback on what the young lady said, the district ends -- with the new lines, it would include my street, which is Sterling Street, it would include Lefferts Street and it would include Lincoln Road into the 35th District, I don't want that, I want to stay in the 40th district, where we are already in the historical Lefferts Gardens and Lefferts Manor. We have -- we are included in Flatbush. And that's why I moved to this area. I moved into this area for those reasons. I have the Ocean Avenue, it goes all the way to Empire Boulevard, and, you know, we have a big community and I just want to stay in the 40th district.

Also, because of the housing, the sales of houses in that neighborhood, when we are now going to be in the 35th district, it changes what our value of our homes would be, and I want to keep the value of my home. I am not against 35th
district, but $I$ would love to stay with the rest of my community, and I have raised my son since he was four and a half months old in my house and I intend to stay there. Our district lines have always included Empire Boulevard.

Okay. Thank you for listening to me and I hope that you -- I hope that you do keep it the way it is, please. Thank you.

MR. HACKWORTH: Thank you.
Our next speaker is Sam Rubin, to be
followed by Ora Burke.
Sam Rubin?
Ora Burke?
Ora Burke, to be followed by Orlando Aupont.
MS. BURKE: Thank you. Good evening,
Commission Members and my fellow Brooklynites.
I am just briefly going to add to what the young lady just said. I also am a member of the 40th District, I have lived there -- I am born and raised in Brooklyn and $I$ have lived in Flatbush for the last twenty-one years, I hope to live there probably for the next twenty years or so.

To add, I live on Rugby Road, which is missing from the map, but it also -- I am a part
of that historic landmark community, which also includes Midwood, Maple Street, Lincoln Road, Lefferts Avenue, Sterling Street, Empire Boulevard, we are one historic landmark community; I do not want to see parts of it annexed off to become part of the 35 th District, which I do respect, Prospect Heights and Crown Heights, but we have our unique communities and I do want to remain a part of that community. I do not want to find myself on the next go-around annexed off to anther neighboring community. I love our Flatbush, greater Flatbush area and we are Caribbean American, African, African Americans, with our minorities and recent newcomers and we are growing and strengthening and we are a vibrant community and we want to stay intact, so we would like keep us together, put my street back on your map, so I stay there, and to also, I support the Unity Map, I would like you to support it as well, which would reflect our unique communities and districts. Thank you and good night. MR. HACKWORTH: Thank you. Our next speaker is Orlando Aupont. Orlando Aupont?
(Audience member speaking.)
MR. HACKWORTH: Yes, okay.
Marty Needleman?
Okay, so it will be Marty Needleman, to be followed by Orlando Aupont.

MR. NEEDELMAN: Thank you for hearing us. Thank you for your hard work in preparing the proposed redistricting.

I speak, in part, on behalf of the Broadway community, Broadway Triangle Community Coalition, which is a coalition I think you have heard of before of forty community groups that represents really the extensive communities surrounding Woodhull hospital and that area, and the Pfizer plant, and the area that joins both southern Williamsburg and northern Bedford-Stuyvesant. And a group -- those forty groups and that coalition has been excluded, as you have heard described before, from the process of planning for the Broadway Triangle, which is a very large area, which has been undeveloped, which it was originally geared up for commercial and industrial development and which is happening many other places in Brooklyn, including the Williamsburg waterfront. Areas that are
considered to be dead for commercial and industrial development really aren't and are ripe for multi-development, but because the value of housing is becoming so great in those areas for a variety of reasons, developers prefer building residential housing to promoting the industrial work.

People said the Brooklyn waterfront is dead, but the Brooklyn Navy Yard is booming right next door. So I think there is questions about that. But the fundamental problem, the fundamental point I wanted to make here is we strongly support the redistricting plan that you put forward, in general, in part, because it consolidates in terms of 34 th Councilmanic District because it's a decent and very rational design for that district.

But with respect to the Broadway Triangle, it is critical, because it incorporates -- as Antonio Reynoso said, it incorporates the Latino community in the Broadway Triangle area and their representative would have an opportunity to play a much greater role in the planning for that area, which is a mixed area, the area is multiethnic.

But on one side, it's been exclusionary, and the City, for a variety of reasons, for political reasons, really, has developed a plan that is exclusionary, has massive racial impacts, but it's exclusionary on a much larger level, including a significant part of the Jewish community as well. And our coalition, which is a broad coalition, which is the first coalition, really needs the involvement of the representation of the 34th Councilmanic District in order to develop a plan for the Broadway Triangle, which is a very significant part of the area, in order to have, really, a much more diverse and appropriate result.

I won't go into detail, but it's incredible how shocking how government, the city government, not some private crazy landlord, but the city government and the Housing Authority has been involved since 1964 in shocking examples of racial quotas, strict racial quotas, that have been maintained for thirty years, forty years, and that remain having a dramatic impact even on the current situation as has been found by the (inaudible) in 2011.

And so we strongly support the plan that you
have for the 34th Councilmanic District.
MR. HACKWORTH: Thank you.
Do we have Orlando Aupont?
No okay.
Fred Baer, to be followed by Henri-Robert Maguet.

MR. ROBERT: Good evening. My name is Fred Baer and from the Board of the Fiske Terrace Association.

Fiske Terrace comprises the southern portion of Victorian Flatbush. And right now our neighborhood, which is part of the Fiske Terrace-Midwood Park historic district, is bisected into two councilmanic districts and unfortunately the proposed plan does not address that.

That line runs down East 17th Street, which means the 45 th District now covers about two-thirds of our neighborhood. This makes it very, very difficult for us to both elect true advocates for the needs of our neighborhood, plus it makes it difficult for citizens to come and get involved with government and deal with things like capital projects or quality-of-life issues, because we have two separate Council Members that
we have to deal with.
We request that that line be limited and that our neighborhood be included in the 45th District, which would make sure that the commonality of interest in both sides of that that artificial line would be represented by a single the Council member. And that district could be included all the way over to Coney Island Avenue to cover the area on the other side of the Brighton line, which also shares the same kinds of issues and the same kinds of problems that we have.

So, again, I am asking that this proposed plan be modified to include our old neighborhood into the 45 Councilmanic district.

Thank very much.
MR. HACKWORTH: Thank you.
Our next speaker is Henri-Robert Maguet, to be followed by David Doborz.

MR. MAGUET: Good evening. I am a resident of the Midwood Park, I am actually the president of the board, association board, $I$ have been a resident of Midwood Park for over seventeen years and I have actually lived in the area for nearly forty years.

I am actually a neighbor of Mr. Fred Baer, who just spoke. We actually share a common grounds insofar as the boundaries of the Fiske Terrace an Midwood Park. We have been a landmark area for nearly six or seven years now. We fought very hard to obtain that status. We do feel -- I personally feel and also a lot of my associates do feel that the boundaries that are set for Midwood Park currently actually end at East 17th Street, which is actually the block that I reside on, but across the street from me, is another district. Across the street from me is another district, plus the end curb streets that are actually in the area. We have two of them. De Koven Court and also Irvington Place. So I do feel strongly that this remaining area should be incorporated to become part of the Midwood Park and as a whole become part of 45th District.

And if anything, it should also be extended all the way to Coney Island Avenue, because this entire area share the sameness of insofar as the types of housing that are in the area, these are all very old Victorian homes that were built in the 1880s, early 1900s. So most of the houses in
the area are over a hundred years old. Again, we have gotten landmark status ourselves and it's the goal for the remaining area, the residing area in the neighborhood to also obtain the landmark status that would actually embellish the area and really support the possibility of maintaining the aesthetics of the neighborhood.

So Midwood Park and Fiske Terrace as one neighborhood, that's the way we know it, so we don't really need to know it as two separate districts; in essence, it should really be one. We could really gather all of our troops and really get more done, more accomplished if we have to deal with one Council Member, okay, and one district.

Thank you.
MR. HACKWORTH: Thank you.
Our next speaker is David Doborz, to be followed by Barbara Schliff.

MR. DOBORZ: Good evening, Commissioners and fellow Brooklynites. My name say David Doborz. I am the spokesperson for the St. John's Lutheran Church Social Action Committee, one of the forty members of the Broadway Triangle coalition that is urging more affordable housing in our
community for everybody.
Let me explain, our district, the 34th, is historically working people of a wide variety of ethnicities, we cannot afford to live in the market rate housing that is gobbling up our neighborhood.

Also, we are not welcome to the 80 percent affordable housing in the 33rd District.

So we are left with 20 percent affordable housing that is slowly, but surely, destroying our neighborhood, because it's not adequate.

So I am here to urge you to leave the Broadway Triangle in the 34th District for this reason.

If we are not able to, at the end the day, build inclusionary affordable housing in that Triangle, we are going to lose even more.

And we are, as I said, dying as a community, because we depend upon affordable housing for our continued existence in the community.

So under no circumstances do we want that moved out, we agree completely that it should stay in, so that we can continue to advocate for inclusionary housing there.

And when we build our housing, we include
everybody, including the folks that exclude us. And you will see them living side by side on Cook Street, just a few blocks away from the Triangle that we desperately need to continue that custom, but it is going to be extremely difficult if that should continue in the 33rd.

So I hope I am clear here about the importance of the survival of the working people in the 34th District.

Thank you.
MR. HACKWORTH: Thank you.
Our next speaker is Barbara Schliff, to be followed by our last registered speaker, Dynishal Gross.

MS. SCHLIFF: Hi, my name is Barbara
Schliff. I am here representing the Broadway Triangle Community Coalition. I have also been a housing organizer with Southside United Housing Los Sures for the past thirty-eight years. We are also a member of the Broadway Triangle Community Coalition, our organization, one of the forty members.

So I am well aware of the housing discrimination that has been going on in the Broadway Triangle area and Williamsburg for the
past thirty-eight years.
Once again, I am here to support your proposal to put the Broadway Triangle area into the 34th Congressional District, because it will be better represented, it will be better able to have diversity and housing for everyone that who needs it in the area, not just one specific group.

Another reason that it seems logical, and I think maybe you have taken this into consideration, is that the 33rd District has one of the highest populations currently, so -- and the 34 th, it has one of the lowest populations, so putting more area into the 34 th makes sense, because there be more representation, more people will be represented in that area.

So we think that is a good idea, and we are very glad that you have done that.

Once again, we really need this area to be in the 34 th District, so it can be fairly represented.

Thank you.
MR. HACKWORTH: Thank you.
Our last registered speaker is Dynishal Gross.

MS. GROSS: Good evening, everyone. My name is Dynishal Gross. Until earlier this year, I was a member of the Prospect Lefferts Gardens Neighborhood Association and one of the founding member of the block association on Lincoln Road between Flatbush and Ocean Avenues, and I am here to oppose the splitting of that block of Lincoln Road into two Council districts.

The proposal currently, the lines that have been proposed would split Lincoln Road between Flatbush and Ocean between the 35th and the 40th Council District.

The historical boundaries of Prospect Lefferts Gardens are Empire Avenue to the north, Ocean Avenue to the west, Nostrand to the east and Clarkson Avenue to the south, and we worked very hard to integrate ourselves into the work of the neighborhood association, we are a mixed-use block, mixed commercial and residential, with some significant infrastructure, an MTA station, a pedestrian bridge, and we are working project by project to improve this corridor into the neighborhood agency by agency.

We need the support of the historic district, we need the support of our community
board, we are a block with no homeownership, and to be split from those sources of support for these important infrastructure improvements would be devastating to the work of our block association and if we ignore these historic neighborhood boundaries, which have been in place since the neighborhood association was founded in the late 1960s.

So I am urging you to maintain our community unity, keep Lincoln, Lefferts, Sterling and the other blocks in between Empire and Lincoln -- I think I named them all, actually -- in the 40th Councilmanic District. That is all the debate.

MR. HACKWORTH: Thank you.
We have no additional registered speakers.
MS. BRAGG: Thank you all so much for staying --

Oh, I'm sorry, are there any other speakers who wish to approach the gays.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: Yes.
MS. BRAGG: Okay, can you please give the names to the staff members, so that we have a record?

AUDIENCE MEMBER: Maria Garrett. I am registered already.

MR. HACKWORTH: I will read through the ones that I have already called out.

Joan Gordon?
Resach Greenberg?
Alexander Rapaport?
Elizabeth Grace Hall?
Maria Garrett?
AUDIENCE MEMBER: Yes.
MR. HACKWORTH: Okay.
Let's me just do the rest here.
Anne Marie Piene?
Michelle, on behalf of Mercedes Narcisse?
Marie Olivie Jeune?
Stanley Scott?
Sam Rubin?
Orlando Aupont?
So Maria Garrett.
MS. GARRETT: Thank you.
Good evening, member of the Commission. My name is Maria Garrett. I live in Canarsie. Thanks for letting me give testimony here tonight.

From the time -- from the way the proposed lines have been drawn, even an unsophisticated observer can deduce that something is wrong.

I was reading the recent accounts and the press about how two neighborhoods in Brooklyn and Queens with fast-growing Asian and American population weren't reported, making it much more likely that the area would continue to lack Asian-American elected votes, and Manhattan, despite growing in a healthy clip, would cease one City Council seat in the Bronx. This does not make sense. Does this make sense? No. It defies commonsense and basic good judgement.

As I said, I live in the Canarsie section of Brooklyn and we have always been one unified community, now your proposed lines and boundaries would split the African-American community, while keeping the Caucasian community with roughly the same numbers and percentages as reported by the 2010 Census intact and untouched.

So on the one hand, the African-American community is being gutted and disenfranchised, while the Caucasian community is empowered and intact. In fact, the guarantee is economic power. This goes against the spirit and letter of the New York City Chapter and Voting Act of 1965. That should never happen.

I urge the Commission to stop cracking and
packing districts for political purpose. Your lines and boundaries have cracked Brooklyn's 46th District along the Canarsie-Seaview area, Remsen Garden at the expense of its residents, while keeping intact two adjoining neighborhood districts intact and largely untouched.

Our community is angry and upset at the present lines that have drawn out the two district businesses and homeowners who have served and lived in the District 46 for over forty years, collectively. The lines just drawn -- the lines just drew them out and shoved them into neighboring district, and since this neighborhood district is about 40 percent African-American, the small boundary adjustment will make it difficult for us to be served.

That area will be ignored, because whoever will be the new councilman does not need any tiny member of Africa-American votes to get elected. That is the result of the gerrymandering. Oh, okay, I'm sorry.

So let's get it right, first we support the Unity Map, it keeps majority communities intact; second, it generally reflects demographics of New York City and, third, it is a commonsense
solution to what becomes a partisan political activity.

Thank you.
MR. HACKWORTH: Our next speaker is Yolla Garraud?

And if there is anyone else who wants to speak, please approach the microphone so you can fill out a hearing card.

MS. GARRAUD: Good evening, Commissioners. My name is Yolla Garraud. I have been living in Canarsie for the past five years. I was raised there, went to school there, South Shore High School, I am raising and continue to raise three beautiful children that two of them are this college.

Canarsie is not just a neighborhood, it's a family-oriented area. We spend so much money in our homes to ensure that it becomes a legacy for our children. Our children are safe in the area, and it's unfortunate that as an African American, Haitian born, however, that all of the struggles that we go through and we try to enhance ourselves, despite what powers that be attempts to do or disparity that they attempt, we try, we continue to try. And I thinks because in our
lifetime, struggle is part of us, so irregardless what it is, I struggled in school, I struggled to get my masters. So it is what it is. I struggle in my workforce, so it's --

I mean our pea of mind, our Shangri-La is our home, our community. So, again, I am fortunate, because the fact that I worked so hard, I really did not have the opportunity to know what was going on.

And I thank you, our future Council woman, Ms. Mercedes Narcisse, because she was so adamant trying to get everyone in this neighborhood to come and speak to all of you and try to make you understand if we are working hard and we are hardworking people and we continue to try to keep our communities together, to grow financial. My God, this is what he died for. You -- to me it's always a revised tactic to downgrade us. It's unfair. We need our neighborhoods. As you need yours. It's not about politics. Everything is about politics. Where is the humanity? Not just for me, for all neighborhoods. Don't use us as pawns.

Thank you.
MR. HACKWORTH: Our last speaker is Regina Powell.

MS. POWELL: Good evening, Commission.
This is all new to me, coming here tonight. I was asked to come out and just observe what is going on and $I$ have heard a lot of passionate people speaking about the redistricting and being passionate about their community.

Unfortunately, I heard some very heartfelt feelings about East New York. That is my district, 42nd District.

I am a community activist almost thirty years in East New York, and I raised three children, whom are educators, with master's degrees, and I have a master's degree.

So I really feel good about the work I did in East New York, and I believe that you can -it depends on the family, what you do and how you raise your children to have the best education.

Unfortunately, in my community, the schools are closing at a rapid rate, and $I$ am here as a parent coordinator to speak on behalf of the injustice that is done in East New York.

The passion that $I$ hear from people in the 46th District talking about their children having the best education, the schools having the best
services, and once they come into East New York that everything will be downgraded, but, you know, I am a very optimistic person, I feel if you put things -- put people -- don't judge a book by its cover. I am here pleading that the resources get divided equally, so the so that the children in East New York can have a better chance to get the same good education as the youth in the 46 th District.

So, with that being said, $I$ just hope that whatever happens, whatever the outcome, that it is the best for the children; it's not about us per se, but it's about the children, they are the future.

And like I said, if I did a good job as a single parent, raising three children, who are -two whom are teachers today, and I asked them once you get your education, stay in the community, help bring up the education level of the children in the community. And I have been doing that almost thirty years. So I just, you know, just wanted to introduce myself, Regina Powell, parent coordinator, community activist. Thank you for listening. MR. HACKWORTH: Thank you.

It appears there are no additional speakers. MS. BRAGG: Thank you all so much for your testimony. Please know that we will take all of the testimony into consideration as we work on the next draft of the maps.

We are adjourned until our next public meeting, which is October 18th, at the Borough of Manhattan Community College, at 1 o'clock. Thank you so much. We are adjourned. (Whereupon, at 9:07 P.M., the above matter concluded.)
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