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CHAIRMAN ROMANO: My name is Benito Romano and I am the chair of the New York City Districting Commission. On behalf of the Commission, I want to thank Dr. Felix Matos Rodriguez, the college president, and Dr. Ana Garcia Reyes, the associate dean of community relations, for hosting us here tonight.

We have the facility here for only a few hours tonight, and I want to make sure that we hear from everyone who is signed up. For those who did not sign up and wish to speak, please see the staff at the registration tables outside the room to register.

Additionally, if you require interpretation for your testimony, please let staff at the registration desk know. They will make sure that an interpreter is provided when it is your turn to testify.

Before we take testimony, $I$ want to make a few preliminary remarks. In December, the Commission advertised in community and ethnic newspapers throughout the city announcing the Commission's public hearing schedule for the third round. An announcement was circulated to the press and an e-mail blast was sent to over

5,500 individuals and organizations.
Advocacy groups helped spread the world through their separate networks. The hearings were noticed in The City Record and the hearing schedule was publicized on our website as well as on our Facebook page and Twitter feed.

The hearing tonight is part of the third round of public hearings that the Commission will conduct throughout the City. Over 1, 150 people attended the first two rounds of hearings in late August and October, and the Commission heard testimony from over 230 of them.

On November 15, 2012, the Commission adopted by unanimous vote a revised plan for the 51 councilmanic districts that reflected the testimony that you see during the two rounds of hearings. In addition to online testimony, alternative maps creating through our free map making software on with our website, www.nyc.gov/districting.

Both prior to that meeting and immediately thereafter, we heard from the public and numerous advocacy groups including Common Cause, The Asian-American Legal Defense and Education Fund, Latino Justice, the Center for Law and Social

Justice and the City Council's Black, Latino and Asian Caucus calling for another round of hearings to enable the public to provide additional commentary on the revised plan before the City Council took action.

Only by maximizing public input will we create a district plan that accurately captures the complexity and diversity of our city. In our transmittal letter that accompanied the revised plan submitted to the Council on November 19th, the Commission noted that it would welcome additional input from the public, but that the Commission was constrained by the deadlines set forth in the City charter, which directed the Commission to submit the revised plan to the City Council by early November.

That said, given the possibility that the Council would not return the revised plan to the Commission to allow for further public comment, and that the Council might instead allow the plan to be adopted simply by taking no action for the three-week period specified in the charter, the Commission considered mechanisms that would allow for another round of public hearings.

After consulting with the New York City Law

Department, our legal counsel, on these matters, the Commission convened on December 4, 2012 to consider continuing the public hearing process by withdrawing the revised district plan from the City Council. The Commission approved such a measure by a vote of 12 to zero, with one abstention.

Now that the Commission has withdrawn the revised plan from the City Council, we are in a position to conduct this third round of public hearings. The Commission is eager to hear tonight's testimony and comments on the revised district plan.

As a result of tonight's hearing and the third round of hearings in its entirety, the Commission will consider all the comments it will receive during the next scheduled public hearing on January 23rd. At that meeting, the Commission will charge the staff to make any amendments to the revised district plan that the Commission deems appropriate in light of the comments received during this round.

Then, at the Commission's public meeting on January 30th, an amended district plan reflecting those instructions will be presented to the

Commission and the Commission will decide whether to adopt the plan. If the Commission adopts the plan, it will then be submitted to the City Council, which will have three weeks to either adopt or reject plan.

If the Council takes neither action, it will be deemed adopted. If the Council objects to the plan, the Commission will then have another opportunity to revise the plan. This will then trigger a new set of public hearings to receive comment and feedback on such a plan.

It is again worth noting that there are certain factors that the law obligates the Commission to consider during the districting process. These include that the difference in population between the least populous and the most populous districts shall not exceed 10 percent of the average population for all districts.

The plan must ensure fair and effective representation of the racial and language minority groups in New York City. The plan must keep neighborhoods and communities with established ties of common interest and association intact.
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The plan must result in districts that are compact and contiguous. The plan must keep districts westbound a single borough wherever possible. And the plan must avoid diminishing the effective political representation of voters. I also want to bring to your attention our website, www.nyc.gov/districting. The site is the central repository of all documents and videos related to the Districting Commission.

It also contains a complete schedule of our public hearings, all documents and plans created by and submitted to the Commission, our online mapping tool and a portal where you can preregister for all hearings and be added to our mailing list. I hope you all visit the site and let us know how it can be more informative and interactive.

A final word about the obvious limitations and constraints in drawing up any map. We are required by the City Council to draw lines. Lines inherently divide. Depending upon your perspective, you may see the line as inclusive or exclusive. To many, lines will almost always appear arbitrary. Communities of interest do not organize themselves in districts of ideal
population size.
So, the lines are never perfect. Neither is ensuring that the districts capture only communities that actually share common interests, nor in districts of ideal population size. We as a group have worked very hard on this revised map. We believe we are getting very close to a map that, as a whole, reasonably accommodates all the factors set out in the City charter. We are, however, prepared to listen to any suggestions that are made and are looking forward to your testimony tonight.

Now, that concludes my preliminary remarks. At this point, we will have the commissioners introduce themselves beginning on my right and moving down the table.

MR. HART: Rob Hart from Staten Island.
MS. HANKS: Kamillah Hanks, Staten Island.
MR. ODOM: Oscar Odom, III, Brooklyn.
MS. BRAGG: Jamilla Ponton Bragg, Manhattan.
CHAIRMAN ROMANO: Benito Romano, Manhattan.
MR. HUM: Carl Hum (phonetic), executive director of the Commission.

MR. ROBERT: John Robert, the Bronx.
MS. WOLFE: Gloria Carvajal Wolfe,

Manhattan.
MS. PERSAUD: Roxanne Persaud, Brooklyn.
MR. PADAVAN: Frank Padavan, Queens.
MS. LIN: Linda Lin, from Queens.
MR. HACKWORTH: Thaddeus Hackworth, general counsel to the Commission.

CHAIRMAN ROMANO: Mr. Hackworth will go over the ground rules that cover the testimony, and then he will begin by calling our first witness.

MR. HACKWORTH: Thank you. Each speaker will have three minutes to testify. And when your three minutes have expired, you will hear a chime. At that point, please finish your sentence and yield the microphone to the next speaker.

Because the Commission only has the use of these facilities until 9:00, taking more than your three minutes of time may result in your neighbor or fellow community member not being able to speak at all. Please keep that in mind and be respectful of others wishing to testify.

If you would like to provide more detailed testimony to the Commission, you are encouraged to submit your written testimony by provision a copy at the registration desk or by e-mailing it
to hearings@districting.nyc.gov or by making it to the Commission's office.

I will first call those who have preregistered to speak, followed by those who are registered today at the desk. I will announce the next speaker as well as the following speaker. When you hear your name called, please approach the nearest microphone so that you can be ready to give your testimony when your name is called. There are two microphones in the middle of either aisle. If you have any questions, please ask our staff members at the registration desk.

I will now call our first speaker, the Honorable Councilwoman Annabel Palma, to be followed by Taina Traverso.

MS. PALMA: Thank you to the Commission for allowing us to again reconvene. I will restate the position of the Bronx delegation and those elected officials in the Bronx as I did in the last hearing. I am Annabel Palma and I represent the 18th District in the Bronx. I am here today in the capacity of chairwoman of the Bronx delegation to testify on behalf I my colleagues.

During the City's last redistricting effort,
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two of the largest New York City council districts were established in the Bronx. All except one of the eight current Bronx council districts are over the average size of the districts around the City. As a matter of fact, two of our eight council districts have over 175,000 residents. Bronx residents deserve to have better than to have overdrawn and overpopulated districts.

Quite plainly, our residents have served their fair share. Considering that the average size of the New York City district is approximately 160,000 residents, it is important to underscore that seven of our current eight Bronx council districts contain more than 160 people.

The Bronx County has 1.3 million residents and if you divide that by 160,000, which again is the standard council district size, the borough should have 8.7 council districts, conceivably if the Bronx districts were drawn at the lower range of the deviation, namely at 153,000 residents, the borough will have 9.15 districts.

Every day in each of the current eight Bronx council districts, constituents contact our
officers for assistance with a variety of critical issues including housing, child care, education, employment and health care. We work tirelessly to save funding and support programs that help meet the needs of our borough's constituency, despite the economy.

However, considering the growing number of residents in our already bloated council districts, our ability to advocate for our constituents can easily become compromised if appropriate action is not taken in the districts being processed. And I applaud this Commission for, again, taking steps to correct that.

By adding a new council seat, the borough will achieve more equitable district sizes which would, in turn, lead to more manageable case load as far as that. Most important, the additional of a ninth district would translate into better services for the borough and our constituents. And I urge the Commission to consider and a ninth seat for the Bronx. I believe that we have the population to reflect that role. Thank you.

MR. HACKWORTH: Our next speaker is Taina Traverso, to be followed by Theresa Doherty. MS. TRAVERSO: Good afternoon, no, good
evening. My name is Taina Traverso and I am a resident of El Barrio, East Harlem community. And I missed Monday's meeting, but I have been in previously. So, I wanted to make sure that I was here to briefly say what $I$ have to say.

In the previous meetings, as you know, we want to make sure that we keep the map as is because as this district, which is a historical district, and we are supposed to keep our councilmanic together, we should not begin to tear it apart and sacrifice those people who have been there for so many years, including myself, and have actually found and also nurtured these various institutions and people.

And out of this community, as you know, we have had so many people who are moved on and helped the United States, New York City, to be what it is. And who am I talking about? I am talking about Al Pacino. I am talking Rita Moreno. I am talking about Marc Anthony. I am talking about so many that came out of this community, and we want to make sure that everything stays intact.

Just for demonstration's sake, I want you to see something. Pretend this is El Barrio, event
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Harlem community. I want you to take a good look at it, just out of demonstration. And follow me. There goes Randall's Island. To continue, there goes Mount Sinai Hospital. To continue, there goes approximately 35 blocks. How do we come so far, okay? And we haven't finished.

To continue, dividing some of the public housing, Carver and other Lexington Houses, how do we look now, El Barrio? To continue, I can go on and on. How do we look now? La Puna, the cradle of where all Puerto Ricans came. And from here, we are distributed in other areas. We nurtured everyone who came through. We were the one who were the foot soldiers. Many left; we stayed and found -- (timer dings) -- many an institution, Museo del Barrio, and it goes on and on.

So, I am here to say to you in addition to all those people who have left, famous people who we nurtured, let's not forget just a few blocks away from the entrance of El Barrio, a couple of blocks. There was a gentleman who walked in that community to then go to City College and organize, then from there, completed his education in community college -- I'm sorry,

Columbia University. And that person today is called President Barack Obama that came into El Barrio.

MR. HACKWORTH: Thank you.
MS. TRAVERSO: Is that three minutes?
MR. HACKWORTH: You are out of time.
MS. TRAVERSO: So, take a look at this. Look at if it was the Mona Lisa. The entire State building, your house, your car.

MR. HACKWORTH: Thank you.
MS. TRAVERSO: Would you want it to look like this? Don't destroy our district and then we lose the funding and also the representation that we earned and we --

MR. HACKWORTH: Our next speaker -- I am sorry to cut you off there.

MS. TRAVERSO: Nevertheless, thank you very much.

MR. HACKWORTH: Our next speaker is Theresa --

MS. TRAVERSO: Don't let it die, ladies and gentlemen.

MR. HACKWORTH: -- Theresa Doherty, followed by the Honorable Councilwoman Maria del Carmen Arroyo.

MS. DOHERTY: Good evening, Chair Romano and other members of the City Districting Commission. My name is Theresa Doherty and I am volunteer member of Citizens Union, a nonpartisan good government group dedicated to making democracy work for all New Yorkers.

In the letter to the Commission sent earlier this week, Citizens Union provided recommendations for increased transparency as well as individual district action. I will focus on recommendations for the Bronx and on behalf of Citizens Union. I also speak as a resident of the Bronx. I went to college here. I worked for five years, 25 blocks forth of here, Mount Eden.

I do know that each individual neighborhood and community has its own voice and has its own needs, but also recognizes with pride it's part of a larger fabric of New York City. As discussed at the Manhattan hearing earlier in week, Citizens Union has concerns regarding Council District 8. By placing the majority in the Bronx, an imbalancing has been created resulting in Manhattan having districts that on average 4 percent larger than the ideal district size.
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Though the proposed District 8 would increase Latino representation in the Bronx by essentially adding a new seat, one has to question whether it does so as too high a cost. East Harlem continues to be divided in the proposed maps, despite the overwhelming opposition of its residents and good government groups, including Citizens Union.

Additionally, the neighborhood of Woodstock in the South Bronx, which is represented by the current District 17, would be divided. We again urge you to correct this district by restoring all of East Harlem to District 8.

We would also like to reiterate Citizens Union's recommendation that the Commission to provide to the public, in advance of any vote on a final map, a written rationale detailing the decision-making of the Commission for each district. For example, the rationale should show the Commission addressed the requirements in the City charter and specifically address how it handled public requests regarding their districts.

This information is essential to ensure that the public has more information about the choices
that were made and potentially to have more confidence in the end result and the Commission itself. Thank you for this opportunity.

MR. HACKWORTH: Thank you. Our next speaker is the honorable Council Member Maria del Carmen Arroyo, to be followed by Lennin Reyes.

MS. ARROYO: Thank you. Good evening.
Thank you all for coming out. It is so important for voices to be heard in this democratic process. I'm not sure that in any of the public hearings, anyone has thanked the Commission members for your service. So, I want to you thank you for that.

I also want to, and very carefully say that I am not sure the work you are doing is really appreciated where there is a sentiment out there that there has been a backroom deal with the Bronx to have more representation in the Bronx. And I have said to those who have that sentiment personally, but I want to say it publically, that the only thing that has happened here is that, to begin with, the Commission has heard the Bronx's request for more and equal representation.

That I do not believe you took it far enough, it goes without saying. My colleague

Council Member Palma stated the obvious. The population in the Bronx is enough to justify. If you do the math, and we are going to be equal about how we are going to draw these lines, 8.7 districts in the Bronx. I say go back to the table and give us that. I think we deserve that and there is no reason that anyone is sitting to debate that.

And we are not going to pit communities against communities, because that's not what this is about. But if we are going to talk about splitting up communities of interest, Mott Haven in the South Bronx has been split and represented by two different council members for at least over 15 years. That the maps that are drawn makes that community more contiguous, I commend you for that.

That we are happy about how the end result, not all of us are. Some of us have to go make friends in other areas of the borough. And I am counting on our representation as elected officials and incumbents to be able to convince communities that we then represent that we are up for the challenge and should be elected to office. Without that commitment to our communities,
regardless of our communities, we end up representing any message can be heard that we don't want to be here. So, while I look forward to representing sections of what is now Council Member Rivera's district, it breaks my heart to have to give up areas that I have been representing for almost eight years.

We can't have our cake and eat it, too. So, 8.7 districts for the Bronx -- (timer dings) -- at a minimum, at a minimum. We are not asking for anything more than that.

MR. HACKWORTH: Our next speaker is Lennin Reyes, to be followed by Lilithe Lozano.

MR. REYES: Good evening. My name is Lennin Reyes. I am assistant press director for Carlos Sierra and I am speaking on his behalf. As many of you know, Carlos Sierra is a community activist and is running for the 16th Council District in the Bronx. My comments reflect those of myself, Carlos Sierra and the rest of the Sierra 2013 political committee.

We congratulate the Districting Commission for placing the Concourse Village housing development back in the 16th, after originally placing it in the adjacent 17th District. This
housing complex has a close affinity to the 16th, as it has been a historically strong African-American voting block, and therefore a strong voice of the community.

We are also thankful for the placement of Highbridge north of 166th Street into the 16 th after it was initially being moved to the 8th District. It would have been very hurtful to the spirit of the community to move Highbridge out of the Bronx and into Manhattan. We are happy to see it in the 16th.

However, there is a major landmark that is near our district and is seems to be part of it, yet it's drawn into the sprawling District 8, and that landmark is Yankee Stadium. If any district's residents are affected the most by anything associated with the stadium, it's those in District 16.

Whenever an event takes place, District 16 residents benefit and suffer. While they may bring revenue and attention to the area, residents in Morrisania/Highbridge deal with noise, littering and long commutes thanks to bus reroutes resulting from closures to streets near the stadium. We ask you strongly consider
placing Yankee Stadium in District 16.
Lastly, there is an issue in the northern part of the district. While the Districting Commission placed Highbridge into District 16, Morris Heights is now being split between Districts 14 and 16. We would like for you to place more of the West Tremont/Morris Heights area back into the 16th.

The maps show that District 14 is overpopulated by 7,592 residents, and District 16 is underpopulated by 5,429 residents. Morris Heights has strong socioeconomic ties with Highbridge and Morrisania. As these three high-density, low-income communities feature growing influxes of the Dominicans and West Africans, joining the longstanding Puerto Ricans and African-Americans there.

In conclusion, on behalf of Carlos Sierra and Sierra 2013, we thank you the Districting Commission for hearing our testimony and ask that it be taken into consideration. We also ask that the Districting Commission pass the new maps as soon as reasonably possible. Thank you.

MR. HACKWORTH: Our next speaker is Lilithe Lozano, to be followed by the Honorable Council

Member Joel Rivera.
MS. LOZANO: Good evening. My name is Lilithe Lozano. I am president of Parkside Houses, also affiliated with Bronx North Council of presidents. We are currently between four different council districts, 11, 12, 13 and 15. And while I understand the 12th District, represented by Andy King, and the 13th District represented by James Vacca and the district by Rivera, makes the most sense to stay on Central and East Bronx districts.

The 11th, which is based on the northwest Bronx out of Riverdale, doesn't make sense covering parts of Parkway North and the area around Parkside Houses. Currently Parkside, while we are in the tail end of the district, the 11th District is separated by both Bronx River Parkway from the district and the rest of the 11th is based out of the Northwest Bronx and its major leaders come from Riverdale.

But the Parkside has had to face the disparities regarding council funding, infrastructure, capital projects funding for the NYCHA residents as far as the residents of the community. The Parkside executive board are
requesting immediate attention and stand firm that the area nearby Pelham Parkway North should be consolidated mostly into the 15th Council District because it's really the Central Bronx district.

For years, we have complained and we have addressed several commissions. Overall, we lack council funding. We don't have adequate -- no type of funding whatsoever. We are tired of being disregarded. We are tired of being ignored. We are at the tail end. We don't even have a congressman that we can go to. It's gotten so bad that, because of the lack of funding from the Housing Authority and our horrible infrastructure and lack of funding, we only have one senator to be able to carry the weight.

That's unacceptable and it's a disservice to the community. So, just because we separated by Bronx River Parkway and the river, the Riverdale community does not represent overall the tail end of the district. So no more, no less, we would like you to consider to revise and put us part of the pack so we can get our fair share of funding, thank you.

MS. BRAGG: What is your proposal for the border? Where would you like the border to be drawn?

MS. LOZANO: We would like to be consolidated within the 15th Council District, because we have been overall in the tail end. So per se, we have Congressman Crowley. He takes representation a portion of Queens.

CHAIRMAN ROMANO: If you could give us the street?

MS. LOZANO: Bronx Park East, Bronx Park East between 80th Avenue between Adee Avenue and Arnow. We are not too far from the Bronx Zoo.

MS. BRAGG: And do you want that to be your border and everything down to be --

MS. LOZANO: Right, we want to be consolidated because our council member, I'm sorry, I have vote worked for a senator and two State assembly, and I have served in the community as a housing specialist. And I tell you, I know the needs of the community overall. And everyone is entitled, no matter whether you are in the South Bronx or the North Bronx. We are entitled to our fair share of funding.

CHAIRMAN ROMANO: Are you in the 11th now?

MS. LOZANO: Yes, I am in the 11th Council District which is the tail end. Listen, I wouldn't go to any council member. We just want our fair share.

MR. HACKWORTH: Thank you. Our next speaker is the Honorable Joel Rivera, to be followed by Angel Molina.

MR. RIVERA: Thank you very much. First I want to thank everybody for joining us here today. I really do wish we could have an even larger crowd because this is a very important issue that we are facing in the City of New York.

Like my colleague said, I want to thank the board members of the Commission because this is not an easy task in how we shape up the political map within New York City, because it is a distribution of power within communities. It's important for a number of reasons. And the reason why the Bronx members are here asking for a ninth district, or as much as a ninth district is possible, is because when we go to City Hall as elected officials, we go there to represent our communities.

We have in some of our districts 165,000 residents, 163,000 residents, more than what is
required for a council district, which means the capacity for the council members to represent its constituents is much higher than in other council districts that may be around 153,000. So, the capacity to develop another council district is to give a larger district within the Bronx is there.

And the benefit to the Bronx would be greater representation within City Hall and the dialog where we can support our fine institutions like Hostos Community College. We want to thank them for housing this hearing today, and for the greater institutions within the borough of the Bronx. They do benefit the entire City of New York.

As a council member who has represented the 15th Council District for 12 years now, I have seen the needs within the district and I know the needs within the Bronx. And I know that the needs are -- we need a ninth council district to be able to service the needs of the 1.4 million residents that live here and 100 to 200,000 undocumented immigrants who also call the Bronx home, who do utilize the resources of City hospitals, the police department, fire department and the subsequent City services that benefit the City of New York and the Bronx.

I want to thank everybody for joining us here. I know there is many dialogues and conversations taking place. But the primary discussion that needs to happen is how can we empower communities that have not been empowered for a very long time? That would be by understanding the capacity for a ninth district in the Bronx. It's doable, feasible, possible and it should happen. Thank you very much.

MR. HACKWORTH: Thank you. Our next speaker is Angel Molina, to be followed by Joseph Bombace.

MR. MOLINA: Good evening. Once again, my name is Angel Molina. I am a resident of Mott Haven and I am also a candidate for City Council in the 8th district. Before I begin, I would like to thank the Commission for allowing me to address you this evening. And I have prepared a short statement I am going to read now.

For the record, I am in favoring of the expansion of the Bronx portion of District 8, with the exception of the exclusion of Randall's Island from the proposed district map. I ask this Commission that Randall's Island be
reinstalled within the bounds of District 8, if not in its entirety, at least in part.

I propose as a compromised plan that we include the northern portion of Randall's Island Park, which does not contain a residential population and would not affect the population man within District 8 to remain within the District 8 boundary. And the slower half, which is Wards Island Park, be reassigned in accordance to your plan.

The manner by which this can be accomplished is by adjusting the northeastern edges of Longwood, which were previously in District 17, and shift those lines westward or inward. Similarly, adjustments can also be made along the northwestern edge of Highbridge, where those boundaries should be shifted down or southward.

These two sections in the proposed District 8 map can be moved either independently or in conjunction to achieve the integration of Randall's Island. Additionally, District 16 and 17 have population deviations below the mean of minus 3.3 percent and minus 4.95 percent respectively, which would provide ample room to move those boundaries slightly decreasing their
district sizes without adversely impending future population growth, while serving the purpose of keeping these communities of interest also intact.

You are now aware that Randall's Island is of vital importance to Mott Haven. Both our communities are in desperate need of green space to serve as an offset to the disproportionate rate of asthma and obesity that plague our children and family members. There's an urgent need for space, especially in Mott Haven, since we are completely cut off from our waterfront.

In closing, our greatest fear of losing Randall's Island would be that our collective efforts to help shape policies to preserve this vital asset for the lowest of our communities would be lost and our concerns would be no longer be prioritized.

I sincerely hope you take this matter into serious consideration and restore Randall's Island back to where it belongs, in District 8 at a manner of sound judgment, fairness and environmental justice for our communities. Thank you.

MR. HACKWORTH: Thank you. Our next speaker is Joseph Bombace, to be followed by Sharene Donner.

MR. BOMBACE: Good evening. Thank you to the commissioners and Council for having us here. My name is Joseph Bombace and I have lived in the Bayonnes community of Morris Park for all my life, almost 62 years. And I have been active in the community and civic routes for years. The neighborhoods of Bayonnes have been traditionally at all level of government districting, the Council, the Assembly, the Senate and Congress.

This has led to fractured representation because we are literally at the end of almost everyone's district. With every elected having just a little piece of our neighborhood it has been hard to get funding and attention Bayonnes deserves. It's hard to get government to coordinate services when the park my neighbor's children play in across the street is in someone else's district.

At the Council, we have been traditionally split between two districts, the 13th and the 15th. Just as Bayonnes and its leaders have learned how to make this in recent years, we get a new map that splits our district not two ways, but three. In the current map we are now split between the 13th, the 15th and the 18th District.

While we have worked well with the council member from 18th on some issues, it nevertheless means we now have to engage three council members on every issue for our community, while many communities have just one.

The border between Bayonnes and the 18th Council District is a clear line down East Tremont Avenue and the Amtrak train line which separates Parkchester and Soundview from Bayonnes. These lines cross the natural community boundary to just add a handful of blocks to the 18th. Please consider having split the community of Bayonnes, I think it is better to be in two districts, not three.

Also, I heard some folks from Pelham Parkway North and Parkside Houses complain of a similar problem of being split between four council districts. I share their concern because it is similar to mine about my own neighborhood of Bayonnes. They have been at the edge of every district for years and the majority of the 11th District by the Bronx River and the Bronx River Parkway.

I ask the Commission to do their best to unite these communities or at least limit how chopped up they are among districts. Thank you. MR. HACKWORTH: Our next speaker is Sharene Donner, to be followed by Assembly Member Jeffrey Dinowitz. All right, it appearing that Sharene Donner is not here, our next speaker will be Assembly Member Jeffrey Dinowitz, followed by Dennis Terry.

MR. DINOWITZ: Good evening. Slowly drove from Albany to get here. I wanted to talk about two issues. One concerns the 11th Council District. And I should start by saying that I want to compliment the Committee -- Commission for the original draft that you drew with respect to the 11th District.

But for some reason I haven't figured out from the first draft to the second draft, you added a huge number of people into the 11th District. The first draft I think the 11th District was underpopulated. But in the second draft, it's very much overpopulated. I think you probably added around 10,000 people from the Bronx Park East area near where Parkside Houses are and some of the other developments over there. Now, I am not a councilman, so I don't represent the district itself. My assembly
district covers much the council district. And while we certainly would welcome anybody who is in the district to be in the district -- we are not trying to get rid of anybody -- I think it's fair to say that because of the configuration of the district, the people who you added, I think are kind of going to get the short end of the stick by being attached to the district that is dominant, part of Riverdale, Riverdale and the surrounding neighborhoods.

Most of the 11th Council District is in the Northwest Bronx. You got Riverdale, Kingsbridge, Kingsbridge Heights, Van Cortlandt Village, Norwood, Bedford Park. You also have Woodlawn and Wakefield. And then there's a little piece that's added to the district over on the east side of the botanical gardens. And in the first draft, what you did is you actually shrunk that area, which made sense.

I think there was a gentleman here who testified that he felt that because his area where he lived, I think either in or near Parkside Houses, he felt that his area, because it was such a tiny piece of a district which is on the other side of the botanical gardens on the other side of the Bronx, he felt that they were being underrepresented or they didn't really fit into the rest of that district and the neighborhood where he lives is divided.

And what you have done is you really divided that neighborhood right now into three separate council districts, because some people are in the 11th under these lines, some people will the in the 13th and some in the 15th. In addition, that area is part of, I guess it's Community Board 11. It's a very tiny piece of 11.

So, the people, the council district which is mostly Community Board 8, a chunk of Community Board 12 and some of the Community Board 7 as well would have this little sliver of Community Board 11. And I think the practice effect of all that -- (timer dings) -- is that by that community being divide the way it is, they are going to lose out.

And I think it makes sense, if I was drawing the lines, what I would do is take all those areas that you added from Draft 1 to Draft 2 to the 11th District and I would -- I don't want to speak for any council members here -- it probably makes sense for it to be in the 15th District,
because it's kind of like a Central Bronx district and the communities there are close by. You wouldn't be dividing the community the way you have now.

Now in addition, I wanted to quickly address another issue. The Bronx should not, as a whole, should not be underrepresented. And it always seems, and I have seen this in redistricting on various levels, when we went to congressional redistricting, the Bronx was chopped into little pieces so that there's only one congressional district that's predominantly Bronx.

Now with the council districts, and I am not addressing any of the other four boroughs, but the Bronx represents a portion of the City's population that is greater than the amount of council districts that you have allocated for the Bronx. The Bronx represents almost 17 percent of the City's population. And if you multiply 17 percent time 51 seats, the Bronx should have about approximately eight and two-thirds seats. Now, if you want to have whole districts, I would round that up to nine. But I certainly would not round it down. Up until now, the Bronx has had less than eight and a half seats. The

Bronx's portion of the entire council should go up. I think the appropriate thing to do is to have nine seats, because most people think we should not be dividing seats between boroughs.

I think one of the council members from Manhattan, from what I heard from previous testimony, that's how a lot of people feel. In either case, the Bronx should certainly not have less than eight and two-thirds seats. You know, the Bronx is the poorest borough. It's the most heavily minority borough. And to have this borough under represented is not only wrong, but frankly opens the whole process up to litigation. So, I would hope you rethink that and give the Bronx greater representation.

MR. HACKWORTH: Thank you. Our next speaker is Dennis Terry, to be followed by Julio Muños. Dennis Terry?

MR. TERRY: Yes, I am here. Good evening to the members of the Commission. And I, too, would like to thank you for the hard work that you have been doing. It's a thankless task and I am not here to thank you for the work you have just done to my district.

I reside across from Yankee Stadium, home of
the Bronx Bombers. And you placed me now in a borough called Manhattan. I have resided in that district or in a Bronx district for 40 years, and so have the Yankees. And given the fact that it is a Bronx landmark, home of the Bronx Bombers -Bronx it's an integral part of that branding of that stadium -- I just don't understand how you would even fathom placing that district into Manhattan, considering the exchange that the Bronx had to give in order to give them what they needed to stay with us in the Bronx.

I won't belabor that point, but I think it does warrant a great deal of strong consideration, reconsideration on your parts. We have a bid, that's 161st Street bid that is now, I guess, the part of Manhattan as well. We are part of what they call the Bronx Civic Center, but you have managed to bifurcate that and balkanize the Bronx.

In the four efforts to redistrict in the Bronx that I have been here since '68, each time balkanization tends to continue. When I showed up, we had about three full councilmanic -- I mean congressional districts. We now have just one. And I would think that on the councilmanic
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level, this is at least an opportunity to expand representation, to introduce more co-terminality [sic] within the districts, the lines and the communities lines and historical landmarks.

So, I would hope that you don't do to the Bronx Bombers what you have done or propose to do to the El Barrio and just tear it apart significantly, disturb a relationship to the geography and the topography and the demographics of the area. So, I hope that you continue to work diligently and produce a better outcome than that. Thank you.

MR. HACKWORTH: Thank you. Our next speaker is Julio Muños, to be followed by Julien Terrell.

MR. MUÑOS: Hey, how are you doing? I was at the hearings at Bronx Community College and I believed in what you guys said. And I brought up exactly the same point that the young lady brought up before about Bronx Park East, about all the way up to Allerton Avenue. At least, my people being left out.

And I listened to you guys and I went home and I stayed up to 2:00 in the morning working on my laptop submitting a plan to include them into the 15th District. Well, I am looking at this
and that didn't happen. So, here I am again asking you to please, as a former district leader that knows the 8th District like I know my hand, we need to have our people represented by one councilman, and not by four.

And I got to tell you, that I am leaning very strongly towards the Bronx needs a ninth district. It would solve a lot of problems because we wouldn't be having to run into the 8th District. We could have a solid district that includes the folks that I spoke about before.

Okay, now I am very concerned because Vito Lopez does not live in the Bronx and I would not want him to represent us in the Bronx. But I would not want to go through this process a third time. I want somebody to listen. I want people to have open minds and creative minds. So, I know that your job is hard, but I am not going to submit another plan. Don't wait for that. I submitted one already; didn't go nowhere.

But I am concerned that the Bronx needs proper representation and it needs a ninth district. And the only way where I live where we can get the proper representation is by having the Bronx another district. Thank you very much.
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MR. HACKWORTH: Thank you. Our next speaker is Julien Terrell, and I will then recall Sharene Donner, and that will be all of our registered speakers so far.

MR. TERRELL: So first off, with that being said, I would like to thank my fellow residents who came out today. I think it's a right for us to be able to participate in this and give our comments. My name is Julien Terrell. I am a resident of the Mott Haven neighborhood.

I have been in this neighborhood for a month and a half -- not a month and a half, a year and a half. I do want to say that there are a lot of issues that have been happening. When you talk about representation, we also need to be talking about elected officials who can actually handle the issues that we were working on, right, so it speaks to capacity, right.

We had Fresh Direct, right, which is a project that a lot of us have been fighting, right. We need our elected officials who have been supportive and we need our elected officials in general really to be on our side about that. We also have Randall's Island which was cut out of our district. What I am saying is that people
have been about this neighborhood fighting for these issues for years, right.

There are business plans that have been worked on for decades, right. We are at a point where we are finally at a place where we can actually further these plans and then we have our representation that is being changed. I also don't think that you bring people into a public process by having elected officials who are so overworked that they can't actually represent us in the right way, right.

These are not just issues that are happening in the Bronx, right. I come from Harlem, right, so I know the issues my fellow neighbors talking about in Harlem. And right here tonight we have people coming from another borough talking about the impact that they are having in that.

I think as you are listening to our comments, not just listening about the individual neighborhood, but also the Bronx as a whole, right, we need to be able to put our voices into we actually have decisions over what is going on in our neighborhood. And if we have elected officials who are committed to that, which I think we do, we also need to be making decisions
that are honoring that fact, right.
You have thousands of people who are being put into our elected-official districts. That is going to weaken their capacity to work on some of the issues that are really stringent, right. But you also have the impact of culture. I want to be able to walk down my block and understand that the culture $I$ grew up in is still being deserved, right. Right?

We need to be a little bit louder to represent us, right. What I want to be able to say is this. There's a reason there's not more people here. It's because a lot of people don't understand what the hell you all are doing, right. You go on these websites and talk this language; let's talk relevancy, public process and how do we involve people do that. Part of that is having the representatives talk to us, being in a place where they can actually do us, right.

So, that's funding, right. These are decisions, right, and these are public processes that need to be transparent. To be open about it, I don't think this process have been transparent at all, and this is the nature of the
work that I do. So, I can't imagine somebody who may not have the opportunity to get to it as much as I do to understand what are you all talking about, right?

I also want to make sure that, before I leave, that we talk about the importance of people having access to their waterfront. There's water there. There's beautiful -- I don't want to be too basic about it. When people are putting together plans to access it, we don't need to be chopping that process right in the middle. Thank you.

MR. HACKWORTH: All right. I am recalling Sharene Donner. And it looks like we have an additional registration, Ariel Guerrero. MR. GUERRERO: Hi, my name is Ariel Guerrero. I am actually an East Harlem resident, so, I was at the Monday hearing. I am here again because you keep hearing this redistricting process has been extremely intrusive. When you hear obviously on the Bronx side that a ninth district is needed, you are talking away something like the Bronx; again, an institution that means so much to a community. You are talking away Mount Sinai and NYCHA housing. You
are splitting that up in East Harlem.
And so, it's a continuous process where we look at, I think, part of what you are seeing is, you are looking at communities and you are breaking them up. And the communities, the council folks, I mean, I think everyone is asking you guys to sit there and look at the lines again. Include Mount Sinai back into East Harlem and the 8th District in Manhattan.

I think there is a serious conversation to have we are looking at the ninth district in the Bronx, including, obviously, major interests. This is a place where folks raise families, you know, establish roots and look at these different pieces. And again, I go back to the intrusiveness of the redistricting this time around.

And again, I just want to reiterate, I think we should take a look at those lines and re-include again Mount Sinai back into the 8th District, with the NYCHA houses, Lexington and Carver Houses, instead of splitting those up. And again, looking at something like Yankee Stadium, making sure you leave that in the Bronx because that is something that means so much and
so dear to the Bronx community. That's it. Thank you.

MR. HACKWORTH: Mr. Chairman, at this time, we have no additional registered speakers.

CHAIRMAN ROMANO: I am not ending. I am inviting people who want to speak.

MR. HACKWORTH: Let's him speak and you will hear what he has to say.

CHAIRMAN ROMANO: Anybody who would like to speak, we have an opportunity to speak. We have run out of registered speakers. Anybody else who would like to speak operating under the same ground rules? If we have no more speakers, we will adjourn the meeting.

MR. HACKWORTH: It looks like we have one additional speaker.

CHAIRMAN ROMANO: For 20 minutes, we will take a recess and see if other people show up.

MR. HACKWORTH: So, just state your name and the district you are referring to, please.

MR. KING: Okay. My name is Andy King and I have been newly elected to the Council, 12th District of the Bronx. And first, I want to say, thank you for all the work that you guys put in to allow us to have a conversation about the work
that you have done in drawing these lines.
But my concern is that as much as I am hearing and as much as I am listening, it appears that everyone here is not saying great job on these lines you just drew. So, what can we do to make sense? And that's the question I want to ask of you, because whether you live in these districts where you drew these lines, if they don't make sense to the people who are actually here tonight, and I am pretty sure those who are not here, what can we do so they make sense and everyone can feel comfortable?

And that is my conversation to you, whatever you do moving forward after listening to all this testimony tonight, I just want you to say, the people, we heard the people tonight and they are not really happy with what we came up with.

Let's go back to the drawing board and make sense to the people in the 11th who got moved over because of where they actually, geographically land in the Bronx, should be part of the 15th.

Where people complaining about what's happening in the 11th District, how do we figure out the numbers Bronx has as far as population? How do we create that ninth seat? Those are the
things the people are saying that make sense. I am asking you all at the end of this process to really take heed to make changes that make sense to everyone who is here tonight. Thank you.

MR. ROUSE: I would also like to speak very, very brief. My name is Winston Rouse. I am an attorney and lived in the Bronx my entire life. I also, as Mr. Dennis Cherry stated, I also do not believe that the crown jewel of the Bronx, which is Yankee Stadium, should be put into a district in Manhattan.

And I believe that this area of the Bronx, because our culture, our people, we live here. I graduated Fordham University. I went to Roosevelt High School. It is unique. And I also believe is that because of that uniqueness, that area of the Bronx should be placed in the Bronx and not be assigned to a council district that is outside of the Bronx who very well do not understand or appreciate the value and the significance of things that occur in the Bronx as much as they would appreciate in Manhattan.

And again, I again, with Mr. Terry, wish to state that I believe that, again, council district in the Bronx area should be placed in
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the Bronx. And again, and I am also stating this from personal perspective because I do plan on running for the City Council in the 16th District at this coming term. So, I do have a personal feeling regarding that. And I want to thank you very much for your time.

MR. RICHARDSON: Good evening. My name is Bernell Richardson and I am the executive director of Black United Leadership of the Bronx. I am going to change the focus a little, and I am not going to appeal to you anymore. But I am going to appeal to the City Council, because this plan goes back to the City Council. And I think if there's anyone that understands the issues, it's our City Council.

So, I would urge the City Council to hear what we are saying tonight. Hear what the community is saying. Hear what your constituents are saying. Because with all due respect, let's be real. Ladies and gentlemen, you make up the Commission, but at the end of the day, technically, your job is done. So, I now speak so the City Council. Hear what we are saying.

Listen to us and make the changes conducive to all of our community and don't allow lines be
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developed that split opportunities, split culture, cause dissension among us. Let us understand that. So, I appeal to you, the City Council, and I have faith in you. Thank you.

MR. POWER: Good evening, my name is Maury Power (phonetic). I didn't know I was speaking, but I feel I must. I will say something very briefly about Randall's Island and then also about Yankee Stadium. I really have to say because some of the facts are really worth noting.

I am concerned about the allocation of parks or the ideas that communities do not control parks and open space, whether they are privately managed or not. I think it's very problematic to have Manhattan representatives being the officials of record making decisions about Yankee Stadium, when the way Yankee Stadium reinvestment happened was already a debacle.

To almost institutionalize the Bronx as having a voice in the future of Yankee Stadium by having that piece parcelled off to Manhattan for Mayor Bloomberg's purposes is extremely problematic. It's not a small thing.

The other thing is Randall's Island. So, Randall's Island is not geographically part of the Bronx. However, it's also problematic that, if there had been a district which included Randall's Island with the Bronx, if that's not the case anymore, because people who care about open space in the New York City or who care about, and this is very important, working class children's access to public parks, you need to be very concerned about an decision that was made a few years back whereby some wing of the mayor's office or City government allowed for private schools, which cater to upper-class -- I am going to keep it real.

I am a citizen. I am going to be -- this is an Ice Age for a Latino and African-American poor people in this city and this country. And our city government allowed very elite high schools in Manhattan to come together and legally be able to use the recreational facilities at Randall's Island in ways that are almost exclusive.

And so, to compound what has already been done, which is disastrous, with not allowing people in Mott Haven for their voices to be dominant in the discussion of the future of Randall's Island, that is just disgusting. So, both of those issues are not small issues. Who
controls park land contiguous in the Bronx is very important. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN ROMANO: Is there anyone else who would like to speak? We will stand in recess for 20 minutes in case someone wants to get up to the podium and speak. We will return. We are hereby adjourned.

MR. HACKWORTH: If you are interested in speaking, please go to the registration desk and fill out a card.
(Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.)
CHAIRMAN ROMANO: Good evening. We are about to resume. Thaddeus, if you would call the next speaker.

MR. HACKWORTH: All right. Our next speaker is Arthur Mychal Johnson, to be followed by Angel Lopez.

MR. JOHNSON: Good evening. My name is Arthur Mychal Johnson. I am a resident of Mott Haven neighborhood in South Bronx and a member of Community Board 1. I am here to give testimony to implore the New York City Redistricting Commission to reconsider and correct the potentially devastating effects on the South Bronx in the proposed December 4th revised plan.

After consultation with many of my colleagues in the Bronx and Manhattan, I have carefully prepared a revised plan entitled the Common Sense Bronx Plan to ensure fair and effective representation of the South Bronx, keep intact communities of interest in the South Bronx and ensure compact and contiguous districts.

First, population. The December 4th revised plan reduced population patterns in the Bronx District 16, 17 and 18 in a haphazard way that would carve out to inflate the population of District 8 to maximize possible deviations of up to 4.99 percent. Population deviation should be as minimal as possible to achieve the least amount of impact to racial and language minority groups and their historical, political representation. The Common Sense Bronx Plan equalized the population distribution among Districts 8, 16, 17 and 18 with a maximum deviation 1.4 percent among all four districts.

Secondly, the fair and effective representation of communities of interest, the December 4th revised plan dramatically disrupts the fair and effective representation of concerned communities of interest, in particular.

Approximately 9,000 African-Americans are cut out of District 16, 6,000 African-Americans cut out of District 17 and the African-American population in District 18 is arbitrarily increased, despite the district, 65 percent is Hispanic majority.

I urge you to carefully reconsider disrupting this historical and important communities of interest and reflect on the very foundation of the Voting Rights Act. The Common Sense Bronx Plan more carefully balances the population among the Bronx districts to preserve fair and effective representation, while retaining below-standard deviations as well as ideal population values in surrounding districts.

For these and other key issues, with the December 4th revised plan, I urge you to reconsider the lines you have drawn for District 8. This is one of the most important and potentially harmful structural changes to one of the City's long-neglected areas. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN ROMANO: Mr. Johnson, your Bronx Common Sense Plan sounds like a map we should consider. Have you submitted it?

MR. JOHNSON: Yes, I have, sir, and I have
copies here today I submitted at the front tables. I have additional copies I can give to you. But also on your website, it's been submitted formally.

MR. HACKWORTH: Our next speaker is Angel Lopez, to be followed by Corrine Kohunt, Esq.

MR. LOPEZ: Good evening. I am Angel Lopez. I saw you guys last time in the Bronx Community College. Back then, I said that I am a resident of Mott Haven and I earn my living making maps. And your map looked horrible back then and still looks pretty, pretty bad.

There is an issue of compactness, okay? The revised plan that you are presenting now stretches from, at least on District 8 stretches from 59th Street all the way to 166th Street. That is five times as long as it is wide. That doesn't make much sense.

What Mr. Johnson presented here, the Common Sense Bronx Plan, a map that we are presenting here scales back those northern boundaries to make the district much more compact while maintaining below-standard deviations, as well as ideal population variance in surrounding districts.

The other issue that we see is the issue of
continuity. Here again, the revised plan, you stubbornly maintained the idea of taking Randall's Island from the Bronx and Manhattan. You give the island to Queens as if you were the King of Spain, "Here, we give you this island." And we have four bridges connecting the Bronx to Randall's Island. Queens has one bridge. That symbolically speaks of the value and the historical importance and the connection that we have there. We need that island. Our children need and deserve access to that open space. Randall's Island is part of the assembly district of the Bronx and it's part of Community Board 11 in Manhattan.

We need that island. We need that open space because our children face eight times the national average in asthma, okay? The Common Sense Bronx Plan that Mr. Joseph presented here reincorporates Randall's Island into District 8 while maintaining below-staining standard deviation as well as the population in surrounding districts. So, I hope you rethink seriously this map (timer dings). Thank you.

MR. HACKWORTH: Our next speaker is Corrine Kohut, Esq., to be followed by Herman Francis.

MS. KOHUT: Hello, my name is Corrine Kohut. I am a resident of the Mott Haven neighborhood in the South Bronx and I am here to give testimony against the current redistricting lines as proposed in the December 4th revised plan of the New York City Redistricting Commission, specifically as they relate to District 8.

I also, like my neighbors and colleagues, Arthur, Michael Johnson and Angel Lopez, support the Common Sense Bronx Plan and urge you to take a strong look at that plan, because it is indeed common sense.

One of the criteria for districting is keeping intact neighborhoods and communities with established ties of common interest and association. The South Bronx waterfront is lined with a publically-owned, 96-acre parcel of land called the Harlem River Rail Yards. The December 4th revised plan continues to slice in half this critical waterfront property and divide it between two different City Council districts. And this is unacceptable.

The South Bronx waterfront is crucial to the district's short, medium and long-term development, and the community surrounding this
land has for decades been united in common interest around its usage. For more than ten years, the South Bronx has struggled for and recently achieved the Randall's Island connector, a footbridge mentioned by Angel Lopez, a footbridge from the Bronx to Randall's Island that crosses over a narrow waterway that separates our residents from 480 acres of green space.

As currently configured in the December 4th revised plan, tens of thousands of South Bronx residents that are within one mile of walking distance to the connector would have to cross district lines to access the Footbridge, despite a decade of having worked together for its completion.

The Common Sense Bronx Plan corrects this obvious error and keeps intact the South Bronx waterfront, while maintaining below-standard deviations as well as ideal population values in surrounding districts.

Another area of common interest and associations, which has been arbitrarily stripped away from Mott Haven and Port Morris in the December 4th revised plan is the central business
district of the South Bronx known as The Hub, which is centered at Third Avenue and 149th Street.

In its hasty effort to make District 8 take up a greater percentage of the Bronx, the Commission seemed to carefully carve out the economic foundation of the neighborhood. As one the poorest districts in New York City, residents of the South Bronx are more than anything an economic community of interest.

The Common Sense Bronx Plan places the South Bronx tax revenue base back into -- (timer dings) -- District 8, while maintaining below-standard deviations as well as ideal population values in the surrounding districts.

Thank you in advance for addressing these very concrete concerns not only raised by myself, but also my neighbors and my colleagues, and embracing a viable alternative for District 8 in the Common Sense Bronx Plan.

MR. HACKWORTH: Thank you. Our next speaker is Herman Francis, to be followed by William Stanford, Jr.

MR. FRANCIS: Good evening, everyone. It's good to see that common sense have come to the redistrict. Once again, we go back that this is
about addition of morality. It goes back to the U.S. Constitution, because if those who have made decisions did what they were supposed to do, we would not be here this evening.

No one in the community in this country will stand by and have their community divided into two different counties. That is ridiculous. In New York State are 62 counties. If you look at it, every local is represented entirely within the county on lines.

Yet, in the Bronx, the State senators cross county lines. The congressional districts cross county lines. Why do the City Council districts have to cross county lines? All of the sudden districts are dislocated entirely from their county. It's all because it goes back to 1787. I am a firm believer in solutions. If you want to ask me something, all you have to do is look at archives and yes, somebody pulled up a book and common sense has to prevail. We will see how long we will continue.

MR. HACKWORTH: Our next speaker is William Stanford, Jr., to be followed by Winston Rouse.

MR. STANFORD: As I said before, the name is Mr. X. Apparently, you forgot what happened just
two days ago. Apparently, you forgot what happened just one day ago. To your memory, you have a poor memory, a poor-track mind, okay? December 4th, you held a public meeting at the Manhattan law school. The location, didn't bother to e-mail it to me even though I am on your mailing list. October 2nd, you held a public meeting; didn't bother to accommodate me.

I found out at 8:30, and here you are with your tail between your legs? That wasn't very swift. October 2nd, I complained about that, okay? Did the chair apologize to me? No, what did he do instead, he showed me his feminist side, because that's all he's good at doing. There's no excuse for that. You owe me an apology for that, okay?

December 4th, you could have e-mailed to me. I discovered your website two days later. You could have e-mailed it to me. I don't care if it was on your website. You could have e-mailed it to me.

MR. HACKWORTH: Do you have anything to say about redistricting?

MR. STANFORD: Why are you so fast to cut me off? Why are you so fast to cut me off? You
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think I drove around, too? During round two, you took the microphone twice while I was speaking. Did you forget that part? I didn't find that amusing. You said it was a problem with the microphone. It wasn't a problem with the microphone. It gone off twice when I spoke [sic]. When everyone else spoke, it was fine. You turned it off. And now you want to rush me. I don't think so. You are not a bulldozing me [sic]. I don't think so. I just came in from Albany. I watched Cuomo make his speech. That's why I arrived late. The least you could do is give me my allotted time to speak.

And if it's too much trouble for you to listen to what I have to say, then maybe you should stay home and be a housewife. All you have to do is put on an apron or a dress or a miniskirt and take a tape measure -- I will give you a wedgie. As far as dishes goes, this is worse that be that.

You see this here? This is worse than that, okay? As I said before, you want to draw these lines perfectly, all you have to do is go by the bus corridors. You are zigzagging, okay? I just zigzag scissors that people use, okay? You treat
it like a sewing machine, okay? Don't zigzag.
Go straight across. I know you know how to draw, but apparently you think you don't. You are still in kindergarten. You need to draw these lines better. This is what I expect from a kindergarten student, not from someone who is older than me like you. You can do better than these districts.

Again, black and white, is there any reason why we have the black and white version and you guys have the color version? Do you know what a color ink cartridge is? I guess not. You are so used to a black ink cartridge. You're used to the 1950s, black and white (timer dings).

MR. HACKWORTH: Our next speaker is Winston Rouse, to be followed by Harry Bobbins. Do we have Winston Rouse? Harry Bobbins?

MR. BOBBINS: Hello again. It's really great to see you all again. I would remind you last time I spoke that no one listened to or changed anything to, according to the plan, we were supporting the Unity Plan and keeping Randall's Island in the South Bronx and East Harlem district.

None of you did a thing about it. It's
embarrassing, those of you who care, to have to be tried out yet again to support Christine Quinn's backtracking on Vito Lopez's attempt to become a council member. That's why this has happened, not because us in the South Bronx/East Harlem are unified to stop the tearing out of Randall's Island from our district, but for your politics.

So, those of you who are assembled today who have ethics, who have truth behind you and a track record of being advocates, I really feel for you because you are put in this position as a rubber stamp, and it's extremely embarrassing. You can change it, though. You can, again, make modifications to the plan as was done before.

Before, it was because of an outcry against this powerful person who is running for mayor. In this case, it's a community or communities, the South Bronx and East Harlem, that have, as we all know, been historically neglected and dumped upon. You can make a really great stand for democracy by modifying this plan and making sure that Randall's Island is included.

As I mentioned before, I see Council Member Arroyo here tonight. This is merely a ploy to
continue the privatization of Randall's Island and cut out of the voices of our current Council Members Arroyo and Viverito, who have worked with communities to stand firm against Mayor Bloomberg's privatization schemes to turn over Randall's Island to rich, white private schools in Upper East Side and Randall's Island. That's basically the issue that is going on and turning over to Queens, which is geographically further away.

With the rest of my time, I want to read Juan Gonzalez's column in The New York Daily News, in case you didn't get a chance to read it. And I saw someone put his hand up like that and that was an affront to him. And I will jump right to the middle.
(Reading): "The media fury of over the Lopez maneuver grew so loud that the Commission was forced to go back to the drawing board. But that scandal has obscured an equally indefensible proposal by the Commission involving Randall's Island and the 8th Council District and East Harlem. If you ever spent time on Randall's Island, you know it is geographically closer to the industrial southern tip of the Bronx than to
any borough. The island has always been more accessible, though, to Manhattan. Yet, it's been put into a Queens district."

Please change that (timer dings). Thank you.
MR. HACKWORTH: Our next speaker is Michael Amos, to be followed by Lille Smallwood.

MR. BOBBINS: Hello, my name is, as you said, Michael Amos, and I am born and raised in the Bronx. My family born and raised in the Bronx. My uncle was one of the members of the Kelly Street Block Association. So, as far back as I can remember, there has been organizing and community development in my family.

Recently, in the past two years, I decided after an East Coast tour as far north as Boston and as far south as Atlanta to come home, to come back home to the Bronx because this is where I belong. And one of the pleasures that I had in coming back to the Bronx was taking my grandchildren to Roosevelt Island.

I remember when I grew up, we would always go out of the Bronx to get to water, all the way up north to get to grass. My grandchildren had such a great time coming 20 minutes, less than a half an hour from their house to get to water, to
get to grass where I could point out across there and over there was where I had an apartment.

So, I have a simple question; why? If Randall's Island is closer to the Bronx and now part of South Bronx and East Harlem, why? When I was growing up, my mother used to always tell me, if it ain't broke, don't fix it. Thank you.

MR. HACKWORTH: Our final registered speaker is Lille Smallwood.

MS. SMALLWOOD: Hi, I am Lille Smallwood. Hi everybody. I am with South Bronx Unit and I am a South Bronx resident. Hi. And I just wanted to say that I back what my friends who just came up here said, my colleagues. I would like to keep Randall's Island in the South Bronx.

I have not been here as long as many of you. I have been living in the South Bronx for a year, over a year now, in the Bronx for about a year and a half. I grew up in Washington State, though, on 18 acres of country, so $I$ can't imagine not being able to see water or trees ever, hardly.

And so, I think the least we could do here is keep something that is already part of the Bronx here for the children and for everybody.

And that's about all $I$ have to say. So, thank you.

MR. HACKWORTH: Mr. Chairperson, we have no additional registered speakers.

CHAIRMAN ROMANO: We have no additional registered speakers. Would anyone else like to speak and be recognized?

MR. HACKWORTH: Just begin by stating your name, please.

MR. LOPEZ: Sure. My name is Michael S. Lopez, Michael Lopez, L-O-P-E-Z, okay?

CHAIRMAN ROMANO: A little closer to the mic.

MR. LOPEZ: A little closer to the mic? Michael Lopez; is that good? Okay. I want a little anecdote so you could understand how important Wards Island is. It is right across the bridge, the footbridge on 102nd Street where I group up. Every September, my teacher would ask me, "What did you do for the summer?" And I would say, "I went to the island." Said, "Wow, that's great." What island was I talking about? Wards Island.

About, maybe, two months ago, I went to the island to see the new structure and where the
baseball fields that are no longer baseball fields on Wards Island it's only volleyball -- I mean, soccer, and on Randall's Island, it's only baseball fields. But in between, I am walking and I see something called "John Rose Academy of Tennis." Where did he come from? How did that happen?

I mean, I could understand some restructuring, but this is the type of privatization of what is going on. And once I even saw "Coming soon, Cirque du Soleil on Randall's Island." I said, hey, I think this is something. I did get some free tickets, by the way, I got to admit.

But it's part of the change that is happening. And I think, especially for youngsters if it wasn't for Randall's Island and Wards Island, we wouldn't have an island. I visited my island when I was 20. Everything else was Randall's and Wards Island. So, for children growing up, that grass is very important.

And the other thing is, with the high school, I heard there was a difficulty with the high schools from Queens, the permits trying to get a baseball field or a volleyball field. It's
very difficult, because the schools have them pretty much all week, and then there is some reserved for the weekend. So, there's something going on with the Queens; I'm not sure.

I can't give you any facts. But I think it was a great solution to give it back to where it belongs and I think then we could have a little bit more to say about what goes on on the island. Thank you for your time.

CHAIRMAN ROMANO: Is there anyone else that would like to address the Commission at this time? We are going to stand adjourned until tomorrow. The Commission is going to stand adjourned -- let me finish -- until tomorrow and Brooklyn.

The staff is going to remain to receive any written testimony that people who come later may want to submit. Anyone who wants to speak? I'm sorry. If I missed someone, I apologize. I still can't see.

MR. HACKWORTH: There's one more. You can leave the mic attached.

DR. GHOLSON: How are you doing this evening? In observing the comments --

MR. HACKWORTH: I'm sorry, could you state

DISTRICTING COMMISSION
your name?
DR. GHOLSON: I'm sorry, Dr. Vicky Gholson, Community Board 9, attending high school in the Bronx. It is so important from the time the first map came out, if it were to be observed, one would think it was put out to infuriate and to intimidate. There was a second map that came out after countless recommendations, people sending in information, people going and sending in maps and several meetings being held in the Commission's office.

There has not been another change on the map that really accomplishes what has been said. But this evening, anyone in the South Bronx that understands the struggles and the fight and the relationship between the residents of the South Bronx and the residents of East Harlem, historically and out of respect, it is criminal to put forward the map that is now on the table.

In terms of the accomplishments of those from Puerto Rico, in particular, those accomplishments in developing communities that have been ignored, creating something from absolutely nothing, the artistic and cultural legacies that flow through these communities, the

Commission has a responsibility to protect and preserve cultural legacy and civic accomplishment.

In addition, there should never be a time that we look back through the years from now and see that we participated in a process that undermined the self-esteem and the historic accomplishments of a people. If the map goes forward as it stands, that is what it will do. The process is hampered from the outset. We know that it's tampered in terms of political manipulation.

But each and every member of this Commission and each and every resident in both East Harlem, West Harlem, Central and the South Bronx has a responsibility to make sure that we look back and see that we did the correct thing, that we did what was set out by those politicians who broke the barriers in the '40s and in the '50s, those community organizers that fought to hold on to vacant and abandoned mass lands and streams of property to develop.

We made the sacrifices to send those children off to college to get those MBAs and to get those degrees to return to the communities that they grew up. We have a
responsibility -- (timer dings) -- to maintain and build upon what has been done.

So, I again stand for the Commission and before my neighbors and I ask that we do the correct thing, that we have another presentation of the map with the changes that I am sure you are going to make after having observed the dialogue and the conversations --

MR. HACKWORTH: Thank you.
DR. GHOLSON: Before a final vote is taken. And I thank you for your time and I thank my neighbors.

CHAIRMAN ROMANO: The staff will remain behind.

MR. HACKWORTH: There is one more.
MR. EMMANUEL: Yes, Castillo Emmanuel, South Bronx. I am wondering why you come to the solution that you want to do something with Randall's at this point? Because I knew growing up, that was my safe haven, my haven. That was spitting distance from me.

Growing up, late '80s, early '90s, I used to play baseball. In fact, I was MVP a couple of times. I used to play there. All my baseball players from before, they don't have a place to
go to, we really, excuse my language, we're fucked. We can't do much.

I would like to have a place for our kids to grow up and say, "Look, your daddy played in that park." And I was MVP, of course. I want them to have a place to grow up so they can have a place. So, why are you going to do this realignment or anything? Why not keep it spitting distance from my neighborhood so I can go there constantly? Boycott Fresh Direct.

CHAIRMAN ROMANO: We stand adjourned until tomorrow, Downtown Brooklyn at Saint Francis College.
(Whereupon, at 8:01 p.m., the above matter was concluded.)

I, JOSHUA B. EDWARDS, RPR, a Notary Public for and within the State of New York, do hereby certify that the above is a correct transcription of my stenographic notes.
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| 54:5, 58:14 <br> destroy [1] - 15:12 <br> detailed [1] - 9:22 <br> detailing [1] - 17:17 <br> devastating $[1]$ - $52: 24$ <br> develop [2] - 27:5, 72:21 <br> developed [1] - 50:1 <br> developing ${ }_{[1]}$ - 71:22 <br> development [3]-20:24, <br> 57:25, 66:13 <br> developments [1]-33:23 <br> deviation [4]-11:22, <br> 53:13, 53:20, 56:21 <br> deviations [6]-29:22, <br> 53:12, 54:14, 55:23, <br> 58:20, 59:13 <br> dialog [1] - 27:10 <br> dialogue [1] - 73:8 <br> dialogues [1] - 28:4 <br> did he [1] - 69:6 <br> Did you [1] - 62:3 <br> die [1] - 15:21 <br> difference [1] - 6:15 <br> difficult $[1]$ - $70: 1$ <br> difficulty $[1]$ - 69:23 <br> diligently [1] - 39:11 <br> diminishing $[1]-7: 4$ <br> dings [5] - 14:15, 20:10, <br> 35:17, 59:12, 73:1 <br> dings) [3]-56:23, 63:14, 66:4 <br> Dinowitz [2] - 33:4, 33:6 <br> DINOWITZ [1] - 33:8 <br> Direct [2]-41:19, 74:10 <br> directed [1] - 4:14 <br> director [3]-8:23, 20:15, 49:9 <br> disastrous [1] - 51:21 <br> discovered [1] - 61:18 <br> discussed [1] - 16:19 <br> discussion [2]-28:6, <br> 51:23 <br> disgusting [1] - 51:24 <br> dishes [1] - 62:19 <br> dislocated [1] - 60:15 <br> disparities [1] - 23:22 <br> disproportionate [1] - <br> 30:7 <br> disregarded [1] - 24:10 <br> disrupting $[1]$ - $54: 8$ <br> disrupts [1] - 53:23 <br> dissension [1] - 50:2 <br> disservice [1] - 24:18 <br> distance [3] - 58:13, <br> 73:21, 74:8 <br> distributed [1] - 14:12 <br> distribution [2]-26:17, <br> 53:18 <br> district [77] - 4:7, 5:4, |  | $\begin{aligned} & 32: 14,32: 18,33: 1, \\ & 35: 7,36: 13,36: 17, \\ & 36: 22,38: 24,39: 3, \\ & 43: 3,47: 8,53: 7,53: 20, \\ & 54: 12,54: 15,55: 24, \\ & 56: 22,57: 21,58: 21, \\ & 59: 7,59: 14,60: 12, \\ & 60: 13,60: 15,63: 8 \\ & \text { Districts }[2]-22: 6,53: 19 \\ & \text { disturb }[1]-39: 8 \\ & \text { diversity }[1]-4: 8 \\ & \text { divide }[4]-7: 21,11: 18, \\ & 35: 18,57: 20 \\ & \text { divided }[5]-17: 5,17: 11, \\ & 35: 4,35: 5,60: 6 \\ & \text { dividing }[3]-14: 7,36: 3, \\ & 37: 4 \\ & \text { do you }[3]-25: 14,61: 22, \\ & 63: 11 \\ & \text { doable }[1]-28: 10 \\ & \text { documents }[2]-7: 8,7: 11 \\ & \text { doesn't }[2]-23: 13,55: 18 \\ & \text { DOHERTY }[1]-16: 1 \\ & \text { Doherty }[3]-12: 24, \\ & 15: 23,16: 3 \\ & \text { dominant }[2]-34: 9,51: 23 \\ & \text { Dominicans }[1]-22: 15 \\ & \text { Donner }[5]-30: 25,33: 3, \\ & 33: 5,41: 3,44: 14 \\ & \text { Downtown }[1]-74: 12 \\ & \text { Dr }[3]-2: 4,2: 5,71: 2 \\ & \text { DR }[3]-70: 23,71: 2,73: 10 \\ & \text { draft }[6]-33: 13,33: 16, \\ & 33: 18,33: 20,34: 18 \\ & \text { Draft }[2]-35: 22 \\ & \text { dramatically }[1]-53: 23 \\ & \text { draw }[5]-7: 20,19: 4, \\ & 62: 22,63: 3,63: 4 \\ & \text { drawing }[5]-7: 19,35: 20, \\ & 47: 1,47: 18,65: 19 \\ & \text { drawn }[5]-11: 21,19: 15, \\ & 21: 14,25: 3,54: 18 \\ & \text { dress }[1]-62: 17 \\ & \text { drew }[3]-33: 13,47: 5, \\ & 47: 8 \\ & \text { drove }[2]-33: 8,62: 1 \\ & \text { du }[1]-69: 11 \\ & \text { due }[1]-49: 19 \\ & \text { dumped }[1]-64: 20 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | ```easily [1]-12:10 east [1] - 34:16 East [20] - 13:2, 17:5, 17:13, 23:11, 25:11, 25:12, 32:7, 33:22, 39:19, 44:17, 45:1, 45:8, 63:23, 64:19, 65:7, 65:22, 66:15, 67:5, 71:17, 72:13 easy [1] - 26:15 eat [1] - 20:8 economic [2] - 59:6, 59:9 economy [1] - 12:6 Eden [1] - 16:14 edge [2]-29:16, 32:20 edges [1] - 29:12 Education [1] - 3:24 education [2] - 12:3, 14:25 EDWARDS [2] - 74:18, 74:25 effect [1] - 35:16 effective [6] - 6:20, 7:5, 53:5, 53:21, 53:24, 54:13 effects [1] - 52:24 effort [2] - 10:25, 59:3 efforts [2] - 30:14, 38:20 eight \([9]-11: 3,11: 6\), 11:14, 11:24, 20:7, 36:21, 36:25, 37:9, 56:16 El [6] - 13:2, 13:25, 14:9, 14:21, 15:2, 39:7 elected [12]-10:20, 19:21, 19:24, 26:22, 31:12, 41:16, 41:21, 41:22, 42:9, 42:23, 43:3, 46:22 elected-official [1] - 43:3 elite [1]-51:16 else's [1]-31:18 embarrassing [2]-64:1, 64:13 embracing [1] - 59:18 EMMANUEL [1] - 73:16 Emmanuel [1] - 73:16 employment [1] - 12:3 empower [1] - 28:7 empowered [1] - 28:7 enable [1] - 4:3 encouraged [1] - 9:23 end [12] - 18:2, 19:18, 20:1, 23:16, 24:11, 24:21, 25:6, 26:2, 31:11, 34:7, 48:2, 49:21 ending [1] - 46:5 engage [1]-32:3 ensure [4]-6:20, 17:24,``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| $53: 4,53: 7$ | 73:23 | 10:16, 12:24, 15:23, | geographically [4] - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ensuring [1] - 8:3 | factors [2]-6:13, 8:9 | $18: 6,20: 13,22: 25$ | $47: 20,50: 25,65: 9$ |
| entirety [2]-5:15, 29:2 <br> entitled [3]-25:22, 25: | $\begin{aligned} & \text { facts }[2]-50: 10,70: 5 \\ & \text { fair }[10]-6: 20,11: 11, \end{aligned}$ | $26: 6,28: 13,30: 25$ | $65: 24$ |
| 53:3 | 4:24, 25:24, 26:4 | 39:14, 52:16, 55:6, | GHOLSON [3] - 70:23, |
| entrance [1] - 14:21 | $34: 5,53: 4,53: 21$ | 56:25, 59:21, 60:23, | $71: 2,73: 10$ |
| $\text { equal }[2]-18: 23,19: 3$ | fairness [1] - 30:2] | following [1] - 10:6 | $\text { give }[18]-10: 9,19: 6,$ |
| equalized [1] - 53:18 | faith [1] - 50 | foot [1] - 14:1 | 20:6, 25:9, 27:6, 37:14, |
| equally [1] - 65:20 | families [1] - 45:1 | footbridge [3] - 58:5, | :10, 41:8, 52:21, |
| equitable [1] - 12:15 | family [3] - 30:9, 66:9, | 58:6, 68:18 | $55: 2,56: 4,56: 5,57: 3$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { error [1] - 58:18 } \\ & \text { Esq [2] - 55:6, 56:25 } \end{aligned}$ | 66:13 <br> famous [1] - 14:19 | Footbridge [1]-58:14 forced [1] - 65:19 | given [2] - 4:17, 38:4 |
| essential [1] - 17:24 | fast [2] - 61:24, 61:2 | Fordham [1] - 48:14 | Gloria [1] - 8:25 |
| essentially [1] - 17:3 | fathom [1] - 38:8 | forget [2] - 14:20, 62: | GLORIA [1] - 1:11 |
| establish [1] - 45:14 | favoring [1] - 28:21 | forgot [2] - 60:25, 61:1 | goes [11] - 14:3, 14:4, |
| established [3] - 6:24 | fear [1] - 30:12 <br> feasible [1]-28:10 | former [1]-40:2 | $49: 13,60: 1,60: 16$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 11: 2,57: 15 \\ & \text { esteem [1] - } 72 \end{aligned}$ | feature [1]-22:14 | $\text { forth }[2]-4: 14,16: 14$ | $62: 19,70: 8,72: 7$ |
| ethics [1]-64:10 | feed [1]-3:6 | forward [5] - 8:11, 20:3, | Gonzalez's [1] - 65:12 |
| ethnic [1]-2:21 | feedback [1]-6:11 <br> feel [4]-37:7, 47:1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 47:14, 71:19, } 72: 8 \\ & \text { fought }[1]-72: 19 \end{aligned}$ | gotten [1] - 24:13 <br> government [6] - |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { evening [19] - 13:1, } 1 \\ 18: 7,20: 14,23: 2 \end{gathered}$ | 64:11 <br> feeling [1] - 49:5 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { found }[3]-13: 13,14: 15 \text {, } \\ & 61: 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17: 7,31: 8,31: 15 \\ & 51: 10,51: 16 \end{aligned}$ |
| 8, 37:19, 49:7, 50:5 | Felix [1] - 2: | foundation [2] - 54:10 | graduated [1] - 48:14 |
| 52:12, 52:18, 55:7, | fellow [3] - 9:19, 41:6, | 59:6 | Grand [1] - 1:5 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 59: 23,60: 4,70: 24, \\ & 71: 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 42: 14 \\ & \text { felt }[3]-34: 21,34: 23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { four [7] - 23:5, 32:17, } \\ & 36: 14,38: 20,40: 5 \\ & 53: 20,56: 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { grandchildren [2] - 66:20, } \\ & \text { 66:23 } \end{aligned}$ |
| everybody [4] - 26:9 | feminist [1] - 61: | fractured [1] - 31:10 | 69:21 |
| 28:3, 67:11, 67:25 | field [2] - 69:25 | $\text { Francis [3] - } 56: 25,59: 21,$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { great [7] - 38:13, 47:4, } \\ 63: 19,64: 21,66: 24, \end{gathered}$ |
| everyone's [1] - 31:12 <br> exactly [1] - 39:18 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { fields [3]-69:1, 69:2 } \\ & 69: 4 \end{aligned}$ | FRAN | $68: 22,70: 6$ |
| example [1] - 17:19 | fight [1] - 71:1 | FRANK [1] - 1:10 | greater [5] - 27:9, 27:13, |
| exceed [1] - 6:17 | fighting [2] - 41:20, 42:1 | Frank [1]-9:3 | 36:16, 37:15, 59:4 |
| except [1] - 11:3 | figure [1] - 47:2 | frankly [1] - 37:13 | greatest [1] - 30:1 |
| exception [1]-28:23 | figured [1] - 33:15 | free [2]-3:18, 69:13 | green [2] - 30:6, 58: |
| exchange [1] - 38:9 | fill [1] - 52:10 | Fresh [2] - 41:19, 74:10 | grew [5] - 43:8, 65:18, |
| exclusion [1] - 28:23 | $\begin{gathered} \text { final }[4]-7: 18,17: 17 \\ 67: 8.73: 10 \end{gathered}$ | friends [2]-19:20, 67:13 <br> front [1] - 55:1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 66:21, 67:19, 72:25 } \\ & \text { ground [2] - 9:8, 46:13 } \end{aligned}$ |
| exclusive [2] - 7:23, 51:19 <br> excuse [2] - 61:15, 74:1 | $\begin{array}{r} 67: 8,73: 10 \\ \text { find }[11-62: 3 \end{array}$ | front [1]-55:1 <br> fucked [1] - 74:2 | group [3] - 8:6, 16:5, |
| excuse [2]-61:15, 74:1 executive [3] - 8:22, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { find }[1]-62: 3 \\ & \text { fine }[2]-27: 10,62: 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { full }[1]-38: 23$ | 68:19 |
| $23: 25,49: 8$ | finish [2] - 9:13, 70:14 | Fund [1] - 3:24 <br> funding $[12]-12 \cdot 4,15 \cdot 13$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { groups }[5]-3: 2,3: 23, \\ 6: 22,17: 8,53: 16 \end{gathered}$ |
| expand [1]-39:1 <br> expansion [1] - 28:22 | finished [1] - 14:6 <br> fire [1] - 27:25 | $23: 22,23: 23,24: 8$ | grow [2] - 74:4, 74:6 |
| expect [1] - 63:5 | firm [3]-24:1, 60:17, 65:4 | $24: 9,24: 14,24: 15$ | growing [5] - 12:7, 22:15, <br> 67:6, 69•21, $73 \cdot 19$ |
| expired [1] - 9:12 <br> extremely [3] - 4 | First [2] - 26:8, 53:8 <br> first [10] - 3:10, 9:9, 10:3, | $\begin{aligned} & 24: 24,25: 24,31: 14, \\ & 43: 21 \end{aligned}$ | Growing [1] - 73:22 |
| 50:22, 64:13 | $10: 14,33: 16,33: 18$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { fury }[1]-65: 17 \\ & \text { future }[3]-30: 1,50: 20, \end{aligned}$ | growth [1] - 30:2 <br> Guerrero [2] - 44:15, |
| $F$ | 34:17, 41:5, 46:23, 71:5 <br> fit [1] - 35:2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { future }[3]-30: 1,50: 20, \\ & 51: 23 \end{aligned}$ | GUERRERO [1] - 44:16 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { fabric }[1]-16: 18 \\ & \text { face }[2]-23: 21,56: 16 \\ & \text { Facebook }[1]-3: 6 \\ & \text { facilities }[2]-9: 17,51: 18 \\ & \text { facility }[1]-2: 8 \\ & \text { facing }[1]-26: 12 \\ & \text { fact }[4]-11: 5,38: 4,43: 1, \end{aligned}$ | fix [1] - 67:7 <br> flow [1] - 71:25 <br> focus [2] - 16:10, 49:10 <br> folks [4]-32:15, 40:11, | G | guess [3] - 35:10, 38:16, |
|  |  | Garcia [1] - 2:6 <br> gardens [2] - 34:17, 34:25 <br> gentleman [2]-14:22, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { guys [6] - 39:17, 39:22, } \\ & 45: 7,46: 24,55: 8,63: 11 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | follow [1]-14:2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 34:20 } \\ & \text { gentlemen }[2]-15: 22, \end{aligned}$ | H |
|  | followed [21] - 10:4, |  | HACKWORTH [40] - 9:5, |


|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 18:9, 18:22, 20:2, } \\ & \text { 32:15, 37:6, 47:16, } \\ & 69: 23 \\ & \text { hearing }[13]-2: 23,3: 4, \\ & 3: 7,5: 3,5: 14,5: 17, \\ & \text { 10:21, 16:19, 22:20, } \\ & \text { 27:12, 44:18, 44:19, } \\ & \text { 47:3 } \\ & \text { hearings }[13]-3: 3,3: 8, \\ & 3: 10,3: 17,4: 3,4: 24, \\ & 5: 11,5: 15,6: 10,7: 11, \\ & 7: 14,18: 11,39: 16 \\ & \text { hearings@districting. } \\ & \text { nyc.gov }[1]-10: 1 \\ & \text { heart }[1]-20: 5 \\ & \text { heavily }[1]-37: 11 \\ & \text { heed }[1]-48: 3 \\ & \text { Heights }[4]-22: 5,22: 7, \\ & 22: 12,34: 13 \\ & \text { held }[3]-61: 4,61: 7, \\ & 71: 10 \\ & \text { hell }[1]-43: 14 \\ & \text { Hello }[3]-57: 1,63: 18, \\ & 66: 7 \\ & \text { help }[2]-12: 5,30: 14 \\ & \text { helped }[2]-3: 2,13: 17 \\ & \text { hereby }[2]-52: 6,74: 19 \\ & \text { Herman }[2]-56: 25,59: 21 \\ & \text { Hey }[1]-39: 15 \\ & \text { hey }[1]-69: 12 \\ & \text { Hi }[4]-44: 16,67: 10, \\ & 67: 11,67: 12 \\ & \text { high }[6]-17: 4,22: 14, \\ & 51: 16,69: 22,69: 24, \\ & 71: 3 \\ & \text { High }[1]-48: 15 \\ & \text { high-density } \\ & \text { Highbridge }[5]-22: 14 \\ & 21: 9,22: 4,22: 13,29: 16 \\ & \text { higher }[1]-27: 3 \\ & \text { Hispanic }[1]-54: 6 \\ & \text { historic }[1]-72: 6 \\ & \text { historical }[5]-13: 8,39: 4, \\ & 53: 16,54: 8,56: 9 \\ & \text { historically }[3]-21: 2, \\ & 64: 20,71: 18 \\ & \text { hold }[1]-72: 19 \\ & \text { home }[7]-27: 24,37: 25, \\ & 38: 5,39: 22,62: 16, \\ & 66: 16,66: 17 \\ & \text { Honorable }[4]-10: 15, \\ & 15: 24,22: 25,26: 6 \\ & \text { honorable }[1]-18: 5 \\ & \text { honoring }[1]-43: 1 \\ & \text { hope }[6]-7: 15,30: 18, \\ & 37: 14,39: 5,39: 10, \\ & 56: 22 \\ & \text { horrible }[2]-24: 15,55: 11 \\ & \text { Hospital }[1]-14: 4 \end{aligned}$ | ```hospitals [1]-27:25 hosting [1] - 2:7 Hostos [2] - 1:4, 27:11 hour [1] - 66:25 hours [1] - 2:9 house [2]-15:9, 66:25 houses [1] - 45:21 Houses [7] - 14:8, 23:4, 23:15, 32:16, 33:22, 34:23, 45:22 housewife [1] - 62:16 Housing [1] - 24:14 housing [7]-12:2, 14:8, 20:23, 21:1, 25:20, 27:12, 44:25 how are [2] - 39:15, 70:23 How did [1] - 69:6 How do [6] - 14:5, 14:8, 14:10, 43:17, 47:23, 47:25 Hub [1] - 59:1 huge [1] - 33:17 HUM [1] - 8:22 Hum [1] - 8:22 hurtful [1] - 21:8```I <br> Ice $[1]-51: 14$ <br> idea $[1]-56: 2$ <br> ideal $[7]-7: 25,8: 5$, <br> 16:24, 54:15, 55:24, <br> 58:20, 59:13 <br> ideas $[1]-50: 12$ <br> ignored $[2]-24: 11,71: 23$ <br> III $[2]-1: 15,8: 19$ <br> imagine $[2]-44: 1,67: 21$ <br> imbalancing $[1]-16: 22$ <br> immediate $[1]-24: 1$ <br> immediately $[1]-3: 21$ <br> immigrants $[1]-27: 23$ <br> impact $[3]-42: 17,43: 6$, <br> $53: 15$ <br> impending $[1]-30: 1$ <br> implore $[1]-52: 22$ <br> importance $[3]-30: 5$, <br> $44: 6,56: 9$ <br> important $[12]-11: 13$, <br> 12:17, 18:8, 26:11, <br> 26:18, $51: 6,52: 2,54: 8$, <br> $54: 19,68: 17,69: 21$, <br> $71: 4$ <br> IN $[1]-1: 9$ <br> Include $[1]-45: 8$ <br> include $[4]-6: 15,29: 4$, <br> $39: 24,45: 20$ <br> included $[2]-51: 2,64: 23$ <br> includes $[1]-40: 11$ <br> inclusive $[1]-7: 22$ | income [1] - 22:14 <br> increase [1] - 17:2 <br> increased [2] - 16:9, 54:5 <br> incumbents [1] - 19:22 <br> indefensible [1] - 65:20 <br> independently [1] - 29:19 <br> individual $[3]$ - 16:10, <br> 16:15, 42:19 <br> individuals [1] - 3:1 <br> industrial [1] - 65:25 <br> inflate [1] - 53:11 <br> influxes [1] - 22:15 <br> information [3] - 17:24, <br> 17:25, 71:9 <br> informative [1] - 7:16 <br> infrastructure [2]-23:23, <br> 24:15 <br> infuriate [1] - 71:6 <br> inherently [1] - 7:21 <br> initially [1] - 21:7 <br> ink [2] - 63:12, 63:13 <br> input [2]-4:6, 4:12 <br> institution [2]-14:16, <br> 44:23 <br> institutionalize $[1]$ - 50:19 <br> institutions [3] - 13:14, $27: 10,27: 13$ <br> instructions [1] - 5:25 <br> intact $[6]$ - 6:25, 13:23, <br> 30:3, 53:6, 57:14, 58:18 <br> integral [1] - 38:6 <br> integration [1]-29:20 <br> interactive [1]-7:17 <br> interest [12]-6:24, 7:24, <br> 19:12, 30:3, 53:6, <br> 53:22, 53:25, 54:9, <br> 57:15, 58:2, 58:22, 59:9 <br> interested [1] - $52: 8$ <br> interests [2] - 8:4, 45:12 <br> interpretation [1] - 2:14 <br> interpreter [1]-2:17 <br> intimidate [1] - 71:7 <br> introduce [2] - 8:15, 39:2 <br> intrusive [1] - 44:20 <br> intrusiveness [1] - 45:16 <br> inviting [1] - 46:6 <br> involve [1] - 43:17 <br> involving [1] - 65:21 <br> inward [1] - 29:14 <br> Is that $[7]-15: 5,18: 21$, <br> 35:17, 41:25, 47:2, <br> 48:16, 68:15 <br> is there [4]-27:6, 52:3, $63: 9,70: 10$ <br> is this [1]-43:12 <br> island $[11]-56: 4,56: 5$, <br> 56:10, 56:15, 66:1, <br> 68:21, 68:22, 68:25, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| $\begin{gathered} \text { 69:18, 69:19, 70:8 } \\ \text { Island }[43]-8: 17,8: 18, \end{gathered}$ | K | $\begin{aligned} & \text { leave }[3]-44: 6,45: 24, \\ & 70: 22 \end{aligned}$ | local [1] - $60: 9$ location [1] - 61 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| :3, 28:24, 28:25 | KAMILLAH [1] - 1:16 | acies [1] - 71:2 | ng-neglected [1] |
| 29:4, 29:9, 29:21, 30:4, | Kamillah [1] - 8:18 | acy [1] - 72:2 | 54:21 |
| 30:13, 30:20, 41:24, | keep [11] - 6:23, 7:2, 9:20, | legal [1] - 5:1 | long-term [1] - 57:24 |
| 50:8, 50:24, 50:25, | 3:7, 13:9, 44:19 | Legal [1] - 3:24 | longstanding ${ }_{[1]}-22: 16$ |
| 51:3, 51:19, 51:24, | 51:12, 53:5, 67:15 | legally ${ }_{[1]}$ - 51:17 | Longwood [1] - 29:13 |
| 56:3, 56:7, 56:12, | 67:24, 74:8 | legs [1] - 61:10 | looks [3] - 44:14, 46:15, |
| $56: 19,58: 4,58: 6$ $63: 23,64: 7,64: 23$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { keeping }[3]-30: 3,57: 14 \text {, } \\ & 63: 22 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { Lennin }[3]-18: 6,20: 12,$ |  |
| $65: 1,65: 6,65: 7,65: 22,$ | keeps [1] - 58:18 | $20: 1$ | Lopez [10]-40:13, 52:17, |
| 65:24, 66:20, 67:4, | Kelly [1] - 66:11 | 9:1 | 65:18, 68:11, $68: 15$ |
| 67:15, 68:17, 68:23 | key [1]-54:16 | Let's [2]-46:7, 47: | LOPEZ [4]-55:7, 68:10, |
| 69:2, 69:3, 69:12, | kids [1] - 74:3 | letter [2] - 4:9, 16:7 | 68:11, 68:14 |
| 69:17, 69:18, 69:20 | kindergarten [2] - 63:4, | level [2] - 31:8, 39:1 | Lopez's [1] - 64:3 |
| issue [8]-22:2, 26:12, | 63:6 | levels [1] - 36:9 | lose [2] - 15:13, 35:19 |
| 32:4, 36:6, 55:13, | KING [1] - 46:21 | Lexington [2] - 14:8, | losing [1] - 30:12 |
| 55:25, 65:8 | King [3]-23:8, 46:21, | 45:21 | lost [1] - 30:16 |
| issues [13]-12:2, 32:2, | 56:5 | life [2] - $31: 5,48$ : | lot [5] - 37:7, 40:8, 41:13, |
| 33:10, 41:14, 41:17, | Kingsbridge [2]-34:12, | light [1] -5:21 | 41:20, 43:13 |
| 42:2, 42:12, 42:14, | :13 | Lilithe [3] - 20:13, 22:24, | loud [1] - 65:18 |
| 43:5, 49:14, 51:25, | Kohunt [1] - 55:6 | 23:3 | louder [1] - 43:10 |
|  | Kohut [2] - 56:25, 57:1 |  | low [1] - 22:14 |
| J | KOHUT [1] - 57:1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 67:10 } \\ & \text { limit }[1]-32: 25 \end{aligned}$ | low-income [1] - 22:14 |
| James [1] - 23:9 <br> JAMILLA [1] - 1:17 <br> Jamilla [1] - 8:20 <br> January [3] - 1:6, 5:18, <br> 5:24 | L | limitations [1] - 7:18 | lowest [1] - 30:15 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { La }[1]-14: 10 \\ & \text { lack }[3]-24: 7,24: 13, \\ & 24: 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\operatorname{Lin}[1]-9: 4$$\operatorname{LIN}[2]-1: 12,9: 4$ | LOZANO [5]-23:2, 25:4, |
|  |  |  | 25:11, 25:16, 26:1 |
|  |  | LIN [2] - 1:12, 9:4 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lozano [3] - 20:13, 22:25, } \\ & 23: 3 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Ladies [1] - 49:20 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LINDA }[1]-1: 12 \\ & \text { line }[3]-7: 22,32: 7,32: 8 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jeffrey }[2]-33: 3,33: 6 \\ & \text { jewel }[1]-48: 9 \end{aligned}$ | ladies [1] - 15:21 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { line }[3]-7: 22,32: 7,32: 8 \\ & \text { lined }[1]-57: 16 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| jewel [1] - 48:9 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Iady }[1]-39: 18 \\ & \text { land }[4]-47: 21,52: 1, \end{aligned}$ | lines [25]-7:20, 7:23, 8:2, |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { job [3] - 40:18, 47:4, } \\ & 49: 22 \end{aligned}$ | $57: 17,58: 1$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19: 4,29: 14,32: 10, \\ & 35: 8,35: 21,39: 3,39: 4 . \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Joel [2] - 23:1, 26:6 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { landmark [3]-21:12, } \\ & 21: 15,38: 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35: 8,35: 21,39: 3,39: 4, \\ & 45: 7,45: 19,47: 1,47: 5, \end{aligned}$ | mailed [3] - 61:17, 61:19, |
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