On pa	age#
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	
Table S-1, Summary of Land Uses on the West Chelsea Projected Development Sites Under No-Action, With-	
Action, and Action Increment	S-11
Table S-2, Comparison of Proposed Action and Base FAR Scenario	S-13
Table S-3, Proposed Action Hazardous Materials (E) Designation Tax Lots	S-25
Table S-4, Summary of Impacted Intersections	S-31
Table S-5, Results of HVAC Source Impact Analysis for Projected and Potential Sites Under the Reasonable Wo	
Case Development Scenario	S-35
Table S-6, Required Attenuation Values for Projected Development Sites	S-40
Table S-7, Required Attenuation Values for Potential Development Sites	S-42
Table S-8, Summary of Environmental Effects of Analyzed Alternatives	S-49
Table S-9, Summary of Development Under Each Alternative	S-51
PROJECT DESCRIPTION	
Table 1-1, List of Block and Lots Affected by Proposed West Chelsea Rezoning	1-5
Table 1-2, Proposed WCh District Maximum FAR by Subarea	1-9
Table 1-3, Minimum and Maximum Base Heights Within Subareas A through I	1-16
Table 1-4, Projected and Potential Development Sites Data	1-28
Table 1-5, West Chelsea: Reasonable Worst-Case Development Scenario	1-29
Table 1-6, Summary of Land Uses on the West Chelsea Projected Development Sites Under No-Action, With-	1-29
Action, and Action Increment	1-34
Table 1-7, Comparison of Proposed Action and Base FAR Scenario	1-36
Table 17, Comparison of Proposed Neuron and Base 17th Sechario	1 30
LAND USE, ZONING, AND PUBLIC POLICY	
Table 2-1, Existing Land Use Within the Primary Study Area	2-7
Table 2-2, Projected Developments in the Future Without the Proposed Action	2-18
Table 2-3, Planned No-Action Developments in the Secondary Study Area (1/4-Mile Radius of Action Area)	2-22
Table 2-4, 2013 Project Increment for Projected Developments	2-31
Table 2-5, Existing Zoning Districts and Regulations in the Primary Study Area	2-36
Table 2-6, Summary of the Proposed Changes	2-43
Table 2-7, Proposed Zoning Districts and Uses Permitted As-of-Right	2-43
SOCIOECONOMIC CONDITIONS	
Table 3-1, Population Trends	3-9
Table 3-2, Household Characteristics	3-11
Table 3-3, Income Characteristics	3-11
Table 3-4, Housing Characteristics	3-12
Table 3-5, Housing Characteristics: Units & Rents	3-13
Table 3-6, Residential Development Completed in the Primary Study Area & Secondary Study Area After 2000	3-15
Table 3-7, Rental Data for Housing Units in the Primary and Secondary Study Areas	3-17
Table 3-8, Sales Data for Housing Units in the Primary and Secondary Study Areas	3-18
Table 3-9, Direct Residential Displacement on the Projected Development Sites	3-20
Table 3-10, Direct Residential Displacement under the Potential Development Sites	3-21
Table 3-11, Median Household Income for Housing Units by Size of Building, Manhattan Community Districts	4
and 5	3-22
Table 3-12, Primary Study Area: No-Action Residential Development to be Completed by 2013	3-23
Table 3-13, Secondary Study Area: No-Action Residential Development to be Completed by 2013	3-24

Table 3-14, Projected With-Action and Housing in the Primary Study Area on the Projected Development Site	es 3-26
Table 3-15, Projected With-Action Population and Housing in the Primary Study Area on the Potential Develo	opment
Sites	3-27
Table 3-16, Displaced Housing as a Percentage of Existing Housing Stock in the Proposed Action Area	3-28
Table 3-17, 1991 and 2002 Private Sector Employment	3-31
Table 3-18, Private Sector Employment in the Primary Study Area	3-31
Table 3-19, Private Sector Employment in the Secondary Study Area	3-34
Table 3-20, Direct Displacement on Projected Development Sites (2013)	3-42
Table 3-21, Direct Displacement in No-Action and With-Action on Projected Development Sites (2013)	3-43
Table 3-22, Direct Employment Displacement (2013)	3-44
Table 3-23, Direct Displacement from Potential Development Sites (2013)	3-46
Table 3-24, Primary Study Area: No-Action Commercial Development to be Completed by 2013	3-49
Table 3-25, Secondary Study Area: No-Action Commercial Development to be Completed by 2013	3-50
Table 3-26, Primary Study Area: With-Action Commercial Development to be Completed by 2013	3-51
Table 3-27, Employment Change in the Art Gallery Industry in Manhattan (1998-2001)	3-58
Table 3-28, Galleries in the Primary Study Area	3-59
Table 3-29, Nightclub/Cabaret Industry's Economic Impact, 2004	3-66
Table 3-30, Employment Change in the Nightclub* Industry in Manhattan (1998-2001)	3-67
Table 3-A, Art Galleries in Chelsea	
Table 3-B, Cabarets in Chelsea*	3-71 3-77
Table 3-B, Cabarets III Cheisea	3-11
COMMUNITY FACILITIES	
Table 4-1, Public Elementary and Intermediate School Utilization, Capacity and Enrollment: Half-mile Radius	Study
Area, Region 3 of CSD 2, and CSD 2 (Instructional Region 9) (Years 2002-03)	4-5
Table 4-2, Manhattan Public High School Utilization, Capacity and Enrollment (Years 2002-2003)	4-6
Table 4-3, No-Action Residential Development in the Community Facilities Study Area	4-8
Table 4-4, Study Area Estimated Number of Students Generated by New Housing Units Under No-Action by	4-9
Table 4-5, 2013 Future Without the Proposed Action: Estimated Public Elementary/Intermediate School Enro	
Capacity and Utilization  Table 4.6.2012 Feeting With and the Proposed Actions Estimated Manhattan Public High Sales I Function at the Capacity and	4-10
Table 4-6, 2013 Future Without the Proposed Action: Estimated Manhattan Public High School Enrollment,	4 10
Capacity, and Utilization	4-12
Table 4-7, 2013 Future with the Proposed Action: Projected New Housing Units and Estimated Number of Stu	
Generated by the New Housing Units	4-13
Table 4-8, 2013 Future With the Proposed Action: Estimated Public Elementary/Intermediate School Enrollm	
Capacity and Utilization	4-13
Table 4-9, 2013 Future with the Proposed Action: Estimated Manhattan Public High School Enrollment, Capa	-
and Utilization	4-15
Table 4-10, Hospitals and Emergency Rooms in West Chelsea Health Care Study Area	4-21
Table 4-11, Summary of Outpatient Health Care Facilities in the West Chelsea Health Care Study Area	4-22
Table 4-12, West Chelsea Health Care Study Area, Annual Emergency Room Visits	4-25
Table 4-13, Publicly Funded Day Care Centers in Study Area	4-27
Table 4-14, Manhattan Family Child Care Networks	4-28
Table 4-15, Increased Demand for Publicly Funded Day Care Slots: 2013	4-29
Table 4-16, 2013 Base FAR Scenario: Projected New Housing Units and Estimated Number of Student General	ated
by the New Housing Units	4-32
Table 4-17, 2013 Base FAR Scenario: Estimated Public Elementary/Intermediate School Enrollment Capacity	and
Utilization	4-32
Table 4-18, Increased Demand for Publicly Funded Day Care Slots; Base FAR Scenario 2013	4-34

West Chelsea Rezoning and High Line Open Space EIS	List of Tables
OPEN SPACE	
Table 5-1, Population and Age Group Distribution	5-4
Table 5-2, Existing Open Space Resources  Table 5-2, Open Space Study Area Population 2012 No. Action Segments	5-6 5-11
Table 5-3, Open Space Study Area Population 2013 No-Action Scenario Table 5-4, Open Space Inventory for 2013 No-Action Conditions	5-13
Table 5-5, Open Space Inventory for 2013 With-Action Scenario	5-18
Table 5-6, Open Space Study Area Acreage per 1,000 Population Ratio	5-21
SHADOWS	
SHADOWS Table 6-1, Results of Shadow Analysis	6-11
HISTORIC RESOURCES Table 7-1, West Chelsea/High Line Open Space Study Area Resources	7-4
Table 7-2, Summary of Potential Effect of the Proposed Action on Identified Architectural Resources	
Area	7-17
URBAN DESIGN AND VISUAL RESOURCES	
Table 8-1, Visual Resources in the West Chelsea Study Area	8-15
NEIGHBORHOOD CHARACTER	
No tables.	
HAZADDOLIC MATERIAL C	
HAZARDOUS MATERIALS  Table 10.1. West Chalcon Development Sites (E) Designation	10.5
Table 10-1, West Chelsea Development Sites (E) Designation Table 10-2, Air Discharge Regulated Sites Within 660 Feet of the High Line	10-5 10-25
Table 10-3, Recognized Environmental Conditions and Associated Classes of Chemicals	10-23
Table 10-5, Recognized Environmental Conditions and Associated Classes of Chemicals	10-27
NATURAL RESOURCES	
Table 11-1, Life Stages of Fish Species with Designated Essential Fish Habitat in the Lower Hudson	River 11-8
WRP	
Table 12-1, Net Incremental Projected Development in the Coastal Zone	12-3
INFRASTRUCTURE	
Table 13-1, North River WPCP Sewage Flows between October 2002 and September 2003	13-5
Table 13-2, No-Action Water Usage/Sewage Generation of Projected Development Sites	13-7
Table 13-3, With-Action Water Usage/Sewage Generation of Projected Development Sites	13-9
Table 13-4, Base FAR Scenario Water Usage/Sewage Generation of Projected Development Sites	13-11
SOLID WASTE AND SANITATION SERVICES	
Table 14-1, Existing Municipal Solid Waste Generated on the Projected Development Sites	14-4
Table 14-2, Municipal Solid Waste Generation on Projected Development Sites Under No-Action Co	nditions,
Compared to Existing Conditions	14-6
Table 14-3, Municipal Solid Waste Generation on Projected Development Sites Under With-Action C	Conditions,

## **ENERGY**

Compared to No-Action Conditions

Table 15-1, Estimated Annual Energy Consumption on Projected Development Sites Under Existing Conditions 15-5

Table 14-4, Municipal Solid Waste Generation on Projected Development Sites Under Base FAR Scenario

Conditions, Compared to No-Action Conditions and With-Action Conditions

14-7

14-8

Table 15-2, Estimated Annual Energy Consumption on Projected Development Sites 2013 No-Action Conditio Compared to Existing Conditions	ons, 15-6
Table 15-3, Estimated Annual Energy Consumption on Projected Development Sites Under 2013 With-Action	
Conditions, Compared to No-Action Conditions, With Incremental Change Associated with Proposed Action	15-7
Table 15-4, Estimated Annual Energy Consumption on Projected Development Sites Under 2013 Base FAR	_
Scenario Conditions, Compared to No-Action Conditions, With Incremental Change Associated with Base FAR	
Scenario	15-9
TRAFFIC AND PARKING	
Table 16-1, Roadway Level of Service (LOS) Criteria	16-5
Table 16-2, 2004 Existing Traffic Conditions	16-6
Table 16-3, Existing Off-Street Parking Conditions	16-12
Table 16-4, 2013 No-Action Traffic Conditions	16-15
Table 16-5, 2013 No-Action Public Off-Street Parking Facilities	16-21
Table 16-6, Transportation Planning Assumptions	16-23
Table 16-7, With-Action Transportation Demand Forecast	16-24
Table 16-8, 2013 Action Traffic Conditions	16-26
Table 16-9, Summary of Impacted Intersections	16-32
TRANSIT AND PEDESTRIANS	
Table 17-1, Average Weekday Entering Turnstile Counts	17-4
Table 17-2, Stairway Level of Service Definitions	17-7
Table 17-3, 2004 Existing Subway Station Analysis	17-9
Table 17-4, 2004 Existing Local Bus Conditions	17-11
Table 17-5, Pedestrian Crosswalk/Corner Area and Sidewalk Levels of Service Descriptions	17-14
Table 17-6, 2004 Existing Sidewalk Conditions	17-16
Table 17-7, 2004 Existing Corner Conditions	17-17
Table 17-8, 2004 Existing Crosswalk Conditions	17-18
Table 17-9, 2013 No-Action Subway Station Analysis	17-20
Table 17-10, 2013 No-Action Local Bus Conditions	17-22
Table 17-11, 2013 No-Action Sidewalk Conditions	17-24
Table 17-12, 2013 No-Action Corner Conditions	17-25
Table 17-13, 2013 No-Action Crosswalk Conditions	17-26
Table 17-14, Project Generated Subway Trip Distribution	17-28
Table 17-15, 2013 Future With the Proposed Action Subway Station Analysis	17-29
Table 17-16, Distribution of Project Increment Bus Trips	17-31
Table 17-17, 2013 Future With the Proposed Action Local Bus Conditions	17-33
Table 17-18, 2013 With-Action Sidewalk Conditions	17-35
Table 17-19, 2013 With-Action Corner Conditions	17-36
Table 17-20, 2013 With-Action Crosswalk Conditions	17-37
Table 17-21, Base FAR Scenario Travel Demand	17-39
AIR QUALITY	
Table 18-1, Applicable Ambient Air Quality Standards	18-5
Table 18-2, Representative Ambient Air Quality Data (2003)	18-8
Table 18-3, Microscale Intersection Analysis Sites	18-9
Table 18-4, Background Concentrations	18-12
Table 18-5, 2004 Existing Conditions - Maximum 8-Hour CO Levels	18-13
Table 18-6, 2013 Future Without the Proposed Action - Maximum 8-Hour CO Levels	18-13
Table 18-7, 2013 Future With the Proposed Action - Maximum 8-Hour CO Levels	18-15

West Chelse	a Rezoning	and High	Line	Open S	Space	EIS

List	of	Ta	bl	es
------	----	----	----	----

Table 18-8, Future With the Proposed Action Maximum PM 2.5 Incremental Impacts	18-15
Table 18-9, Air Quality Impacts: Summary of Maximum Predicted Concentrations Table 18-10, Results of HVAC Source Impact Analysis for Projected and Potential Sites Under the Reasonable	18-22 e
Worst Case Development Scenario	18-24
Table 18-11, Air Quality Impacts: Summary of Maximum Predicted Concentrations	18-28
Table 18-12, Results of HVAC Source Impact Analysis for Projected and Potential Sites Under the Base FAR Reasonable Worst Case Development Scenario	18-30
Reasonable worst case Development Sechano	10-30
NOISE	
Table 19-1, Noise Exposure Standards for Use in City Environmental Impact Reviews	19-4
Table 19-2, Existing Short-term Noise Levels at Monitoring Sites During August 17 through 19, 2004	19-7
Table 19-3, Existing and Future Noise Traffic Levels (Leq) at Short-term Monitored Sites	19-9
Table 19-4, Required Attenuation Values to Achieve Acceptable Interior Noise Levels	19-10
Table 19-5, Required Window Attenuation Values for Monitored Sites S1, and S2 through S9	19-12
Table 19-6, Required Attenuation Values for Projected Development Sites	19-12
Table 19-7, Required Attenuation Values for Potential Development Sites	19-14
CONSTRUCTION	
Table 20-1, Typical Noise Emission Levels for Construction Equipment	20-9
PUBLIC HEALTH	
No tables.	
MITIGATION	
Table 22-1, Proposed Traffic Mitigation Measures	22-7
Table 22-2, 2013 With-Action With Mitigation Traffic Conditions	22-8
Table 22 2, 2010 H Mi House H Mi Minganon Tianno Condition	0
ALTERNATIVES	
Table 23-1, Summary of Development Under Each Alternative	23-2
Table 23-2, Proposed Special West Chelsea District Maximum FAR by Subarea for Proposed Action and Less	
Density Alternative	23-15
Table 23-3, Results of HVAC Source Impact Analysis for Projected and Potential Sites Under Revised CB4	23 13
Alternative	23-41
Table 23-4, Alternative F: Floor Area Increase from Base to Maximum FAR	23-46
Table 23-5, Summary of RWCDS for Alternative F Compared to Proposed Action - Projected Development S	
Table 23-3, Summary of Rwebs for Attendance recompared to Proposed Action - Projected Development s	23-51
Table 23-6, Alterative F: Open Space Study Area Ratio of Acreage per 1,000 Population	23-51
Table 23-7, Shadows Analysis for Alternative F	23-61 23-67
Table 23-8, West Chelsea: Hazardous Materials (E) Designation for Alternative F	
Table 23-9, Net Trips Generated by Alternative F Compared to Proposed Action	23-86
Table 23-10, Traffic Level of Service for Alternative F	23-87
Table 23-11, Traffic Level of Service With Mitigation for Alternative F	23-89
Table 23-12, 2013 Future With Alternative F – Maximum 8-Hour CO Levels and PM2.5 24-Hour and Annual	•••
Increments	23-93
Table 23-13, Results of HVAC Source Impact Analysis for Projected and Potential Sites Under Revised Afford	
Housing Alternative	23-95
Table 23-14, Required Attenuation Values for Alternative F Projected Development Sites	23-97
Table 23-15, Required Attenuation Values for Alternative F Potential Development Sites	23-99
Table 23-16, Summary of Environmental Effects of Analyzed Alternatives	23-108

## West Chelsea Rezoning and High Line Open Space EIS

List of Tables

## UNAVOIDABLE ADVERSE IMPACTS

No tables.

GROWTH INDUCING ASPECTS OF THE PROPOSED ACTION

No tables.

IRREVERSIBLE AND IRRETRIEVABLE COMMITMENT OF RESOURCES

No tables.