

NYC Department of Correction
 Weekly Report – Wednesday, August 24, 2016
 Variance Conditions (Minimum Standard 1-17(b)(1)(ii) and 1-16(c)(1)(ii))

- a. The daily number of young adults held in the following units for the period of August 16 to August 22.

Date	Punitive Segregation	Secure Unit	TRU	Second Chance	ESH
August 16	4	8	18	9	0
August 17	4	8	20	9	0
August 18	4	8	21	8	0
August 19	4	8	22	8	0
August 20	3	8	22	8	0
August 21	3	8	22	8	0
August 22	3	8	24	8	0

The number of young adults released from each of these housing units:

Punitive Segregation

During the reporting period, two (2) young adults was released from punitive segregation.

Secure Unit

During the reporting period, two (2) young adults were released from the Secure Unit.

Transitional Restorative Unit (TRU)

During the reporting period, six (6) young adults were released from TRU.

Second Chance

During the reporting period, three (3) young adults were released from Second Chance.

Enhanced Supervision Housing (ESH)

To date, there have been no young adults placed in ESH.

- b. For each punitive segregation sentence, the rule violation alleged; whether the sentence was approved or disapproved by the Chief of Department; and the type of housing unit in which the inmate was housed at the time of alleged rule violation (e.g., GP, Second Chance, TRU, Secure, ESH):

Rule Violation	Chief's Determination	Housing at Time of Violation
Assault w/a weapon (slashing)	Approved	General Population

- c. For each punitive segregation sentence the Chief of Department reviewed fifteen (15) days after commencement, whether an inmate was placed in an alternative housing unit for the remainder of the sentence and, if so, where:

During the reporting period, no young adult required a review.

- d. For each young adult released from punitive segregation at the end of his full sentence, the housing unit (e.g., GP, Second Chance, TRU, Secure, ESH) into which he was transferred:

During the reporting period, no young adults were released from punitive segregation at the end of their full sentences.

Punitive Segregation – Recreation

- e. Two (2) Hours Recreation

	August 16	August 17	August 18	August 19	August 20 ¹	August 21	August 22
Offered	4	4	4	4	0	3	3
Accepted	0	0	4	1	0	0	0

- f. Three (3) Hours Recreation²

- g. Punitive Segregation – 2.5 Hours Programming

	August 16	August 17	August 18	August 19	August 20	August 21	August 22
Offered	4	4	3	0	0	N/A	N/A
Accepted	4	4	3	0	0	N/A	N/A

- h. Punitive Segregation – Four (4) hours Out – Of – Cell Time

	August 16	August 17	August 18	August 19	August 20	August 21	August 22
Offered	4	4	4	4	0	3	3
Accepted	4	3	4	3	0	3	3

- i. A weekly young adult census showing in which housing units and jails young adults are housed; See attached chart.

- j. A weekly summary of young adults in TRU, Second Chance, Secure Unit or ESH (collectively, “PS alternatives”). The summary shall include:

¹ The facility was in a lock-down for part of August 19th through August 20th.

² The Department offers two (2) hours of outdoor recreation. It will offer an additional hour of recreation (for a total of three [3] hours of recreation) dependent on good behavior.

- 1) The number and percentage of young adults in PS alternatives who had a previous placement(s) in TRU, Second Chance, or the Secure Unit followed by a placement in General Population (GP) housing or any housing other than a step-down from TRU or Secure Unit during their current incarceration.**

During this reporting period, no young adult met this criteria.

- 2) The number and percentage of young adults in each PS alternative or in punitive segregation who received a timely case review and a timely determination as to whether they would remain or be transferred out. Timeliness is determined by the periodicity of reviews and determinations set forth in the relevant Department directive or draft directive.**

All young adults placed in Second Chance and TRU receive their first Support Team review after being in the unit for two weeks. From that point forward, the young adults receive weekly Support Team reviews. All young adults placed in the Secure Unit receive weekly Support Team reviews.

During the reporting period, nineteen (19) young adults met the criteria for case reviews:

- Secure Unit: eight (8) young adults received their weekly reviews.
- TRU: six (6) young adults received their weekly reviews.
- Second Chance: five (5) young adults received their weekly reviews.

- 3) The number and percentage of young adults transferred from each PS alternative following a case review**

During the reporting period, young adults received case reviews that resulted in their transfer:

- Secure Unit: two (2) young adults was transferred as a result of their case review.
- TRU: two (2) young adults was transferred as a result of their case review.
- Second Chance: no young adult was transferred as a result of his case review.

- k. A plan and timeline detailing steps and benchmarks necessary to end punitive segregation for young adults.**

The Department remains dedicated to ending punitive segregation for all young adults. To accomplish that goal, DOC is continuing to examine all housing options in order to determine what the most appropriate strategy is to safely and effectively manage the young adult population as a whole. We anticipate that the vast majority of young adults will continue to be housed in GMDC. Some young adults will be managed in a combination of other facilities. Currently, the Department is exploring the feasibility of AMKC, GRVC, and OBCC where the needs of young adults requiring separation or movement can be addressed.

NYC Department of Correction
Weekly Report – Wednesday, August 24, 2016
Variance Conditions (Minimum Standard 1-17(b)(1)(ii) and 1-16(c)(1)(ii))

The Department has also begun conducting a thorough analysis of the factors contributing to the violence involved within this population. Over the next few months, the Department will evaluate housing units in GMDC to determine which are the most volatile. The units identified will be restarted, carefully balanced, with renovated infrastructure and ample programming. This restart effort will closely mirror the successful efforts to combine physical infrastructure changes, programming, and staff empowerment that have resulted in dramatic changes in GRVC and now AMKC. Additionally, we will utilize this time to assess punitive segregation alternatives – including Second Chance, Transitional Restorative Unit (TRU), Secure Units, and, for now, Enhanced Supervision Housing (ESH) – with the objective of gaining insight into which alternatives and management techniques are most appropriate for different problematic young adults.

We anticipate establishing a more detailed plan shortly.