

NYC Department of Correction
 Weekly Report – Tuesday, July 19, 2016
 Variance Conditions (Minimum Standard 1-17(b)(1)(ii) and 1-16(c)(1)(ii))

a. The daily number of young adults held in the following units for the period of July 12 through July 18th.

Date	Punitive Segregation	Secure Unit	TRU	Second Chance	ESH
July 12	18	3	19	12	0
July 13	17	7	19	6	0
July 14	5	7	17	7	0
July 15	5	6	17	7	0
July 16	5	5	17	8	0
July 17	5	5	18	9	0
July 18	5	5	27	10	0

The number of young adults released from each of these housing units:

Punitive Segregation

During the reporting period, thirteen (13) young adults were released from punitive segregation.

Secure Unit

During the reporting period, two (2) young adults were released from the Secure Unit.

Transitional Restorative Unit (TRU)

During the reporting period, one (1) young adult was released from TRU.

Second Chance

During the reporting period, one (1) young adult was released from Second Chance.

Enhanced Supervision Housing (ESH)

To date, there have been no young adults placed in ESH.

b. For each punitive sentence, the rule violation alleged; whether the sentence was approved or disapproved by the Chief of Department; and the type of housing unit in which the inmate was housed at the time of alleged rule violation (e.g., GP, Second Chance, TRU, Secure, ESH):

On July 13, 2016, the Chief of the Department conducted a review of all the young adults in punitive segregation. As a result of the review, five (5) young adults remained in punitive segregation.

NYC Department of Correction
 Weekly Report – Tuesday, July 19, 2016
 Variance Conditions (Minimum Standard 1-17(b)(1)(ii) and 1-16(c)(1)(ii))

Rule Violation	Chief's Determination	Housing at Time of Alleged Violation
Co-conspirator in a slashing	Approved	Young Adult – General Population
Co-conspirator in a slashing	Approved	Enhanced Restraint Housing
Slashing	Approved	Young Adult – General Population
Slashing	Approved	Young Adult – General Population
Slashing	Approved	Young Adult – General Population

c. For each punitive segregation sentence the Chief of Department reviewed fifteen (15) days after commencement, whether an inmate was placed in an alternative housing unit for the remainder of the sentence and, if so, where:

Pursuant to Condition #1 of the variance granted by the Board to Minimum Standards section 1-17(b)(1)(ii), on July 13, 2016 the Chief of the Department conducted a review for the seventeen (17) young adults that were in punitive segregation.

As a result of the review, five (5) young adults remained in punitive segregation and twelve (12) were transferred to other housing units:

- One (1) young adult was transferred to Administrative Segregation
- Eleven (11) young adults were transferred to TRU

d. For each young adult released from punitive segregation at the end of his full sentence, the housing unit (e.g., GP, Second Chance, TRU, Secure, ESH) into which he was transferred:

During the reporting period, there were no young adults that met the criteria of this category.

Punitive Segregation – Recreation

e. Two (2) Hours Recreation

	July 12	July 13	July 14	July 15	July 16	July 17	July 18
Offered	15	15	5	5	5	5	5
Accepted	6	0	4	4	3	2	3

- f. Three (3) Hours Recreation¹**
- g. Punitive Segregation – 2.5 Hours Programming²**
- h. Punitive Segregation – Four (4) hours Out – Of – Cell Time³**
- i. A weekly young adult census showing in which housing units and jails young adults are housed; See attached chart.**
- j. A weekly summary of young adults in TRU, Second Chance, Secure Unit or ESH (collectively, “PS alternatives”). The summary shall include:**
 - 1) The number and percentage of young adults in PS alternatives who had a previous placement(s) in TRU, Second Chance, or the Secure Unit followed by a placement in General Population (GP) housing or any housing other than a step-down from TRU or Secure Unit during their current incarceration.**

During this reporting period, there were no young adults who met the criteria within this category.

- 2) The number and percentage of young adults in each PS alternative or in punitive segregation who received a timely case review and a timely determination as to whether they would remain or be transferred out. Timeliness is determined by the periodicity of reviews and determinations set forth in the relevant Department directive or draft directive.**

During the reporting period, there were a total of forty-four (44) young adults in PS alternative housing:

- Seven (7) young adults in Secure Unit
- Twenty (20) young adults in TRU
- Seventeen (17) young adults in Second Chance

During the reporting period, there were eighteen (18) young adults in punitive segregation.

Due to the BOC public meeting on Tuesday, July 12, 2016, the weekly Treatment Team meeting was not held.

- 3) The number and percentage of young adults transferred from each PS alternative following a case review**

¹ The Department will afford three (3) hours of recreation in conjunction with the phasing in of 2.5 hours of programming.

² The Department will “phase-in” 2.5 hours of programming.

³ The Department will make best efforts to afford young adults four (4) hours of out-of-cell time within thirty (30) days.

During the reporting period, four (4) young adults were transferred from a PS alternative:

- Two (2) young adults from the Secure Unit.
- One (1) young adult from TRU
- One (1) young adult from Second Chance

k. A plan and timeline detailing steps and benchmarks necessary to end pseg for young adults.

The Department remains dedicated to ending punitive segregation for all young adults. To accomplish that goal, DOC is continuing to examine all housing options in order to determine what the most appropriate strategy is to safely and effectively manage the young adult population as a whole. We anticipate that the vast majority of young adults will continue to be housed in GMDC. Some young adults will be managed in a combination of other facilities. Currently, the Department is exploring the feasibility of AMKC and GRVC, where the needs of young adults requiring separation or movement can be addressed.

The Department has also begun conducting a thorough analysis of the factors contributing to the violence involved within this population. Over the next few months, the Department will evaluate housing units in GMDC to determine which are the most volatile. The units identified will be restarted, carefully balanced, with renovated infrastructure and ample programming. This restart effort will closely mirror the successful efforts to combine physical infrastructure changes, programming, and staff empowerment that have resulted in dramatic changes in GRVC and now AMKC. Additionally, we will utilize this time to assess punitive segregation alternatives – including Second Chance, Transitional Restorative Unit (TRU), Secure Units, and, for now, Enhanced Supervision Housing (ESH) – with the objective of gaining insight into which alternatives and management techniques are most appropriate for different problematic young adults.

We anticipate establishing a more detailed plan by August 5, 2016, after making the necessary adjustments that were just afforded to the Department following last week's Board meeting.