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</tr>
<tr>
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<td>Catskill Influent Chamber</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEA</td>
<td>Critical Environmental Areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEQR</td>
<td>City Environmental Quality Review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEIS</td>
<td>Draft Environmental Impact Statement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEP</td>
<td>Department of Environmental Protection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EIS</td>
<td>Environmental Impact Statement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEIS</td>
<td>Final Environmental Impact Statement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEMA</td>
<td>Federal Emergency Management Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GHG</td>
<td>Green House Gases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LWRP</td>
<td>Local Waterfront Revitalization Programs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mgd</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSGP</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMFS</td>
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<tr>
<td>NRCS</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>NWI</td>
<td>National Wetland Inventory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NYC</td>
<td>New York City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NYCDOH</td>
<td>New York City Department of Health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NYCDOHMH</td>
<td>New York City Department of Health and Mental Hygiene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NYNHP</td>
<td>New York Natural Heritage Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NYS</td>
<td>New York State</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NYSDEC</td>
<td>New York State Department of Environmental Conservation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NYSDOH</td>
<td>New York State Department of Health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPRHP</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCE</td>
<td>Passenger Car Equivalents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REC</td>
<td>Rondout Effluent Chamber</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RWBT</td>
<td>Rondout-West Branch Tunnel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SASS</td>
<td>Scenic Areas of Statewide Significance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCFWH</td>
<td>Significant Coastal Fish and Wildlife Habitats</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEQRA</td>
<td>State Environmental Quality Review Act</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SERP</td>
<td>State Environmental Review Process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPDES</td>
<td>State Pollutant Discharge Elimination System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USACE</td>
<td>U.S. Army Corps of Engineers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USDA</td>
<td>U.S. Department of Agriculture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USFWS</td>
<td>U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USGS</td>
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<td>UV</td>
<td>Ultraviolet</td>
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<td>VOC</td>
<td>Volatile organic chemical compound</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WFF</td>
<td>Water for the Future program</td>
</tr>
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<td>WSSO</td>
<td>WFF Shutdown System Operations</td>
</tr>
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Chapter 1: Introduction

1.1 OVERVIEW OF WATER FOR THE FUTURE

The vast and complex New York City (City) water supply system was originally developed through the visionary planning of those who understood the importance of delivering an abundant and reliable supply of clean drinking water to the City. Described in greater detail in Chapter 6, “The Water Supply System” of this Draft Final Scope of Work (draft final scope), the system was designed in the early 1800s, and has been able to expand, adapt, and modernize to keep pace with a growing population because City leaders have continued to follow the precedent set by early planning pioneers. Today, the City’s Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) is responsible for supplying clean drinking water to over eight million City residents and one million upstate customers in sufficient quantity to meet present water demands, and to maintain the water supply system to meet future water demands. This is achieved through careful and coordinated management of the City’s three surface water supply systems: the Catskill, Delaware, and Croton Systems (see Figure 1-1). Recognizing the need to protect the long-term viability and overall resilience of the water supply system, the City continues to make systematic and sustained investments in the critical infrastructure that provides water to approximately nine million people each day.

DEP developed the Water for the Future program (WFF) to address significant leakage in one of its most critical pieces of water supply infrastructure: the Delaware Aqueduct. The Delaware Aqueduct has been in operation since the 1940s and transports water a distance of approximately 85 miles from the Delaware water supply system. The Delaware water supply system is the source of approximately 50 percent of the City’s water supply. The Delaware Aqueduct is comprised of several segments, the longest of which is the Rondout-West Branch Tunnel (RWBT) that connects the Delaware water supply system’s Rondout Reservoir, located in Ulster and Sullivan Counties, New York, to the West Branch Reservoir in Putnam County, New York (see Figure 1-1). Repairing the RWBT is necessary for the City to continue to meet its water supply obligations, as it is the City’s only direct conduit to the source waters of the Delaware water supply system west of the Hudson River.¹

The RWBT segment of the Delaware Aqueduct is leaking up to 35 million gallons per day (mgd), primarily in the area known as the Roseton crossing under the Town of Newburgh, Orange County, New York; a second leaking section is located near the Town of Wawarsing, Ulster County, New York.

¹ In addition to the RWBT, critical segments of the Delaware Aqueduct include those between West Branch and Kensico Reservoirs and between Kensico Reservoir and the City’s distribution system.
Figure 1-1
Water Supply System Map

Map Source: PlaNYC

Aqueduct Connection Environmental Support (ACES)
To address these leaks, an iterative planning process involving complex modeling and considerations for both repair time and cost was undertaken to determine the optimal method of repair, as described later in Chapter 5, “Water for the Future Planning and Implementation Schedule.” As a result of this planning process, DEP elected to construct a bypass tunnel and two associated shafts to permanently circumvent the leaking section at the Roseton crossing, and to conduct internal repairs to the section in Wawarsing. The work undertaken to circumvent the leaking section in the Roseton crossing areas is referred to as “RWBT Bypass” (see Figure 1-2). The RWBT Bypass work was previously evaluated in a Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS) issued on May 18, 2012, and work on this project has commenced.

Once the bypass tunnel and shafts are completed in 2022, the RWBT would be temporarily shut down and drained to connect the bypass tunnel to the existing RWBT and to carry out internal repairs to the leaking section of the existing RWBT in Wawarsing. DEP estimates that the maximum shutdown duration would be approximately eight months. During this temporary shutdown of the RWBT, water from the Delaware System west of the Hudson River would be unavailable. To ensure the continued supply of clean drinking water during this time, DEP has developed projects and plans comprised of three main components: (1) supply augmentation consisting of rehabilitation of the Catskill Aqueduct and rehabilitation of groundwater supply stations in Queens; (2) WFF Shutdown System Operations, which would allow DEP to rely more heavily on the Catskill and Croton Systems during the temporary shutdown; and (3) RWBT Inspection and Repair during connection of the bypass tunnel, including decommissioning the bypassed section of the RWBT.

The subject of this draft final scope and the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) is the rehabilitation of the Catskill Aqueduct, WFF Shutdown System Operations, and RWBT Inspection and Repair. These components are collectively referred to as Upstate Water Supply Resiliency in this draft final scope and DEIS. The Queens Groundwater Rehabilitation project is referred to as In-City Water Supply Resiliency which will be the subject of a subsequent EIS. The use of the Queens Groundwater system is no longer needed for water augmentation during the RWBT shutdown, and will not be used. The potential for incremental environmental impacts related to the potential operation of the Queens wells will be evaluated as part of a separate independent In-City Water Supply Resiliency EIS, as appropriate.

Separate environmental reviews of the Upstate and In City Water Supply Resiliency components are appropriate because the actions have independent utility and, as discussed in detail below, their potential for impacts are entirely independent and would occur in entirely distinct locations and distinct watersheds that are not hydrologically connected. Each of these environmental reviews, like the May 2012 FEIS, will consider any potential cumulative impacts with the other components of WFF, to the extent possible based on available information. As anticipated in the May 2012 FEIS for the RWBT Bypass, environmental review of these components of the Water

---

2 DEP frequently modifies its operation of the water supply system for many reasons, in response to a variety of conditions, as routine management that would not be subject to environmental review under SEQRA or CEQR. In contrast, “WFF Shutdown System Operations” as analyzed in this environmental review refers to a specific and highly unusual protocol for operating the system designed solely for purposes of Upstate Water Supply Resiliency in connection with the Water for the Future program.
for the Future program is being completed as sufficient information is available to undertake the necessary analyses.

An overview of WFF (RWBT Bypass, Upstate Water Supply Resiliency, and In-City Water Supply Resiliency) is presented in Figure 1-3 and described in greater detail below.
Figure 1-2

Delaware Aqueduct Rondout-West Branch Tunnel Location and Bypass
1.2 UPSTATE WATER SUPPLY RESILIENCY

1.2.1 CATSKILL AQUEDUCT REPAIR AND REHABILITATION PROJECT

The proposed Catskill Aqueduct Repair and Rehabilitation would restore historical capacity to the upper portion of the Catskill Aqueduct between the Ashokan and Kensico Reservoirs. In addition, the Catskill Aqueduct Repair and Rehabilitation project would carry out additional repairs necessary as a result of age-related deterioration of the aqueduct, including repair or treatment of minor leaks and replacement of aging mechanical components. This would be coupled with temporary chlorine addition at the Ashokan Screen Chamber, located at the head of the Catskill Aqueduct, to maintain increased capacity; water would be dechlorinated before entering Kensico Reservoir (see Figure 1-4).

1.2.2 WATER FOR THE FUTURE SHUTDOWN SYSTEM OPERATIONS

Once the augmentation program is in place, DEP would be ready to implement an operational protocol, referred to as WFF Shutdown System Operations (WSSO), during the temporary (eight-month) shutdown of the RWBT, which departs from DEP’s normal operation of the Delaware, Catskill, and Croton Systems. The purpose of WSSO would be to: (1) prepare the water supply for the temporary shutdown of the RWBT; (2) continue to provide water to all upstate and in-city customers while the Delaware water supply is temporarily unavailable; and (3) return the system to normal operating conditions once the bypass tunnel connection is complete and the Delaware water supply system is again available. WSSO would substantially reduce the need for additional augmented supplies of water.

1.2.3 RONDOUT-WEST BRANCH TUNNEL INSPECTION AND REPAIR

In addition to supporting the connection of the bypass tunnel to the RWBT, WSSO program elements described above would allow DEP to completely drain and inspect the approximately 45-mile length of the RWBT and make necessary repairs (RWBT Inspection and Repair) during the shutdown (see Figure 1-5). Once RWBT repairs are completed and the bypass tunnel is successfully connected, the bypassed section of the RWBT that passes under the Hudson River would be plugged with concrete at either end of the bypass tunnel connection points and permanently taken out of service (decommissioning). As a result, water currently leaking from the RWBT is expected to permanently cease. Once repairs are complete, the water supply system would then return to normal operating conditions.
Proposed Rondout-West Branch Tunnel Inspection and Repair
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Figure 1-5

Proposed Rondout-West Branch Tunnel Inspection and Repair
1.3 IN-CITY WATER SUPPLY RESILIENCY

1.3.1 QUEENS GROUNDWATER REHABILITATION PROJECT

Queens Groundwater Rehabilitation would consist of upgrading treatment for up to 23 DEP-owned wells at 19 existing DEP-owned groundwater supply stations in southeastern Queens, New York. This project will be evaluated separately as part of the In-City Water Supply Resiliency EIS.
1.4 ORGANIZATION OF THE DRAFT FINAL SCOPE OF WORK

The following chapters of this draft final scope include:

- **Chapter 2: Purpose and Need for Water for the Future.** As required by CEQR, this chapter presents the purpose and need for the program and why it is being undertaken.

- **Chapter 3: Environmental Review Approach.** This chapter presents how the environmental review will be undertaken, including the timing of the reviews for the various project components and opportunities for public comment.

- **Chapter 4: Overview of the Draft Final Scope of Work.** This chapter provides an overview of the components of WFF, the projects and programs undertaken as part of separate independent initiatives that will also serve to support WFF, and information on their associated environmental reviews, as applicable. It also outlines which components will be evaluated under this environmental review.

- **Chapter 5: Water for the Future Planning and Implementation Schedule.** This chapter describes the background and planning context for Upstate Water Supply Resiliency and In-City Water Supply Resiliency.

- **Chapter 6: The Water Supply System.** This chapter provides an overview of the New York City water supply system.

- **Chapter 7: DEP Water Supply System Programs and Projects Independent of Water for the Future.** This chapter describes DEP programs and projects that are not part of WFF, but which support or factor into WFF planning and operation.

- **Chapter 8: Description of Water for the Future.** This chapter describes the main components of Upstate Water Supply Resiliency and, to the extent they have been developed, In-City Water Supply Resiliency.

- **Chapter 9: Potential Major Discretionary Approvals, Coordination, and Consultations.** This chapter describes the potential major discretionary approvals, coordination, and consultations with regulatory authorities associated with Upstate Water Supply Resiliency.

- **Chapter 10: Organization and Scope of the Draft Environmental Impact Statement.** This chapter outlines the organization of the DEIS that will be prepared and presents methodologies and the scope of work for analyzing the potential for impacts from Upstate Water Supply Resiliency and its alternatives.
Chapter 2: Purpose and Need for Water for the Future

WFF was developed to respond to the need to recover capacity and improve resiliency in the RWBT, a vital piece of the City’s overall water supply system. This program will ensure continued water supply service for current and future generations of DEP customers. The Catskill Aqueduct Repair and Rehabilitation project and WFF Shutdown System Operations (the first two components of the Upstate Water Supply Resiliency portion of WFF that will be evaluated in the DEIS), along with In-City Water Supply Resiliency (described below and to be evaluated in a subsequent EIS), would allow DEP to continue to meet water demand during the approximately eight-month temporary shutdown, and would support RWBT inspection and repair (the third Upstate Water Supply Resiliency component that will be evaluated in the DEIS). Each of these components was carefully selected to optimize existing City water resources and to enhance key infrastructure. Chapter 5, “Water for the Future Planning and Implementation Schedule” will briefly explain how these components were selected. The Alternatives section of the DEIS will present alternatives to these projects that are no longer being pursued by DEP.

The implementation of WFF would allow the City to meet and respond to variable water supply and demand conditions, even after WFF is complete and essential City infrastructure has been repaired. Cessation of leaks along the RWBT would reduce water losses in the Delaware water supply system, thus contributing to its long-term sustainability.

Furthermore, WFF is demonstrative of the water supply initiatives detailed in the 2007 Mayor’s Office of Long-Term Planning and Sustainability’s PlanNYC: A Greener, Greater New York report, enabling DEP to continue its mission to reliably deliver high quality water to upstate and City customers for years to come; and of the initiatives detailed in the 2015 Mayor’s Office of Sustainability’s One New York: The Plan for a Strong and Just City (OneNYC) report. OneNYC focuses on achieving a state of good repair for the City’s infrastructure assets while also prioritizing projects that are socially, fiscally, and environmentally advantageous and adapting infrastructure systems in the City and region to withstand the impacts of climate change, to ensure the continuity of critical services in an emergency, and to recover more quickly from service outages. Moreover, WFF supports initiatives to promote redundancy and flexibility of the City’s water supply system outlined in the Special Initiative on Rebuilding and Resiliency report released by the City in 2013 in the wake of Hurricane Sandy, which occurred in 2012, by enhancing the reliability of the City’s water supply and maintaining flexibility during normal operations, as well as during periods when the water supply system is depleted, or when water quality in other parts of the system is affected by heavy rain or heat waves.

4 The One New York: The Plan for a Strong and Just City (OneNYC) is available here: http://www1.nyc.gov/html/onenyc/index.html
Chapter 3: Environmental Review Approach

DEP is ensuring that there is a comprehensive environmental review for WFF as the program is developed pursuant to the New York State Environmental Quality Review Act (SEQRA) and the City of New York’s City Environmental Quality Review process (CEQR). A previous Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) assessed the potential for impacts associated with the RWBT Bypass. The previous EIS thoroughly assessed the potential for significant adverse impacts, addressed all pertinent comments received from the public, and found that the plans for construction of the RWBT Bypass and associated shafts minimize or avoid potential significant adverse impacts to the maximum extent practicable. DEP issued the previous EIS on May 18, 2012, and found the project to be consistent with essential considerations of State and City policy, including social and economic, after consideration of all reasonable alternatives available. A Statement of Findings issued on June 12, 2012, documented completion of that component of the WFF environmental review.

The previous EIS included a preliminary discussion of the Upstate and In-City Water Supply Resiliency projects to the extent feasible given the information available at the time. The previous EIS also disclosed that projects would be evaluated in subsequent environmental reviews, as appropriate.

Separate environmental reviews of the Upstate and In-City Water Supply Resiliency components are appropriate because the actions have independent utility and their impacts are entirely independent and occur in entirely distinct locations and distinct watersheds which are not hydrologically connected. Each of these environmental reviews, like the May 2012 FEIS, will consider any potential cumulative impacts with the other components of the Water for the Future Program, to the extent possible based on available information concerning those components. As anticipated in the May 2012 FEIS for the RWBT Bypass, environmental review of these components of the Water for the Future Program is being completed as sufficient information is available to undertake the necessary analyses.

Therefore, Upstate Water Supply Resiliency and In-City Water Supply Resiliency, as described herein, will be evaluated as part of two subsequent environmental reviews which will assess both the individual components of Upstate Water Supply Resiliency and In-City Water Supply Resiliency as well as potential cumulative impacts. The DEIS for which this draft final scope is

---

6 The EIS is available online at: http://www.nyc.gov/html/dep/html/environmental_reviews/rwb_tunnel_repair_project.shtml
7 In the previous EIS, Upstate and In City Water Supply Resiliency components were titled “Project 2A, Water Supply Augmentation and Improvement,” and “Project 2B, Bypass Tunnel Connection and RWBT Inspection and Repair, Including Wawarsing”; however, as the project components have been refined, in this draft final scope and in the associated DEIS, its components will be hereafter referred to as: Upstate Water Supply Resiliency – comprised of Catskill Aqueduct Repair and Rehabilitation, WFF Shutdown System Operations, and Rondout-West Branch Tunnel Inspection and Repair, and In-city Water Supply Resiliency – made up of the Queens Groundwater Rehabilitation project.
prepared will disclose all potential impacts associated with projects and programs associated with Upstate Water Supply Resiliency.

A subsequent EIS will be undertaken when sufficient information is available to adequately evaluate potential impacts associated with In-City Water Supply Resiliency: Rehabilitation of the Queens Groundwater System. Although the Queens Groundwater Rehabilitation project will be assessed as part of a subsequent EIS, it is described herein (to the extent such information is available) to ensure a comprehensive understanding and assessment of WFF.

3.1 ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW PROCEDURE: NEW YORK STATE AND NEW YORK CITY ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY REVIEW

Any proposed action funded, approved, or directly undertaken by a New York State or local agency must comply with the provisions of SEQRA and its implementing regulations (6 NYCRR Part 617). As a consequence, Upstate Water Supply Resiliency is subject to review under SEQRA. In addition, since Upstate Water Supply Resiliency is being undertaken by a New York City agency, it is also subject to review under CEQR requirements, as set forth in Executive Order 91 of 1977, CEQR regulations, and CEQR amendments, as well as the State Environmental Review Process (SERP), as required by the State Revolving Loan Fund Program. The City’s CEQR Technical Manual provides guidelines for conducting environmental reviews performed under CEQR. The DEIS will evaluate Upstate Water Supply Resiliency, along with all relevant cumulative components of the RWBT Bypass and Upstate Water Supply Resiliency and In-City Water Supply Resiliency, and will be prepared in conformance with applicable laws and regulations, including SEQRA and CEQR. To that end, all WFF environmental reviews will be prepared in accordance with Article 8 of the Environmental Conservation Law establishing the SEQRA and its implementing regulations as set forth in 6 NYCRR Part 617, and the New York CEQR process, as set forth in 62 RCNY Chapter 5 and Executive Order 91 of 1977 and its amendments.

A description of the specific methodologies applied in the DEIS is included in Chapter 10, “Organization and Scope of the Draft Environmental Impact Statement,” of this draft final scope.

3.2 PUBLIC OUTREACH PROCESS AND COORDINATION WITH LOCAL MUNICIPALITIES

Pursuant to SEQRA and CEQR, this draft scope is available for public review and comment.

To solicit public comments on Upstate Water Supply Resiliency, specifically on this draft scope, public meetings have been scheduled to provide a hearing for receipt of public comments on this document. Public meetings will be held at various locations in Westchester, Delaware, Orange, and Ulster Counties, New York. These meetings will be held at various locations in Westchester, Delaware, Orange, and Ulster Counties, New York. These meetings will be

---

held on the following dates and times with informal information and listening sessions taking place one hour prior to the meeting times listed at the same location:

**November 13, 2014  7 PM**

Town of Newburgh Town Hall  
1496 Route 300  
Newburgh, NY 12550

**November 14, 2014  7 PM**

State University of New York (SUNY)  
Sullivan County Community College  
Paul Grossinger Dining Room  
112 College Road  
Loch Sheldrake, NY 12759

**November 19, 2014  7 PM**

Ellenville Government Center  
2 Elting Court  
Ellenville, NY 12428

**November 20, 2014  7 PM**

Yorktown Town Hall  
Town Board Room  
363 Underhill Avenue  
Yorktown Heights, NY 10598

Written comments on the draft scope will be accepted by DEP until the close of business on December 5, 2014. Comments can be submitted in writing or via email and should be addressed to:

Attention:  Jennifer Farmwald, Senior Project Manager  
Address:  New York City Department of Environmental Protection  
59-17 Junction Blvd, 11th Floor  
Elmhurst, New York 11373  
Email:  WFFComments@dep.nyc.gov

DEP will consider comments submitted on this draft scope and is issuing a final scope to address comments received during the public review and to finalize changes to assessment methodologies, if required.
Chapter 4: Overview of the Draft Final Scope of Work

This draft final scope sets forth the timeline, public outreach process, purpose and need, project descriptions, and methodologies proposed to assess the potential for impacts associated with Upstate Water Supply Resiliency: Catskill Aqueduct Repair and Rehabilitation, WFF Shutdown System Operations, and Rondout-West Branch Tunnel Inspection and Repair. The potential for impacts will be disclosed in the DEIS. The DEIS will also provide details on changes to the RWBT Bypass since the issuance of the previous EIS.

Concurrent with WFF, DEP has independent programs and projects that will optimize the water supply system and support the goals of WFF. These efforts either do not require or have already undergone separate environmental reviews, and are described in Chapter 7, “Water Supply System Programs and Projects Independent of Water for the Future.” The components of WFF that were evaluated in the previous EIS and updates, the components that will be evaluated in the DEIS for Upstate Water Supply Resiliency, and efforts with independent utility that factor into DEP’s future operations, and the Queens Groundwater Rehabilitation, which will be evaluated in a subsequent EIS as In-City Water Supply Resiliency, are listed in Table 4-1.

Table 4-1: Summary of WFF Program Components and Supporting Independent DEP Projects and Environmental Review Status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WFF PROGRAM COMPONENTS</th>
<th>Described Further in this Draft Final Scope</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RWBT Bypass Components Evaluated In The Previous WFF EIS*</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Construction of Shaft 5B (Newburgh) and Shaft 6B (Wappinger) and the Bypass Tunnel</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Construction of the Bypass Tunnel Connection</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Operation of the Bypass Tunnel</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upstate Water Supply Resiliency Components That Will Be Evaluated In The DEIS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Supply Augmentation</td>
<td>Section 8.1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Catskill Aqueduct Repair and Rehabilitation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WFF Shutdown System Operations</td>
<td>Section 8.1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• WFF Shutdown System Operations prior to, during, and immediately following the temporary shutdown for the connection of the bypass to the RWBT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Addition of siphons to Rondout Reservoir</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Changes to aluminum sulfate (alum) treatment at the Pleasantville Treatment Facility</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4-1: Summary of WFF Program Components and Supporting Independent DEP Projects and Environmental Review Status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WFF PROGRAM COMPONENTS</th>
<th>Described Further in this Draft Final Scope</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rondout-West Branch Tunnel Inspection and Repair</td>
<td>Section 8.1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Inspection of non-bypassed segments of the RWBT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Repair of the RWBT, including internal repairs in Wawarsing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Decommissioning the bypassed section of the RWBT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independent DEP Program(s) With Environmental Reviews Completed or Not Required</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Demand Management</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Shaft 4 Interconnection</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Croton Water Filtration Plant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Croton and Cross River Pump Stations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Related Municipal Projects with Independent Environmental Reviews</td>
<td>Chapter 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Water Supply District Expansions by the Towns of Wawarsing and Wappinger**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Water Supply Projects by Towns of New Windsor and Newburgh, Village of New Paltz, and the City of Newburgh***</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In-City Water Supply Resiliency Components That Will Be Evaluated In a Subsequent EIS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Queens Groundwater Rehabilitation</td>
<td>Section 8.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* This includes changes to RWBT Bypass that are being evaluated separately and will be discussed in the DEIS.
** DEP is working with the Town of Wawarsing and the Town of Wappinger to provide interconnecting taps from the RWBT once it is repaired. These taps would allow the towns to connect to a long-term source of potable water from the Delaware Aqueduct, and would be completed independently of WFF.
*** Projects are being evaluated by these entities to augment their supplies during the temporary shutdowns of the Catskill and Delaware Aqueducts in support of the Catskill Aqueduct Repair and Rehabilitation and RWBT Inspection and Repair projects. These projects would be evaluated independent of WFF by each of the project sponsors.
Chapter 5: Water for the Future Planning and Implementation Schedule

The components of WFF are distinct and reflect an iterative planning effort to determine the optimal approach to address the RWBT leaks. These efforts sought to identify the components of WFF that would minimize the duration of and water supply need during a temporary shutdown of the Delaware Aqueduct.

5.1 WATER FOR THE FUTURE PLANNING BACKGROUND

An overview of the water supply system and relevant projects described in Chapter 6, “The Water Supply System,” provides the necessary context to understand the planning and implementation of WFF. Particularly noteworthy is that since releasing the previous EIS, DEP has optimized the scope and schedule associated with implementing WFF by refining the design of the bypass tunnel connection construction, thereby reducing the temporary shutdown period from the original estimate of up to 15 months disclosed in the previous EIS down to eight months.

As a result of reducing the temporary shutdown period, less augmentation is required to ensure continued supply to DEP’s customers. The previous EIS identified four augmentation projects: New Jersey Interconnection, Nassau County Interconnection, Catskill Aqueduct Repair and Rehabilitation, and Queens Groundwater Rehabilitation. DEP has determined that implementing Catskill Aqueduct Repair and Rehabilitation is only the last two the final projects is necessary to meet the water supply need for the shutdown, and is no longer pursuing the New Jersey and Nassau County interconnections and Queens Groundwater Rehabilitation projects.

The DEIS will also provide background information on the decision-making involved in augmentation project selection, including the rationale for selecting Catskill Aqueduct Repair and Rehabilitation and Queens Groundwater Rehabilitation, as well as additional detail concerning how DEP anticipates operations during the temporary shutdown.

5.2 WATER FOR THE FUTURE IMPLEMENTATION TIMELINE

The timeline for WFF implementation spans nearly a decade, with construction for RWBT Bypass already underway and completion currently slated for 2023 (see Figure 5-1). In parallel with RWBT Bypass, construction of Catskill Aqueduct Repair and Rehabilitation is anticipated to begin in 2016, with all construction components of Upstate Water Supply Resiliency and In-City Water Supply Resiliency complete by 2022 to support the connection of the bypass tunnel and repairs in Wawarsing. Between June 2022 and September 2022, the final aspects of WFF would be underway, as DEP would prepare for the bypass connection and prepare the overall water supply system for shutdown operations. The connection of the bypass tunnel to the existing RWBT, and temporary shutdown (during which RWBT inspection and repair would
occur) is anticipated to begin October 1, 2022, and be completed by June 2023. However, the start of the shutdown is dependent on hydrologic conditions, and therefore may vary, but would be expected to commence in the fall and be completed in the summer of the following year. In June 2023, the bypass connection and RWBT inspection and repair would be complete, and the water supply system would return to normal operating conditions. Demobilization would be completed by September 2023.
Figure 5-1
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WFF Shutdown System Operations
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Chapter 6: The Water Supply System

This chapter presents a detailed description of the three discrete but interconnected water supply systems that comprise the surface water component of the City’s overall drinking water supply system (water supply system), including their geographical location and extent, the hydraulic connections between the various reservoirs, and the confluence of water from each of the systems before it enters the City’s distribution system. In addition to the surface water supply, the City’s water supply system also includes groundwater supply wells in southeastern Queens that draw water from underlying aquifers and are available during droughts and emergencies, but are not currently used to meet demand as part of normal system operations.

In addition to a description of the City’s water supply system, this section provides a summary of the typical operational protocols DEP currently uses to manage the three water supply systems. The protocols strike an important balance to meet water supply and regulatory requirements. These descriptions provide the necessary background information and context within which to understand the development of WFF, as well as clarification of the project components of WFF.

6.1 SURFACE WATER SUPPLY SYSTEM OVERVIEW

The surface water portion of the City’s water supply system is among the most complex in the world, with 19 reservoirs and three controlled lakes. The combined total water storage is approximately 580 billion gallons of water that can be managed via diversions, transfers, and releases, which are described in greater detail below. On average, more than 1.1 billion gallons of this water flows each day by gravity from upstate New York to meet the water supply needs of more than eight million City customers and one million residents north of the City, in addition to the millions of commuters and tourists who visit the City each day. The City manages this complex system to meet daily demand while achieving a careful balance of water quality and quantity, maintaining the aquatic environment, and complying with applicable regulations.

The reservoirs and lakes located in upstate New York make up the City’s three surface water systems: the Catskill/Delaware, and Croton Systems. The Catskill/Delaware System together can provide up to 100 percent of the City’s daily water supply. In times of drought, the Croton System can provide up to 30 percent. The Catskill and Delaware watersheds together cover approximately 1,600 square miles, while the Croton watershed covers approximately 380 square miles, for a combined total area of approximately 2,000 square miles (see Figure 1-1).

---

9 The Catskill/Delaware system consists of the independent Catskill and Delaware systems that are operated as one unfiltered water supply during normal system operations.
The Delaware water supply system includes four reservoirs: Pepacton, Cannonsville, Neversink, and Rondout. The Pepacton, Cannonsville, and Neversink Reservoirs are located in the Delaware River Basin. Within the Delaware water supply system, water flows from Pepacton, Cannonsville, and Neversink Reservoirs via the East Delaware Tunnel, West Delaware Tunnel, and Neversink Tunnel, respectively, to Rondout Reservoir, part of the Hudson River Basin. From Rondout Reservoir, water is transferred to West Branch Reservoir via the RWBT. The water then continues through the Delaware Aqueduct to Kensico Reservoir.

The Catskill water supply system, located in the Mohawk and Hudson River Basins, includes Schoharie and Ashokan Reservoirs (see Figure 6-1). Water from Schoharie Reservoir is diverted to Ashokan Reservoir via the Shandaken Tunnel into upper Esopus Creek. From Ashokan Reservoir, the water flows through the Catskill Aqueduct to Kensico Reservoir, where it combines with water from the Delaware water supply system.

Leaving Kensico Reservoir, this combined Catskill/Delaware water is chlorinated and fluoridated prior to traveling through the Delaware Aqueduct to the City’s Catskill/Delaware Ultraviolet (UV) Disinfection Facility (UV Disinfection Facility) where ultraviolet light is used as an additional treatment method. From the UV Disinfection Facility, water is transferred to Hillview Reservoir through both the Catskill or Delaware Aqueducts before entering the City’s water distribution system via three tunnels, referred to as City Tunnel Nos. 1, 2, and 3. The Delaware Aqueduct can provide water directly to the City Tunnels, bypassing Hillview Reservoir.

Lastly, the Croton water supply system is the City’s oldest surface water supply network and consists of 12 reservoirs and three controlled lakes. The Croton reservoirs are connected primarily via open channel streams and rivers, ultimately flowing to New Croton Reservoir in Westchester County, New York (see Figure 6-2). The Croton water supply system is currently not in service, but will be reactivated once the Croton Water Filtration Plant becomes operational in 2015. At that time, water from the Croton System will be provided directly to the City’s distribution system through the New Croton Aqueduct and via direct connections to the City water tunnels. The Croton Water Filtration Plant has a maximum design treatment capacity of approximately 290 mgd.

### 6.2 SURFACE WATER SUPPLY SYSTEM OPERATION

The primary objective of the City’s surface water supply system is to store water in sufficient quantity and with appropriate flexibility to meet demand. DEP is also subject to regulatory requirements that dictate certain aspects of its water supply operations, such as reservoir releases. To balance demand with these regulatory requirements, DEP utilizes a range of operational and management techniques.
Figure 6-1
Delaware and Catskill Water Supply Systems
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DEP’s operational and management techniques also protect the City’s water supply system against potential adverse conditions, such as droughts and heavy precipitation events, through thoughtful planning and the implementation of carefully considered water supply storage goals. In general, DEP optimizes the amount of water stored in the City’s reservoirs to ensure that demand can be met.

DEP moves water throughout the system to address both water supply needs and regulatory requirements using a combination of diversions (moving water between reservoirs or systems that would not otherwise be connected via natural flow paths), transfers (moving water between connected reservoirs for the purpose of supplying drinking water or meeting regulatory flow requirements), and releases (moving water to water bodies that are connected to the system, but in some cases, redirect water out of the system). Because of the interconnected nature of the system, operations applied at any single reservoir must be precisely coordinated with those at other reservoirs.

6.3 DELAWARE WATER SUPPLY SYSTEM OVERVIEW

Constructed between 1936 and 1964, the Delaware water supply system extends 125 miles northwest of the City. Water from the Cannonsville, Pepacton, and Neversink Reservoirs is diverted to Rondout Reservoir via the West Delaware, East Delaware, and the Neversink Tunnels, respectively (see Figure 6-1). The RWBT begins at Rondout Reservoir and extends east approximately 45 miles to West Branch Reservoir, located east of the Hudson River in Putnam County. From West Branch Reservoir, the Delaware Aqueduct proceeds south to Kensico Reservoir.

The RWBT segment of the Delaware Aqueduct is approximately 13.5 feet in diameter, lined with concrete, and varies in depth from approximately 300 to 2,300 feet below ground (crossing the Hudson River at approximately 600 feet below the water’s surface). The tunnel is a deep rock pressurized aqueduct that operates by gravity and has been in nearly continuous service since it was brought online in 1944. It can convey up to approximately 900 mgd of water, although it typically delivers an average of 600 mgd on an annual basis. The last unwatering and physical inspection of the RWBT occurred in 1957–1958.

All water supplied to the City from the Delaware System flows through the RWBT. In addition to the City, two municipalities are supplied with water via the RWBT: the Town of Newburgh and the Town of Marlborough. The City is permitted to divert a yearly average of 800 mgd from the Cannonsville, Pepacton, and Neversink Reservoirs within certain legal and regulatory parameters. Specifically, the Delaware System reservoirs are operated in accordance with a 1954 U.S. Supreme Court Decree and commitments made by the parties to that Decree and adopted by the Delaware River Basin Commission, which is composed of representatives of the United States and the states of Delaware, New York, New Jersey, and Pennsylvania. In addition, DEP is required under State law to make certain releases from its reservoirs to protect downstream recreational uses; the requirements relating to the Delaware System reservoirs are codified in Title 6 of the New York Codes, Rules and Regulations (6 NYCRR) Part 671 and Section 672-2.
6.4 CATSKILL WATER SUPPLY SYSTEM OVERVIEW

Completed in 1928, the Catskill water supply system consists of two reservoirs, Schoharie and Ashokan, the latter of which is divided into east and west basins (see Figure 6-1). The Schoharie Reservoir diverts water to upper Esopus Creek via the Shandaken Tunnel. Esopus Creek subsequently flows into the west basin of Ashokan Reservoir. Water from Ashokan Reservoir is conveyed via the Catskill Aqueduct to Kensico Reservoir at a current capacity of up to approximately 590 mgd.

Although the Catskill System experiences episodic turbidity events, DEP is typically able to meet drinking water quality regulations for the Catskill water supply system with disinfection alone. This is due, in part, to the design of the Ashokan Reservoir. Water flows into the west basin first, allowing turbidity to settle out, before flowing into the east basin for distribution to the upper Catskill Aqueduct. However, during particularly heavy rainfall events, silt and clay deposits in the Catskill watershed streams are washed into the water supply system, leading to increased turbidity and overwhelming the natural settling processes in the reservoirs. During these events, DEP has historically treated Catskill water entering the Kensico Reservoir with alum to cause the suspended particles to settle out in sufficient measure to conform to water quality requirements. As part of a separate effort, DEP has implemented extensive turbidity control measures, and is implementing numerous infrastructure and operational improvements to further minimize the need for alum treatment.

More recently, DEP has studied alternatives for further controlling Catskill turbidity, and has expanded use of the Ashokan Release Channel, which routes flow from the Ashokan Reservoir to lower Esopus Creek. DEP operates the Ashokan Release Channel in accordance with an Interim Release Protocol, pursuant to an October 2013 Consent Order between New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC) and DEP. The Interim Release Protocol is currently being analyzed as part of a separate environmental review to support modification of the City’s New York State Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (SPDES) permit for alum treatment at the Kensico Reservoir. Alum treatment is applied at Kensico Reservoir, if required, for water diverted from the Catskill water supply system.

In addition, DEP is required under State law to make certain releases from Schoharie Reservoir, the other reservoir that comprises the upstate portion of the Catskill System. The purpose of these releases is to protect and enhance the use of recreational use of waters in Esopus Creek; the requirements relating to the Catskill System are codified in Title 6 of the New York Codes, Rules and Regulations (6 NYCRR) Part 670.

---

Turbidity is an optical property of water influenced by the presence of higher concentrations of suspended particles that make water opaque or cloudy. This matter normally consists largely of suspended clay, silt, organic and inorganic material and microscopic organisms. Turbidity is of concern primarily due to its potential impact on public health by making disinfection less effective, as the cloudiness could interfere with chlorine and ultraviolet-light disinfection, and potential contaminants may adhere to, or be encapsulated by the suspended particles.
6.5  CROTON WATER SUPPLY SYSTEM OVERVIEW

Constructed in the late 1800s, the Croton water supply system is the oldest and smallest of the City’s three systems. The Croton water supply system consists of a series of interconnected reservoirs and lakes in northern Westchester and Putnam counties that terminate at New Croton Reservoir. Water is diverted from New Croton Reservoir to Jerome Park Reservoir in the Bronx via the New Croton Aqueduct, where it continues to the City’s distribution system (see Figure 6-2). The Croton System has been used only on a very limited basis since 2004. However, now that the Croton Water Filtration Plant becomes operational, currently anticipated for 2015, the Croton system will be available to supplement the Catskill/Delaware Systems as needed.

In addition to supplying water to the City, DEP must provide minimum flows downstream from each Croton System reservoir, as established by 6 NYCRR Part 672-3. Additionally, a number of communities in Westchester and Putnam Counties withdraw water directly from DEP reservoirs.

6.6  QUEENS GROUNDWATER SYSTEM OVERVIEW

In addition to the three surface water systems described above that are the City’s primary sources of water supply, DEP has owned, maintained, and operated a groundwater supply system in southeastern Queens since 1996 (Queens groundwater system). This system was formerly owned and operated by the Jamaica Water Supply Company. The Queens groundwater system is comprised of 44 well stations, which house a total of 68 water supply wells (some stations include a single well; others include between two and four wells). DEP holds and maintains a Water Supply Permit from NYSDEC to pump approximately 68 mgd. All stations are located within an approximately three-square-mile area in the eastern section of Queens, near the border of Nassau County, New York. The stations are generally bounded by I-495 to the north, Route 27 to the south, Lefferts Boulevard to the west, and the Belt/Cross Island Parkways to the east.

The source water for these wells is the aquifers beneath the Queens section of Long Island. There are four main aquifers in Long Island: the Upper Glacial and Jameco, which are the shallowest; the Magothy, which is the middle layer; and the Lloyd, which is the deepest. Formed approximately 60 million years ago, the aquifers are generally separated by layers of clay, and groundwater moves through the aquifer systems under the influence of pressure and gravity. The source water for the Queens groundwater wells is largely extracted from the Magothy aquifer, though some wells extract from the Lloyd aquifer (see Figure 6-3).

---

1 An aquifer is a natural underground layer of porous, water-bearing materials (sand, gravel) usually capable of yielding a large supply of water.
Chapter 7: Water Supply System Programs and Projects Independent of Water for the Future

DEP has planned or is currently implementing several additional programs and projects that will support the operation of the water supply system. Each project will be undertaken for independent purposes and will have utility independent of WFF. However, these projects will also support the goals of WFF by enabling DEP to meet its water supply demand during the RWBT shutdown. Therefore, these projects are described below to assist in an understanding of the overall WFF planning effort, which was outlined in Section 5.1, “Water for the Future Planning Background.”

7.1 DEMAND MANAGEMENT

DEP is in the process of implementing a City-wide Demand Management Plan under PlaNYC to reduce water consumption.¹² This effort was undertaken independent of WFF and was designed to better account for, manage, and protect the City’s water resources, thereby contributing to the long-term sustainability of the City’s water supply.

The Demand Management Plan was published in January 2014, and includes five strategies aimed at reducing City water consumption by five percent (50 mgd) by 2020. These strategies include:

1. A municipal water efficiency program aimed at reducing water use and consumption in City-owned properties;

2. A residential water efficiency program aimed at encouraging the adoption of low-flow toilets, a primary residential water use;

3. A non-residential water efficiency program, which includes a year-long program for private companies to match municipal water-saving goals on a voluntary basis;

4. Water distribution system optimization, which includes a variety of measures and programs to detect and reduce waste in the water supply distribution system; and

5. A water supply shortage management strategy for which DEP will formalize the City’s approach to water supply shortages in a revision to the City’s drought management plan and rule.

In addition to reducing overall water demand, water savings achieved through the Demand Management Plan will directly offset water needs (and in turn, augmentation project needs and costs) during the temporary shutdown.

7.2 SHAFT 4 INTERCONNECTION

The Shaft 4 Interconnection, currently under construction, will provide for more flexibility in delivering the water supply from the Catskill and Delaware Systems, allowing delivery of a variable flow range of 50 mgd to 365 mgd from the Delaware Aqueduct to the Catskill Aqueduct, prior to reaching Kensico Reservoir. During turbidity events in the Catskill System, the Shaft 4 Interconnection will allow water from the Delaware System to be diverted to the Catskill Aqueduct, reducing or avoiding use of Catskill water during these turbid periods. This would improve water quality delivered to communities along the Catskill Aqueduct, and reduce the need for alum treatment of turbid Catskill water at Kensico Reservoir.

While this interconnection would not be in service during the temporary shutdown of the RWBT, it will allow maximized usage of the Delaware System during the preparation period prior to the temporary shutdown by allowing for increased withdrawals from the Rondout Reservoir. This project will help ensure a sustainable, resilient water supply for the City and its customers in the long term.

7.3 CROTON WATER FILTRATION PLANT

The Croton System has provided high quality water to consumers for over 150 years. Pursuant to the Safe Drinking Water Act, the City has constructed the Croton Water Filtration Plant in the Bronx, New York. The plant was subject to a separate EIS in 2004, and is undergoing startup testing. It will be fully operational in 2015.

The Croton Water Filtration Plant has a maximum design treatment capacity of approximately 290 mgd. In addition to reducing reliance on the amount of water needed from the Catskill System during turbidity events and droughts, the Croton Water Filtration Plant will be a critical component of the City’s water supply during the temporary shutdown, thereby reducing overall augmentation need.

7.4 CROSS RIVER AND CROTON FALLS PUMP STATIONS

Another example of DEP’s continued efforts to improve the resiliency of its water supply system includes projects to rehabilitate the Cross River and Croton Falls Pump Stations. The Cross River Pump Station has already been completed, and it is anticipated that both pump stations will be online and operational by 2021, prior to the temporary RWBT shutdown. These pump stations will enable DEP to move water from Cross River Reservoir and Croton Falls Reservoir in the Croton watershed to the Delaware Aqueduct. The ability to move water from these Croton

---

13 Note that operation of the Shaft 4 Interconnection would conform with the 1954 Supreme Court Decree and all Flexible-Flow Management Program parameters set forth by the Delaware River Basin Commission.
reservoirs to the Delaware Aqueduct will allow DEP to maximize its available water supply by diverting up to 240 mgd of additional water from the Croton water supply system. These upgrades will allow DEP to maximize access to Croton water during times of drought or emergencies in the Delaware watershed, as well as act as a supplemental water source during the temporary shutdown and repair of the RWBT. Currently, pumping is allowable only during water supply emergencies with permission from the New York State Department of Health (NYSDOH).

The Cross River Pump Station, located in Katonah, Westchester County, New York, pumps water from the Cross River Reservoir in the Croton watershed to the Delaware Aqueduct. DEP completed an upgrade to the Cross River Pump Station that has more than doubled its pumping capacity from 27 mgd to 60 mgd. The extensive rehabilitation work was completed in 2013.

Similar to the Cross River Pump Station, the Croton Falls Pump Station was constructed to transfer water from the Croton Falls Reservoir to the Delaware Aqueduct. The existing pump station is located in a one-story building near the base of the Croton Falls Reservoir Dam in the Town of Carmel, Putnam County, New York. DEP is planning to replace the existing hydraulically-driven pump, turbine system, and building at the Croton Falls Pump Station with a new electrically-powered pump system within a new structure on the same site. The pump replacement will increase transfer capacity from 65 to 180 mgd, resulting in more water available to transfer to the Delaware Aqueduct for water supply purposes.
Chapter 8: Description of Water for the Future

As previously described, the Upstate and In-City Water Supply Resiliency projects are intended to allow DEP to address leaks in the RWBT by enabling DEP to shut down the RWBT to connect the bypass tunnel, and to complete the internal repair of the RWBT in the vicinity of the Town of Wawarsing. During the shutdown, the Upstate Water Supply Resiliency project, along with the In-City Water Supply Resiliency project, would ensure ongoing supply to meet the needs of DEP’s customers. At the conclusion of the connection, the bypassed section of the RWBT would be permanently decommissioned; the water currently leaking from the tunnel is expected to permanently cease. Chlorination of the Catskill Aqueduct, described further below, would cease and the rehabilitated groundwater well stations in Queens would remain available for future use, as needed.

The following sections provide additional detail on the components of Upstate and In-City Water Supply Resiliency.

8.1 UPSTATE WATER SUPPLY RESILIENCY

8.1.1 PROPOSED CATSKILL AQUEDUCT REPAIR AND REHABILITATION

The Catskill Aqueduct Repair and Rehabilitation would address reduction in the capacity of the Catskill Aqueduct over time, partly as a result of the accumulation of biofilm (a naturally-occurring layer of microorganisms) along the Catskill Aqueduct’s interior surface. Though relatively thin, the rough surface of this biofilm layer has contributed to slowed flow of water from historical highs of 660 mgd to the current maximum capacity of 590 mgd. DEP seeks to restore the capacity of the upper segment of the aqueduct closer to its historical capacity by removing this layer of biofilm and possibly lining limited sections of the aqueduct (see Figure 1-4), to improve capacity to approximately 640 mgd. To maintain capacity improvements, chlorine would be added to the aqueduct to prevent the re-growth of biofilm. Therefore, a chlorination facility is proposed for construction at the Ashokan Screen Chamber in the Town of Olive, Ulster County, New York, with a dechlorination facility located at DEP’s Pleasantville Treatment Facility in the Village of Pleasantville, Westchester County, New York.

In addition, the proposed rehabilitation would include a full inspection of the aqueduct, repair of leaks, repair of valves and other mechanical equipment, and the addition of air vents to facilitate the flow of the water. The proposed rehabilitation would begin in 2016 and is anticipated to be complete by 2019. Through a detailed inspection protocol, replacement of mechanical

---

14 This document refers to use of chlorine as a means to prevent re-growth of biofilm, but the use of chlorine dioxide and appropriate chemicals to remove chlorine dioxide before it enters the environment are also under consideration. Final chemical selection has yet to occur but will be disclosed and associated potential for impacts evaluated within the EIS.
features, leak repair, and restoration of the historical capacity of the Catskill System, this work would provide long-term benefits to the water supply system.

Components of the proposed project to improve the Catskill Aqueduct’s current capacity between Ashokan and Kensico Reservoirs include:

1. Adding chemicals to control biofilm formation and operating dechlorination facilities at leaks and at the Pleasantville Treatment Facility;

2. Cleaning the aqueduct interior and performing limited lining to seal the concrete walls, repair leaks, and enhance flow characteristics in certain locations, then performing a detailed internal inspection program;

3. Repairing or replacing mechanical components along the aqueduct, including siphon drains, sluice gate drain valves, and other aqueduct drain valves, to ensure continued aqueduct service into the future; and

4. Constructing new vent structures at key points along the aqueduct to improve the ability to release air from the aqueduct, thereby ensuring smoother flow of water.

The project would require the addition of chlorine to the upper portion of the Catskill Aqueduct at the existing Ashokan Screen Chamber building. Adding an oxidant would prevent regrowth of biofilm in areas that have been cleaned, and potentially reduce biofilm in sections that are difficult to access with equipment and personnel. After treating the aqueduct, all water would then be dechlorinated at a dechlorination system that would be added at DEP’s existing Pleasantville Treatment Facility to remove the residual chlorine before the water enters Kensico Reservoir (see Figure 8-1 and Figure 8-2).

There are also several small leaks in the Catskill Aqueduct, which, though significantly smaller in magnitude than the leaks along the RWBT, provide pathways for water leakage from the aqueduct to the surrounding environment. These leaks include cracks, joints, or other gaps that have developed over time. Therefore, additional improvements to the aqueduct that would be undertaken include leak repair or, where repair is not feasible, construction of a local dechlorination system to neutralize chemically treated water before it enters the environment. The temporary addition of chemicals to the aqueduct would require a temporary SPDES permit for discharges from the leaks and into Kensico Reservoir.

The dechlorination system would involve construction of temporary, on-site facilities, likely a small, passive dechlorination system. However, one or more sites may require a small shed to house the dechlorination equipment, a generator to power the operation, and chemical storage. Leak repair would involve temporary staging areas along the aqueduct and storage and use of equipment necessary to repair the leaks. These systems are anticipated to be temporary and would be removed following the completion of temporary shutdown. Should long-term chlorination of the aqueduct be necessary after the conclusion of this project, additional repairs or permanent dechlorination facilities at leak sites would be required, the existing SPDES permit for the Catskill Influent Chamber would need to be modified, and an environmental review would be required.
Figure 8-1
Proposed Chlorination System at Ashokan Reservoir Screen Chamber Building

Map Source: Google.com
Figure 8-2

Proposed Dechlorination System at Pleasantville Treatment Facility

Approximate Location of Proposed Dechlorination System

Map Source: Bing.com

Kensico Reservoir

Aqueduct Connection Environmental Support (ACES)
Options to address the second component of the proposed rehabilitation, removal of the existing biofilm deposits that have adhered to the interior walls, include manually scraping or brushing the walls, using highly pressurized air or water, utilizing an automated self-propelling system, or some combination of these methods. Treatment of the biofilm wash water would be accomplished via settling, filtration, and possibly ultraviolet disinfection of the water, which will be confirmed through a pilot program conducted during project planning. Any biofilm solids would be hauled to a permitted off-site disposal area. Following the interior cleaning operation, crews would assess the condition of the aqueduct to document defects and evaluate repairs to be carried out.

To further ensure optimal operation of the Catskill Aqueduct, mechanical components of the aqueduct infrastructure would be repaired or replaced, including siphon drain valves and sluice gate drain valves at multiple locations and valves at the Croton Lake Downtake Chamber in the Village of Croton-on-Hudson, Westchester County, New York. These valves allow DEP to divert water and drain the aqueduct for inspection or repair. Water in the Catskill Aqueduct is typically soft with low alkalinity, which can result in corrosion of cast iron, steel, and galvanized steel components of the aqueduct infrastructure. Recent inspections have determined that, as a result of this corrosion, some mechanical components may be approaching or have exceeded their usable design life. Other types of mechanical repairs would occur at discrete locations along the aqueduct where there are deteriorated conditions. These include in-kind repairs to four bridge crossings, where the aqueduct crosses over these stream channels, and repairs to the bypass tunnel along the Kensico Reservoir where DEP would remove accumulated sediment and abandon an inoperable manhole. These repairs would restore the functionality of the bridge crossings and the bypass tunnel segment. Therefore, DEP plans to replace these components, further enhancing DEP’s ability to continue to operate the Catskill Aqueduct into the future.

Similar to cleaning and inspection, mechanical replacement would require access to unwatered tunnel segments. Excess water that cannot be properly drained would be pumped to the surface and managed (discharged). Work at each repair site would generally be performed by one or two staff members within the aqueduct using a variety of smaller pieces of equipment. These one or two individuals would be supported by a larger crew equipped with hoists or a cherry picker at the ground surface. Temporary staging areas along the aqueduct would be required for equipment to support repair activities and/or manage water discharged at existing access points along the aqueduct.

To complete the project’s second and third components, physically cleaning and performing limited lining along with an inspection program and repairing/replacing mechanical components, would require either one or two short-term shutdown periods (i.e., approximately 10 weeks), or a series of shorter shutdowns of the aqueduct. During the temporary shutdown periods, DEP customers who obtain supply from the Ashokan to Kensico Reservoir segment of the Catskill Aqueduct would need to use alternate water supplies. DEP is working with customers who currently do not have sufficient back-up supply to develop alternate water supply for use during the shutdown(s). The Catskill Aqueduct would not be taken out of service until all customers have sufficient back up water supplies. In either shutdown situation, several different teams of

---

15 Table 4-1 identifies the affected customers. The DEIS will provide additional detail on the customers’ projects.
workers would access the interior of the aqueduct through existing or new boatholes and manholes to accomplish these tasks.

Finally, adequate ventilation is also critical to achieve enhanced capacity and flow in the Catskill Aqueduct because water in the aqueduct is gravity driven open channel flow. When the aqueduct is at full capacity, trapped air can create pockets that reduce the ability of the aqueduct to convey water. These air pockets reduce the aqueduct’s overall flow and capacity. Adding ventilation at key locations would allow trapped air to escape and promote unobstructed flow within the aqueduct. The vents would be removable to allow for future entry into the aqueduct and consist of double 90-degree elbows constructed of standard 30-inch diameter steel pipes (“gooseneck”).

8.1.2 Proposed Water for the Future Shutdown System Operations

Prior to and during the temporary shutdown of the RWBT, DEP would implement Water for the Future Shutdown System Operations (WSSO), a specific and highly unusual operating protocol that departs significantly from the operating rules typically used to manage the Delaware, Catskill, and Croton water supply systems. WSSO, the second component of Upstate Water Supply Resiliency, has been designed based on modeling of the water supply system as DEP expects it to be operated both immediately prior to and during the temporary shutdown.

Implementation of WSSO would begin in advance of the shutdown to prepare the water supply system for heavier reliance on the Catskill and Croton Systems while the Delaware System is temporarily unavailable. To ensure the Catskill and Croton Systems are prepared to operate at peak capacity once the temporary shutdown begins, DEP would preferentially divert water from the Delaware System to the City to meet demand between June 1 and September 30, 2022, if the hydrologic conditions to do so are favorable. During this same period, DEP would reduce flow to the City from the Catskill and Croton Systems, thereby increasing the amount of water stored in those systems.

Of note, however, the temporary shutdown would only commence if hydrologic conditions are favorable (i.e., the water supply system is not in or heading towards a drought). Therefore, DEP established real-time parameters (inflow and runoff) that would be used to ensure that supply would be adequate to support the temporary shutdown. Once it had been established that sufficient supply exists to support the temporary shutdown, unwatering of the RWBT would begin on October 1, 2022.

Once the temporary shutdown is initiated, all flow through the RWBT would be stopped. WSSO for the Delaware System during this time period would focus on management of water surface elevations in Pepacton, Cannonsville, and Neversink Reservoirs. All of the Delaware System reservoirs would be drawn down in advance of the temporary shutdown, and an increase in releases for these reservoirs would be required during the temporary shutdown to maintain reservoir elevations at their normal levels and reduce the likelihood of spills. All requirements as guided by the 1954 U.S. Supreme Court Decree and pursuant to the Delaware River Basin Commission’s Flexible-Flow Management Program would be met in advance of and during the temporary shutdown.
While Rondout Reservoir (part of the Delaware System) would be drawn down in advance of the temporary shutdown, this reservoir has limited release capacity compared to other Delaware reservoirs. Therefore, three temporary siphons would be constructed over Merriman Dam at the southern end of Rondout Reservoir to transfer water from the reservoir to Rondout Creek and thus provide additional release capacity (see Figure 8-3). Two siphons would be active with a combined total release capacity of up to 240 mgd; the third siphon would be in place as backup in the event of a malfunction of one of the two active siphons. Construction of the siphons is anticipated to begin in 2020, and the siphons would be placed online at the onset of the temporary shutdown on October 1, 2022. Use of these siphons would require a waiver from a 1980 Stipulation of Discontinuance with the NYSDEC regarding releases from Merriman Dam.\(^\text{16}\)

WSSO for the Catskill and Croton Systems during the temporary shutdown would require DEP to rely more heavily on these systems for supply. As a result, all Catskill and Croton Reservoirs would be drawn down as needed during the temporary shutdown, with the exception of West Branch and Kensico Reservoirs. These two reservoirs would be kept full to protect drinking water quality.

While natural conditions and DEP’s watershed protection programs generally ensure the high quality of the City’s water supply, DEP must be prepared to manage episodic water quality events associated with turbidity, typically produced by major storm events. The Catskill System is most vulnerable to turbidity events due to the underlying soils and clays that make up the stream beds in the Catskill region. During these typically short-term periods of episodic turbidity, DEP has been able, in most instances, to temporarily reduce daily flows from the Catskill System to the City and to treat any turbidity in the aqueduct with alum at the Pleasantville Treatment Facility until the Ashokan Reservoir returns to higher water quality. However, because of the need to rely heavily on the Catskill System during the temporary shutdown, DEP would likely be precluded from reducing flows in the Catskill Aqueduct. Therefore, DEP would need to temporarily expand the alum treatment facilities at the Pleasantville Treatment Facility to increase the rate of alum treatment during the temporary shutdown.

DEP would also seek exemptions from release requirements set forth in the New York State regulations for the West Branch and New Croton Reservoirs to maximize retention of water for water supply purposes during the shutdown (6 NYCRR Part. 672.3-3). DEP would also reduce or eliminate releases from the Ashokan Release Channel in accordance with section 7.c. of the Interim Release Protocol.

Throughout the temporary shutdown, the potential for water supply to meet demand would be continuously evaluated. If, at any given time, system demand exceeds predicted available supply from each of the available systems, a demobilization protocol would be initiated: the RWBT would be brought back into service and the water supply systems would be allowed return to

---
\(^{16}\) The 1980 Stipulation of Discontinuance sets in place an agreement between New York City and New York State that established reservoir release schedules for Rondout Reservoir under both normal and drought conditions. The release schedules were subsequently incorporated in the New York State Environmental Conservation Law as part of Chapter 10, Part 672-2.3.
normal conditions. The repair would be continued when the hydrologic condition of the water supply system allows.

Following the end of the temporary shutdown, the components of WSSO would continue for a short time period to allow the water supply system to equilibrate to the reservoir conditions that existed prior to the temporary shutdown.

8.1.3 PROPOSED RONDOUT-WEST BRANCH TUNNEL INSPECTION AND REPAIR

Both the Catskill Aqueduct Repair and Rehabilitation and Queens Groundwater Rehabilitation, along with the WSSO would be put into place to support the proposed RWBT Inspection and Repair, described further in this section, as well as the decommissioning of the bypassed portion of the RWBT, described later in this draft final scope. The proposed inspection and repair would include an initial inspection of the sections of the RWBT upstream and downstream of the bypass connection points and internal repairs to the RWBT in the section where the RWBT crosses through the Town of Wawarsing, Ulster County, New York. Should any areas outside of the Wawarsing crossing be identified during the inspection as requiring repair, the appropriate repairs would be performed during the shutdown period. The goal of these repairs would be to reduce tunnel leakage throughout the full 45-mile length of the RWBT. Lastly, once the inspection and repairs have been completed and the bypass tunnel is connected, the bypassed section of the RWBT would be permanently decommissioned.

During the temporary shutdown, RWBT inspection and repair would advance concurrently. During this time, repairs would be made at the leaking area in Wawarsing. The Rondout Effluent Chamber (REC) and Shafts 1, 2A, 4, 8, and 9 of the Delaware Aqueduct would be used during RWBT inspection and repair for communications throughout, ventilation of, or access to the RWBT (see Figure 1-5). Specifically, the REC would be used for equipment storage; Shafts 1 and 9 would be used for ventilation; Shaft 2A would provide access for materials, equipment, and personnel to repair the existing leak in Wawarsing (DEP’s contractor would be responsible for preparing and maintaining the Shaft 2A site); Shaft 4 would be used to assist with communication; and Shaft 8 would provide emergency egress and access for tunnel inspection. Site improvements were completed under a previous project to prepare these sites for use during the repair work.

Shaft 2A, located in the Town of Wawarsing, would be used for access and for conducting internal repairs of the Wawarsing section of the RWBT. This section is anticipated to be the section of the RWBT that requires the most extensive repairs, aside from the Roseton area to be bypassed. In the Wawarsing area, the methods of repair could include crack repair, contact grouting, or cut-off grouting.

Crack repair would include tunnel liner repairs, such as patching and grouting existing grout holes and sealing construction joints and other openings. Structural cracks could be repaired using rigid epoxy injection systems if the crack is not actively leaking. Contact grouting would fill the void space immediately between the tunnel liner and rock mass along the tunnel. Grout holes would be drilled into the rock along the tunnel. Grout would then be injected between the liner and the rock mass to achieve tight contact. Grouting would decrease permeability and improve rock strength. Grouting that extends 10 feet beyond the rock line around the tunnel
would fill open joints, fractures, faults, and other defects in the rock mass. Finer rock fractures would require ultrafine cement grout.

During RWBT Inspection and Repair, DEP customers on this segment of the Delaware Aqueduct would be required to temporarily use alternate water supplies. DEP is working with customers who currently would not have sufficient supply during the temporary shutdown to develop alternate water supply for use during the shutdown(s) ensure they would have sufficient water supplies in advance of the temporary shutdown. The Delaware Aqueduct would not be taken out of service until all customers have sufficient backup water supplies.

**8.1.3.1 Rondout-West Branch Tunnel Decommissioning**

Once inspections and repairs to the RWBT are complete and the bypass tunnel is connected to the existing RWBT, the bypass tunnel would become a permanent component of the RWBT. At that time, the leaking segment that was bypassed would be permanently decommissioned, all existing leaks are expected to cease, and DEP’s water supply system would be operated in the same manner as it was prior to WFF. When the connection and the repairs are completed, water flow would be restored to the Delaware Aqueduct, and water would flow through the RWBT and the newly constructed bypass tunnel (see Figure 8-4).

---

17 Table 4-1 notes the affected customers. The DEIS will provide additional detail on the customers’ projects.
Figure 8-4

Rondout-West Branch Tunnel: Decommissioned Segment and Bypass Tunnel
8.2 PROPOSED IN-CITY WATER SUPPLY RESILIENCY

In addition to the Upstate Water Supply Resiliency, DEP is also proposing the In-City Water Supply Resiliency to provide additional supply during the temporary shutdown. The proposed In-City Water Supply Resiliency, which will be the subject of a subsequent EIS, would consist of upgrading treatment for up to 23 DEP-owned wells at 19 existing stations in southeast Queens, the construction of a central water treatment center for a few of the well stations, and a central control facility for the groundwater supply system. Together, these wells are expected to provide up to 33 mgd of continuous supply during the temporary shutdown. These stations are currently in various states of operational readiness; however, all would receive treatment system upgrades, including replacing mechanical equipment (e.g., pumps) and constructing buildings for new treatment facilities. Once treatment upgrades are complete, the wells would provide more robust resiliency to the water supply during droughts, repairs, or emergencies.

Finished water quality at all stations would meet or exceed all applicable NYSDOH and New York City Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (NYCDOHMH) water quality standards. Based on the raw water quality of the groundwater system and existing and anticipated future drinking water regulations, the following types of treatment are currently anticipated: (1) iron and manganese removal; (2) volatile organic chemical (VOC) removal; and (3) chemical treatment (i.e., chlorine, fluoride, orthophosphate and pH adjustment).

Construction of all stations would be anticipated to commence in 2017 and to be completed by late 2019, followed by a rigorous testing program of all WFF targeted stations to ensure their operability and effective distribution to areas within and beyond southeast Queens. This would be completed in 2020 in advance of the temporary shutdown. Use of the Queens groundwater supply system under the WFF Program could continue for a short time after the completion of the bypass tunnel connection to facilitate rebalancing the reservoir systems.
Chapter 9: Potential Major Discretionary Approvals, Coordination, and Consultations

Upstate Water Supply Resiliency would require permits and approvals from federal, State, and local agencies. Anticipated permits and approvals are listed in Table 9-1, and are organized by project component.
Table 9-1: Summary of Potential Discretionary Permits, Approvals, and Consultations for Upstate Water Supply Resiliency

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Involved Agency</th>
<th>Permit/Approval/Consultation</th>
<th>Proposed Catskill Aqueduct Repair and Rehabilitation</th>
<th>Proposed WFF Shutdown System Operations</th>
<th>Proposed RWBT Inspection and Repair and Decommissioning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Army Corps of Engineers</td>
<td>Section 10</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Section 404</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Fish &amp; Wildlife Service</td>
<td>Consultation</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NYS Department of Environmental Conservation</td>
<td>Freshwater Wetlands</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Protection of Waters (including Dam Safety permits)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Section 401 Water Quality Certification</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SPDES Permit (General Permit for Stormwater Associated with Construction Activities)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SPDES Permit (MSGP for Stormwater Associated with Industrial Activities)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Individual SPDES Permit (Form NY-2C)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Air Emissions (201 Permit/Registration)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Natural Heritage Program Consultation</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NYS Department of State</td>
<td>Coastal Zone Consistency Assessment</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NYS Department of Transportation</td>
<td>Roadway or ROW Right-of-Way Use/Easement</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NYS Department of Health</td>
<td>Public Water Supply Improvement</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NYS Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation</td>
<td>Historic Resources Consultation</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York City (NYC) Department of Environmental Protection</td>
<td>CEQR Review</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NYC Department of Health and Mental Hygiene</td>
<td>Water Supply Improvement Approval</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Design Commission</td>
<td>Design Review</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Various Counties/Towns/Villages</td>
<td>Local Site Plan Approval</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Chapter 10: Organization and Scope of the Draft Environmental Impact Statement

The table of contents for the DEIS is as follows. This chapter of the draft final scope describes what will be presented within each chapter of the DEIS:

- DEIS Executive Summary
- Chapter 1: Introduction
- Chapter 2: Program Description
- Chapter 3: Purpose and Need for the Proposed Upstate Water Supply Resiliency Project
- Chapter 4: The Water Supply System
- Chapter 5: Water for the Future Background and Planning and Implementation Schedule
- Chapter 6: Description of Proposed Upstate Water Supply Resiliency Project
- Chapter 7: Potential Major Discretionary Approvals, Coordination, and Consultations
- Chapter 8: Analytical Framework for the Draft Environmental Impact Statement
- Chapter 9: Proposed Catskill Aqueduct Repair and Rehabilitation
- Chapter 10: Proposed Water for the Future Shutdown System Operations
- Chapter 11: Proposed Rondout-West Branch Tunnel Inspection and Repair
- Chapter 12: Cumulative Impacts of Proposed Upstate Water Supply Resiliency Project
- Chapter 13: Mitigation
- Chapter 14: Alternatives Analysis
- Chapter 15: Unavoidable Adverse Impacts
- Chapter 16: Irreversible and Irretrievable Commitment of Resources
10.1 DEIS EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The DEIS will include an executive summary providing a clear overview of the information presented in the body of the DEIS. The executive summary will include a description of the proposed Upstate Water Supply Resiliency project, list of agencies and required permits and approvals, a summary of any identified potential significant adverse impacts associated with construction and operation of the proposed Upstate Water Supply Resiliency project and proposed mitigation measures for any potential impacts identified, as applicable. Specifically, the executive summary will include:

- A brief description of the proposed project, including background information describing its development, as well as its relationship to the previous EIS, its role within WFF, and its implementation relative to the WFF timeline;
- A list of involved and interested agencies and required approvals/permits;
- A summary of any anticipated potential significant adverse impacts resulting from the proposed project and any related mitigation measures; and
- A summary of alternatives to the proposed Upstate Water Supply Resiliency project considered in the DEIS, along with a comparison of their potential significant adverse impacts to those of the proposed project.

10.2 DEIS CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION

As described in Chapter 1, “Introduction,” of this draft final scope, the first chapter of the DEIS will introduce WFF, including the three projects that comprise it: Shaft and Bypass Tunnel Construction, which was disclosed in a previous EIS and the proposed Upstate Water Supply Resiliency project, which is the subject of this DEIS, and the In-City Water Supply Resiliency project, which will be subject of a future EIS. Chapter 1 of the DEIS will provide the public and decision-makers with an understanding of the proposed Upstate Water Supply Resiliency project components, the impact assessment process, the criteria with which it will be evaluated, and alternatives that will be considered in the alternatives analysis.

Specifically, this chapter will contain a brief background of WFF; a brief description of the proposed Upstate Water Supply Resiliency project and its components; figures depicting site locations and the approximate location of their associated study areas associated with Upstate Water Supply Resiliency; a discussion of the approvals required and procedures to be followed for evaluation of Upstate Water Supply Resiliency; and a description of the future without proposed Upstate Water Supply Resiliency. Involved agencies, designated pursuant to SEQRA and CEQR, will be identified in the DEIS. The role of DEP, as Lead Agency under SEQRA and CEQR, will also be described, as well as the environmental review process to aid in decision-making.
10.3 **DEIS CHAPTER 2: PROGRAM DESCRIPTION**

Chapter 2 of the DEIS will contain a more detailed description of WFF, the proposed Upstate Water Supply Resiliency project and its components, and a high-level overview of updates to the RWBT Bypass project since issuance of the previous EIS.

10.4 **DEIS CHAPTER 3: PURPOSE AND NEED FOR THE PROPOSED UPSTATE WATER SUPPLY RESILIENCY PROJECT**

The purpose and need for the proposed Upstate Water Supply Resiliency project will be provided in Chapter 3 of the DEIS. For reference, the purpose and need for the overall WFF Program is provided in Chapter 2, “Purpose and Need for Water for the Future”, of this draft final scope.

10.5 **DEIS CHAPTER 4: WATER SUPPLY SYSTEM**

A description of the City’s water supply system will be provided in Chapter 4 of the DEIS. This section will also describe the programs and projects with independent utility that will nonetheless support the goals of WFF and factor into DEP future operations. For reference, an overview of the City’s water supply system is provided in Chapter 6, “The Water Supply System,” of this draft final scope.

10.6 **DEIS CHAPTER 5: WATER FOR THE FUTURE PLANNING AND IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE**

Background information on WFF, including the proposed Upstate Water Supply Resiliency project development process, water supply augmentation planning, augmentation modeling, and selection of final augmentation projects will be further described in Chapter 5 of the DEIS. For reference, a high-level overview of this background information is described in Chapter 5, “Water for the Future Planning and Implementation Schedule,” of this draft final scope.

10.7 **DEIS CHAPTER 6: DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED UPSTATE WATER SUPPLY RESILIENCY PROJECT**

A description of the proposed Upstate Water Supply Resiliency project and its components, Catskill Aqueduct Repair and Rehabilitation, WFF Shutdown System Operations (WSSO), RWBT Inspection and Repair and decommissioning of the bypassed segment of the RWBT will be provided in Chapter 6 of the DEIS in greater detail than in Chapter 8, “Description of Water for the Future,” of this draft final scope.

10.8 **DEIS CHAPTER 7: POTENTIAL MAJOR DISCRETIONARY APPROVALS, COORDINATION, AND CONSULTATIONS**

Actions associated with the proposed Upstate Water Supply Resiliency project will be identified, including, but not limited to, permits and approvals. Coordination and consultations will be further described in Chapter 7 of the DEIS. For reference, see Chapter 9, “Potential Major Discretionary Approvals, Coordination, and Consultations”, of this draft final scope.
10.9 DEIS CHAPTER 8: ANALYTICAL FRAMEWORK FOR THE DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

Chapter 8 of the DEIS will describe the analytical framework used to determine the potential for impacts associated with all components of the proposed Upstate Water Supply Resiliency project. It will describe and define the assessment conditions, analysis years, impact assessment categories, and analysis thresholds for the impact assessments. At a minimum, a screening-level assessment for the proposed Upstate Water Supply Resiliency project will be provided in the DEIS for all relevant environmental impact assessment categories where more detailed assessments are not required. Using the methodology described in this draft final scope, applicable environmental impact assessment categories (e.g., land use, transportation, etc.) will be evaluated for each component of the proposed Upstate Water Supply Resiliency project. In some cases, specific assessment categories may be evaluated cumulatively for the proposed Upstate Water Supply Resiliency project with respect to both construction and operation, as applicable and as described in Section 10.13, “Cumulative Impacts of the Proposed Upstate Water Supply Resiliency Project” of this draft final scope (Chapter 12 of the DEIS). This includes those assessments that do not warrant study area-specific assessments. Table 10-1 summarizes the impact categories where preliminary and/or detailed analysis will be conducted and presented in the DEIS to identify the potential for impacts from construction and/or operation of each component of the proposed Upstate Water Supply Resiliency project, as applicable. For the DEIS, when construction activities associated with a component would occur over short periods (e.g., months versus years), a construction period assessment will be included for applicable assessment categories. The following describes the framework used for the DEIS assessment.

Baseline Conditions. Baseline conditions are those that are observed and assessed, establishing a reference point against which future conditions can be projected. Generally, these conditions will be assessed for the time periods that represent existing conditions at the time of publication of the EIS in the study areas that are most likely to be affected by the project.

Future Condition Without the Proposed Project. In this section, the analysis year conditions known to occur or expected to occur in the future, regardless of the proposed project, are compared to Baseline Conditions for the proposed program’s construction and operation. This is the Future Condition Without the Proposed Project condition.

Probable Impacts with the Proposed Project. Potential changes to the environment resulting from construction or temporary and long-term operation of the proposed Upstate Water Supply Resiliency project are compared to the Future Condition Without the Proposed Project to assess the potential for significant adverse impacts. This comparison provides for an understanding of the potential impacts that could result with the proposed project condition.

Analysis Year(s). For a proposed project with several components, the analysis year(s) refers to future year(s) when the proposed project is likely to affect its environmental setting. There could be a number of analysis years, depending on the technical analysis area under consideration. For example, where the construction period for a proposed Upstate Water Supply Resiliency project component is greater than two years or is intensive, CEQR/SEQRA requires analysis of the construction period. This would require use of separate analysis years since construction would
occur ahead of the project component becoming operational. Short-term construction activities generally do not warrant a detailed construction analysis and will not be evaluated in detail in the DEIS.

As described previously, the Upstate Water Resiliency project is comprised of several components that will be constructed and begin operating over different years, culminating in connection of the bypass tunnel to the RWBT beginning in 2022. As such, there will be several analysis years in the DEIS. For the proposed Upstate Water Supply Resiliency project, the analysis years associated with (1) Baseline Conditions will include observations and assessments collected between late 2012 and early 2015, as applicable; (2) the Future Without the Proposed Project will be based on different years associated with construction and operation of the components of the proposed Upstate Water Supply Resiliency project, ranging between 2016 and 2023; and (3) Probable Impacts with the Proposed Project will be assessed for future conditions for the same analysis years as the Future Without the Proposed Project, further described below.

Future conditions associated with construction of the proposed Upstate Water Supply Resiliency project components will be evaluated for peak periods of construction that represent a “reasonable worst case scenario”. Operation of the proposed Upstate Water Supply Resiliency project components will be evaluated for both temporary operational conditions during the shutdown and long-term operation, further described in this draft final scope.
Table 10-1: Summary of Analyses of Proposed Upstate Water Supply Resiliency Project Component to be Presented in the DEIS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assessment Categories Requiring Preliminary and/or Detailed Analysis</th>
<th>Catskill Aqueduct Repair and Rehabilitation</th>
<th>WFF Shutdown System Operations</th>
<th>RWBT Inspection and Repair</th>
<th>Decommissioning</th>
<th>Cumulative Assessment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Land Use, Zoning and Public Policy</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Socioeconomic Conditions</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Facilities and Services</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open Space and Recreation</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Critical Environmental Areas</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shadows</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Historic and Cultural Resources</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visual Resources</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural Resources</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hazardous Materials</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water and Sewer Infrastructure</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid Waste and Sanitation Services</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Quality</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Climate Change</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noise</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neighborhood Character</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Health</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Where a preliminary or detailed analysis is identified for a particular project component and assessment area, the analysis will include both a construction and operational assessment, where applicable.
10.10 DEIS CHAPTER 9: PROPOSED CATSKILL AQUEDUCT REPAIR AND REHABILITATION

10.10.1 PROPOSED CATSKILL AQUEDUCT REPAIR AND REHABILITATION OVERVIEW

This section of the DEIS will introduce the proposed Catskill Aqueduct Repair and Rehabilitation, described previously in Chapter 8.1.1, “Proposed Catskill Aqueduct Repair and Rehabilitation,” of this draft final scope. This section of the DEIS will contain a brief background of the proposed Catskill Aqueduct Repair and Rehabilitation (proposed rehabilitation), and type of work to be conducted along the aqueduct, as well as figures depicting locations of activities associated with the proposed rehabilitation. This section will also describe activities associated with rehabilitation by municipality. The description will include an overview figure of work to be conducted in each municipality, as well as a discussion of the required approvals for the proposed rehabilitation within that municipality. The location of the project sites and a summary of the activities within each municipality along segments of the Catskill Aqueduct that will be assessed in the DEIS are provided in Table 10-2.

10.10.2 SCHEDULE

This section of the DEIS will include an overview of the construction schedule for the proposed rehabilitation, including site preparation, mobilization, construction duration, and demobilization, as appropriate.

10.10.3 GENERAL DESIGN FEATURES OF PROPOSED CATSKILL AQUEDUCT REPAIR AND REHABILITATION PROJECT

This section of the DEIS will discuss the types of activities that would occur along the aqueduct in greater detail. For example, it will describe the proposed chemical system that would be added to inject a chlorine to the headworks of the Ashokan Reservoir Screen Chamber; temporary access points and staging areas associated with the proposed rehabilitation; proposed methods of leak repair or treatment; activities and disposal of water associated with aqueduct cleaning; typical activities required to support installation of new vents and to make mechanical repairs; proposed dechlorination system that would be added adjacent to the Pleasantville Treatment Facility; and how the Catskill Aqueduct would be operated once construction is complete. Routine modifications of water supply system operations, such as the brief shutdowns of DEP’s aqueducts during day-to-day maintenance or inspections, are not subject to environmental review under SEQRA or CEQR.
### Table 10-2: Proposed Catskill Aqueduct Repair and Rehabilitation Study Areas and Work Activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County</th>
<th>Municipality</th>
<th>Study Area</th>
<th>Work Activities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Ulster | Town of Olive      | Ashokan Screen Chamber          | • Construct Catskill Chlorination Facility,  
• Perform cleaning and inspection at 1 location.  
• Construction staging area.                                                                                                                  |
|        |                    | Beaverkill Road                 | • Boathole installation,  
• Perform cleaning and inspection at 1 location.                                                                                                     |
|        |                    | Atwood-Olivebridge Road         | • Boathole installation.  
• Bridge repair.  
• Replace blow-off valves  
• Perform cleaning and inspection at 4 locations.                                                                                     |
|        | Town of Marbletown | Vly Atwood Road                 | • Repair 3 leaks or install 2 dechlorination systems if necessary:  
Leaks 1A, 1B, 2.  
• Perform cleaning and inspection at 1 location.                                                                                       |
|        |                    | Pine Bush Road                  | • Boathole installation.  
• Install 1 air vent: Rondout Downtake  
• Perform cleaning and inspection at 2 locations.                                                                                       |
|        |                    | Warrens Way                     | • Install 3.5 dechlorination systems: Leaks 3A, 3B, 4  
• Bridge repair.                                                                                                                                    |
|        |                    | Canal Road                      | • Repair 1 leak or install 1 dechlorination system if necessary: Leak 5                                                                                                                                            |
|        |                    | Lower Knolls Road               | • Install 1 air vent: Rondout Uptake  
• Perform cleaning and inspection at 2 locations.                                                                                              |
|        | Town of New Paltz  | New Paltz–Minnewaska Road       | • Install 1 air vent: Wallkill Downtake  
• Perform cleaning and inspection at 42 locations.                                                                                             |
|        |                    |                                | • Bridge repair.  
• Repair 1 leak: Poor Farm Arch Bridge Structure  
• Boathole installation.                                                                                                                        |
|        | Town of Gardiner   | Forest Glen Road                | • Construction staging area.  
• Repair 1 leak or install 1 dechlorination system if necessary: Leak 6                                                                          |
|        |                    | Le Ferve Fevre Lane             | • Install 1 air vent: Wallkill Uptake  
• Perform cleaning and inspection at 21 locations.                                                                                              |
|        |                    | Armato Lane                     | • Construction staging area.                                                                                                                          |
Table 10-2: Proposed Catskill Aqueduct Repair and Rehabilitation Study Areas and Work Activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County</th>
<th>Municipality</th>
<th>Study Area</th>
<th>Work Activities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Orange</td>
<td>Town of Shawangunk</td>
<td>Strawridge Road</td>
<td>• Install 2 air vents: St. Elmo Downtake Blot Hole Blot Hole and St. Elmo Uptake Blot Hole Blot Hole</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Perform cleaning and inspection at 3 locations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Construction staging area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Boathole installation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orange</td>
<td>Town of Montgomery</td>
<td>South Plank Road</td>
<td>• Boathole installation,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Perform cleaning and inspection at 1 location.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Replace 1 culvert drain sluice gate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Putnam</td>
<td>Town of New Windsor</td>
<td>Mount Airy Road</td>
<td>• Boathole installation,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Passaro Drive</td>
<td>• Perform cleaning and inspection at 1 location.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Putnam</td>
<td>Village of Nelsonville</td>
<td>Gatehouse Road</td>
<td>• Boathole installation,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fishkill Road</td>
<td>• Perform cleaning and inspection at 1 location.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Bridge repair.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Putnam</td>
<td>Town of Philipstown</td>
<td>Indian Brook Road</td>
<td>• Boathole installation,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Old Albany Post Road</td>
<td>• Bridge repair.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sprout Brook Road</td>
<td>• Perform cleaning and inspection at 1 locations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Boathole installation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Westchester</td>
<td>Town of Cortlandt</td>
<td>Aqueduct Road</td>
<td>• Perform cleaning and inspection at 1 location.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Oregon Road</td>
<td>• Boathole installation,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Jacobs Road</td>
<td>• Perform cleaning and inspection at 1 location.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Replace 3, 12-inch blow-off valves.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Westchester</td>
<td>Town of Yorktown</td>
<td>Jacobs Road</td>
<td>• Boathole installation,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Perform cleaning and inspection at 1 location.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 10-2: Proposed Catskill Aqueduct Repair and Rehabilitation Study Areas and Work Activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County</th>
<th>Municipality</th>
<th>Study Area</th>
<th>Work Activities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Westchester</td>
<td>Town of Yorktown</td>
<td>Chapman Road</td>
<td>• Perform cleaning and inspection at 75 locations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Boathole installation,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Replace 3, 12-inch blow-off valves.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Replace 1 blow-off and waste gate valve.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Temporary road closures: Taconic State Parkway.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Croton Dam Road</td>
<td>• Repair 1 leak or install dechlorination system if necessary:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Leak 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Install 1 air vent: Croton Lake Uptake Boathole</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Perform cleaning and inspection at 42 locations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Kitchawan Road</td>
<td>• Perform cleaning and inspection at 2 locations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Replace 1, 10-inch culvert drain.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Town of New Castle</td>
<td>Station Place</td>
<td>• Perform cleaning and inspection at 31 locations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Boathole installation,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Town of Mount Pleasant</td>
<td>Chappaqua Road</td>
<td>• Repair 2 leaks: Leaks 8, 9.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Replace sluice gate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Perform biofilm removal and inspection at 1 location.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Nanny Hagen Road</td>
<td>• Boathole installation,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Perform cleaning and inspection at 1 location.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Construction staging area,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Westlake Drive</td>
<td>• Repair manhole</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Perform cleaning of sediment at 6 locations along Kensico Bypass.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Village of Pleasantville</td>
<td>Washington Avenue</td>
<td>• Boathole installation,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Perform cleaning and inspection at 3 locations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Replace 1 blow-off and waste gate valve.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pleasantville Treatment Facility</td>
<td>• Construct Pleasantville Dechlorination Facility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Willow Street</td>
<td>• Boathole installation,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Perform cleaning and inspection at 1 location.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note that ongoing inspections and design related activities may identify additional work activities related to the proposed Catskill Aqueduct Repair and Rehabilitation Project. All relevant work associated with the proposed project will be evaluated in the DEIS.
10.10.3.1 Construction

The description of construction activities and equipment will include mobilization, site preparation, demolition, construction, and demobilization, as appropriate, as well the types of equipment that will be present at the sites to carry out these activities. The estimated construction schedule for the proposed rehabilitation (including staging and phasing), an estimate of on-site activity (e.g., type of equipment, number of construction workers, construction hours, etc.) and peak activity period for analysis will be described in the DEIS.

Construction associated with the installation of vents, boatholes, management of discharged materials, and mechanical repairs is expected to occur via access points and temporary staging areas that could be used to stage equipment with minimal disturbance. These staging areas would be located at existing cleared areas along the Catskill Aqueduct, many of which are existing aqueduct access sites. Therefore, the DEIS will include a construction analysis for applicable assessment areas, to be included with the areas as described in Table 10-2. This section of the DEIS will also provide a brief summary description of any identified construction-related impacts and mitigation for the proposed rehabilitation based on results of the analyses presented in the DEIS.

10.10.3.2 Operation

This section of the DEIS will describe operations of the Catskill Aqueduct and its associated facilities for the shutdown and once the repairs are completed. This will include a description of the proposed operation prior to and during temporary shutdown of the RWBT, which would include planned operations for the Catskill Chlorination Facility at the Ashokan Screen Chamber as well as temporary dechlorination facilities at leak sites along the aqueduct and at the Pleasantville Treatment Facility. This section will also describe the proposed operation of the Catskill Aqueduct following the temporary shutdown once the bypass connection to the existing RWBT is complete.

10.10.4 Proposed Catskill Aqueduct Repair and Rehabilitation Project Impact Methodology and Assessment

This section of the DEIS will provide an overview of the assessment categories that will be analyzed in the DEIS and describe the methodologies that will be used to assess potential environmental impacts; it will also describe baseline conditions, the future without the proposed rehabilitation, and the analysis of the potential for construction and potential impacts of the proposed rehabilitation and identified mitigation, as applicable.

This section of the DEIS will also identify any impact categories for which the proposed rehabilitation did not meet applicable CEQR/SEQRA thresholds, thereby screening out those analyses. Construction and operation of the proposed rehabilitation would not result in new structures or additions to existing structures greater than 50 feet, or be located adjacent to, or across from, a sunlight-sensitive resource; result in the generation of 50 tons per week or more of solid waste, or in any significant generation of greenhouse gases (GHGs). Therefore, it is anticipated that the following impact categories will not be evaluated in the DEIS for proposed rehabilitation: shadows; solid waste and sanitation services; and GHGs and climate change.
However, GHGs and climate change will be evaluated cumulatively for the proposed Upstate Water Supply Resiliency and will include any potential contribution from the proposed rehabilitation.

10.10.5 PROPOSED CATSKILL AQUEDUCT REPAIR AND REHABILITATION IMPACT ASSESSMENT

This section of the DEIS will provide an assessment of the potential for impacts of the proposed rehabilitation in all relevant assessment categories by study area. As described, study areas will be defined based on the location of proposed activities along the aqueduct and will be grouped geographically within municipalities (i.e., towns) along segments of the Catskill Aqueduct. For example, if there are three locations within a single municipality where proposed rehabilitation activities are anticipated (e.g., mechanical and leak repairs) and would be located in relatively close geographic proximity, the assessment study area will be circumscribed around those three sites for purposes of analysis in the DEIS. Table 10-2 provides a summary of proposed rehabilitation activities within each municipality, and Figure 10-1 through Figure 10-19 display approximate site locations where activities will be assessed in the DEIS.

This section of the DEIS will include site and study area descriptions, locations, and more detailed descriptions of activities that would occur within the study areas of each town along segments of the Catskill Aqueduct associated with the proposed rehabilitation, as well as construction activities and equipment that pertain to each study area, as appropriate. All categories not screened from the analysis will be evaluated in this manner.
Approximate Location of Ashokan Screen Chamber Study Area:
Town of Olive, Ulster County
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Approximate Location of Beaverkill Road Study Area:
Town of Olive, Ulster County
Approximate Location of Atwood-Olivebridge Road Study Area: Town of Olive, Ulster County

Legend
- Catskill Aqueduct
- Biofilm Removal and Inspection
- Mechanical Repairs
- Boathole Installation
- Bridge Repair
- Waterbody

GIS Data Source:
- Parcels - NYCDEP
- Flood Zone - FEMA Updated: 03/03/2014

Basemap Source:
- Streets: Esri, DeLorme, NAVTEQ, USGS,
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Approximate Location of Vly Atwood Road Study Area:
Town of Marbletown, Ulster County
Approximate Location of Pine Bush Road Study Area: Town of Marbletown, Ulster County
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Approximate Location of Warrens Way Study Area:
Town of Marbetown, Ulster County
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Figure 10-7
Approximate Location of Canal Road Study Area:
Town of Marbletown, Ulster County
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Approximate Location of Lower Knolls Road Study Area:
Town of Marbletown, Ulster County
Approximate Location of New Paltz-Minnewaska Road Study Area: Town of New Paltz, Ulster County
Aqueduct Connection Environmental Support (ACES)

GIS Data Source:
Parcels - NYCDEP
Flood Zone - FEMA Updated: 03/03/2014
Basemap Source:
Streets: Esri, Delorme, NAVTEQ, USGS, Intermap, and others (2013)

Figure 10-11

Approximate Location of Le Fevre Lane Study Area:
Town of Gardiner, Ulster County
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Figure 10-12

Approximate Location of Armato Lane Study Area:
Town of Gardiner, Ulster County
Approximate Location of Strawridge Road Study Area:
Town of Shawangunk, Ulster County
Approximate Location of South Plank Road Study Area:
Town of Montgomery, Orange County

Legend
- Catskill Aqueduct
- Biotiim Removal and Inspection
- Mechanical Repairs
- Boathole Installation
- Waterbody

GIS Data Source:
Parcels - NYCDEP
Flood Zone - FEMA Updated: 03/03/2014
Basemap Source:
Figure 10-15

Approximate Location of Mount Airy Road Study Area:
Town of New Windsor, Orange County
Approximate Location of Passaro Drive Study Area:
Town of New Windsor, Orange County
Approximate Location of Gatehouse Road Study Area:
Village of Nelsonville, Putnam County
Approximate Location of Fishkill Road Study Area:
Village of Nelsonville, Putnam County
Approximate Location of Indian Brook Road Study Area:
Town of Philipstown, Putnam County
Approximate Location of Old Albany Post Road Study Area:
Town of Philipstown, Putnam County
Approximate Location of Sprout Brook Road Study Area:
Town of Philipstown, Putnam County
Approximate Location of Aqueduct Road Study Area:
Town of Cortlandt, Westchester County
Approximate Location of Jacobs Road Study Area:
Town of Yorktown, Westchester County
Approximate Location of Chapman Road Study Area:
Town of Yorktown, Westchester County
Aqueduct Connection Environmental Support (ACES)

GIS Data Source: Parcels - NYCDEP
Flood Zone - FEMA Updated: 03/03/2014

Legend
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- Biofilm Removal and Inspection
- Ventilation Improvements
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- Waterbody

Figure 10-25
Approximate Location of Croton Dam Road Study Area:
Town of Yorktown, Westchester County
Approximate Location of Station Place Study Area:
Town of New Castle, Westchester County
Figure 10-27

Approximate Location of Chappaqua Road Study Area:
Town of Mount Pleasant, Westchester County

Legend
- Catskill Aqueduct
- Biotfilm Removal and Inspection
- Mechanical Repairs
- Leak - Repair
- Waterbody

GIS Data Source:
- Parcels - NYCDEP
- Flood Zone - FEMA Updated: 03/03/2014

Basemap Source:
Approximate Location of Nanny Hagen Road Study Area:
Town of Mount Pleasant, Westchester County
Approximate Location of Westlake Drive Study Area
Town of Mount Pleasant, Westchester County

Figure 10-29
Approximate Location of Washington Avenue Study Area:
Village of Pleasantville, Westchester County
Figure 10-31

Approximate Location of Pleasantville Treatment Facility Study Area:
Village of Pleasantville, Westchester County
Approximate Location of Willow Street Study Area:
Village of Pleasantville, Westchester County

GIS Data Source:
Parcels - NYCDEP
Flood Zone - FEMA Updated: 03/03/2014
Basemap Source:
10.10.5.1 Land Use, Zoning and Public Policy

Some of the activities associated with proposed rehabilitation of the aqueduct may potentially affect land use or zoning within a study area. An assessment of the potential for construction or operation of the proposed rehabilitation to affect land use, zoning, or public policy will be included in the DEIS.

The land use analysis will describe existing land uses within each study area. Land use information will be compiled and mapped from published data, and supplemented with field surveys and aerial photography, as appropriate. The land use analysis will also provide a baseline for other analyses such as neighborhood character, where applicable. The zoning analysis will describe existing zoning regulations that apply to the study areas, including information on allowed uses within the zoning districts. Any pending zoning actions that may affect land use patterns in the study areas will also be identified. Lastly, the public policy analysis will outline public policies that may apply to each study area, including any adopted or proposed neighborhood or community plans, Local Waterfront Revitalization Programs (LWRP), Scenic Areas of Statewide Significance (SASS), Significant Coastal Fish and Wildlife Habitats (SCFWH), and landward coastal boundaries.

Therefore, in general, this DEIS chapter will: (1) demarcate study areas within each municipality associated with the proposed rehabilitation; (2) describe existing conditions in the study areas, including existing land uses and zoning; (3) describe current predominant land use patterns in the study areas, including recent development trends; (4) summarize other public policies that may apply to each study area, including any adopted or proposed neighborhood or community plans; and (5) provide an assessment of the potential for impacts from the proposed rehabilitation on land use and land use trends, zoning, and public policy.

The descriptions of future conditions without the proposed rehabilitation will be based upon information on planned improvements obtained from DEP and the local counties and municipalities in which the study areas are located. In addition, the DEIS will include an assessment of any property access that would be required by DEP associated with the proposed rehabilitation.

10.10.5.2 Socioeconomic Conditions

The socioeconomic assessment in the DEIS will provide a screening assessment against applicable CEQR guidelines to describe and document existing socioeconomic conditions and trends in the study areas associated with the proposed rehabilitation that could potentially be affected and result in significant impacts due to (1) direct residential displacement; (2) direct business displacement; (3) indirect residential displacement; (4) indirect business displacement; and (5) adverse effects on a specific industry.

The socioeconomic analysis pertaining to the costs associated with the proposed Upstate Water Supply Resiliency project, including the proposed rehabilitation, would be addressed through a shared distribution of costs across DEP’s water supply network, including City and upstate customers, through changes in the water rate due to project costs. Therefore, potential changes to the water rate as a result of the proposed Upstate Water Supply Resiliency project, and those
evaluated in the previous EIS, will be described in Chapter 12, “Cumulative Impacts of Proposed Upstate Water Supply Resiliency Project”, of the DEIS.

10.10.5.3 Community Facilities and Services

There may be temporary changes to community services associated with the proposed rehabilitation (e.g., police associated with traffic control during construction or equipment deliveries associated with inspection and/or cleaning). Therefore, as applicable, the community facilities and services assessment will: (1) identify the local community facilities within the study areas and service providers that would service these study areas within each municipality; (2) describe any expected uses of those community facilities and services; and (3) provide an assessment of the potential for impacts from the proposed rehabilitation on those community facilities and services.

10.10.5.4 Open Space and Recreation

A screening level assessment will be prepared to determine whether construction or operation of the proposed rehabilitation has the potential to adversely affect open space and recreation, thereby warranting further analysis. Specifically, an inventory of existing open space and recreational resources will be conducted within the study areas to determine if any resources would potentially be displaced or are located in close enough proximity to potentially affected areas, such as those where leaks will be treated or repaired, to warrant an analysis of potential impacts. Results of the open space and recreation screening assessment and analysis will be presented in the DEIS, as applicable.

10.10.5.5 Critical Environmental Areas

There are a total of six Critical Environmental Areas (CEAs) in the vicinity of the Catskill Aqueduct between Ashokan and Kensico Reservoirs: two in Ulster County and four in Westchester County. In Ulster County, the two CEAs near the Catskill Aqueduct are the Woodstock Wetlands CEA, which is located north of the Ashokan Reservoir within the DEP watershed, and the Wallkill Public Water Supply, Watershed, and Aquifer CEA in the Town of Shawangunk, Ulster County, New York. In Westchester County, the Catskill Aqueduct runs through the County and State Parklands CEA just north and south of New Croton Reservoir. The second CEA in Westchester County is the Croton Point Park CEA near New Croton Reservoir. Near Kensico Reservoir, under which the Catskill Aqueduct travels, are the Westchester County Airport 60 Ldn Noise Contour CEA, the County Designated Watershed CEA, and the County and State Parklands CEA. A screening assessment will be included in the DEIS to determine whether any study areas are located within a CEA. The potential for construction or operation activities associated with the proposed rehabilitation to affect or be affected by the environmental characteristics of these CEAs will be assessed in the DEIS.

10.10.5.6 Historic and Cultural Resources

This section of the DEIS will include an assessment of the potential for impacts to historical and cultural resources that could occur as a result of construction activities associated with the proposed rehabilitation. Many of the activities associated with the proposed rehabilitation would occur in areas that were previously disturbed during construction of the Catskill Aqueduct or are
located on steep slopes (greater than 12 percent) or in areas of standing water. As required, this analysis will include identification of cultural and archaeological resources and architectural resources with historic significance that could potentially be affected by the proposed rehabilitation, and will also include consultation with the New York State Office of Parks, Recreation, and Historic Preservation (OPRHP). The consultation request will be comprised of site location maps and photographic documentation of the existing study areas and surroundings.

If any resources of potential historic and/or cultural significance are identified in specific study areas, an inspection of the identified sites and study areas, by way of additional field surveys and documentary research, may be required. If required, the assessment will include preparation of a Phase I Archaeological Survey consisting of a Phase IA Literature Review and Sensitivity Assessment and a Phase IB Archaeological Field Reconnaissance Survey. The Phase IB Archaeological Field Reconnaissance Survey would consist of shovel tests to evaluate the potential impact of the project on archaeological resources, as required. Shovel tests would not occur in standing water, slopes of greater than 12 percent, or areas of previous disturbance. During the Phase IB survey, any precontact (Native American) cultural material and any significant historic artifacts such as glass, ceramics, food remains, and hardware identified during the fieldwork would be identified and collected. Results of the historic and cultural resources assessment will be presented in the DEIS.

10.10.5.7 Visual Resources

This section of the DEIS will assess the potential for impacts on visual resources from construction or operation of the proposed rehabilitation, as some components of the proposed rehabilitation may result in construction of new structures that may alter existing view corridors (i.e., leak dechlorination systems and a dechlorination system at the Pleasantville Treatment Facility). The assessment will focus on the potential observable changes to the view corridor visible by the general public. The study area for the assessment of visual resources will be consistent with that of the study areas used for the analysis of land use, zoning, and public policy, but may also include view corridors that extend beyond those study areas based on the locations that are publicly accessible, as required.

A screening assessment will be included in the DEIS to determine whether a visual assessment pursuant to the NYSDEC policy, “Assessing and Mitigating Visual Impacts,” and CEQR criteria is warranted at those sites where new structures associated with the proposed rehabilitation would be built. If required, a visual assessment will be prepared in conformance with the applicable NYSDEC and CEQR criteria. In this assessment, an inventory of sensitive visual resources will be compiled to determine the potential for views towards the site and study areas. In addition, the incremental changes to views that are deemed to have aesthetic value from sensitive visual resources will be characterized in the DEIS both in a narrative format and through the use of images depicting conditions in the future with and without the proposed project. This will be completed using images depicting conditions in the future with and without the new structures, as warranted.
10.10.5.8 Natural Resources

It is anticipated that there would be disturbance to some natural resources associated with construction and/or operation of components of the proposed rehabilitation. Disturbance to natural resources associated with some of the planned activities (e.g., mechanical repairs or addition of vents) are expected to be minimal and/or temporary and may warrant only a screening level assessment, while others may require more detailed assessments. Effects on natural resources could result from construction of facilities, leak treatment, and preparation of access and staging areas at points along the Catskill Aqueduct. Effects on natural resources could also result from repairs that would eliminate contributions of water leaking from the aqueduct in the vicinity of some natural resources (e.g., wetlands) and in downstream areas and receiving waterbodies. Natural resources encompass geology and soils, water resources, aquatic resources, terrestrial resources, and endangered, threatened, and species of special concern. Desktop review, agency consultations, and field surveys will be conducted, as applicable, to identify and map locations and types of natural resources within study areas that have the potential to be affected. Once natural resources are identified, a screening level assessment will be conducted to determine the potential for significant adverse impacts to these resources based on the type and location of activity associated with the proposed rehabilitation. Based on the results of the screening assessment, a detailed analysis will be prepared and presented in the DEIS, as applicable.

The desktop analyses and agency consultations will be used to identify existing natural resources within the study areas associated with activities that could affect natural resources, and will include:

- Coordinating with the New York Natural Heritage Program (NYNHP), NYSDEC Central and Regional Offices, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE), USFWS, and National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), as applicable, to determine whether further on-site analyses will be necessary as well as county and local offices;
- Contacting NYNHP to determine whether endangered, threatened, species of special concern, as well as candidate, proposed or rare species of plants and wildlife or unique and critical habitats were reported as occurring on or adjacent to the study areas that could be affected by the proposed rehabilitation. NYNHP provides a database listing that identifies the species and/or habitats with state, heritage and global rankings, along with other information related to the species. NYSDEC Central and Regional offices and NMFS may be contacted to provide additional information on species, locations, and habitats identified;
- Consultation with USFWS, as necessary, based on species identified. USFWS provides an online report of any federally listed endangered, threatened, candidate, or proposed for...
listing species known to exist within the county of the proposed project. A USFWS online project review will be conducted and submitted to the USFWS. In addition, local and county legislation related to endangered, threatened, and species of special concern will be reviewed and species lists compared with State and federal species information to ensure relevant flora and fauna will be identified. Species provided protection under the Migratory Bird Treaty Act of 1918, and other protective legislation such as the Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act, will be evaluated if documented to occur within the project area. Pertinent species information provided by these agencies and sources will be included in the study area descriptions in the DEIS and will inform the DEIS analysis; and

- Where they may be affected, conducting Bald Eagle breeding and nonbreeding desktop analyses, and assessing available DEP data to determine if a buffer restriction would be required in accordance with the USFWS’s “National Bald Eagle Management Guidelines.”

Based on these results, natural resources field surveys for regulated habitats and listed, proposed, and candidate species or habitats identified as potentially occurring in the study areas will be conducted to establish baseline conditions. The study areas for these surveys will be determined based on proposed site-specific activities and potential for impacts. Based on conceptual level plans, the temporary dechlorination facilities are expected to be either small enclosures containing minimal electric equipment or passive chemical addition systems; they would be located within the study area boundary. However, in some cases, where suspected leak flowpaths travel a distance from the Catskill Aqueduct, or have the potential to influence downstream resources, certain natural resources surveys may require a larger study area (e.g., Phase II surveys of bog turtle habitat to assess the full extent of potential habitat).

Field surveys that will be conducted to identify existing natural resources within the study areas, as applicable, include:

- Delineation and characterization of wetlands and watercourses within the study areas. The wetland delineations will follow USACE’s three parameter methodology as described in the 1987 USACE wetland delineation manual (Environmental Laboratory 1987), and the Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Northcentral and Northeast Region (Version 2.0) (USACE 2012). The watercourses will be delineated using indicators of ordinary high water marks as described in 33 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) 328.3. Additionally, surveys of flowpaths from the Catskill Aqueduct will be conducted to provide information on the potential connectivity of leak flows to existing Waters of the United States;

- Conducting terrestrial surveys to identify existing habitats and species occurring within and near or adjacent to each study area. Surveys of sites that require upland disturbance will include identifying ecological communities and making incidental observations of mammal, avian, and amphibians and reptiles;
• Conducting benthic macroinvertebrate surveys, as necessary, for existing watercourses identified as having a potential to be adversely affected by the proposed rehabilitation within or downstream of the study areas; and

• For protected species or their habitats that may be affected by the project, conducting field investigations of the study areas by biologists to document the extent of the habitat, the context of its surroundings, and the area that may be affected by the proposed rehabilitation activities. These field surveys may include habitat assessments (e.g., Phase I bog turtle habitat survey, Phase I Indiana bat summer habitat surveys, NYNHP Rare Plant Survey), or more detailed visual assessments for the species (e.g., Phase II bog turtle visual survey). Surveyor qualifications and survey methodologies for endangered, threatened, species of special concern, and proposed and candidate species will be consistent with federal and state guidelines, as applicable.

The potential for direct or indirect physical effects of the proposed rehabilitation activities on these natural resources will be assessed. In study areas where flows from leaks that would be considered for repair contribute to downstream waterbodies, estimates of the flow contribution from the leaks will be prepared and compared to naturally occurring flows. The assessment will include a summary of the affected resources, whether the resource is state or federally regulated, if the impacts are direct or indirect, the duration of the impact whether temporary or permanent, and the severity of the impact. These items would be taken into consideration when determining whether an effect would be considered an impact, and if the impacts can be mitigated. The results of the assessment will be included in the DEIS.

10.10.5.9 Hazardous Materials

There is the potential for ground disturbance associated with the proposed rehabilitation along temporary access roads and staging areas or where chlorination/dechlorination systems are constructed. The following will be prepared for study areas where subsurface disturbance would occur: a Phase I ESA in accordance with the most recent ASTM 1527-13 Standard, and, if appropriate, a Phase II ESA in accordance with ASTM E-1903, including physical sampling of media (e.g., soil, groundwater, and soil gas) on a proposed site of concern identified in a Phase I ESA. Results of the Phase II ESA will be used to determine the need for contaminant mitigation prior to commencing construction. Results of the Phase I and any Phase II ESAs will be presented in the DEIS.

In addition, the project will require storing or using chemicals on-site, particularly at the Ashokan Screen Chamber and Pleasantville Treatment Facility. An assessment of the potential for the proposed rehabilitation to result in impacts related to hazardous materials, including chemicals stored or used for the project during operation, will be included in the DEIS. The DEIS will also include a summary of protocols to be implemented during construction of the rehabilitation project components to limit public and construction workers’ exposure to potential contaminants, if required.
10.10.5.10 Water and Sewer Infrastructure

A water and sewer infrastructure assessment will be conducted to determine if construction or operation associated with the proposed rehabilitation, including temporary Catskill Aqueduct shutdowns or discharges from the project during both phases has the potential to cause a significant adverse impact to water and sewer infrastructure.

An evaluation of the potential for aqueduct shutdowns to impact the 14 community users who draw water from the aqueduct will also be conducted and presented in the DEIS. DEP has an ongoing program working with these water suppliers, and the shutdowns will be temporary and coordinated with these users. The analysis will include an inventory and review of the water suppliers that rely upon the Catskill Aqueduct for water supply.

Discharges during construction and operation activities associated with the proposed rehabilitation would be directed to a stormwater and/or sewer system, trucked and hauled, or treated for permitted discharge into local waterbodies in the vicinity of each site, as applicable. If warranted, a stormwater and/or sewer infrastructure assessment will be prepared and presented in the DEIS. The assessment will include:

- An inventory of existing water and sewer infrastructure servicing each study area where these activities would occur;
- Estimates of increased water use and any changes to impervious areas for each study area to confirm the project would not exceed CEQR thresholds for stormwater, including any changes resulting from the addition of impervious surfaces for parking areas (including gravel areas) and building roofs;
- The addition of new stormwater and drainage features surrounding the study areas;
- Estimates of increased discharges to the sewer system for each study area;
- A comparison of estimated project-generated water supply demand, stormwater and sewage systems with the infrastructure available to meet these demands; and
- An examination of the need for additional infrastructure and utilities to support the proposed rehabilitation.

10.10.5.11 Energy

Energy use associated with the proposed rehabilitation would primarily be related to operation of the chlorination and dechlorination facilities prior to and during the temporary shutdown. The total amount of energy use at each site will be minimal, and, as a result, a screening level assessment will be prepared to determine whether operation of the proposed rehabilitation has the potential to adversely affect energy, thereby warranting further analysis. Specifically, a review of onsite energy sources and a description of any new energy sources (e.g., generators) will be conducted within the study areas to determine if any energy resource would potentially be affected, or require the need for additional infrastructure and electric or gas utilities. Results of the energy screening assessment and analysis will be presented in the DEIS.
10.10.5.12 Transportation

This assessment will consider the extent and duration of any increase in vehicle trips from temporary workers and equipment associated with construction and operation of chlorination and dechlorination facilities and systems, inspection and cleaning, and repair and vent installation activities, as applicable; any street, roadway, or sidewalk closure; potential for impacts on the parking supply; and any loss in other transportation services during the various phases of construction and operation of the proposed rehabilitation. Results of the transportation analysis, if required, will be presented in the DEIS.

10.10.5.13 Air Quality

The air quality analysis section will contain a discussion of both mobile source emissions from temporary equipment and worker and delivery vehicles from construction and operation of chlorination and dechlorination facilities and systems; inspection and cleaning; repair; and vent installation activities, as applicable. A screening assessment will be prepared for emissions from construction equipment and construction-related mobile sources. Results of the construction air quality screening assessment and analysis will be presented in the DEIS. The assessment in the DEIS will also evaluate the potential for odors from discharge of materials associated with treating and/or cleaning biofilm from the Catskill Aqueduct, as applicable.

10.10.5.14 Noise

A noise screening analysis will be conducted to determine if construction or operation associated with the proposed rehabilitation, including chlorination and dechlorination facilities and systems, inspection and cleaning, or repair and vent installation activities, have the potential to cause a significant adverse noise impact at noise-sensitive receptors. For vehicular noise sources, a noise impact screening analysis will be conducted based on the estimated change in project-generated vehicles. If proposed changes to the Ashokan Screen Chamber, Pleasantville Treatment Facility or at temporary staging or access areas would result in a doubling of existing noise Passenger Car Equivalents (PCEs), a detailed vehicular noise analysis will be prepared. Intensive noise levels would be anticipated to only be generated during a portion of the construction period. Therefore, a detailed construction analysis may not be warranted if it is demonstrated that construction activities would not result in periods with noise levels that would be long term (i.e., more than two years) or potentially intrusive. Results of the noise screening assessment and analysis will be presented in the DEIS.

10.10.5.15 Neighborhood Character

An assessment of the potential for construction or operation of the proposed rehabilitation to affect neighborhood or community character within each municipality where activities would occur will be included in the DEIS. The conditions, as they exist in the study areas, will be described. The neighborhood character assessment will be conducted as follows:

- Based on planned development and/or projects in the vicinity of the study areas, public policy initiatives, and planned public improvements, anticipated changes in the character of the area in the future without the proposed rehabilitation will be summarized; and
Based on other technical analyses, the predominant factors that contribute to defining the character of the neighborhood surrounding the study areas will be described. The degree and type of change from the proposed rehabilitation’s effect on neighborhood character using the analysis of the potential for impacts as presented in other relevant analyses (this could include, but not be limited to visual resources, historic resources, traffic, and noise) will be assessed and described.

10.10.5.16 Public Health

A public health assessment is not warranted if a project does not result in a significant unmitigated adverse impact in other analysis areas, such as air quality, water quality, hazardous materials, or noise. If an unmitigated significant adverse impact is identified in the relevant technical areas of the DEIS for either construction or operation of the proposed rehabilitation, a public health assessment will be presented in the DEIS.

10.10.6 Mitigation

This section of the DEIS will provide a brief summary description of any identified impacts and mitigation for the proposed rehabilitation based on results of the analyses presented in the DEIS.

10.11 DEIS CHAPTER 10: PROPOSED WATER FOR THE FUTURE SHUTDOWN SYSTEM OPERATIONS

10.11.1 Proposed Water for the Future Shutdown System Operations Overview

This section of the DEIS will introduce the proposed WSSO component of WFF as described in Section 8.1.2, “Proposed Water for the Future Shutdown System Operations,” of this draft final scope. This section of the DEIS will contain a background description of WSSO and include figures depicting relevant locations. The WSSO would involve a unique alteration of the operations of the City’s Delaware, Catskill, and Croton Systems in advance of, during, and after the eight-month temporary shutdown. During this temporary period, the City’s reservoirs and receiving waterbodies would be operated at higher or lower levels than on average. The locations of water supply system reservoirs and receiving waterbodies, as well as a listing of the communities where these water bodies are located, are provided in Table 10-3, Figure 10-20 and Figure 10-21, Figure 10-33 and Figure 10-34. Construction and operation of facilities required to support WSSO will also be evaluated in the DEIS, including the use of siphons at Rondout Reservoir to manage the Rondout Reservoir releases during the temporary shutdown, and augmented alum treatment at the Pleasantville Treatment Facility required to manage episodic high turbidity events to ensure continued reliance on the Catskill System during the temporary shutdown. The DEIS will also include an analysis of additional alum floc deposition that may occur within Kensico Reservoir from augmented temporary alum treatment.
Table 10-3: Water Supply System Reservoirs and Receiving Waterbodies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County</th>
<th>Municipalities</th>
<th>Reservoir</th>
<th>Receiving Water Body</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>DELAWARE WATER SUPPLY SYSTEM</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delaware and Broome</td>
<td>Deposit, Village of Deposit, Tompkins, Walton, Hancock, Village of Hancock, Sanford</td>
<td>Cannonsville Reservoir</td>
<td>West Branch Delaware River West Delaware Aqueduct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delaware, Sullivan, and Orange</td>
<td>Colchester, Andes, Middletown, Hancock, Village of Hancock, Fremont, Delaware, Cochecton, Tusten, Highland, Lumberland, Deerpark, City of Port Jervis</td>
<td>Pepacton Reservoir</td>
<td>East Branch Delaware River East Delaware Aqueduct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sullivan and Orange</td>
<td>Neversink, Fallsburg, Thompson, Forestburgh, Deerpark, City of Port Jervis</td>
<td>Neversink Reservoir</td>
<td>Neversink River Neversink Tunnel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ulster and Sullivan</td>
<td>Neversink, Wawarsing, Rochester, Marbletown, Rosendale, Esopus, Ulster, City of Kingston</td>
<td>Rondout Reservoir</td>
<td>Rondout Creek Delaware Aqueduct/ RWBT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CATSKILL WATER SUPPLY SYSTEM</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schoharie, Delaware and Greene</td>
<td>Gilboa, Conesville, Prattsville, Roxbury, Olive, Shandaken, Prattsville, Lexington, Blenheim</td>
<td>Schoharie Reservoir</td>
<td>Shandaken Tunnel/ Upper Esopus Creek Schoharie Creek</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ulster</td>
<td>Olive, Marbetown, Hurley, Ulster, City of Kingston, Saugerties, Village of Saugerties</td>
<td>Ashokan Reservoir</td>
<td>Lower Esopus Creek Catskill Aqueduct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CROTON WATER SUPPLY SYSTEM</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Putnam and Westchester</td>
<td>Kent, Carmel, Somers</td>
<td>Boyds Corners Reservoir</td>
<td>West Branch Croton River</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Putnam and Westchester</td>
<td>Kent, Carmel, Somers</td>
<td>West Branch Reservoir</td>
<td>Delaware Aqueduct West Branch Croton River</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Putnam</td>
<td>Southeast</td>
<td>Bog Brook Reservoir</td>
<td>Bog Brook Connecting Tunnel to East Branch Reservoir</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Putnam and Westchester</td>
<td>Southeast, Village of Brewster, Somers, North Salem</td>
<td>East Branch Reservoir</td>
<td>East Branch Croton River</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Putnam and Westchester</td>
<td>Carmel, Southeast, Village of Brewster, Somers, North Salem</td>
<td>Croton Falls Diverting Reservoir</td>
<td>East Branch Croton River Rock Connecting Channel to Croton Falls Reservoir</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Putnam</td>
<td>Southeast, Carmel</td>
<td>Middle Branch Reservoir</td>
<td>Middle Branch Croton River Croton Falls Reservoir</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 10-3: Water Supply System Reservoirs and Receiving Waterbodies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County</th>
<th>Municipalities(^1)</th>
<th>Reservoir</th>
<th>Receiving Water Body</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Putnam and Westchester</td>
<td>Carmel, Southeast, Kent, Somers</td>
<td>Croton Falls Reservoir</td>
<td>Croton Falls Hydraulic Pump Station to Delaware Aqueduct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rock Connecting Channel to Croton Falls Diverting Reservoir</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>West Branch Croton River</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Westchester</td>
<td>North Salem</td>
<td>Titicus Reservoir</td>
<td>Titicus River</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Westchester and Putnam</td>
<td>Somers</td>
<td>Amawalk Reservoir</td>
<td>Muscoot River</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Westchester</td>
<td>Bedford, Lewisboro, Pound Ridge</td>
<td>Cross River Reservoir</td>
<td>Cross River Hydraulic Pump Station to Delaware Aqueduct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cross River</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Westchester</td>
<td>Lewisboro, Somers, Bedford, North Salem</td>
<td>Muscoot Reservoir</td>
<td>New Croton Reservoir</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Westchester</td>
<td>Cortlandt, Yorktown, Somers, New Castle, Bedford, Lewisboro, Village of Ossining, Ossining, Village of Croton-on-Hudson</td>
<td>New Croton Reservoir</td>
<td>Croton River</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>New Croton Aqueduct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Westchester</td>
<td>Mount Pleasant, North Castle, Town/Village of Harrison</td>
<td>Kensico Reservoir</td>
<td>Delaware Aqueduct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Catskill Aqueduct</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note:
\(^1\) All municipalities listed are towns unless otherwise noted. The listed municipalities represent those which surround the water bodies and may ultimately fall within the WSSO study area.
Approximate Location of WFF Shutdown System Operations:
Delaware and Catskill Water Supply System Study Areas
Approximate Location of WFF Shutdown System Operations:
Croton Water Supply System Study Area

Legend:
- Delaware Aqueduct
- Catskill Aqueduct
- Croton System
- Delaware System

Note: Study area will include interconnecting and downstream water bodies as appropriate.

Basemap Sources:
- Aerial: Esri, DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, i-cubed, USDA and others
10.11.2 SCHEDULE

This section of the DEIS will include an overview of the schedule for proposed WSSO that includes activities associated with preparation for, and implementation of modified operations of the water supply system during the temporary shutdown.

10.11.3 GENERAL DESIGN FEATURES OF PROPOSED WATER FOR THE FUTURE SHUTDOWN SYSTEM OPERATIONS

This section of the DEIS will include a synopsis of the general techniques DEP will use to support the proposed WSSO, including timing of the changed operations, interactions between the City’s three surface water supply systems during the temporary shutdown, techniques that would be employed to move water into and out of the water supply system, and new facilities that would be required to support the proposed WSSO. The rest of this chapter of the DEIS will be organized into two parts, one analyzing changes to the Delaware System, and the other analyzing changes to the Catskill and Croton Systems.

10.11.3.1 Construction

This section of the DEIS will include a description of the construction activities and equipment associated with the installation of siphons at Rondout Reservoir and a facility to supplement alum treatment at the Pleasantville Treatment Facility. The description of construction activities and equipment will include mobilization, site preparation, construction and demobilization, as appropriate, as well the types of equipment that will be present on-site to carry out these activities.

10.11.3.2 Operation

This section of the DEIS will describe how DEP would operate its three surface water supply systems to support the temporary shutdown. Routine modifications of water supply system operations, such as the brief shutdowns of DEP’s aqueducts during day-to-day maintenance or inspections, are not subject to environmental review under SEQRA or CEQR. It will include a description of operations necessary to prepare for the temporary shutdown. In advance of the temporary shutdown, DEP will rely on the Delaware System to maximize storage in the Catskill and Croton Systems. During the temporary shutdown (when the Delaware System is unavailable), DEP would rely more heavily on the Catskill and Croton Systems in conjunction with managing of releases from the Delaware System. Following the temporary shutdown, DEP would operate the entire system to ensure a full recovery from the temporary shutdown, including balancing the system once the Delaware System is back online.

10.11.4 PROPOSED WATER FOR THE FUTURE SHUTDOWN SYSTEM OPERATIONS: DELAWARE SYSTEM DESCRIPTION

This section of the DEIS will introduce WSSO of the Delaware System, which would result in temporary changes to water levels. As described in Section 8.1.2, “Proposed Water for the Future Shutdown System Operations,” of this draft final scope, the first element of the proposed WSSO
analyzed in the DEIS will focus on management of Delaware System releases. The DEIS will describe altered discharges from the Pepacton, Cannonsville, Neversink, and Rondout Reservoirs to tributaries of the Delaware River (West and East Branches of the Delaware River and Neversink River) and Rondout Creek, respectively. Pepacton, Cannonsville, and Neversink Reservoirs have sufficient release capacities to manage reservoir levels, but Rondout Reservoir would require the construction of temporary siphons to manage releases into Rondout Creek during the temporary shutdown. To that end, this section will provide information on the installation and operation of siphons at Rondout Reservoir during the temporary shutdown.

The section will contain a brief background on the Delaware Aqueduct and the Delaware watershed; figures depicting locations of DEP’s reservoirs and receiving waterbodies in the Delaware System that will experience changes during the proposed WSSO associated with the temporary shutdown; relevant study areas; and a discussion of required approvals.

10.11.5 PROPOSED WATER FOR THE FUTURE SHUTDOWN SYSTEM OPERATIONS: DELAWARE SYSTEM IMPACT METHODOLOGY AND ASSESSMENT

This section of the DEIS will discuss the proposed WSSO of the Delaware System that would result in changes during the temporary shutdown, including construction of the temporary siphons. The DEIS will (1) provide an overview of the assessment categories that will be analyzed in the DEIS and describe the methodologies that will be used to assess potential environmental impacts; and (2) describe baseline conditions, the future without WSSO, and the analysis of the potential for construction and operational impacts of WSSO and identified mitigation, as applicable.

This section of the DEIS will also identify any impact categories for which the proposed WSSO did not meet applicable CEQR/SEQRA thresholds, thereby screening out those analyses. There are no CEAs in the vicinity of the Delaware System associated with the Cannonsville, Pepacton, Neversink and Rondout Reservoirs. Proposed WSSO of the Delaware System, including construction of temporary siphons at Rondout Reservoir, are not anticipated to result in new structures or additions to existing structures with heights greater than 50 feet, or be located adjacent to, or across from, a sunlight-sensitive resource; result in the generation of 50 tons per week or more of solid waste, or any significant energy use or generation of GHGs. Therefore, it is anticipated that the following impact categories will not be evaluated in the DEIS for proposed WSSO of the Delaware System: CEAs; shadows; solid waste and sanitation services; energy; and GHGs and climate change. However, energy; and GHGs and climate change will be evaluated cumulatively for the proposed Upstate Water Supply Resiliency project and will include any potential contribution from the proposed WSSO of the Delaware System.

10.11.6 PROPOSED WATER FOR THE FUTURE SHUTDOWN SYSTEM OPERATIONS: DELAWARE SYSTEM IMPACT ASSESSMENT

This section of the DEIS will provide an assessment of the potential for impacts associated with WSSO of the Delaware System during the temporary shutdown, which will include increased releases into receiving waterbodies, and construction and operation of temporary siphons on Merriman Dam at Rondout Reservoir. This section of the DEIS will include a description of the Delaware System reservoirs and receiving waterbodies study areas where there is the potential
for impacts, construction activities and equipment related to construction and operation of the
siphons, as well as activities associated with the proposed WSSO, as appropriate, and provide an
assessment of the potential for impacts in relevant assessment categories. The study area for this
work will encompass the properties within which incremental changes to the vertical and
horizontal areas of inundation around project waterways are anticipated as a result of WSSO
(See Figure 10-20 Figure 10-33). The incremental changes will be defined as those between that
which would be typically inundated by natural flow and operational conditions and that which
would be inundated or exposed under implementation of WSSO within or downstream of the
Delaware System.

10.11.6.1 Land Use, Zoning and Public Policy

There may be temporary changes to the receiving waterbodies in the Delaware System as a result
of increased releases during the temporary shutdown and during construction of the proposed
siphons. As applicable, an assessment of the potential for the proposed WSSO of the Delaware
System and construction of the siphons to affect land use, zoning, or public policy will be
included in the DEIS. As applicable, the assessment in the land use and zoning chapter will
evaluate the potential for impacts within the WSSO Delaware System study area and
approximately 400 feet around the location of the proposed temporary siphons at Rondout
Reservoir. An assessment of the potential for the proposed WSSO and siphons to affect land use
will be included in the DEIS. More specifically, the land use analysis will describe existing land
uses within each study area. Land use information will be compiled and mapped from published
data, supplemented with field surveys and aerial photography, as appropriate. A general
description of land use patterns using existing published sources of information and field
reconnaissance will also be provided. The zoning analysis will describe existing zoning
regulations that apply to the study areas, including information on allowed uses within the
zoning districts. Any pending zoning actions that may affect land use patterns in the study areas
will also be identified. Lastly, the public policy analysis will outline public policies that may
apply, including any adopted or proposed neighborhood, community plans, LWRP, SASS,
SCFWH, and landward coastal boundaries.

The descriptions of future conditions without the proposed WSSO will be based upon
information obtained from DEP and the local counties and municipalities in which the
waterbodies and Rondout Reservoir are located. In addition, the DEIS will include an assessment
of any temporary property access that would be required by DEP to implement the proposed
WSSO of the Delaware System and construct the proposed siphons.

10.11.6.2 Socioeconomic Conditions

During the temporary shutdown, increased flows to the Delaware River and Rondout Creek will
be assessed for the potential to affect local businesses that rely on its resources (e.g., fishing).
The socioeconomic assessment in the DEIS will provide a screening assessment against
applicable CEQR guidelines to describe and document existing socioeconomic conditions and
trends in the study areas of the East and West Branches of the Delaware River, Neversink River,
and Rondout Creek, as well as changes to operation of hydroelectric facilities that are powered
by flows through the Delaware System tunnels that could potentially be affected by the
temporary shutdown and result in significant impacts due to (1) direct residential displacement;
(2) direct business displacement; (3) indirect residential displacement; (4) indirect business
displacement; and (5) adverse effects on a specific industry.

The socioeconomic analysis pertaining to the costs associated with the proposed Upstate Water
Supply Resiliency project, including the proposed WSSO of the Delaware System, would be
addressed through a shared distribution of costs across DEP’s water supply network, including
City and upstate customers, through changes in the water rate due to project costs. Therefore,
potential changes to the water rate as a result of the proposed Upstate Water Supply Resiliency
project, and those evaluated in the previous EIS, will be described in Chapter 12, “Cumulative
Impacts of Proposed Upstate Water Supply Resiliency Project,” of the DEIS.

10.11.6.3 Community Facilities and Services

There may be temporary changes to community services associated with the Delaware System
during the temporary shutdown related to WSSO of the Delaware System and construction of the
proposed temporary siphons at Rondout Reservoir. Therefore, as applicable, the community
facilities and services assessment will (1) identify the local community facilities within the study
areas identified in the land use, zoning and public policy section and service providers that would
service these study areas; (2) describe any temporary expected uses of those community facilities
and services; and (3) provide an assessment of the potential for impacts from the proposed
WSSO of the Delaware system on those community facilities and services.

10.11.6.4 Open Space and Recreation

Recreational activities near the Delaware System reservoirs and receiving waterbodies will be
assessed for the potential to be affected by the temporary changes in release flows or reservoir
elevation associated with the proposed temporary shutdown and construction and operation of
the siphons.

This section of the DEIS will present data on open spaces and recreational activities near the
Delaware reservoirs and receiving waterbodies that may be temporarily affected by the proposed
WSSO. A screening level assessment will be prepared to determine whether the temporary
Delaware System releases to the receiving waterbodies within these systems or operation of the
proposed siphons would have the potential to result in adverse impacts on open space and
recreation, thus warranting further analysis. As applicable, the open space and recreation
assessment will consist of the following steps:

- Map and describe existing open spaces and recreation areas in the relevant study areas,
  including those identified in local open space plans;

- Identify and describe predominant open space patterns and recreational activities in the
  study areas (e.g., fishing, boating, bathing beaches and marinas) based on discussions
  with DEP staff who manage recreation on the reservoirs, existing information included in
  GIS for the area, and compiled field surveys;

- Use the results of system modeling that describes the amounts and timing of releases and
  spills in the Delaware System reservoirs and receiving waterbodies to superimpose flows
and elevations from the reservoir and receiving waterbodies against those typically observed, and identify potential differences that may have an impact on accessibility or use of these waterbodies; and

- Specifically for Rondout Creek, use hydrologic and hydraulic modeling conducted by DEP as described in the natural resources assessment section (Section 10.11.6.8, “Natural Resources”) to assess how releases and spills from Rondout Reservoir may impact water levels within Rondout Creek during the temporary shutdown and any associated effect on open space and recreation (e.g., fishing or boating within Rondout Creek).

### 10.11.6.5 Critical Environmental Areas

There are no CEAs in the vicinity of the Delaware System associated with the Cannonsville, Pepacton, Neversink and Rondout Reservoirs. Therefore, no analysis will be undertaken.

### 10.11.6.6 Historic and Cultural Resources

This section of the DEIS will include an assessment of the potential for impacts to historic and cultural resources that could occur as a result of the proposed WSSO or construction or operation of the siphons in Rondout Reservoir. Many of the temporary changes associated with the proposed WSSO of the Delaware System would occur in areas that were previously disturbed during construction of the City’s reservoir system, are located on steep slopes (greater than 12 percent), or in areas of standing water. This analysis will include identification of cultural and archaeological resources and architectural resources with historic significance that could potentially be affected by proposed changes in flows in the waterbodies downstream of the Delaware System reservoirs and construction and operation of the proposed siphons, and will also include consultations with the OPRHP. The consultation requests will be comprised of site location maps and photographic documentation of the existing sites and surroundings. The consultation and DEIS analysis will also include results of HEC-RAS modeling (a hydraulic model for natural and constructed channels) to identify areas where identified historic resources may be potentially affected in association with temporary releases along the East and West Branch of the Delaware River, Neversink River, and Rondout Creek.

If any resources of potential historic and/or cultural significance are identified, an inspection of the identified sites and study areas, by way of additional field surveys and documentary research, may be required. If required, the assessment will include preparation of a Phase I Archaeological Survey consisting of a Phase IA Literature Review and Sensitivity Assessment and a Phase IB Archaeological Field Reconnaissance Survey. The Phase IB Archaeological Field Reconnaissance Survey would consist of shovel tests to evaluate the potential impact of the project on archaeological resources. Shovel tests would not occur in standing water, slopes of greater than 12 percent, or areas of previous disturbance. During the Phase IB survey, any precontact (Native American) cultural material and any significant historic artifacts, such as glass, ceramics, food remains, and hardware, identified during the fieldwork would be identified and collected. Results of the historic and cultural resources assessment will be presented in the DEIS.
10.11.6.7 Visual Resources

This section of the DEIS will assess the potential for impacts on visual resources from the proposed WSSO of the Delaware System as temporary water level changes in reservoirs and waterbodies would be visible from publicly accessible areas and the siphons would be a new visible feature at Merriman Dam. The assessment will focus on the potential observable changes to the view corridor visible by the general public. The study area for the assessment of visual resources will be consistent with that of the study areas that will be used for the analysis of land use, zoning, and public policy, but may include view corridors that extend beyond those study areas based on the locations that are publicly accessible, as required.

A screening assessment will be included in the DEIS to determine whether a visual assessment pursuant to the NYSDEC policy, “Assessing and Mitigating Visual Impacts,” and CEQR criteria is warranted, as appropriate. If required, a visual assessment will be prepared in conformance with the applicable NYSDEC and CEQR criteria. In this assessment, an inventory of sensitive visual resources will be compiled to determine the potential for views towards the study areas. In addition, the incremental changes to views that are deemed to have aesthetic value from sensitive visual resources will be characterized in the DEIS both in a narrative format and through the use of images depicting conditions in the future with and without the proposed WSSO of the Delaware System and temporary siphons, as warranted, along with results of the hydrologic and hydraulic modeling along Rondout Creek (described in Section 10.11.6.8, “Natural Resources”) that will identify potential temporary inundated extents along Rondout Creek.

10.11.6.8 Natural Resources

This section of the DEIS will include an assessment of the potential for the proposed WSSO of the Delaware System or construction and operation of the proposed siphons to affect natural resources in the study areas. Effects on natural resources could occur as a result of temporary water level changes in reservoirs and waterbodies. Specifically, WSSO from Rondout Reservoir into Rondout Creek and the potential for changed spill and release patterns from the Cannonsville, Pepacton, and Neversink Reservoirs will be assessed with the following steps:

- Use of the City’s system-specific reservoir modeling software to identify potentially affected Delaware System reservoirs and receiving waterbodies;

- Use of the City’s system-specific reservoir model to determine how proposed releases and spills from the Delaware System reservoirs are anticipated to change during the temporary shutdown compared to flows and elevations typically observed in the reservoirs and receiving waterbodies. This comparison will be used to identify changes in the timing, amount, or seasonality of releases as compared to the historic record to identify potential for changed conditions within the receiving water bodies that may inundate or alter the habitat of adjacent natural resources. Field surveys or additional modeling, such as hydraulic analysis, will be conducted, as applicable; and

- Conducting an assessment of the potential changes to temperature and water quality from WSSO of the Delaware System considering the changed flows and water levels identified using the model and resulting potential impacts to resources in the affected waterbodies.
Furthermore, based on results of this analysis, the natural resources assessment may identify existing natural resources that could be temporarily affected by the increased flows within a study area for each receiving waterbody analyzed. The assessment will also include a discussion of the potential for impacts to fish and other resources within the affected waterbodies and in Rondout Reservoir from construction and operation of the proposed temporary siphons.

The desktop analyses and agency consultations will be used to identify existing natural resources study areas that could be temporarily affected by WSSO of the Delaware System, and will include:

- Reviewing USGS Topographic Maps, FEMA Floodplain Maps, NYSDEC Wetland Maps, NYSDEC Waters Index Numbers and Priority Waterbodies List, USFWS NWI Maps, USDA NRCS soil maps, and aerial photos;

- Coordinating with the NYNHP, NYSDEC Central and Regional Offices, USACE, USFWS, and NMFS, as well as county and local offices, as applicable, to determine whether further on-site analyses will be necessary;

- Contacting NYNHP to determine whether endangered, threatened, species of special concern, as well as candidate, proposed or rare species of plants and wildlife or unique and critical habitats were reported as occurring on or adjacent to the study areas that could be affected by the proposed WSSO. NYNHP provides a database listing that identifies the species and/or habitats with state, heritage and global rankings, along with other information related to the species. NYSDEC Central and Regional offices and NMFS may be contacted to provide additional information on species, locations, and habitats identified;

- Consultation with USFWS, as necessary, based on species identified. USFWS provides an online report of any endangered or threatened species that are federally listed, proposed for listing, and/or candidates for listing known to exist within each county. A USFWS online project review will be conducted and submitted to the USFWS. In addition, local and county legislation related to threatened and endangered species will be reviewed and species list compared with State and federal species information to ensure all relevant flora and fauna will be identified. Species provided protection under the Migratory Bird Treaty Act of 1918, and other protective legislation such as the Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act, will be evaluated if documented to occur within the project area. Pertinent species information provided by these agencies and sources will be included in study area descriptions in the DEIS and will inform the DEIS analysis; and

- Where they may be affected, Bald Eagle breeding and nonbreeding desktop analyses, including available DEP data will be assessed to determine if a buffer restriction would be required in accordance with the USFWS’s “National Bald Eagle Management Guidelines.”

Based on these results, natural resources field surveys for regulated habitats and listed, proposed, and candidate species or habitats identified as potentially occurring in the project area will be
conducted to establish baseline conditions. The field surveys that will be conducted as needed to identify existing natural resources within the study areas, as applicable, include:

- Delineation and characterization of wetlands and tributary watercourses within the study areas. The wetland delineations will follow USACE’s three parameter methodology as described in the 1987 USACE wetland delineation manual (Environmental Laboratory 1987), and the Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Northcentral and Northeast Region (Version 2.0) (USACE 2012). The watercourses will be delineated using indicators of ordinary high water marks as described in 33 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) 328.3;

- Conducting terrestrial or aquatic surveys to identify existing habitats and species occurring within or adjacent to each study area. Any surveys that are conducted will include identifying ecological communities and making incidental observations of mammal, avian, fish, and amphibian and reptile species; and

- For protected species or their habitats that may be affected by the project, conducting field investigations of the study areas by biologists to document the extent of the species and/or its habitat, the context of its surroundings, and the area that may be affected by the proposed WSSO. These field surveys may include habitat assessments (e.g., Phase I bog turtle habitat survey, Phase I Indiana bat summer habitat surveys), or more detailed visual assessments for the species (e.g., Dwarf Wedgemussel Survey). Surveyor qualifications and survey methodologies for endangered, threatened, proposed, and candidate species will be consistent with federal and State guidelines.

The potential for temporary direct or indirect physical effects of the proposed WSSO of the Delaware System on each affected resource within the applicable study areas will be assessed, including the potential for effects from operating the proposed temporary siphons at Rondout Reservoir on the aquatic community within the reservoir. The assessment will include a description of the existing conditions of the potentially affected resources, whether the resource is State or federally regulated, if the impacts are direct or indirect, the duration of the temporary impact during proposed WSSO of the Delaware System, the severity of the impact (e.g., extent that will be affected from increased flows) whether insignificant or significant, and if the impacts can be mitigated. The results of the assessment will be included in the DEIS.

10.11.6.9 Hazardous Materials

If applicable, as part of the DEIS, a Phase I ESA in accordance with the most recent ASTM 1527-13 Standard, will be prepared for locations or stream banks where in-ground disturbance associated with the proposed WSSO of the Delaware System would occur. Specifically, the Phase I ESA would be prepared for those areas associated with construction of the siphons or those along the receiving water bodies that might be temporarily disturbed based on results of the hydraulic modeling described in Section 10.11.6.8, “Natural Resources.” Findings of the Phase I ESA, and the potential for impacts from hazardous materials, if any, and related mitigation and/or remediation will be presented in the DEIS. The potential for impacts associated with storage and use of potentially hazardous chemicals associated with operation of siphons in Rondout Reservoir will also be assessed and presented in the DEIS. The DEIS will also include a
summary of actions to be taken during construction of the proposed siphons to limit exposure of construction workers to potential contaminants, as required.

10.11.6.10 Water and Sewer Infrastructure

A water and sewer infrastructure assessment will be conducted to determine if construction or operation associated with WSSO of the Delaware System, including temporary reservoir drawdown or discharges from the proposed project during construction and operation, has the potential to cause a significant adverse impact to water and sewer infrastructure. An evaluation will be conducted to confirm there are no community users who draw water from the Delaware System that could be affected by temporary reservoir drawdown.

Discharges from the proposed construction and operation of the siphons would be directed to a stormwater system or treated for permitted discharge. If warranted, a stormwater and/or sewer infrastructure analysis will be prepared and presented in the DEIS and will include:

- An inventory of existing water and sewer infrastructure servicing facilities at Rondout Reservoir or other areas within the Delaware watershed that will be potentially affected by construction or operation of the proposed siphons or WSSO of the Delaware System;
- Estimates of increased water use and any changes to impervious areas at Rondout Reservoir from installation of the siphons to confirm the proposed WSSO would not exceed any thresholds for analyses of stormwater, such as changes resulting from the addition of impervious surfaces (including gravel areas);
- The addition of new stormwater and drainage features near the siphons at Rondout Reservoir, including those implemented during the construction phase;
- Estimates of increased sewer demand;
- A comparison of estimated project-generated water supply demand, and stormwater and sewage system capacities with the infrastructure available to meet these demands during the proposed WSSO and construction and operation of the siphons; and
- An examination of the need for additional infrastructure and utilities needed to support the proposed WSSO and construction and operation of the siphons.

An assessment of the potential for the proposed WSSO and the proposed siphons to affect water supply, stormwater, and sewer infrastructure will be included in the DEIS.

10.11.6.11 Transportation

This assessment will include a summary of any increase in vehicle trips in the area from construction workers and equipment; the extent and duration of any street, roadway, or sidewalk closure; any potential for impacts on the parking supply; and any loss in other transportation services during construction of the proposed siphons. Results from the construction transportation screening assessment of the proposed siphons and analysis will be presented in the DEIS. No changes to transportation from operation of the siphons are anticipated. This
temporary infrastructure, which would operate by gravity, would be largely unmanned and, therefore, no new workers or traffic would be associated with their operation. As a result, an operational assessment will not be provided in the DEIS.

10.11.6.12 Air Quality

The air quality impact section will contain a discussion of both mobile source emissions from construction equipment, worker and delivery vehicles, and fugitive dust emissions associated with construction of the proposed siphons. A screening assessment will be prepared for emissions from construction equipment and construction-related mobile sources. Results from the construction air quality screening assessment and analysis will be presented in the DEIS. There are no changes to air quality that would result from project operation, and therefore an operational assessment will not be provided in the DEIS.

10.11.6.13 Noise

A noise screening analysis will be conducted to determine if construction or operation of the siphons at Rondout Reservoir has the potential to cause a significant adverse noise impact at noise-sensitive receptors. A screening assessment will be conducted to determine if the potential for temporary changes to noise levels from the release of Rondout Reservoir water through the siphons could affect nearby sensitive receptors, warranting further analysis. For mobile noise sources, a noise impact screening analysis will be conducted based on the estimated change in construction-related vehicles. If construction of the siphons at Rondout Reservoir would result in a doubling of existing noise PCEs, a detailed vehicular noise analysis will be prepared. Results of the construction and operation noise screening assessment and analysis of the temporary siphons will be presented in the DEIS.

10.11.6.14 Neighborhood Character

An assessment of the potential for the proposed WSSO or construction or operation of the proposed siphons to affect the character of the neighborhood or communities near the affected reservoirs and waterbodies will be included in the DEIS. The neighborhood character assessment will be conducted as follows:

- Based on other technical analyses, describe the predominant factors that contribute to defining the character of the community surrounding the reservoirs and waterbodies where there may be temporary effects;

- Summarize changes that can be expected in the character of the associated study areas, as applicable, in the future without the proposed WSSO; and

- Assess and summarize the degree and type of change from the proposed WSSO on the community using the analysis of the potential for impacts as presented in other relevant analyses (this could include, but not be limited to visual resources and open space and recreation).
10.11.6.15 Public Health

A public health assessment is not warranted if a proposed project does not result in a significant unmitigated adverse impact in other analysis areas, such as air quality, water quality, hazardous materials, or noise. If an unmitigated significant adverse impact is identified in the relevant technical areas of the DEIS during the temporary shutdown, a public health assessment will be prepared.

10.11.7 Mitigation

This section of the DEIS will provide a brief summary description of any identified impacts and mitigation in the Delaware System from the proposed WSSO of the Delaware System and construction and operations of the siphons based on results of the analyses presented in the DEIS.

10.11.8 Proposed Water for the Future Shutdown System Operations: Catskill and Croton Systems Description

The second element of the proposed WSSO analyzed in the DEIS will focus on the proposed WSSO of the Catskill and Croton Systems. This section of the DEIS will introduce the proposed WSSO of the Catskill and Croton systems prior to, during and following the temporary shutdown and will provide baseline conditions against which the potential for impacts would be assessed. The section will contain a brief background of the Catskill and Croton aqueducts and watersheds; figures depicting locations of DEP’s reservoirs and receiving waterbodies that would experience changes during the proposed WSSO; a description of the study areas associated with the proposed WSSO, including augmented alum treatment at the Pleasantville Treatment Facility; and a discussion of required approvals (see Figure 10-20, Figure 10-33, and Figure 10-21).

While Delaware System modifications would see an increase in releases, the Catskill and Croton Systems reservoirs would be temporarily lowered as a result of maximizing use of these systems during the temporary shutdown in order to meet water demands. An increased reliance on the Catskill System would also include a temporary increase in alum treatment via additional liquid alum deliveries in tanker trucks to the existing Pleasantville Treatment Facility during the temporary shutdown to ensure optimal use of the Catskill System. Increased alum treatment would be required during the temporary shutdown as DEP would not implement its normal practice of reducing flow through the Catskill Aqueduct during turbidity events. Additional alum treatment needs would also be met by an expansion of the existing treatment facility, as required.

10.11.9 Proposed Water for the Future Shutdown System Operations: Catskill and Croton Systems Impact Methodology and Assessment

This section of the DEIS will discuss the proposed WSSO of the Catskill and Croton Systems that would result in temporary changes to water levels, and includes additional alum treatment at the Pleasantville Treatment Facility during the temporary shutdown. The DEIS will (1) provide an overview of the assessment categories that will be analyzed in the DEIS and describe the methodologies that will be used to assess potential environmental impacts; and (2) describe
baseline conditions, the future without WSSO, and the analysis of the potential for construction and operational impacts of WSSO of the Catskill and Croton Systems and identified mitigation, as applicable. This section of the DEIS will also identify any impact categories for which the proposed WSSO did not meet applicable CEQR/SEQRA thresholds, thereby screening out those analyses. Of note, DEP has numerous, independent, projects described in Chapter 7, “Water Supply System Programs and Projects Independent of Water for the Future,” that will be operational in advance of the proposed Upstate Water Supply Resiliency project and have helped to establish the operational conditions for the Catskill and Croton systems during the temporary shutdown. Therefore, the Future Without the Proposed Project will be based on operation of these projects during the proposed WSSO of the Catskill and Croton Systems.

Proposed WSSO of the Catskill and Croton Systems, including additional alum treatment at the Pleasantville Treatment Facility, are not anticipated to result in new structures or additions to existing structures with heights greater than 50 feet, or be located adjacent to, or across from, a sunlight-sensitive resource; result in the generation of 50 tons per week or more of solid waste; or any significant energy use or generation of GHGs. Therefore, it is anticipated that the following impact categories will not be evaluated in the DEIS for proposed WSSO of the Catskill and Croton Systems: shadows; solid waste and sanitation services; energy; and GHGs and climate change. However, energy and GHGs and climate change will be evaluated cumulatively for the proposed Upstate Water Supply Resiliency project, and will include any potential contribution from the proposed WSSO of the Catskill and Croton Systems.

### 10.11.10 Proposed Water for the Future Shutdown System Operations: Catskill and Croton Systems Impact Assessment

This section of the DEIS will provide an assessment of the potential for operational impacts associated with WSSO of the Catskill and Croton Systems and increased alum treatment at Kensico Reservoir during the temporary shutdown. The analysis will assess changes in reservoir levels and releases into receiving waterbodies and associated with increased alum deliveries to the Pleasantville Treatment Facility, as well as expansion of the treatment facility, if one is needed. This section of the DEIS will provide an assessment of the potential for impacts in relevant assessment categories. All categories not screened from the analysis will be evaluated in this manner.

#### 10.11.10.1 Land Use, Zoning and Public Policy

There may be temporary changes to the reservoirs and receiving waterbodies in the Catskill and Croton Systems during the temporary shutdown. Therefore, as applicable, an assessment of the potential for WSSO to affect land use, zoning, or public policy will be included in the DEIS. As applicable, the assessment in the land use and zoning chapter will evaluate the potential for impacts within a study area around each reservoir and waterbody in the Catskill and Croton Systems that may be temporarily affected, and which will provide a baseline for other analyses. The land use and zoning analysis will describe existing land uses within each identified study area. Land use and zoning information will be compiled and mapped from published data, supplemented with field surveys and aerial photography, as appropriate. A general description of land use patterns using existing published sources of information and field reconnaissance will also be provided.
The descriptions of future conditions without the proposed WSSO will be based upon information obtained from the local counties and municipalities in which the reservoirs and waterbodies are located concerning improvements planned and programmed for implementation. In addition, the DEIS will include an assessment of any temporary property access that would be required by DEP during the WSSO of the Catskill and Croton Systems and during increased alum treatment. Lastly, the public policy analysis will outline public policies that may apply, including any adopted or proposed neighborhood, community plans, LWRP, SASS, and SCFWH, and landward coastal boundaries.

The descriptions of future conditions without the proposed WSSO will be based upon information obtained from DEP and the local counties and municipalities in which the waterbodies and Catskill and Croton Systems are located. In addition, the DEIS will include an assessment of any temporary property access that would be required by DEP to implement the proposed WSSO of the Catskill and Croton Systems.

10.11.10.2 Socioeconomic Conditions

During the temporary shutdown, decreased flows in the Catskill and Croton Systems’ rivers will be assessed for the potential to affect local businesses that rely on its resources (e.g., fishing). The socioeconomic assessment in the DEIS will provide a screening assessment against applicable CEQR guidelines to describe and document existing socioeconomic conditions and trends in the study areas of the Catskill and Croton Systems’ rivers that could potentially be affected by the temporary shutdown and result in significant impacts due to (1) direct residential displacement; (2) direct business displacement; (3) indirect residential displacement; (4) indirect business displacement; and (5) adverse effects on a specific industry (e.g. recreational businesses).

The socioeconomic analysis pertaining to the costs associated with the proposed Upstate Water Supply Resiliency project, including WSSO of the Catskill and Croton Systems and increased alum treatment, would be addressed through a shared distribution of costs across DEP’s water supply network, including City and upstate customers, through changes in the water rate due to project costs. Therefore, potential changes to the water rate as a result of the proposed Upstate Water Supply Resiliency project, and those evaluated in the previous EIS, will be described in Chapter 12, “Cumulative Impacts of Proposed Upstate Water Supply Resiliency Project,” of the DEIS.

10.11.10.3 Community Facilities and Services

There may be temporary changes to community services during the temporary shutdown as a result of the proposed WSSO of the Catskill and Croton Systems or during temporary increased alum treatment. Therefore, as applicable, the community facilities and services assessment will (1) identify the local community facilities within the study areas and service providers that would service these study areas; (2) describe any temporary changes to expected uses of those community facilities and services as a result of changed water levels in reservoirs or water bodies and increased deliveries at the Pleasantville Treatment Facility; and (3) provide an assessment of the potential for impacts from the proposed WSSO on those community facilities and services.
10.11.10.4 Open Space and Recreation

Recreational activities near and within the Catskill and Croton Systems’ reservoirs and receiving waterbodies will be assessed for the potential to be affected by the temporary changes in flows or decreased reservoir elevations associated with the proposed temporary shutdown, taking into account any potential conditions in the future associated with operation of the Croton Water Filtration Plant. This section of the DEIS will present data on open spaces and recreational activities near the Catskill and Croton reservoirs and their associated receiving waterbodies that may be impacted by the proposed WSSO. A screening level assessment will be prepared to determine whether the temporary Catskill and Croton System drawdowns and changes in releases to the receiving waterbodies within these systems would have the potential to result in adverse impacts on open space and recreation, warranting further analysis. The open space and recreation assessment will consist of the following steps:

- Map and describe existing open spaces and recreation areas in the study areas, including those identified in local open space plans;

- Identify and describe predominant open space patterns and recreational activities in the study areas (e.g., fishing, boating, bathing beaches and marinas) based on discussions with DEP staff who manage recreation on the reservoirs, existing information included in GIS for the area, and compiled field surveys;

- Use the results of system modeling that describes the amounts and timing of releases and spills and the amount of anticipated drawdown in the Catskill and Croton Systems’ reservoirs and receiving waterbodies to superimpose flows and elevations from the reservoir against those typically observed, and identify potential differences that may have an impact on accessibility or use of these waterbodies; and

- Use hydrologic and hydraulic modeling conducted by DEP as described in the natural resources assessment section (Section 10.11.10.8, “Natural Resources”) to assess how releases and spills from system reservoirs or drawdowns may impact water levels during the temporary shutdown and any associated effect on open space and recreation (e.g., fishing or boating).

10.11.10.5 Critical Environmental Area

There are ten CEAs in the vicinity of the Catskill and Croton Systems: one in the Catskill System in Ulster County and nine in the Croton System in Putnam and Westchester County:

- The Woodstock Wetlands CEA is located just north of Ashokan Reservoir in Ulster County within DEP’s watershed;

- The Great Swamp CEA is located in the vicinity of the East Branch and Bog Brook Reservoirs;
• The County and State Parklands CEA is located near DEP’s New Croton, Cross River, and Muscoot Reservoirs. An aquifer in the Town of Bedford CEA includes this same area;

• There are three CEAs near Kensico Reservoir: the Westchester Airport 60 Ldn Noise Contour CEA, the County Designated Watershed CEA, and the County and State Parklands CEA;

• There are two CEAs located near the Amawalk Reservoir: one CEA encompasses the Amawalk Reservoir and all land within 500 feet of the reservoir boundary, and the other CEA is the Baldwin Place CEA; and

• The Croton Point Park CEA is near New Croton Reservoir and the Croton River.

A screening assessment will be included in the DEIS to determine whether any study areas or receiving waterbodies are located within a CEA. The potential for the proposed WSSO of the Catskill and Croton Systems to impact environmental characteristics of these CEAs will be assessed in the DEIS. Results of the CEA assessment will be presented in the DEIS.

10.11.10.6 Historic and Cultural Resources

This section of the DEIS will include an assessment to determine whether the proposed temporary shutdown would result in effects on historic or cultural resources. Many of the temporary changes associated with the proposed WSSO of the Catskill and Croton Systems would occur in areas that were previously disturbed during construction of the City’s reservoir system, are located on steep slopes (greater than 12 percent), or are in areas of standing water.

The historic and cultural resources assessment will evaluate existing databases and correspondence from OPRHP, local preservation plans, and information from the land use, zoning, and public policy analysis to identify potential historic and cultural resources near and along the affected reservoirs and waterbodies. If any resources of potential historic and/or cultural significance are identified, an inspection of the identified sites and study areas, by way of additional field surveys and documentary research, may be required. If required, the assessment will include preparation of a Phase I Archaeological Survey consisting of a Phase IA Literature Review and Sensitivity Assessment and a Phase IB Archaeological Field Reconnaissance Survey. The Phase IB Archaeological Field Reconnaissance Survey would consist of shovel tests to evaluate the potential impact of the project on archaeological resources. Shovel tests would not occur in standing water, slopes of greater than 12 percent, or areas of previous disturbance. During the Phase IB survey, any precontact (Native American) cultural material and any significant historic artifacts such as glass, ceramics, food remains, and hardware identified during the fieldwork would be identified and collected. Results of the historic and cultural resources assessment of the WSSO of the Catskill and Croton Systems will be presented in the DEIS.
10.11.10.7 Visual Resources

This section of the DEIS will assess the potential for impacts on visual resources from the proposed WSSO of the Catskill and Croton Systems since temporary water level changes in reservoirs and waterbodies during the temporary shutdown would be visible from publicly-accessible areas that are used for recreation (in the case of reservoirs, by DEP-issued permits). The assessment will focus on the potential observable changes to the view corridor visible by the general public. The study area for the assessment of visual resources will be consistent with that of the study areas that will be used for the analysis of land use, zoning, and public policy, but may also include view corridors that extend beyond those study areas based on the locations that are publicly accessible, as required.

A screening assessment will be included in the DEIS to determine whether a visual assessment pursuant to the NYSDEC policy, “Assessing and Mitigating Visual Impacts,” and CEQR criteria is warranted. If required, a visual assessment will be prepared in conformance with the NYSDEC and CEQR criteria, as appropriate. In this assessment, an inventory of sensitive visual resources will be compiled to determine the potential for views towards the study areas. In addition, the incremental changes to views that are deemed to have aesthetic value from sensitive visual resources will be characterized in the DEIS both in a narrative format and through the use of images depicting conditions in the future with and without the proposed project.

10.11.10.8 Natural Resources

The DEIS will include an assessment of potential for impacts to natural resources due to the water level changes in reservoirs and waterbodies during the temporary shutdown and increased alum treatment at the Pleasantville Treatment Facility. Desktop review, agency consultations and field surveys will be conducted to identify and map locations and types of natural resources within the study areas that have the potential to be affected by the proposed WSSO. Once these natural resources are identified, a screening level assessment will be conducted to determine the potential for significant adverse impacts to these resources based on the type and location of activity associated with the proposed WSSO. Based on the results of the screening assessment, a detailed analysis will be prepared, as required and presented in the DEIS. The assessment will consist of the following steps:

- Use the City’s system-specific reservoir modeling software, identify potentially affected Catskill and Croton Systems’ reservoirs and receiving waterbodies, as listed in Table 10-3;

- Use the City’s system-specific reservoir model to determine how proposed releases and spills from the Catskill and Croton Systems’ reservoirs are anticipated to change during the temporary shutdown compared to flows and elevations typically observed in the reservoirs and receiving waterbodies. This comparison will be used to identify changes in the timing, amount, or seasonality of releases as compared to the historic record to identify potential for changed conditions within the receiving water bodies that may inundate or alter the habitat of adjacent natural resources. Field surveys or additional modeling, such as hydraulic analysis to identify the incremental changes in vertical or horizontal extents that will define the study areas, will be conducted as applicable; and
• Assess the potential changes to temperature and water quality from WSSO of the Catskill and Croton Systems considering the changed flow and water levels identified using the model and resulting potential impacts resources in the affected waterbodies.

Based on results of the screening assessment, the potential for the proposed drawdown to affect natural resources will be assessed and a detailed analysis will be prepared, if required. Desktop analyses and agency consultations will be used to identify existing natural resources within the associated study areas that could be temporarily affected by WSSO, and will include:

• Reviewing USGS Topographic Maps, FEMA Floodplain Maps, NYSDEC Wetland Maps, NYSDEC Waters Index Numbers and Priority Waterbodies List, USFWS NWI Maps, USDA NRCS soil maps, aerial photos, and other local sources when available;

• Coordinating with the NYNHP, NYSDEC Central and Regional Offices, USACE, USFWS, and NMFS, as well as county and local offices, as applicable, to determine whether further on-site analyses will be necessary;

• Contacting NYNHP to determine whether endangered, threatened, species of special concern, as well as candidate, proposed or rare species of plants and wildlife or unique and critical habitats were reported as occurring on or adjacent to the study areas that could be affected by the proposed WSSO. NYNHP provides a database listing that identifies the species and/or habitats with state, heritage and global rankings, along with other information related to the species. NYSDEC Central and Regional offices and NMFS may be contacted to provide additional information on species, locations, and habitats identified;

• Consultation with USFWS, as necessary, based on species identified. USFWS provides an online report of any endangered or threatened species federally listed, proposed for listing, and/or candidates for listing known to exist within each county. A USFWS online project review will be conducted and submitted to the USFWS. In addition, local and county legislation related to threatened and endangered species will be reviewed and species list compared with State and federal species information to ensure that all relevant flora and fauna will be identified. Species provided protection under the Migratory Bird Treaty Act of 1918 and other protective legislation, such as the Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act, will be evaluated if documented to occur within the project area. Pertinent species information provided by these agencies and sources will be included in study area descriptions in the DEIS and will inform the DEIS analysis; and

• Where they may be affected, Bald Eagle breeding and nonbreeding desktop analyses, including available DEP data will be assessed to determine if a buffer restriction would be required in accordance with the USFWS’s “National Bald Eagle Management Guidelines.”

Based on these results, natural resources field surveys for regulated habitats and listed, proposed, and candidate species or habitats identified as potentially occurring in the project area will be conducted, as necessary, to establish baseline conditions, as required. The field surveys that will be conducted to identify existing natural resources within the study areas and could include:
• Delineation and characterization of wetlands and watercourses within the study areas. The wetland delineations will follow USACE’s three parameter methodology as described in the 1987 USACE wetland delineation manual (Environmental Laboratory 1987), and the Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Northcentral and Northeast Region (Version 2.0) (USACE 2012). The watercourses will be delineated using indicators of ordinary high water marks as described in 33 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) 328.3,

• Conducting terrestrial or aquatic surveys to identify existing habitats and species occurring within or adjacent to each study area. Any surveys that are conducted will include identifying ecological communities and making incidental observations of mammal, avian, fish, and amphibian and reptile species; and

• For protected species or their habitats that may be affected by the project, conducting field investigations of the study areas by biologists to document the extent of the species and/or its habitat, the context of its surroundings, and the area that may be affected by the proposed WSSO. These field surveys may include habitat assessments (e.g., Phase I bog turtle habitat survey, Phase I Indiana bat summer habitat surveys,), or more detailed visual assessments for the species (e.g., button bush dodder survey). Surveyor qualifications and survey methodologies for endangered, threatened, proposed, and candidate species will be consistent with federal and State guidelines.

Finally, additional alum treatment would be necessary to safeguard water quality at Kensico Reservoir should a turbidity event occur in the Catskill System during the temporary shutdown of the Delaware Aqueduct when DEP would be unable to reduce flows in the Catskill Aqueduct. Alum could be added to the Catskill Aqueduct just upstream of Kensico Reservoir at the Pleasantville Treatment Facility. As assessment of the potential for impacts as a result of temporarily increased alum treatment would consist of the following steps:

• Identify whether activities associated with construction of any required treatment facility expansion have the potential to effect natural resources;

• Identify potential areal deposition of alum floc depth and extent near the Catskill Influent Chamber (CATIC) in Kensico Reservoir from increased temporary alum treatment;

• Conduct habitat surveys for species and communities identified as having a potential to be adversely affected by the additional alum treatment;

• Summarize aquatic (fish and benthic macroinvertebrate) resources that are present in the vicinity of the potential floc based on previous DEP studies and any additional surveys; and

• Describe the potential changes that would result from deposition of additional alum floc during the temporary shutdown on the identified aquatic resources.

The potential for temporary direct or indirect physical effects of the proposed WSSO on each affected resource will be assessed. The assessment will include a summary of the affected resources, whether the resource is State or federally regulated, if the impacts are direct or indirect, the duration of the temporary impact during WSSO of the Catskill and Croton Systems,
the severity of the impact (e.g., extent that will be affected from decreased flows and additional alum treatment) whether insignificant or significant, and if the impacts can be mitigated. The results of the natural resources assessment will be included in the DEIS.

10.11.10.9 Hazardous Materials

If applicable, as part of the DEIS, a Phase I ESA in accordance with the most recent ASTM 1527-13 Standard, will be prepared for locations or stream banks where in-ground disturbance would occur associated with the proposed WSSO. Specifically, the Phase I ESA would be prepared for those areas along the receiving water bodies that might be temporarily disturbed based on results of the hydraulic modeling described in Section 10.11.10.8, “Natural Resources,” as required. During the temporary shutdown, DEP would need to augment alum treatment at the Pleasantville Treatment Facility should a turbidity event occur. The potential for impacts from management of hazardous materials, if any, including those associated with storage and use of alum or any potentially hazardous chemicals during the temporary shutdown, and related mitigation and/or remediation will be presented in the DEIS.

10.11.10.10 Water and Sewer Infrastructure

A water and sewer infrastructure assessment will be conducted to determine if construction or operation associated with WSSO, including temporary reservoir drawdown or discharges from the project during both construction and operation, has the potential to cause a significant adverse impact to water and sewer infrastructure.

An evaluation of the potential for reservoir drawdowns to impact community users who draw water from the Catskill and Croton Systems will also be conducted and presented in the DEIS. This will include an inventory of DEP’s own intakes and its customers’ intakes at the various reservoirs. The analysis will include an inventory and review of the water suppliers that use the Catskill and Croton reservoirs for water supply, including the level of their intakes within the reservoir or in downstream waterbodies where changes in flow within these waterbodies has the potential to impact water supply intakes or groundwater elevation.

Discharges from the proposed project during both construction and operation of activities associated with expanding the capacity of the Pleasantville Treatment Facility, as applicable, would be directed to a stormwater system or treated for permitted discharge. If warranted, a stormwater and/or sewer infrastructure analysis will be prepared and presented in the DEIS. The analysis will include:

- An inventory of existing water and sewer infrastructure servicing the Pleasantville Treatment Facility or other areas that will be potentially affected by WSSO, including groundwater wells in the vicinity of potentially effected waterbodies, as applicable;
- Estimates of increased stormwater and any changes to impervious areas;
- Estimates of potential change to groundwater levels;
- Estimates of increased sewer demand;
- The addition of any new stormwater and drainage features near the potentially affected reservoirs and receiving waterbodies;
- A comparison of estimated WSSO-generated water supply and sewer demand, potential changes to groundwater levels, as required, and capacity of stormwater and sewage system with the infrastructure available to meet these demands during WSSO; and
- An examination of the need for additional infrastructure and utilities needed to support the proposed WSSO of the Catskill and Croton Systems.

An assessment of the potential for the proposed WSSO to affect water supply, stormwater and sewer infrastructure will be included in the DEIS.

10.11.10.11 Transportation

This assessment will include a summary of any increase in vehicle trips from construction workers and equipment; the extent and duration of any street, roadway, or sidewalk closure; any potential for impacts on the parking supply; any loss in other transportation services during construction of any modifications to the Pleasantville Treatment Facility; and operations related to additional chemical deliveries of alum during WSSO to the Pleasantville Treatment Facility. Results of the transportation screening assessment and any analysis will be presented in the DEIS.

10.11.10.12 Air Quality

The air quality analysis section will contain a discussion of both stationary and mobile source emissions associated with temporary increased alum treatment at the Pleasantville Treatment Facility, as applicable. A screening assessment will be prepared for emissions from stationary and mobile sources associated with the potential increased alum treatment at the Pleasantville Treatment Facility.

An assessment of potential effects related to odors resulting from decreased reservoir levels will also be included. Results of the air quality screening assessment and analysis will be presented in the DEIS.

10.11.10.13 Noise

Increased alum deliveries may result in a change in onsite noise levels at the Pleasantville Treatment Facility. A noise screening analysis will be conducted to determine if the temporary increased use of alum at the Pleasantville Treatment Facility has the potential to cause a significant stationary or mobile adverse noise impact at noise-sensitive receptors. For vehicular noise sources, a noise impact screening analysis will be conducted based on the estimated change chemical delivery vehicles. If the increased use would temporarily result in a doubling of existing noise PCEs, a detailed vehicular noise analysis will be prepared. Results of the noise screening assessment and analysis will be presented in the DEIS.
10.11.10.14 Neighborhood Character

An assessment of the potential for proposed WSSO of the Catskill and Croton Systems to affect the character of the neighborhood or communities near the affected reservoirs and waterbodies will be included in the DEIS. The neighborhood character assessment will be conducted as follows:

- Based on other technical analyses, describe the predominant factors that contribute to defining the character of the community surrounding the reservoirs and waterbodies and the Pleasantville Treatment Facility where there may be temporary effects;
- Summarize changes that can be expected in the character of the area in the future without the proposed WSSO; and
- Assess and summarize the degree and type of change from the proposed WSSO on the community using the analysis of the potential for impacts as presented in other relevant analyses (this could include, but not be limited to visual resources and open space and recreation).

10.11.10.15 Public Health

A public health assessment is not warranted if a proposed project does not result in a significant unmitigated adverse impact in other analysis areas, such as air quality, water quality, hazardous materials, or noise. If an unmitigated significant adverse impact is identified in the relevant technical areas of the DEIS during the temporary shutdown, a public health assessment will be prepared and will include a description of potential for impact from increased mosquito breeding grounds. The DEIS will also assess whether the temporary lowering of water levels would have the potential to provide a breeding habitat for mosquitoes.

10.11.11 Mitigation

This section of the DEIS will provide a brief summary description of any identified impacts and mitigation in the Catskill and Croton Systems from the proposed WSSO and temporary increased alum treatment based on results of the analyses presented in the DEIS.

10.12 DEIS CHAPTER 11: PROPOSED RONDOUT-WEST BRANCH TUNNEL INSPECTION AND REPAIR

10.12.1 PROPOSED RONDOUT-WEST BRANCH TUNNEL INSPECTION AND REPAIR OVERVIEW

This section of the DEIS will introduce the proposed RWBT Inspection and Repair under the proposed Upstate Water Supply Resiliency project, a description of which is provided in Section 8.1.3, “Proposed Rondout-West Branch Tunnel Inspection and Repair” of this draft final scope. This section of the DEIS will contain (1) a brief background of the proposed RWBT Inspection and Repair; (2) a description of the sites and study areas and their locations; (3) figures depicting the sites and study areas; and (4) a discussion of the anticipated approvals.
and permits required for the project. This section of the DEIS will also introduce the reader to the proposed RWBT Inspection and Repair and its potential for any construction or operational impacts. This section of the DEIS will also introduce the potential for long-term operational impacts in Roseton, NY associated with the proposed decommissioning of the leaking portion of the RWBT. Since the activities support each other but would affect different locations during different time periods, the potential for impacts associated with decommissioning will be evaluated separately from those associated with RWBT inspection and repair within the DEIS, as described further below.

Activities associated with the proposed inspection and repair would occur at up to five of the nine shaft sites and the Rondout Effluent Chamber (REC). These sites would be used to access the RWBT in Ulster and Putnam Counties, and would include inspection of and internal repairs to the RWBT, namely the section in Wawarsing, and then, once inspection and repairs are completed, decommissioning the bypassed section of the RWBT. The locations of the five shafts and the Rondout Effluent Chamber (REC) that would be used during inspection and repair activities, as well as the study areas associated with locations that could be affected by lowered groundwater levels once the leaking RWBT is repaired and the bypassed section of the RWBT is decommissioned, are provided below (see Table 10-4 and Figure 10-22 Figure 10-35 to Figure 10-28 Figure 10-42).

**Table 10-4: Proposed RWBT Inspection and Repair Project Study Areas**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Proposed Project Component</th>
<th>Description of Proposed Project Component</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rondout Effluent Chamber</td>
<td>Town of Wawarsing, Ulster County</td>
<td>Inspection, Repair</td>
<td>Equipment storage; valve replacement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shaft 1</td>
<td>Town of Wawarsing, Ulster County</td>
<td>Inspection, Repair, Construction</td>
<td>Minor clearing; installation of telephone services; ventilation; bulk fuel storage; generator; restore site grading to original state</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shaft 2A</td>
<td>Town of Wawarsing, Ulster County</td>
<td>Inspection, Repair, Construction</td>
<td>Tunnel repair; installation of phone services; security guard house; ventilation; lighting; debris removal; bulk fuel storage; generator; in-tunnel grout plant; restore site grading to original state</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wawarsing</td>
<td>Town of Wawarsing Ulster County</td>
<td>Internal leak repair</td>
<td>Internal leak repair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shaft 4</td>
<td>Town of Plattekill Gardiner Ulster County</td>
<td>Inspection</td>
<td>Communication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shaft 8</td>
<td>Town of Putnam Valley, Putnam County</td>
<td>Inspection, Construction</td>
<td>Subsurface tunnel easement; sliding gate, security guard house; installation of phone services; debris removal; generator; restore site grading to original state</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shaft 9</td>
<td>Town of Kent Town of Carmel, Putnam County</td>
<td>Inspection, Construction</td>
<td>Ventilation and communication; generator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roseton (Shaft 5B) Study Area</td>
<td>Town of Newburgh, Orange County</td>
<td>Decommissioning</td>
<td>Permanent Decommissioning of the bypassed section of the RWBT below the Hudson River once the bypass tunnel is connected</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
As a result of the internal repairs, leaks suspected to originate from the RWBT and influence water levels in the Wawarsing area would be repaired, and any potential to impact water supply in the Wawarsing area would be assessed in the DEIS. DEP is also supporting independent groundwater supply in the Town of Wawarsing.

In addition, during inspection of the RWBT, up to five locations could be used for temporary staging of equipment: REC, Shaft 1, and 2A in Wawarsing, Ulster County; Shaft 8 in Putnam Valley, Putnam County; and Shaft 9 in Kent Carmel, Putnam County. Two locations, Shaft 2A and 8, would be used for access for personnel and construction-related equipment needed for grouting (e.g., cement grout mixers and agitators, cement grout pumps, packers, shut-in valves, pressure gauges, etc.).

After inspection of the RWBT is completed, connection of the bypass tunnel would begin, and internal repairs would commence. Upon completion of the connection, the bypassed section of the RWBT would be permanently decommissioned (taken out of service). As described, once the bypass tunnel is decommissioned, the Roseton area, located near the leaking section of the bypass tunnel on the west side of the Hudson River, could be affected by lowered groundwater levels. This section of the DEIS will also contain (1) a brief background of the tunnel decommissioning activities; (2) a description of the sites where construction activities associated with decommissioning would occur (previously evaluated in the RWBT Bypass EIS); (3) figures depicting the sites and study areas that will be evaluated in this DEIS that could be affected by the proposed decommissioning; and (4) a discussion of the anticipated approvals and permits required for the decommissioning activities.

10.12.2 SCHEDULE

This section of the DEIS will include an overview of the construction schedule for the proposed RWBT Inspection and Repair that includes mobilization, site preparation, construction and demobilization. The estimated construction schedule for the project (including the staging and phasing), an estimate of on-site activity (equipment, employees and operating hours) and a peak activity period for analysis will be described in the DEIS.

10.12.3 GENERAL DESIGN FEATURES OF THE PROPOSED RONDOUT-WEST BRANCH TUNNEL INSPECTION AND REPAIR PROJECT

This section of the DEIS will discuss the general types of activities that would occur along the RWBT and at the shaft sites during the proposed inspection and repair. This section will include site descriptions, locations, and project descriptions for each shaft site where inspection and repair activities would occur, including standard methods of ventilation, communication, inspection, and repair, as well as construction activities and equipment that pertain to each shaft site, as appropriate. It will also include a description of the final project component, decommissioning of the bypassed section of the RWBT, activities associated with decommissioning, and a description of the Roseton study area where there is the potential for impacts. This section of the DEIS will also provide a brief summary description of any identified

---

18 Construction activities associated with connection of the bypass tunnel to the RWBT were evaluated in the previous EIS.
impacts and mitigation for the proposed inspection and repair based on results of the analyses presented in the DEIS.

10.12.3.1 Construction

This section of the DEIS will include a description of the construction activities and equipment associated with the proposed inspection and repair. The description of construction activities and equipment will include mobilization, site preparation, construction, and demobilization, as appropriate, as well the types of equipment that will be present on-site to carry out these activities. Construction will largely involve the installation of commination and ventilation systems and use of hoisting systems since two of the shafts will serve as entrance/exit points for equipment and personnel during the proposed inspection and repair. After construction is complete, the sites will be cleared and all equipment used during the course of the work will be removed. Sites will be restored to their pre-construction conditions. This work would be located at existing DEP-owned access points along the Delaware Aqueduct.

This section of the DEIS will describe how the shaft sites will be used once the proposed inspection and repair is underway. This will focus on proposed shaft activities during the temporary shutdown of the RWBT, which would include the entrance of personnel and equipment into and out of the aqueduct, and operation of the communication and ventilation systems. This section of the DEIS will also describe include a description of the activities and equipment associated with the proposed inspection and repair activities during the temporary shutdown. This section will also include a description of how the shaft sites will be returned to their original state. The DEIS will include a construction analysis for applicable assessment categories, as described below.

10.12.3.2 Operation

This section of the DEIS will also describe any changes to water levels in Wawarsing and Roseton from cessation of the leaks once the RWBT inspection and repairs and concurrent decommissioning of the bypass tunnel are completed.

10.12.4 PROPOSED RONDOUT-WEST BRANCH TUNNEL INSPECTION AND REPAIR IMPACT METHODOLOGY AND ASSESSMENT

This section of the DEIS will (1) provide an overview of the assessment categories that will be analyzed for the proposed Rondout-West Branch Tunnel Inspection and Repair in the DEIS and describe the methodologies that will be used to assess potential environmental impacts; and (2) describe baseline conditions, the future without inspection and repair, and the analysis of probable construction and operational potential impacts of inspection and repair and identified mitigation, as applicable. This section of the DEIS will also identify any impact categories for which the proposed inspection and repair did not meet applicable CEQR/SEQRA thresholds, thereby screening out those analyses.

Construction and operation of the proposed inspection and repair would not result in new structures or additions to existing structures with heights greater than 50 feet, or be located adjacent to, or across from, a sunlight-sensitive resource; result in the generation of 50 tons per week or more of solid waste or any significant energy use or generation of GHGs. Therefore, it is
anticipated that the following impact categories will not be evaluated in the DEIS for proposed inspection and repair: shadows; solid waste and sanitation services; and GHGs and climate change. However, GHGs and climate change will be evaluated cumulatively for the proposed Upstate Water Supply Resiliency project and will include any potential contribution from the proposed inspection and repair.

10.12.5 PROPOSED RONDOUT-WEST BRANCH TUNNEL INSPECTION AND REPAIR IMPACT ASSESSMENT

This section of the DEIS will provide an assessment of the potential for impacts associated with the proposed inspection and repair during the temporary shutdown. This section of the DEIS will provide an assessment of the potential for impacts in all relevant assessment categories. All categories not screened from the analysis will be evaluated in this manner.
Approximate Location of Rondout Effluent Chamber Study Area:
Town of Wawarsing, Ulster County
Approximate Location of Shaft 1 Study Area:
Town of Wawarsing, Ulster County
Approximate Location of Shaft 2A Study Area:
Town of Wawarsing, Ulster County
Approximate Location of Wawarsing Study Area:
Town of Wawarsing, Ulster County
Approximate Location of Shaft 4 Study Area:
Town of Gardiner, Ulster County
Approximate Location of Shaft 8 Study Area:
Town of Putnam Valley, Putnam County
Approximate Location of Shaft 9 Study Area:
Town of Carmel, Putnam County
Approximate Location of Roseton Study Area:
Town of Newburgh, Orange County
10.12.5.1 Land Use, Zoning and Public Policy

There may be temporary changes to the sites around the shafts during the proposed inspection and repair activities. Therefore, as applicable, an assessment of the potential for inspection and repair activities to affect land use, zoning, or public policy will be included in the DEIS. The assessment in the land use and zoning chapter will (1) demarcate a study area that will include each shaft and the area within an approximately 400-foot radius of the shaft sites; (2) describe conditions in the study areas, including existing land uses and the current zoning; (3) describe predominant land use patterns in the study areas, including recent development trends; (4) summarize other public policies that may apply to the proposed project sites and study areas, including any formal neighborhood or community plans; and (5) provide an assessment of the potential for impacts from the project on land use and land use trends, zoning, and public policy. The assessment will consider the effects for proposed inspection and repair activities related to compatibility with surrounding land use, zoning, and other public policy initiatives, and the effect on development trends and conditions in the area.

More specifically, the land use and zoning analysis will describe existing land uses and zoning within each study area around the shaft sites. Land use and zoning information will be compiled and mapped from published data, supplemented with field surveys and aerial photography, as appropriate. A general description of land use patterns using existing published sources of information and field reconnaissance will also be provided. Lastly, the public policy analysis will outline public policies that may apply, including any adopted or proposed neighborhood, community plans, LWRP, SASS, SCFWH, and landward coastal boundaries.

The descriptions of future conditions without the proposed inspection and repair will be based upon information obtained from DEP and the local counties and municipalities in which the shaft sites are locations where improvements are planned and programmed for implementation. The DEIS will include an assessment of any temporary property access that would be required with the proposed inspection and repair activities. In addition, the DEIS will include an assessment of the potential for the proposed inspection and repair to affect land use or public policy.

10.12.5.2 Socioeconomic Conditions

The socioeconomic assessment in the DEIS will provide a screening assessment of the proposed inspection and repair against applicable CEQR guidelines to describe and document existing socioeconomic conditions and trends in the study area of the shaft sites that could potentially be affected by inspection and repair activities and result in significant impacts due to (1) direct residential displacement; (2) direct business displacement; (3) indirect residential displacement; (4) indirect business displacement; and (5) adverse effects on a specific industry. The assessment will include whether changes to the water levels in Wawarsing have the potential to effect existing water supply wells.

The socioeconomic analysis pertaining to the costs associated with the proposed Upstate Water Supply Resiliency project, including the proposed inspection and repair, would be addressed through a shared distribution of costs across DEP’s water supply network, including City and upstate customers, through changes in the water rate due to project costs. Therefore, potential changes to the water rate as a result of the proposed Upstate Water Supply Resiliency project,
and those evaluated in the previous EIS, will be described in Chapter 12, “Cumulative Impacts of Proposed Upstate Water Supply Resiliency Project,” of the DEIS.

10.12.5.3 Community Facilities and Services

There may be temporary changes to community services around the shaft sites during proposed inspection and repair activities (e.g. police associated with traffic control during major equipment deliveries). Therefore, as applicable, the community facilities and services assessment will (1) identify the local community facilities within an approximate 400-foot radius of the shaft sites and service providers that would service the sites; (2) describe any temporary changes to expected uses of those community facilities and services; and (3) provide an assessment of the potential for impacts from the project on those community facilities and services.

10.12.5.4 Open Space and Recreation

This section of the DEIS will present data on open space and recreational activities near shaft sites that may be impacted by the proposed inspection and repair. A screening level assessment will be prepared to determine whether the proposed inspection and repair would have the potential to result in adverse impacts on open space and recreation, including temporary impacts from activities at the shaft sites, warranting further analysis. The open space and recreation assessment will consist of the following steps:

- Map and describe existing open spaces and recreation areas in the shaft study areas, including those identified in local open space plans;
- Identify and describe predominant open space patterns and recreational activities in the shaft study areas based on existing information included in GIS for the area and compiled field surveys; and
- Assess how the proposed inspection and repair would affect open space and recreation.

10.12.5.5 Critical Environmental Areas

There are two CEAs in the vicinity of the Delaware Aqueduct. The aqueduct runs beneath the Shawangunk Ridge CEA in the Town of Shawangunk, Ulster County, and the Fishkill Aquifer Protection CEA in two locations in the Town of Fishkill, Dutchess County. A screening assessment will be included in the DEIS to determine whether any study areas are located within a CEA. The potential for the proposed inspection and repair to affect or be affected by the environmental characteristics of these CEAs will be assessed in the DEIS.

10.12.5.6 Historic and Cultural Resources

DEP previously prepared the shaft sites for the proposed inspection and repair activities. Therefore, no construction and ground disturbance is anticipated associated with the proposed inspection and repair activities at the shaft sites that could disturb historic or cultural resources. Therefore, historic and cultural resources will not be analyzed for REC, Shafts 1, 2A, 4, 8 or 9 in the DEIS. An assessment of the potential for the proposed leak repairs in Wawarsing to impact historic and cultural resources will be included in the DEIS.
10.12.5.7 Visual Resources

This section of the DEIS will assess the potential for impacts on visual resources from the proposed inspection and repair. Some components of the proposed inspection and repair may result in addition of new temporary structures or equipment such as construction trailers and ventilation equipment. The assessment will focus on the potential observable changes to the view corridor as seen by the general public. The study area for the assessment of visual resources will be consistent with that of the study areas used for the analysis of land use, zoning, and public policy, but may also include view corridors that extend beyond those study areas based on the locations that are publicly accessible, as required.

A screening assessment will be included in the DEIS to determine whether a visual assessment pursuant to the NYSDEC policy, “Assessing and Mitigating Visual Impacts,” and CEQR criteria, as appropriate. If required, a visual assessment will be prepared in conformance with the applicable NYSDEC and CEQR criteria. In this assessment, an inventory of sensitive visual resources will be compiled to determine the potential for views towards the study areas. In addition, the incremental changes to views which are deemed to have aesthetic value from sensitive visual resources will be characterized in the DEIS, both in a narrative format and through the use of images depicting conditions in the future with and without the proposed inspection and repair, as warranted.

10.12.5.8 Natural Resources

DEP previously prepared the shaft sites for the proposed inspection and repair activities. Therefore, disturbance to natural resources associated with the proposed inspection and repair are expected to be minimal and temporary and may warrant only a screening assessment. Results of the screening assessment will be presented in the DEIS.

This section of the DEIS will also assess the potential for impacts from the repair as a result of the cessation of leaks in Wawarsing that could result in reduced flows to local, deep groundwater aquifers in the Wawarsing area, including near the site of the Town landfill. These changes in water levels associated with cessation of the leaks could affect natural resources, specifically surface water and groundwater, in the Wawarsing area. USGS has monitored surface water and groundwater in the Wawarsing study area since 2008; the most intensive monitoring period took place between 2008 and 2010. The USGS monitoring covered a 12-square-mile study area, consisting of an array of 41 wells and two surface water locations, to evaluate the hydrogeologic response to four separate RWBT shutdowns. The DEIS will present an assessment of existing public water supplies in Wawarsing, and the USGS monitoring data that includes:

- Water level and groundwater temperature data collected during the monitoring periods;
- Water level and temperature observations in wells from both the unconsolidated and bedrock aquifers in the context of long-term regional precipitation trends (a 141-year record from Central Park, New York) compared to normal annual precipitation and seasonal variations in precipitation (daily precipitation from National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration station in Central Park, New York);
• Influences to water level fluctuations in both unconsolidated and bedrock wells from seasonal precipitation patterns and groundwater flow from the tunnel leakage; and

• Data as it relates to the conceptual flow model for the study area (dominant flow paths were solution enhanced fractures in carbonate rock and presence of significant vertical [upward] potentiometric gradients).

As part of the assessment, a potential zone of groundwater influence will be developed based on local geology. The assessment will focus on those properties with groundwater supply wells that would fall within this zone of influence and do not have public water service. The DEIS will assess the potential for impacts to those groundwater supply wells, as well as the potential transport of contaminated groundwater near the site of the Town landfill associated with inspection and repair activities.

10.12.5.9 Hazardous Materials

During inspection and repair, DEP may need to temporarily store or use hazardous materials at the shaft sites. The potential for impacts associated with storage and use of potentially hazardous chemicals associated with inspection and repair activities will also be presented in the DEIS.

The potential transport of contaminated groundwater near the site of the Town landfill associated with inspection and repair activities will be presented in the Natural Resources section of the DEIS.

10.12.5.10 Water and Sewer Infrastructure

A water and sewer infrastructure assessment will be conducted to determine if construction or operation associated with inspection and repair have the potential to cause a significant adverse impact to water and sewer infrastructure.

An evaluation of the potential for aqueduct shutdowns and repairs to impact the two community users who draw water from the aqueduct, as well as any private wells, will also be conducted and presented in the DEIS. The analysis will include

• An inventory and review of the water suppliers that use the RWBT for water supply, including their availability of back-up supply along with an assessment of the ability of these users to accommodate reduced or limited access to the RWBT during the temporary shutdown. DEP has developed an ongoing program to work with these water suppliers, and the shutdowns will be temporary and coordinated to minimally affect these users;

• An inventory of existing water and sewer infrastructure servicing each shaft site, and private groundwater wells in Wawarsing; and

• A comparison of estimated project-generated water supply demand, potential changes to groundwater levels, and capacity of stormwater and sewage system capacities with the infrastructure available to meet these demands; and

• Discharges from the proposed project during both construction and operation of activities associated with the proposed inspection and repair would be directed to a stormwater,
combined sewer system, trucked and hauled, or treated for discharge into local streams in the vicinity of each site. If warranted, a stormwater and/or sewer infrastructure analysis will be prepared and presented in the DEIS. The analysis will include: Estimates of increased stormwater and any impervious areas at each shaft site to confirm the proposed inspection and repair would not exceed any thresholds for analyses of stormwater, such as changes resulting from the addition of impervious surfaces for parking areas (including gravel areas) and building roofs (including temporary construction trailers);

- The addition of new stormwater and drainage features near the shaft sites;
- Estimates of increased sewer demand; and
- An examination of the need for additional infrastructure and utilities needed to support the proposed inspection and repair activities.

An assessment of the potential for the proposed inspection and repair to affect water supply, stormwater and sewer infrastructure will be included in the DEIS.

10.12.5.11 Energy

Energy use associated with the proposed inspection and repair would primarily be related to operation of the ventilation and communication systems and any grouting operations during inspection and repair. The total amount of energy use at the shafts will be minimal, and, as a result, a screening level assessment will be prepared to determine whether operation of the proposed project has the potential to adversely affect energy, thereby warranting further analysis. Specifically, a review of on-site energy sources and a description of any new energy sources (i.e., generators) will be conducted within the study areas to determine if any energy resource would potentially be affected or require the need for additional infrastructure and electric or gas utilities. Results of the energy screening assessment and analysis will be presented in the DEIS.

10.12.5.12 Transportation

This assessment will consider the extent and duration of any increase in vehicle trips from construction workers and equipment; any street, roadway, or sidewalk closure; potential for impacts on the parking supply; and any loss in other transportation services during the various phases of activities at the shaft sites. Results of the construction transportation screening assessment and relevant analyses will be presented in the DEIS. There would be no long-term inspection and repair operations at the shaft sites, and therefore, an operational assessment will not be provided in the DEIS.

10.12.5.13 Air Quality

The construction air quality impact section will contain a discussion of stationary and mobile source emissions from construction equipment, worker and delivery vehicles, and fugitive dust emissions associated with proposed inspection and repair activities, including those associated with grouting. A screening assessment will be prepared for emissions from construction equipment and construction-related mobile sources. Results from the construction air quality screening assessment and analysis will be presented in the DEIS. There would be no long-term
inspection and repair operations at the shaft sites, and therefore, an operational assessment will not be provided in the DEIS.

10.12.5.14 Noise

Some components of the proposed inspection and repair may result in temporary noise-generating sources, such as vehicular traffic, generators, and ventilation equipment. A noise screening analysis will be conducted to determine if temporary activities associated with the proposed inspection and repair has the potential to cause a significant adverse noise impact at noise-sensitive receptors from these sources.

For mobile noise sources, an analysis will be performed. A quantitative analysis is not required due to the short-duration of proposed inspection and repair activities. For stationary noise sources, a noise impact screening analysis will be conducted to determine whether there are nearby receptors that could be temporarily affected based on the proposed equipment related to the proposed inspection and repair activities. If the proposed equipment has the potential to result in an increase above CEQR thresholds, a detailed stationary noise analysis will be prepared. There would be no long-term inspection and repair operations at the shaft sites, and therefore an operational assessment will not be provided in the DEIS. If a detailed noise analysis is warranted, the following analysis protocols will be followed:

Mobile Source Noise Analysis

Due to the short duration of inspection and repair activities, a quantitative mobile noise analysis is not required.

Stationary Source Noise Analysis

If required, stationary source noise levels associated with the proposed inspection and repair will be predicted at the nearest noise-sensitive receptors using a spreadsheet analysis or CadnaA software. Noise levels due to on-site noise sources related to the proposed inspection and repair are a function of the noise emission level of equipment, the distance between the equipment and the noise-sensitive receptor, topography and ground attenuation, and shielding between the equipment and the noise-sensitive receptor. In addition, noise sources may only emit noise for a portion of the period of time that is being assessed (e.g., one hour). A utilization factor will therefore be applied to account for this fluctuation. Using representative noise emission reference levels and a utilization factor for equipment, the on-site noise level at the noise-sensitive receptors would be determined for the peak period, and would account for distance attenuation. The predicted noise levels from the proposed inspection and repair would be compared with the applicable CEQR criteria and applicable local noise codes to determine whether a potential significant adverse impact would occur. Results of the noise screening assessment and analysis will be presented in the DEIS.

10.12.5.15 Neighborhood Character

An assessment of the potential for the proposed inspection and repair to affect neighborhood or community character at the shafts will be included in the DEIS. The neighborhood character assessment will be conducted as follows:
• Based on other technical analyses, describe the predominant factors that contribute to defining the character of the community surrounding the shaft sites where there may be temporary effects;

• Summarize changes that can be expected in the character of the shaft sites in the future without the proposed inspection and repair; and

• Assess and summarize the degree and type of change from the proposed inspection and repair on the community using the analysis of the potential for impacts presented in other relevant analyses (this could include, but not be limited to visual resources and open space and recreation).

10.12.5.16 Public Health

A public health assessment is not warranted if a proposed project does not result in a significant unmitigated adverse impact in other analysis areas, such as air quality, water quality, hazardous materials, or noise. If an unmitigated significant adverse impact is identified in the relevant technical areas of the DEIS for either project construction or operation, a public health assessment will be performed.

10.12.5.17 Mitigation

This section of the DEIS will provide a brief summary description of any identified impacts and mitigation from the proposed inspection and repair based on results of the analyses presented in the DEIS.

10.12.6 Proposed Decommissioning Impact Methodology and Assessment

This section of the DEIS will (1) provide an overview that will list the assessment categories that will be analyzed and a brief summary of potential impacts associated with the proposed decommissioning and identified mitigation; (2) describe the methodologies that will be used in the DEIS to assess potential environmental impacts; and (3) describe baseline conditions, the future without the proposed decommissioning, and the analysis of the probable impacts as applicable, described further below.

This section of the DEIS will identify any impact categories for which proposed decommissioning did not meet applicable CEQR/SEQRA thresholds, thereby screening out those analyses. As a result of the decommissioning, leaks suspected to originate from the RWBT and influence water levels in the Roseton area on the west side of the Hudson River in the vicinity of the RWBT would be significantly reduced or eliminated (Roseton study area). However, there are no CEAs in the vicinity of the Roseton area, decommissioning the bypassed section of the RWBT would not result in new structures or additions to existing structures greater than 50 feet, or be located adjacent to, or across from, a sunlight-sensitive resource; not result in the generation of 50 tons per week or more of solid waste; nor result in any significant generation of traffic or transportation demand, air emissions, noise sources or introduce a noise-sensitive receptor; nor any significant energy use or generation of GHGs or involve construction activities.
Therefore, it is anticipated that the following impact categories will not be evaluated in the DEIS for the proposed decommissioning: CEAs; shadows; solid waste and sanitation services; energy; transportation; air quality; GHGs and climate change; and noise. In addition, none of the assessment categories will be evaluated for potential construction impacts as there is no construction component of the proposed decommissioning. However, energy and GHGs and climate change will be evaluated cumulatively for the proposed Upstate Water Supply Resiliency project and will include any potential contribution from the proposed decommissioning.

10.12.7 PROPOSED DECOMMISSIONING IMPACT ASSESSMENT

This section of the DEIS will present an assessment of the potential for impacts from decommissioning of the bypassed section of the RWBT that is expected to result in the permanent cessation of leaks in the Roseton area. All categories not screened from the analysis will be evaluated in this manner.

10.12.7.1 Land Use, Zoning and Public Policy

The analysis will evaluate the potential for impacts to land uses within the Roseton study area and will provide a baseline for other analyses. The assessment in the land use and zoning chapter will (1) demarcate the Roseton study area; (2) describe conditions in the Roseton study area, including existing land uses; (3) describe predominant land use patterns in the study area, including recent development trends; (4) summarize other public policies that may apply to the study area, including any formal neighborhood or community plans; and (5) provide an assessment of the potential for impacts from decommissioning on land use and land use trends and public policy. The assessment will consider the effects of decommissioning related to compatibility with surrounding land use, zoning, and other public policy initiatives, and the effect on development trends and conditions in the area.

More specifically, the land use analysis will describe existing land uses and zoning within the Roseton study area. Land use and zoning information will be compiled and mapped from published data, supplemented with field surveys and aerial photography, as appropriate. A general description of land use patterns using existing published sources of information and field reconnaissance will also be provided. Lastly, the public policy analysis will outline public policies that may apply, including any adopted or proposed neighborhood, community plans, LWRP, SASS, SCFWH, and landward coastal boundaries.

The descriptions of future conditions without the proposed decommissioning will be based upon information obtained from DEP and the Town of Newburgh and Orange County concerning improvements planned and programmed for implementation. In addition, the DEIS will include an assessment of any property access that would be required by DEP for the proposed decommissioning.

10.12.7.2 Socioeconomic Conditions

The socioeconomic assessment in the DEIS will provide an assessment of the proposed decommissioning against applicable CEQR guidelines to describe and document existing socioeconomic conditions and trends in the Roseton study area that could potentially be affected
by decommissioning and result in significant impacts due to (1) direct residential displacement; (2) direct business displacement; (3) indirect residential displacement; (4) indirect business displacement; and (5) adverse effects on a specific industry. The assessment will include whether changes to the water levels in the Roseton study area have the potential to effect existing water supply wells.

The socioeconomic analysis pertaining to the costs associated with the proposed Upstate Water Supply Resiliency project, including the proposed decommissioning, would be addressed through a shared distribution of costs across DEP’s water supply network, including City and upstate customers, through changes in the water rate due to project costs. Therefore, potential changes to the water rate as a result of the proposed Upstate Water Supply Resiliency project, and those evaluated in the previous EIS, will be described in Chapter 12, “Cumulative Impacts of Proposed Upstate Water Supply Resiliency Project,” of the DEIS.

10.12.7.3 Community Facilities and Services

There may be temporary changes to community services in the Roseton study area during decommissioning. Therefore, as applicable, the community facilities and services assessment will (1) identify the local community facilities within the Roseton study area that would service the sites; (2) describe any temporary changes to expected uses of those community facilities and services; and (3) provide an assessment of the potential for impacts from the proposed decommissioning on those community facilities and services.

10.12.7.4 Open Space and Recreation

This section of the DEIS will present data on recreational activities near the Roseton study area that may be impacted by the proposed decommissioning (e.g. fishing). A screening level assessment will be prepared to determine whether the proposed decommissioning would have the potential to result in adverse impacts on open space and recreation, warranting further analysis. The open space and recreation assessment will consist of the following steps:

- Map and describe existing open spaces and recreation areas in the Roseton study area, including those identified in local open space plans;
- Identify and describe predominant open space patterns and recreational activities in the Roseton study area based on existing information included in GIS for the area and compiled field surveys; and
- Assess how the proposed decommissioning would affect open space and recreation.

Results of the open space and recreation assessment will be presented in the DEIS.

10.12.7.5 Critical Environmental Areas

Though there are two CEAs in the vicinity of the Delaware Aqueduct, there are no CEAs in the vicinity of the Roseton area. Therefore, no analysis will be undertaken.
10.12.7.6 Historic and Cultural Resources

This section of the DEIS will include an assessment of the potential for impacts to historic and cultural resources that could occur as a result of decommissioning. Many of the changes associated with decommissioning would occur in areas that are in areas of standing water. As required, this analysis will include identification of resources that could potentially be affected in the Roseton study area in consultation with OPRHP. A consultation request will be submitted that includes site location maps and photographic documentation of the existing study area and surroundings. If any resources are identified, an inspection of identified sites and additional field survey and documentary research may be required.

10.12.7.7 Visual Resources

This section of the DEIS will assess the potential for impacts on visual resources from decommissioning due to changes in water levels in the Roseton study area. The assessment will focus on the potential observable changes to the view corridor as seen by the general public. The study area for the assessment of visual resources would be consistent with that of the study area used for the analysis of land use, zoning, and public policy, and may also include view corridors that extend beyond that study area, as required.

A screening assessment will be prepared to determine whether a visual assessment pursuant to the NYSDEC policy, “Assessing and Mitigating Visual Impacts,” and CEQR criteria is warranted, as appropriate. If required, a visual assessment will be prepared in conformance with the applicable NYSDEC and CEQR criteria. In this assessment, an inventory of sensitive visual resources will be compiled to determine the potential for views towards the Roseton study area. In addition, identification of the magnitude of change for the project to eliminate or substantially limit views which are deemed to have aesthetic value from sensitive visual resources. In addition, the incremental changes to views which are deemed to have aesthetic value from sensitive visual resources will be characterized in the DEIS in a narrative format and through the use of images depicting conditions in the future with and without the project, as warranted.

10.12.7.8 Natural Resources

Based on previous DEP observations and information included in the first EIS, decommissioning of the bypassed section of the RWBT could potentially result in changes to natural resources in the Roseton study area. This section of the DEIS will include an assessment of the potential for the proposed decommissioning to affect natural resources, including: terrestrial, wetland, and aquatic biota and habitats; surface water and groundwater resources; and geology. Desktop review, agency consultations and field surveys will be conducted to identify and map locations and types of natural resources within the Roseton study area that have the potential to be affected. Once these natural resources are identified, an assessment will be conducted to determine the potential for significant adverse impacts to these resources, as required, and presented in the DEIS.

Terrestrial, Wetland, and Aquatic Biota and Habitats

The assessment will include a description of the existing natural resources within the Roseton study area, based on results of field surveys and desktop analyses, as applicable, including:
• Reviewing USGS Topographic Maps, FEMA Floodplain Maps, NYSDEC Wetland Maps, NYSDEC Waters Index Numbers and Priority Waterbodies List, USFWS NWI Maps, USDA NRCS soil maps, available DEP and the previous EIS data, and aerial photos;

• Coordinating with the NYNHP, NYSDEC Central and Regional Offices, USACE, USFWS, and NMFS, as applicable, to determine whether further on-site analysis will be necessary as well as county and local offices;

• Contacting NYNHP to determine whether endangered, threatened, species of special concern, as well as candidate, proposed, or rare species of plants and wildlife or unique and critical habitats were reported as occurring on or adjacent to the study areas that could be affected by the proposed decommissioning. NYNHP provides a database listing that identifies the species and/or habitats with state, heritage and global rankings, along with other information related to the species. NYSDEC Central and Regional offices and NMFS may be contacted to provide additional information on species, locations, and habitats identified; and

• Consultation with USFWS, as necessary, based on species identified. USFWS provides an online report of any federally listed endangered, threatened, candidate, or proposed for listing species known to exist within the county of the proposed project. A USFWS online project review will be conducted and submitted to the USFWS. Consultation with USFWS will be conducted, as necessary based on species identified. In addition, local and county legislation related to threatened and endangered species will be reviewed and species list compared with State and federal species information to ensure relevant flora and fauna will be identified. Species provided protection under the Migratory Bird Treaty Act of 1918, and other protective legislation such as the Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act, will be evaluated if documented to occur within the project area. Pertinent species information provided by these agencies and sources will be included in the Roseton study area existing conditions description in the DEIS and will inform the DEIS analysis.

Based on these results, natural resources field surveys for regulated habitats and listed, proposed, and candidate species or habitats identified as potentially occurring in the Roseton study area will be conducted to establish baseline conditions. Field surveys that will be conducted to identify existing natural resources within the study area, as applicable, include:

• Delineation and characterization of wetlands and watercourses within the Roseton study area. The wetland delineations will follow the USACE three-parameter methodology as described in the 1987 USACE wetland delineation manual (Environmental Laboratory 1987), and the Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Northcentral and Northeast Region (Version 2.0) (USACE 2012). The watercourses will be delineated using indicators of ordinary high water marks as described in 33 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) 328.3.
- Habitat assessments and surveys to identify existing habitats and species occurring within the Roseton study area identified as having a potential to be adversely affected by proposed decommissioning, which may include:
  - Characterization of ecological communities within the Roseton study area;
  - Incidental observations of mammal, avian, and herptile species;
  - Fisheries and benthic macroinvertebrate surveys of stream segments within the Roseton study area; and
  - For protected species or their habitats, field investigations of the Roseton study area conducted by biologists to document the extent of the resource, the context of its surroundings, and the area potentially affected by the project decommissioning. These field surveys may include habitat assessments (e.g., Phase I bog turtle habitat survey), or more detailed visual assessments for the species (e.g., Phase II bog turtle visual survey). Surveyor qualifications and survey methodologies for endangered and threatened species will be consistent with federal and State guidelines.

The potential for direct or indirect physical effects of the proposed decommissioning on each affected resource within the Roseton study area will be assessed. The assessment will include a summary of the affected resources, whether the resource is State or federally listed, if the impacts are direct or indirect, the duration of the impact whether short or long term, the severity of the impact (e.g., extent) whether insignificant or significant, and if the impacts can be mitigated. The potential for impacts will be assessed using the following approach:

- The results of the surface water and groundwater assessment will be used prepare an evaluation of potential changes to wetland extent and vegetation composition, and stream biotic resources (i.e., benthic and fish) within stream segments potentially affected by decommissioning, based on output from the assessment of potential impacts to streamflow and water quality, and data/literature review. An evaluation of the potential for beneficial effects on stream biota will also be completed;

- Communities that are suspected to be influenced by the leaking RWBT and observed surface expressions will be compared to “reference” communities in the same watershed where no influence from leaks and surface expressions are thought to exist based on review of previous shutdown and long-term surface monitoring data and observations. The reference conditions for comparison are assumed to be representative of the future surface water levels and conditions that could occur, and the natural resources communities that would exist and/or be influenced by these water levels following the RWBT decommissioning.

**Surface Water and Groundwater**

The natural resources assessment will also assess the potential for impacts to groundwater and surface water in the Roseton study area. A long-term monitoring network for surface water, shallow groundwater, and bedrock groundwater has been established to evaluate the seasonal
trends in streamflow, water quality, and groundwater elevation, trends in response to precipitation events, to RWBT flow, and to better characterize the areal and vertical groundwater flow in the bedrock aquifer. The network consists of several streamflow and surface water quality monitoring locations, shallow groundwater monitoring wells, bedrock residential supply wells, several geotechnical borings associated with the RWBT boring program, and two monitoring wells previously used during a geophysical investigation of the area. A shallow overburden well previously observed to be not associated with a nearby stream or surface expression is also included in this long-term monitoring as a basis for comparing the fluctuation of water levels in the bedrock aquifer to that in shallow groundwater not closely correlated with a surface water body.

The data collected from the long-term monitoring network will be compared to the water level and water quality data collected during previous short-term shutdowns of the RWBT to establish a range for the potential impacts to streamflow, stream water quality, groundwater levels, and natural resources (i.e., wetlands, surface waters, aquatic, and terrestrial biota). Specifically, the surface water and groundwater assessment will focus on the area where the decommissioning has the potential to influence surface and groundwater. The assessment of potential impacts to these resources from decommissioning will be completed using the following approach:

- Long-term surface water monitoring and prior RWBT shutdown monitoring data will be used to determine the stream segments and associated wetlands with the greatest potential to be influenced by the leaking RWBT. Data will be used to determine the potential range of changes to streamflow and shallow groundwater elevation that could be expected following decommissioning. Using the potential range of changes, projections of streamflow and shallow groundwater elevations following decommissioning will be disclosed for the stream segments and wetlands that are influenced by the leaking RWBT. Using the projected changes to streamflow, an assessment of potential impacts to water quality will be completed. The results of the surface water assessment will be used to assess potential impacts to stream and wetland biota as described above.

- A maximum zone of groundwater influence will be developed based on the local geology and data collected during previous shutdowns. The bedrock aquifer is used as a source of drinking water for those properties within the zone of influence that do not have public water service. The long-term monitoring program of these wells and other deep groundwater monitoring points will be used to establish the baseline conditions for those locations.

- An assessment of the influence of the RWBT on groundwater levels will be completed based on the long-term monitoring data and the prior RWBT shutdown monitoring data to establish the potential range of changes in the groundwater levels following decommissioning. The potential range of changes will be compared to the residential well baseline data to assess potential impacts to the residential drinking water wells within the zone of influence.

- The potential for changes in groundwater quality will be assessed by comparing the available groundwater quality data in the Roseton study area to the typical background groundwater quality for similar bedrock aquifers in Orange County. The comparison will
be used to identify those water quality parameters that may change following decommissioning.

Geology

The potential for changes to geology will be evaluated in the DEIS through field surveys and desktop analysis. This will include review of previous investigations and observations, evaluation of geologic and hydrogeologic conditions, identification of existing conditions (topography, features), conceptualization, and numerical assessment to determine the area or areas where changes could occur and the potential extent of those changes.

10.12.7.9 Hazardous Materials

During decommissioning, DEP may need to temporarily store or use hazardous materials in the vicinity of the Roseton study area. The potential for impacts associated with storage and use of potentially hazardous chemicals associated with decommissioning will be assessed and presented in the DEIS.

10.12.7.10 Water and Sewer Infrastructure

An assessment of the potential for the proposed decommissioning to affect water supply, stormwater and sewer infrastructure will be included in the DEIS.

The potential water supply impacts to the private drinking water supply wells in the Roseton study area will be based on the results of the natural resources assessment of potential changes to groundwater levels. The natural resources assessment will establish the baseline conditions, maximum zone of groundwater influence, and the potential range of changes in the groundwater levels following decommissioning. By comparing the baseline data to the potential range of changes in the groundwater levels the affect on the available groundwater supply will be assessed.

The infrastructure analysis will be prepared and will include:

- An inventory of existing water and sewer infrastructure, including private groundwater wells within the Roseton study area;
- A comparison of estimated project-generated water supply demand and potential changes to groundwater levels;
- Estimates of increased stormwater and any changes to impervious areas to confirm that the proposed decommissioning would not exceed any thresholds for analyses of stormwater, such as changes resulting from the addition of impervious surfaces for parking areas (including gravel areas);
- Estimates of potential change to groundwater levels;
- Estimates of increased sewer demand;
- The addition of new stormwater and drainage features in the Roseton study area;
• Capacity of stormwater and sewage systems with the infrastructure available to meet these demands; and

• An examination of the need for additional infrastructure and utilities needed to support the proposed decommissioning activities.

10.12.7.11 Neighborhood Character

An assessment of the potential for the proposed decommissioning to affect neighborhood or community character in the Roseton study area will be included in the DEIS. The neighborhood character assessment will be conducted as follows:

• Based on other technical analyses, the predominant factors that contribute to defining the character of the neighborhood surrounding the Roseton study area where there may be effects;

• Summarize changes that can be expected in the character of the area in the future without the proposed decommissioning; and

• Assess and summarize the degree and type of change from the proposed decommissioning on the community using the analysis of the potential for impacts as presented in other relevant analyses (this could include, but not be limited to visual resources and open space and recreation).

10.12.7.12 Public Health

A public health assessment is not warranted if a proposed project does not result in a significant unmitigated adverse impact in other analysis areas, such as air quality, water quality, hazardous materials, or noise. If an unmitigated significant adverse impact is identified in the relevant technical areas of the DEIS for the proposed decommissioning, a public health assessment will be performed.

10.12.8 Mitigation

This section of the DEIS will provide a brief summary description of any identified impacts and mitigation from the proposed decommissioning based on results of the analyses presented in the DEIS.

10.13 DEIS CHAPTER 12: CUMULATIVE IMPACTS OF PROPOSED UPSTATE WATER SUPPLY RESILIENCY PROJECT

10.13.1 Overview

This section of the DEIS will provide an overview of the following five assessment categories that are linked systemically across the individual components that comprise the proposed Upstate Water Supply Resiliency project, and therefore, require analysis for potential combined effects of
these individual components: socioeconomic conditions, open space and recreation, water infrastructure, natural resources, energy, and GHGs and climate change.

As described in Section 8.1, “Upstate Water Supply Resiliency” of this draft final scope, the proposed Upstate Water Supply Resiliency project consists of geographically disparate projects and operations spanning between Westchester County just north of the City to Schoharie County in upstate New York. Therefore, a cumulative assessment is not anticipated for the following impact categories that are either not applicable or that require project-specific analyses: land use, zoning, and public policy; community facilities and services; open space and recreation; CEAs, shadows; historic and cultural resources; visual resources; hazardous materials; sewer infrastructure; solid waste and sanitation services; transportation; air quality; noise; public health; neighborhood character and construction.

10.13.2 Potential Cumulative Impacts Analyses

10.13.2.1 Socioeconomic Conditions

The costs associated with the proposed Upstate Water Supply Resiliency project would be shared across DEP’s water supply network, including City and upstate customers, through changes in the water rates. Therefore, potential changes to the water rate as a result of the proposed Upstate Water Supply Resiliency project and those of Shaft and Bypass Tunnel Construction evaluated in the previous EIS, will be described in Chapter 12, “Cumulative Impacts of Proposed Upstate Water Supply Resiliency Project,” of the DEIS. The assessment will be based on the estimated cost of Shaft and Bypass Tunnel Construction and the proposed Upstate Water Supply Resiliency project and the potential incremental costs to City water and sewer rates of upstate customers. The cumulative assessment will include the potential for those changes to result in adverse impacts on economic and operational conditions on specific businesses or processes that may affect socioeconomic conditions. Results of the socioeconomic assessment will be presented in the DEIS.

10.13.2.2 Natural Resources

A cumulative assessment of the potential for the proposed Upstate Water Supply Resiliency Project to affect natural resources during the temporary shutdown period and over the long term may be included in the DEIS, as applicable.

10.13.2.3 Water Infrastructure

The cumulative assessment of infrastructure will focus on the potential of the proposed Upstate Water Supply Resiliency project to benefit the City’s water supply system during the temporary shutdown period and the benefits of the project to the overall goals of WFF. This assessment will be included in the DEIS.

10.13.2.4 Energy

The DEIS will include an analysis of energy use associated with the proposed Upstate Water Supply Resiliency project. In addition to an analysis of the limited energy required to operate the siphons or chemical addition facilities, the DEIS will also assess changes in energy production...
associated with water normally transferred between the Delaware System reservoirs. During the temporary shutdown, water would be released downstream. Brookfield Power currently operates small turbines between Cannonsville and Rondout reservoirs via the West Delaware Tunnel, which would not be operational during the temporary shutdown. DEP also has hydropower facilities in the East Delaware Tunnel and Neversink Tunnel that connect Pepacton and Neversink reservoirs, respectively, to Rondout Reservoir. During the temporary shutdown, these facilities would also be offline.

The estimated annual energy consumption as a result of the proposed Upstate Water Supply Resiliency project will be disclosed in the DEIS, along with an assessment of the potential of the project to significantly impact energy supply through reduction in hydropower generation. If required, the analysis will include a comparison of the estimated typical energy production of electric and gas systems and an examination of the need for additional temporary utilities.

10.13.2.5 **Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Climate Change**

Since the proposed Upstate Water Supply Resiliency project is subject to environmental review, an assessment of its consistency with NYC Executive Order 109 of 2007 during project construction and operation will be undertaken. Executive Order 109 mandates formulation of a GHG reduction plan to reduce City building and operational emissions by 30 percent below Fiscal Year 2006 levels by 2017. Estimated GHG emissions related to the proposed Upstate Water Supply Resiliency project would be disclosed in the DEIS for comparison to Executive Order 109. In addition, the DEIS will discuss the potential for impact of the proposed Upstate Water Supply Resiliency project’s GHG emissions on climate change. However, it is not anticipated that the timeframe for the proposed Upstate Water Supply Resiliency project would be such that any impacts would be realized.

10.14 **DEIS CHAPTER 13: MITIGATION**

If any potential for significant adverse impacts resulting from construction or operation of the proposed Upstate Water Supply Resiliency project are identified in the analysis areas discussed above, any practicable measures that could avoid or mitigate those impacts will be identified. Chapter 13 of the DEIS will summarize the findings of the relevant analyses, any identified impacts and mitigation measures for the proposed Upstate Water Supply Resiliency project based on results of the analyses presented in the DEIS. If any adverse impacts cannot be mitigated, they will be described as unavoidable adverse impacts in Chapter 16, “Irreversible and Irretrievable Commitment of Resources” of the DEIS.

10.15 **DEIS CHAPTER 14: ALTERNATIVES ANALYSIS**

The purpose of an alternatives section in an EIS is to provide a comparison of conditions under a proposed project that are then compared with conditions under alternatives to the proposed project. An alternatives analysis will be conducted for the DEIS to examine alternatives that may reduce impacts while substantively meeting the goals and objectives of the proposed Upstate Water Supply Resiliency project. For this reason, the full range of alternatives will not be fully defined until the extent of impacts has been identified as part of the DEIS. As part of the DEIS,
an analysis of a No-Action Alternative will be conducted, which assumes that Water for the Future would not be implemented. Chapter 14 of the DEIS will include an assessment of Alternative components to the proposed Upstate Water Supply Resiliency project, as discussed in Chapter 8, “Description of Water for the Future,” of this draft final scope. Other alternatives currently under consideration for the proposed Upstate Water Supply Resiliency project include:

- **Alternative 1**: Interconnections to Water Supplies in New Jersey
- **Alternative 2**: Leak Stabilization

### 10.16 DEIS CHAPTER 15: UNAVOIDABLE ADVERSE IMPACTS

Chapter 15 of the DEIS will identify those impacts, if any, that could not be avoided or be practicably mitigated. Specifically, the potential for impacts from the permanent cessation of leaks from repair of the RWBT will be discussed in this chapter of the DEIS.

### 10.17 DEIS CHAPTER 16: IRREVERSIBLE AND IRRETRIEVABLE COMMITMENT OF RESOURCES

Chapter 16 of the DEIS will discuss those resources, such as energy and construction materials, that would be irretrievably committed should the proposed Upstate Water Supply Resiliency project be implemented.

### 10.18 TECHNICAL APPENDICES

A technical appendix to the DEIS that includes necessary SEQRA/CEQR documentation will be provided.
A. INTRODUCTION

This document summarizes and responds to all substantive oral and written comments received during the public review period on the Draft Scope of Work (Draft Scope or DSOW) for the Water for the Future: Upstate Water Supply Resiliency Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). Public review of the Draft Scope began on October 10, 2014, with the issuance by the New York City Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) of the Positive Declaration and Notice of Intent to Prepare a Draft EIS (DEIS) on the proposed program, in accordance with New York State Environmental Quality Review Act (SEQRA) and New York City Environmental Quality Review (CEQR) procedures. A Draft Scope, prepared in accordance with SEQRA and CEQR regulations and the guidance of New York City’s CEQR Technical Manual, was also distributed on October 10, 2014, for public review and comment. Copies of the Draft Scope were made available for public review at the Town of Newburgh Town Hall, the Town of Yorktown Town Hall, the Town of Ellenville Town Hall, the Queens Public Library, the SUNY Sullivan Library in Loch Sheldrake, and DEP offices in Queens, Valhalla, and Kingston, NY. The document was also made available for public review on DEP’s website.

Public meetings on the Draft Scope were held on November 13, 2014, at the Town of Newburgh Town Hall, 1496 Route 300, Newburgh, NY; on November 14, 2014, at the State University of New York (SUNY), 112 College Road, Loch Sheldrake, NY; on November 19, 2014, at the Ellenville Government Center, 2 Elting Court, Ellenville, NY; and on November 20, 2014 at the Yorktown Town Hall, 363 Underhill Avenue, Yorktown Heights, NY, to solicit public comments on the proposed program and, specifically, on the scope of the environmental analysis. Written comments were also accepted through the public comment period, which was held open until December 5, 2014.

The Final Scope of Work (Final Scope) was issued on September 16, 2015. The Final Scope addresses comments received during the public review and finalizes changes to assessment methodologies that were made after the Draft Scope was published.

Section B below identifies the organizations and individuals that commented on the proposed program.

Section C summarizes and responds to each substantive comment. The comments are organized by subject area. Where multiple comments were made on the same subject matter, comments have been grouped together. Following each comment is the name of the organization or individual that made the comment, as listed in Section B. Responses to each comment follow.
B. ORGANIZATIONS AND INDIVIDUALS THAT COMMENTED

2. Gerard Behrens, written comments dated December 1, 2014. (Behrens)
3. Stanley Carey, Massepequa Water District, written comments dated December 5, 2014. (Carey)
4. Leonard Constantinopoli, South Farmingdale Water District, written comments dated December 5, 2014. (Constantinopoli)
5. Brian Foster, written comments dated November 20, 2014. (Foster)
6. Richard Franzetti, Town of Carmel, written comments dated December 4, 2014. (Franzetti)
7. Dean Frazier, Delaware County Department of Watershed Affairs, written comments dated December 5, 2014. (Frazier)
8. Joan Homovich, written comments dated November 19, 2014. (Homovich)
9. John Hughes, Franklin Square Water District, written comments dated December 4, 2014. (Hughes)
10. Anker Johansen, Locust Valley Water District, written comments dated December 5, 2014. (Johan)
11. Michael Kosinski, Roslyn Water District, written comments dated December 10, 2014. (Kosinski)
12. Tim Lloyd, Ph.D., RPA, New York State Office of Parks and Recreation and Historic Preservation, written comments dated November 14, 2014. (Lloyd)
15. Joan Torres, Hicksville Water District, written comments dated December 4, 2014. (Torres)
16. Curt Trinko, Residents for a More Beautiful Port Washington, written comments dated December 5, 2014. (Trinko)
C. COMMENTS AND RESPONSES

GENERAL SCOPING

Comment 1: Why are no public hearings scheduled here in Delaware County? (Behrens)

None were held in Delaware County? Is it possible that SUNY Delhi could be one of the sites for the DEIS comments and questions? Delaware County has two of your largest reservoirs and with the impact of the 8 to 15 month closure of RWBT, the location here would be helpful. Is there a target date for the DEIS? (Homovich)

Response: Public hearings on the DEIS will be scheduled in areas near project components including downstream of the Delaware watershed releases.

Comment 2: The DSOW adequately describes the proposed projects. The outline for the Draft EIS adequately describes the issues to be addressed. Section 10.10.5.8 describes the process for addressing natural resource issues. The DSOW adequately addresses the environmental issues that need to be examined in the Draft EIS. (Stilwel)

Response: Comment noted.

Comment 3: In Table 9.1 Summary of Potential Discretionary Permits, Approvals, and Consultations for Upstate Water Supply Resiliency, the City does not list the decree parties as an entity that they must consult with prior to the shutdown phase. (Tharp)

Response: DEP will comply with its obligations under the Supreme Court Decree of 1954, the rules and regulations promulgated by the Delaware River Basin Commission (DRBC) that are applicable to DEP and this project, and any other contractual agreements that DEP is a party to at the time of making any additional releases from DEP’s Delaware watershed, including the Flexible Flow Management Plan (FFMP) or any successor agreement. DEP has also undertaken extensive outreach and consultation with the resource managers in other affected states, with particular focus on New Jersey, to ensure appropriate consideration of and response to their concerns in a manner consistent with each state’s own regulatory and programmatic structure. We note that, with respect to Section 3.8 Project Review by DRBC, Section 3.5(c) of the Delaware River Basin Compact states DRBC shall not “exercise any jurisdiction, except upon consent of all parties to said decree, over the planning, design, construction, operation
or control of any projects, structures, or facilities constructed or used in connection with withdrawals, diversions, and releases of waters of the basin authorized by said decree or of the withdrawals, diversions, or releases to be made thereunder." Since this project constitutes the repair of a structure used in connection with withdrawals made under the Supreme Court Decree of 1954, it is not subject to review by DRBC. Regardless, DEP will inform and consult with the Decree Parties and DRBC regarding shutdown planning. The environmental impacts of such releases, within the State of New York, will be reviewed as part of the EIS.

Comment 4: As the guidance document for an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), the goals of a Scope are to focus an EIS on potentially significant environmental impacts that may be associated with the proposed action, and to identify all of the information necessary to address those issues during the review process. It is critical that this initial, cornerstone step in the process be comprehensive and detailed enough to guide the preparation of a thorough EIS and to facilitate informed review of all aspects of the proposed action by the public, as well as by involved and interested agencies, so that significant environmental impacts can be avoided or minimized as required by SEQRA. (Wegner)

Response: Comment noted. The DSOW has been written to be comprehensive and comply with SEQRA.

Comment 5: At the end of each DEIS chapter within the Draft Scope is a Mitigation subsection that repeatedly proposes a "brief summary" of any identified impacts and proposed mitigation. The chapter titled "Mitigation" (Chapter 13 of the DEIS) also proposes to summarize mitigation measures. Nowhere does the Draft Scope require the DEIS to provide the details of any proposed mitigation measures, which, for informed review must be detailed somewhere in the DEIS and not merely presented in brief summaries throughout. The Final Scope must require the DEIS to identify detailed, specific mitigation measures to avoid or minimize all potentially significant environmental impacts associated with the proposed action. (Wegner)

Response: The Final Draft Scope of Work has been updated to specify that detailed mitigation measures will be provided.

Comment 6: Both the EIS for the Upstate Water Supply project, and the EIS for the In-City Water Supply Resiliency project should consider all reasonable alternatives to the In-City Water Supply Resiliency project, and these
alternatives should be adequately identified in the draft scope of work. At present, the draft scope only identifies one alternative. Although the draft scope states that additional alternatives will be analyzed, as they are not clearly identified now, the public will not be able to analyze the other alternatives until after a comprehensive analysis has been completed, and the draft EIS on the subsequent project is issued. (Trinko)

Response: The Draft Scope for Upstate Resiliency identifies two alternatives that will be analyzed in the DEIS: Interconnections to Water Supplies in New Jersey and Leak Stabilization. The In-City Water Supply Resiliency Project is no longer part of the Water for the Future Program.

PROPOSED CATSKILL AQUEDUCT REPAIR AND REHABILITATION

Comment 7: What is the nature of the leaks proposed to be managed rather than repaired? Are they seeps? If not point sources, how will you be able to capture and treat the discharge? (Wegner)

To prevent re-growth of biofilm in the Catskill Aqueduct, DEP's plan proposes adding chlorine to the aqueduct at a facility to be constructed at the Ashokan Reservoir and then neutralizing the chlorine at a facility to be constructed at the Pleasantville alum treatment plant in Westchester County. The Plan identifies at least six leaks along that 70-mile section of the Catskill Aqueduct that will not be repaired, but instead will require construction of local dechlorination systems to neutralize chemically treated water before it enters the environment. Chlorinated surface expressions from these leaks will be particularly challenging to contain and will have significant adverse impacts on local aquatic resources and human health if not properly managed. Nevertheless, the Draft Scope does not identify these impacts or require the DEIS to evaluate them and to identify any practices that could avoid them by capturing the chlorinated water from diffuse, nonpoint sources before it enters the environment. (Wegner)

Response: The DEIS will describe in further detail activities associated with leaks, including the nature of the leaks and the proposed method of capture and treatment. The DEIS will fully disclose potential impacts to the environment associated with the use of chlorine in the Catskill Aqueduct.

Comment 8: Regarding the proposed Upstate Water Supply Resiliency project, Riverkeeper's primary concern is the lack of detail in the project description (Chapters 1-9) and failure of the Draft Scope contents (Chapters 10-16) to require sufficient detail in the Draft Environmental
For example, the Catskill Aqueduct Rehabilitation and Repair component proposes removal of existing biofilm deposits that have adhered to the interior walls of the aqueduct and disinfection of the biofilm wash water, but does not address any non-biological contaminants of the biofilm or underlying sediment that will be disturbed during the cleaning process and not mitigated by disinfection.

These issues should be addressed in the project description, and the Final Scope should require the DEIS to provide an analysis of all constituents of the biofilm and sediment in both the Catskill Aqueduct and the Rondout-West Branch Tunnel in the proposed areas of disturbance, and to develop a plan to mitigate or avoid the transport of any chemically-contaminated constituents to the Kensico or West Branch Reservoir. (Wegner)

**Response:**
The DEIS will describe in detail activities associated with biofilm removal and potential impacts related with this work. The proposed action does not include any work to remove sediment from any DEP reservoir.

**Comment 9:**
10.10.5 Proposed Catskill Aqueduct Repair and Rehabilitation Impact Assessment. The timeline for this project is from 2016-2019. It could involve at least two shutdowns of up to 10 weeks. The scope of work for this section should assess the impact of increased water usage and storage in the Cannonsville and Pepacton reservoirs, while the Catskill Aqueduct is shut down for repairs. We request that the DEP perform the same impact assessments as those identified in section 10.11.10 for the Cannonsville and Delaware dams 10.11.6.2 Socioeconomic Conditions, 10.11.6.3 Community Facilities and Services, 10.11.6.4 Open Space Recreation, 10.11.6.6 Historic and Cultural Resources, 10.11.6.8 Natural Resources, 10.11.10 Water and Sewer Infrastructure. We request specifically, if not covered under that the DEP also assess what the impact on boating and fishing, as well as, on activities above and below the dams. There should also be an assessment of what the impact may be on Flexible Flow Management Releases. Additionally, what are the anticipated reservoir levels in the Cannonsville and Pepacton reservoirs during this project? The DEP should also identify more closely when the proposed Ashokan shutdown time will be. Ten weeks could create substantial impacts during certain times of the year. (Frazier)

**Response:**
The DEIS will disclose potential effects to all the System reservoirs during the proposed shutdown of the RWBT section of the Delaware Aqueduct. This assessment will include potential effects to waterbodies downstream of the reservoirs within New York State as well as the reservoirs themselves and associated activities on these waterbodies. As noted in the
draft scope, all communities that rely on the Catskill Aqueduct will have sufficient back up supplies before the CAT-RR project is undertaken. In addition, routine modifications of water supply system operations, such as the shutdowns of DEP’s aqueducts during day-to-day maintenance or inspections, are not subject to environmental review under SEQRA or CEQR.

Comment 10: Based on our understanding of the proposed construction impacts, we have no further archaeology concerns with the following activities and recommend that they be categorically excluded from further consideration.

- Cleaning and inspection of segments of the systems.
- Repairing leaks.
- Replacing valves. (Lloyd)

Response: Comment noted.

Comment 11: Does the “construction of a local dechlorination system”, installation of “air vents”, or any or all of the “mechanical repairs” involve ground disturbance in locations not characterized by extensive prior ground disturbance? (On Figures 1-1 through 1-4 and Figures 10-1 through 10-19, there are locations where the proposed work is labeled as “mechanical repairs.”)

There are repeated references to “temporary staging areas” for equipment and materials. Will any or all of the staging area be in locations not characterized by asphalt paving, gravel paving, or extensive prior ground disturbance?

If yes, what will be the approximate horizontal and vertical extent the proposed ground disturbance? (Lloyd)

Response: Consultation with NYS Office of Parks, Recreation, and Historic Preservation (NYOPRHP) is currently ongoing. The consultation request considered the extent of potential ground disturbance associated with the proposed local dechlorination systems, the activities needed for the installation of these air vents, activities related to the mechanical repairs and the proposed staging and access areas. Potential sites for the proposed staging and access areas that have the potential for ground disturbance were identified in the consultation package. The outcome of this consultation will be included in the DEIS. New air vents would be installed on top of existing aqueduct structures. Access would be via existing access roads. Mechanical repairs would occur from within the
aqueduct tunnels with access gained via the aqueduct or existing access roads.

Comment 12: In addition, there is no detail in the plan on what chemicals will be stored at the on-site treatment facilities, practices for their proper storage, or a spill response plan to address accidental releases of chemicals or untreated water to the environment, and the Draft Scope fails to identify the potential impacts associated with such a release as an issue which must be addressed in the DEIS. (Wegner)

Response: The DEIS will disclose all chemical storage associated with the chlorination and dechlorination facilities.

Comment 13: My question is, the location and type of hazardous chemicals that are going to be used for the treatment of the water. I've noticed that there's some new treatment plants and there's some new dechlorination plants that are planned on both aqueducts, Catskill and Delaware. I'm curious to know what type of chlorination they are going to use. Primarily what type of chlorine and what type of dechlor they are going to use, and the locations of such. (Waterhouse)

Response: Chlorination and dechlorination, including the proposed chemicals to be used and stored and locations of the treatment systems, will be described in the DEIS.

PROPOSED WATER FOR THE FUTURE SYSTEM SHUTDOWN OPERATIONS: DELAWARE SYSTEM

Comment 14: Are the current release valves in these 49-61 year old dams equipped to handle maximum releases for extended periods of time perhaps months at a time? Will inspections be conducted on these valves and repairs made as necessary to assure their reliability? (Tharp)

Response: These valves are inspected and maintained on a routine basis. The release works routinely release the maximum capacity release rates depending on hydrologic conditions in the reservoirs.

Comment 15: If the release valves prove to be inadequate during wet hydrological conditions and reservoirs spill for prolonged periods of time, will the DEIS contain the environmental impacts of this scenario on the areas below the dams? (Tharp)
Response: Differences in reservoir elevations, releases, and spills between the RWBT shutdown and no-shutdown/baseline scenarios will be evaluated and any associated environmental impacts will be disclosed as part of the DEIS analyses.

Comment 16: How will predetermined drawdown capacities be maintained without additional siphons during wet hydrological conditions in consideration of these facts:

- On average the Delaware River Reservoirs receive approximately 40 inches of rain per year adding 640 billion gallons of run-off to the reservoirs per year.

- One inch of run-off adds approximately 16 billion gallons to the Delaware watershed. The maximum releases of the three reservoirs are 2,390 cfs or approximately 1.5 billion gallons per day. It will take 11 days to release this water at maximum capacity without additional inflow which is hypothetical because there is always additional inflow.

- If reservoirs are not drawn down prior to a predicted storm then once the river reaches flood stage of 9.0 feet at West Branch Hale Eddy; 11.0 ft. at East Branch Fish Eddy; and 12.0 ft. at Bridgeville for the Neversink, maximum releases must end and reservoirs will continue to rise thus setting the stage for catastrophic flooding since diversions cannot be made.

- Siphons would enhance the ability to draw down reservoirs more quickly if a heavy rainfall is predicted. Both the Gilboa and Ashokan Dams provide additional means besides their release valves such as release channels to get more water out prior to storms. The Delaware Dams have only their release valves and have proven during past floods to be incapable of releasing enough water prior to major rain events. How can modeling prove that these dams have sufficient release capacities if wet hydrological conditions exist for a period of 8 months without jeopardizing the safety of the public? It can take weeks or a month to achieve even a 5% void in Pepacton depending upon inflows.

- An 8 month period takes us into the snowmelt/spring rains time period when inflow is dramatically higher. Even at maximum releases, the release valves may not be “adequate” to handle these increased inflows without creating spilling reservoirs. Thus, the need for a “back up” releases system. (Tharp)
Response: The DEIS will present analyses undertaken to evaluate the potential effects to the environment during the Delaware Aqueduct shutdown and the analyses undertaken to determine the need for additional infrastructure. An engineering analysis to determine the operational requirements necessary for managing the system during the shutdown period was undertaken and the results will be disclosed in the DEIS. The Decree Parties and DRBC will be informed of and consulted regarding shutdown planning.

Comment 17: 10.11.10 Proposed Water for the Future Shutdown System Operations. The intent of this effort is to draw water down sufficiently in the Delaware System to create voids as the DEP will turn to use the Catskill and Croton Systems for their water supply. "Prior to and during the temporary shutdown of the RWBT, DEP would implement Water for the Future Shutdown System Operations (WSSO)." "DEP would preferentially divert water from the Delaware System to the City to meet demand between June 1 and September 30, 2022, if the hydrologic conditions to do so are favorable." "Unwatering of the RWBT would begin on October 1, 2022 and complete as late as June 2023." We want to confirm that assessments will include the communities and tailwaters below the Cannonsville and Pepacton reservoirs along the river to the Delaware and Sullivan County borderline below Hancock. Impacts and potential solutions to the impacts should be accounted for under the following categories identified in the Scope of Work: 10.11.6.2 Socioeconomic Conditions, 10.11.6.3 Community Facilities and Services, 10.11.6.4 Open Space Recreation, 10.11.6.6 Historic and Cultural Resources, 10.11.6.8 Natural Resources, 10.11.10 Water and Sewer Infrastructure. We request that the DEP state the planned goal for reservoir levels at the beginning of this project. How with this project affect required FFMP releases and what will be the risk of flooding from spilling should conditions create this situation and how will the DEP manage this scenario? (Frazier)

10.12. 7 Proposed Rondout-West Branch Tunnel Inspection and Repair Impact Assessment. Because this task is overlapped under Proposed Water for the Future Shutdown System Operations our concerns and comments are the same as above in the same 10.11.10. (Frazier)

Response: The DEIS will disclose potential effects to the System reservoirs during the proposed shutdown of the RWBT section of the Delaware Aqueduct. The DEIS will describe potential changes to waterbodies downstream of the reservoirs within New York State as well as the reservoirs themselves and associated activities on these waterbodies.
Comment 18: How will all the water from diversions be released and the terms of the FFMP maintained? What were the criteria for determining the need for siphons? How was the “sufficient release capabilities determined? If 700 cfs is all that can be released from Pepacton, is there a modeling that shows the need for more releases? If the need for siphons has already been determined, what do the modeling statistics show? How can increased releases be performed but the FFMP standards met? Will a temporary FFMP have to be negotiated with the Decree Party States to cover these changes? How much water would have to be diverted in this preparatory time to create a safe level? How much extra would have to be released during that time period? (Homovich)

Response: The DEIS will present analyses undertaken to determine the need for additional infrastructure. An engineering analysis was undertaken to determine the operational requirements necessary for managing the system during the shutdown period. DEP anticipates operating the Delaware Basin reservoirs during the shutdown in accordance with the FFMP, as currently drafted. No changes to the FFMP are anticipated. If in the future the FFMP is modified or replaced with a different operating protocol, DEP would evaluate potential impacts on shutdown planning and would consult with the Decree Parties and DRBC as necessary.

Comment 19: Since the “hydrologic conditions “in the Delaware System have a major effect on the water supply and environmental impacts not only in New York, but also in the states of PA, NJ and Delaware, are these states involved in the determination of the parameters that would involve the “favorable hydrological conditions” for the shutdown? (Tharp)

This shut down of approximately 8 months will affect the releases and protocol of the current Flexible Flow Management Plan (FFMP). When will the decree parties be consulted in the development of the plan? According to the FFMP an 8 month period is not considered temporary and may require an extended negotiation period to acquire a unanimous decision among parties. (Temporary is considered less than 7 days-Section 17, p.28) (Tharp)

With the present disagreements among the decree parties to negotiate, it seems imperative that the City negotiates now with the decree parties to agree to the changes necessary during this shutdown to establish the parameters to prevent both drought and catastrophic flooding in the Delaware River Basin. (Tharp)
The Decree Parties should be involved now with the establishment of the parameters of the “hydrologic conditions” upon which the shutdown will begin and the establishment of the changes in the protocol for draw down and additional releases that will have a major environmental impact on the Delaware River Basin. Even though the City may not have a legal obligation to involve the Decree Parties in this draft EIS, it would be a ‘good neighbor’ to involve them now and possible prevent problems in the future prior to shut down. (Tharp)

**Response:**

DEP anticipates operating the Delaware Basin reservoirs during the shutdown in accordance with the FFMP, as currently drafted. No changes to the FFMP are anticipated. Nonetheless, DEP will consult with the Decree Parties and the DRBC as part of the planning for the shutdown. If in the future the FFMP is modified or replaced with a different operating protocol, DEP would evaluate potential impacts on shutdown planning and would consult with the Decree Parties and DRBC as necessary.

**Comment 20:**

By 2022, there is no way of knowing whether the Delaware System will be under the current FFMP or a totally different reservoir management plan. A greater diversion from June 1 to September 30, 2022 from the Delaware System to meet the demand of the City as stated above could be as much as approximately one billion gallons per day. With these greater diversions for this period of three months, does the DEIS address the parameters for “favorable hydrological conditions” to support shutdown in consideration of salt-line intrusion, Montague and Trenton Objectives? (Tharp)

**Response:**

DEP will adhere to all provisions of the FFMP, any successor agreement, and the 1954 Supreme Court Decree. These provisions include limiting the diversion to an average of 800 million gallons per day, meeting the Montague flow objective and all salinity release requirements.

**Comment 21:**

The City’s major objective is to provide water supply for its consumers during the shutdown, however, this DEIS must address the “equitable apportionment of water” to those other states in the Delaware River Basin under the Supreme Court Decree. What specific parameters will be in place in the DEIS to provide for both the drought conditions or flooding that may occur in the Delaware River Basin due to the dramatic changes to the current protocol? (Tharp)

**Response:**

DEP will adhere to all provisions of the FFMP, any successor agreement and the 1954 Supreme Court Decree include limiting the diversion to an
average of 800 million gallons per day, meeting the Montague flow objective and all salinity release requirements.

Comment 22: What is the City’s definition for “normal” levels? Many times in the past 10 years reservoirs were at historical “normal” levels: yet, we experienced catastrophic flooding in the Delaware River Basin. (Tharp)

In these exercises have you prepared for the worst case scenario as in my opening or just the norm? (The Prattville/Gilboa 2011 event and The Town of Colchester Cat Hollow (206) 2007 flashflood/microburst?) What is the norm? Has the safe surface level for the winter months been established based on data? (Homovich)

Response: Normal levels within the DEIS refer to modeled reservoir elevations using historical (1928-2009) hydrology and the current NYC operation policy (FFMP and Ashokan Interim Protocol). These levels fluctuate seasonally and in response to acute hydrologic events, such as droughts and wet weather. Modeling uses historical daily average reservoir inflows (between 1928 and 2009) into the NYC Reservoirs and the surrounding watersheds. Therefore large historical streamflow events are considered in the analysis. The purpose of the EIS is to evaluate potential incremental changes resulting from this unique event in order to determine whether there is a potential for incremental environmental impacts from these changes.

Comment 23: The only answer to avoid the possibility of catastrophic flooding during the shutdown is to build additional structures such as siphons or release channels at the three City owned Delaware River Dams to provide for the necessary releases that must be maintained during wet hydrological conditions. For the sake of public safety, a “back-up system” must be in place to plan for the worst case scenario. (Tharp)

Response: An engineering analysis to determine the operational requirements necessary for managing the system during the shutdown period, including any additional infrastructure required, was undertaken and the results will be disclosed in the DEIS.

Comment 24: With impressive recharging, how will excessive flooding be mitigated or prevented? Has the modeling been completed for this component? (Homovich)

What are the contingency plans to limit downstream flooding while Pepacton is offline? (Behrens)
Response: FFMP releases (including the National Weather Service forecast-based Combined Seasonal Storage Objective releases) will continue during the RWBT shutdown. Differences in reservoir elevations, releases, and spills between the RWBT shutdown and no-shutdown/baseline scenarios will be examined as part of the analyses in the DEIS.

Comment 25: Will this section show the potential for increased flooding potentials? Will it model the increased releases with the below reservoir streams added? Since the East Branch releases are decreased dramatically if the Beaver Kill/Willowemoc rise (June 2007), has this been modeled? Has this affect been studied under economic impact? Was this part of the FFMP used in the modeling? (Homovich)

Response: The DEIS will disclose potential effects to the System reservoirs and their associated downstream receiving waterbodies within New York State during the proposed shutdown of the RWBT section of the Delaware Aqueduct.

Comment 26: Is this going to cover the increased water levels in the East Branch of the Delaware? Unless Pepacton is at more than 90% for the closure months, the FFMP release is 97 mgd. What will the impact be of higher releases on wildlife? Will the increased water levels increase the fog frequency? Where do you measure the human stress factor with impending or potential floods, higher waters and no document to turn to understand the river flow? Currently all one has to do is look at the FFMP on line. (Homovich)

Response: The DEIS will disclose potential environmental effects to the System reservoirs, their associated downstream receiving waterbodies and their supporting environments, including wildlife, within New York State during the proposed shutdown of the RWBT section of the Delaware Aqueduct. In addition, current reservoir and streamflow data, storage for New York City reservoirs, and monthly hydrologic conditions for the Upper Delaware River Basin prepared by the Office of the Delaware River Master will continue to be reported on the USGS website as Provisional Hydrologic Data: http://water.usgs.gov/osw/odrm/. The City also provides information on reservoir elevations and releases at http://www.nyc.gov/html/dep/html/drinking_water/release_channel_levels.shtml.

Comment 27: Will the assessment include lack of a siphon on the receiving water bodies? (East Branch of the Delaware River). Please look at second entry to the Appendix labeled Chronology of floods in Downsville. Floods are
terrible but the winter ones are more severe because of temperature with cleanup! Since the closure is for the fall/winter/early spring there is a history of floods during that time period. Will the DEP be increasing the water levels since the project would be ending? (Homovich)

Response: The DEIS will disclose potential effects to the System reservoirs and their associated downstream receiving waterbodies within New York State during the proposed shutdown of the RWBT section of the Delaware Aqueduct.

Comment 28: If conditions are not favorable to begin on October 1, 2022, will the conditions be evaluated month by month or will the unwatering be postponed for an entire year? (Tharp)

Response: The DEIS will describe the planning and modeling used to prepare for the temporary RWBT outage, including how the project would move forward if unfavorable hydrologic conditions are encountered in 2022.

Comment 29: What levels will the Delaware System reservoirs be drawn down to in advance of closing? (Tharp)

Response: Pre-RWBT shutdown operations, including any potential reservoir drawdowns, will be evaluated and disclosed in the DEIS.

Comment 30: What modeling was completed to determine that Pepacton, Cannonsville and Neversink had “sufficient release capacities” for this 8 month shutdown? Will this modeling be included in the DEIS for the public to review? At what capacity were the reservoirs assumed to be drawn down to prior to the closure in the modeling? (Tharp)

Response: The DEIS analyses will utilize modeling to evaluate potential incremental impacts to the environment and how release capacities will be assessed. These analyses will be disclosed as part of the DEIS.

Comment 31: What was the Probable Maximum Precipitation used in the modeling to determine that the release capacities are adequate? (Margaretville had a 15 inch rainfall luckily not directly over these reservoirs) Does modeling include the worst case scenario not the historical amounts? (Tharp)

Response: Modeling uses historical daily average flows (1928-2009). This historical record includes a number of record extreme wet weather events to assess the risk of high flows downstream of the reservoirs. The US Bureau of Reclamation uses the Probable Maximum Flood (PMF) as the upper limit of flood potential at a site for storm durations defined by the Probable
Maximum Precipitation. US Bureau of Reclamation and US Corps of Engineers defines the PMF as "the maximum runoff condition resulting from the most severe combination of hydrologic and meteorological conditions that are considered reasonably possible for the drainage basin under study." The Annual Exceedance Probability (i.e. the probability an event will occur in a given year) used for flood risk analyses is typically the 100 year or 500 year event, which translates into a probability of 1% or 0.2% that a flood of the respective magnitude occurs in a given year. The probability of the occurrence of the PMP in a given year is in the range of 0.01% to 0.00001%, which is 20 to 20,000 times lower than the occurrence of the 500 year event. Dams are not typically designed to manage the PMF event, because the magnitude and rarity of the PMF would require very large structures to attenuate the inflows. Therefore there is no reasonable expectation that dams be able to attenuate the PMF. However, the PMF is considered with respect to the structural integrity of dams to assess the risk of structural failure when overtopped by the PMF event. Modified reservoir operations will have no effect on the dam structure. Therefore the PMF will not be considered in the DEIS. The DEIS will, however, assess the incremental change in risk from extreme inflow events between normal and modified operations based on the long term historical record.

**Comment 32:**

Will reservoirs be looked at individually and lowered to different levels based on their capacity, their drainage area, the size of their release valves, size of their spillways, actual attenuation, amount of precipitation in that area (PMP) and the height of major flood stage below the dam? Will maximum releases be initiated at all reservoirs when the reservoirs go above predetermined levels? (Tharp)

How low will water levels be reduced? What capacity is this? (Behrens)

If potential exists for major rainfall event will levels be reduced further? (Behrens)

**Response:**

Each reservoir's performance during the outage (e.g. elevation, releases, spills) are considered individually but collectively as part of the water supply system in order to optimize use of the system. How the system is planned to be operated during the shutdown will be disclosed in the DEIS.

**Comment 33:**

Does DEP have any problems to remove sediment buildup from Pepacton Reservoir, while levels are reduced? (Behrens)
Response: The proposed action does not include any work to remove sediment from any DEP reservoir.

Comment 34: If there is a spare siphon available for Rondout, why not have a stand-by for Neversink and Pepacton? (If two produce 240 cfs, one would add 120 cfs to release for Pepacton and Neversink without reaching flood stage) If preparation for Rondout advises a spare, what advice was given to not include a stand-by for the other two? With the largest size of the DEP reservoirs, should Pepacton have the siphons to compensate for a small release chamber? (Homovich)

Response: The DEIS will present analyses utilized to determine how the water supply system will be operated - including the planning for managing releases - during the Delaware Aqueduct shutdown. The analyses will take into consideration potential for environmental effects during the shutdown period.

Comment 35: I was reading about the Pepacton & Cannonsville draw-down that will occur in the future. Will the draw-down have a negative affect on fish? Will this change recreation on the water during and after the draw-down period? (Foster)

Response: Differences in reservoir elevations, releases, and spills between the RWBT shutdown and no-shutdown/baseline scenarios will be analyzed and evaluated for potential environmental impacts, including impacts on fish and recreation, and any such impacts will be disclosed in the DEIS.

Comment 36: I understand that the reservoirs are being re-mapped with sonar; will the maps be available online or for print? This would be a valuable tool for fishing, the sediment deposits/structure locations and depths could come in handy. (Foster)

Response: This data, if available in time, will be utilized as appropriate as part of the applicable DEIS analyses but would not be made public as stand-alone material as part of the EIS. However, the public can request this information once surveys are complete.

Comment 37: The Delaware County Industrial Agency sponsored the Upper Delaware River Cold Water Fishing and Boating Economic Impact Study in 2013-2014 to determine the value of the fishing and recreational boating in the cold water tailwaters of the Delaware River below the Cannonsville and Pepacton dams. We offer this as a source of information related to the impact of releases or lack of them, when assessing the potential
socio/economic impacts on these communities that may result from these projects. This economic study was specifically targeted at the Towns of Deposit, Hancock and Colchester and the Villages of Deposit and Hancock. The study is found at the link below. 
http://delcowatershed.com/files/6313/9887/6439/FishingStudy.pdf. (Frazier)

Response: Comment noted. The Upper Delaware River Cold Water Fishing and Boating Economic Impact Study will be reviewed and will be utilized, as applicable, in the DEIS analyses.

Comment 38: Will the higher levels both with drawdown stage and shutdown stage ruin the commercial and private recreational canoeing? Will it also destroy walk-in fishing of the rivers? With the reservoirs lowered, the reservoir fishing will decline all summer? With less fishing, will the hospitality businesses (motels & dining facilities) suffer losses? (Homovich)

Response: The DEIS will disclose potential effects to the System reservoirs and their associated downstream receiving waterbodies within New York State during the proposed shutdown of the RWBT section of the Delaware Aqueduct. Potential downstream impacts, including impacts to recreation, will be evaluated as a whole and by season.

Comment 39: How will you maintain the water level of the Reservoirs but release quantities that are not detrimental to the activities enjoyed on the East Branch and the private properties that have water front footage? How will the decreased levels of the Reservoirs impact the recreational activities now enjoyed? (Homovich)

Response: FFMP releases (including the National Weather Service forecast-based CSSO releases) will continue during the RWBT shutdown. Differences in reservoir elevations, releases, and spills between the RWBT shutdown and no-shutdown/baseline scenarios will be examined as part of the analysis in the DEIS. Potential downstream impacts, including impacts to downstream properties and recreation, will be evaluated.

PROPOSED WATER FOR THE FUTURE SYSTEM SHUTDOWN OPERATIONS: CATSKILL AND CROTON SYSTEMS

Comment 40: The WFF Shutdown System Operations component of the Upstate Water Supply Resiliency project proposes expansion of the alum treatment facility at Pleasantville to increase the rate of alum application during the 8-month shutdown of the RWBT. But the Draft Scope requires no
modeling of the increased rate of alum treatment required to reduce turbidity in the aqueduct. This modeling is necessary in order to evaluate potential impacts associated with that increase, including alum floc deposition in the Kensico Reservoir as a result of enhanced treatment. These are important pieces of information that are necessary to evaluate and address potentially significant impacts of the proposed action, but are not required to be collected by the Draft Scope in its current form. (Wegner)

Response: The DEIS will disclose the potential impacts from the use of alum during the shutdown. This analysis will include modeling as is described in Section 10.11.10.8 of the Draft Scope of Work.

Comment 41: To maximize retention of water in the Ashokan Reservoir during the shutdown, DEP's plan also proposes to reduce or eliminate releases from the Ashokan Release Channel, but the Draft Scope does not address potentially significant impacts to the ecology of the Lower Esopus Creek and its riparian corridor resulting from flow reductions. Will DEP collect baseline data in order to assess impacts to the Lower Esopus and its stakeholders during and after the Release Channel is shut down? These are important pieces of information that are necessary to evaluate and address potentially significant impacts of the proposed action, but are not required to be collected by the Draft Scope in its current form. (Wegner)

Response: The DEIS will be evaluating the incremental change from the proposed variance to the Interim Release Protocol during the proposed shutdown (which is explicitly anticipated in section 7.c. of the Interim Release Protocol). The potential effects of the Interim Release Protocol are being evaluated as part of a separate EIS for the Modification of the CATALUM SPDES Permit, with the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation as Lead Agency.

The DEIS will disclose potential impacts to the lower Esopus Creek that could occur as a result of limiting releases to Community Releases during the shutdown period, during which DEP expects to seek approval to suspend Operational and Spill Mitigation Releases. This assessment would include evaluation of potential effects to ecology, recreation, and other applicable environmental issues, by season, as applicable.

Comment 42: The Town's Carmel Water District 2 (CWD 2) does not draw its supply from the aqueduct system, as CWD 2 draws its supply from Lake Gleneida, a controlled lake within the NYC Croton System. Lake Gleneida
flows directly into the West Branch reservoir via an outfall across NYS Route 301 at its northern end.

The Town's concern is the loss of use of the Gleneida lake water source as this is the sole supply for the CWD2. It is the Town's understanding, based on discussion at the May 14, 2014 SOEM Regional Delaware Aqueduct Planning Meeting for water supplies in Putnam County Meeting, that the NYCDEP does not have any plans to draw water from Lake Gleneida and therefore the Town of Carmel will continue to use Lake Gleneida as a source of water in the event of an Aqueduct failure. (Franzetti)

Response: The DEIS will evaluate potential effects associated with the planned shutdown of the RWBT section of the Delaware Aqueduct including potential effects to outside communities who receive their water from this section of the Delaware Aqueduct. However, note that Lake Gleneida would not be drawn down and, therefore, the Town of Carmel would continue to have access to this controlled lake for water supply purposes.

PROPOSED RONDOUT-WEST BRANCH TUNNEL INSPECTION AND REPAIR

Comment 43: Regarding the proposed Upstate Water Supply Resiliency project, Riverkeeper's primary concern is the lack of detail in the project description (Chapters 1-9) and failure of the Draft Scope contents (Chapters 10-16) to require sufficient detail in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). DEP's plan fails to address the same issue of, and potential impacts associated with, biofilm and sediment disturbance during leak repair of the Rondout-West Branch Tunnel at the Wawarsing Crossing. These issues should be addressed in the project description, and the Final Scope should require the DEIS to provide an analysis of all constituents of the biofilm and sediment in both the Catskill Aqueduct and the Rondout-West Branch Tunnel in the proposed areas of disturbance, and to develop a plan to mitigate or avoid the transport of any chemically-contaminated constituents to the Kensico or West Branch Reservoir. (Wegner)

Response: The DEIS will describe in detail activities associated with the tunnel repair and potential impacts related with this work including biofilm and sediment disturbance within these two tunnels.

Comment 44: In addition the proposed draft scope of work should include the findings from the New York State Office of Emergency (SOEM) Management Regional Delaware Aqueduct Planning Meetings. (Franzetti)
Response: The DEIS will evaluate potential effects associated with the planned shutdown of the RWBT section of the Delaware Aqueduct including potential effects to outside communities who receive their water from this section of the Delaware Aqueduct. Potential effects associated with an unplanned shutdown are outside the scope of this DEIS.

Comment 45: Concerning the Roseton leak and the Wawarsing leak, has anybody ever done an economical feasement study on how much it would be to just blow a completely new tunnel side by side with the existing tunnel right from Kensico to the reservoir, because you already have a drill down there, or shortly will have? If you just put it in high gear and keep it going, you would have a redundant system, say a few thousand feet parallel to the existing aqueduct. In 2005 the New York City comptroller made mention of having no back-up plan, no redundant system. If anything, God forbid, were to happen to that tunnel, everybody in New York City would kind of cease to have their toilets flush or drink water or use fire hydrants. A redundant system while you have the machine in the ground would be a very wise idea I think. Also, you already have all the shafts existing from 1940. They're already built, they're in the ground. What if you were just to go down within fifty feet from the actual aqueduct existing and blow laterals over say a few thousand feet to accommodate the drilling of -- from Kensico to the reservoir, if you know what I mean. (Wendel)

Response: Comment noted. The decision on how to address the leaks in the tunnel was presented and evaluated as part of the EIS issued in 2012. That EIS included an assessment of building a parallel tunnel.

Comment 46: The twenty-nine houses within a few hundred feet of the aqueduct leak that were bought out and demolished, and then there are also two houses roughly a couple miles away from the DEP zone. New York City funds were used to purchase all thirty-one of the houses, and I would just like to get some answers to those questions from possibly Bill Richardson or David Warne, or possibly Judith Clarke. Or Emily Lloyd is good, too. (Wendel)

Response: DEP is working closely with the Town of Wawarsing and affected homeowners on these issues. Those discussions are independent of the upstate water supply resiliency issues that are being evaluated as part of this EIS.

Comment 47: Does this mean in drought conditions? Would that have not been determined prior to shutdown? Is this anticipating a catastrophe? How long would this action take? (Homovich)
Response: The DEIS will explain how DEP has undertaken its water supply planning for the Delaware Aqueduct shutdown. As part of this planning DEP has determined methods to prevent impacts to NYC water supply.

Comment 48: The proposed draft scope of work should provide a section which identifies the ability of Upstate water districts to respond to a loss or disruption of water from the New York City (NYC) aqueduct system, particularly in the event of a catastrophic failure of the Rondout — West Branch Tunnel (RWBT) section of the Delaware Aqueduct. This should include the following:

- Impact to water districts drawing from the NYC aqueduct system;
- Actions the NYCDEP will take which will impact the water districts;
- Mitigation programs the NYCDEP will implement to ensure water supply to upstate districts. (Franzetti)

Response: The DEIS will evaluate potential effects associated with the planned shutdown of the RWBT section of the Delaware Aqueduct including potential effects to outside communities who receive their water from this section of the Delaware Aqueduct. The purpose of the project and the focus of this environmental review is the planned repair of the Delaware Aqueduct and the potential environmental effects related to the planned repair. Possible effects associated with a catastrophic failure of the Aqueduct is outside the scope of this environmental review.

Comment 49: My property is located above the Wawarsing Aqueduct leak and adjoins the nearby Town Landfill. I believe it is important to both the local Wawarsing Residents, and also NYC residents to properly address the impacts of Cross Contamination. I have been in touch with Ira Stern and Bill Richardson extensively, and would like to resolve our problems and difficulties. Ms. Lloyd and Mr. Warne are very well aware of the issues and I would also like to enlist their help in investigating the last USGS Chemistry report regarding this issue. (Wendel)

Response: The DEIS will include an evaluation of potential effects related to repair of the leak in the Town of Wawarsing. This evaluation will include the potential for transport of possible contamination in the groundwater as a result of the tunnel repair.

PROPOSED IN-CITY WATER SUPPLY RESILIENCY

Comment 50: Please identify when the subsequent In-City Water Supply Resiliency EIS will be prepared and publicly disclosed by the DEP. The draft scope of
Final Scope of Work
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work states that the subsequent EIS will be conducted "when sufficient information is available to adequately evaluate potential impacts". Is there specific information that the DEP is waiting for to complete the subsequent EIS, or is additional data being compiled by the DEP? If so, please include the additional information that the DEP is awaiting, or the subject matter of the data being compiled. In addition, if the DEP could provide a timetable for the completion of the EIS for the In City Water Supply Resiliency project, it would be greatly appreciated. (Trinko)

Response: DEP has determined that the Queens Groundwater Rehabilitation project is not needed for the RWBT shutdown and therefore DEP no longer plans to pursue that project. However, DEP is moving forward with a separate independent EIS to evaluate the future use of the Queens groundwater system in conjunction with other water supply resiliency measures to address long-term water supply needs including drought and emergency water supply issues.

Comment 51: Residents respectfully requests that the DEP reconsider the proposal to continue the use of the Queens wells after the bypass project is complete, ostensibly to respond to variable water supply conditions for the New York City water supply occasioned by future adverse weather events or maintenance needs. We further request that the DEP remove this subsequent usage of the Queens wells from consideration in the EIS’s, and in the draft scopes for these evaluations. (Trinko)

Response: The use of the Queens Groundwater system is no longer needed for water augmentation during the RWBT shutdown, and will not be used. The potential for incremental environmental impacts related to the potential operation of the Queens wells will be evaluated as part of a separate independent In-City Water Supply Resiliency EIS, as appropriate.

Comment 52: Why is the DEP no longer considering other alternatives to the reopening of the Queens wells in these EIS’s, such as the NJ interconnection? (Trinko)

Response: DEP is no longer contemplating the use of the Queens wells during the RWBT shutdown.

Comment 53: Lowering the groundwater table resulting in an increase in energy requirements for affected wells. It must be kept in mind that when Jamaica Water Supply Company was in operation, their well pumpage, albeit at a greater rate, created a significant cone of depression that extended below...
sea level. (Abbondondelo) (Carey) (Constantinopoli) (Hughes) (Johansen) (Kosinski) (Torres)

Response: The use of the Queens Groundwater system is no longer needed for water augmentation during the RWBT shutdown, and will not be used. The potential for incremental environmental impacts related to the potential operation of the Queens wells will be evaluated as part of a separate independent In-City Water Supply Resiliency EIS, as appropriate.

Comment 54: Redirection of groundwater flow toward the Queens wells result in drawing contaminated water to certain Nassau County supply wells. Drawing down contaminated shallower groundwater into the deeper Magothy aquifer, Nassau County's primary water supply source. What affect will pumping these wells have on inducing saltwater to move inland and contaminate certain Nassau County public water supply wells? (Abbondondelo) (Carey) (Constantinopoli) (Hughes) (Johansen) (Kosinski) (Torres)

Residents believes that the DEP should not consider any Queens wells for the In-City Water Supply, project due to the potential contamination passing from the shallower groundwater into the deeper Magothy aquifer, which is Nassau County’s primary water supply source. Moreover, we believe that both of the EIS’s being prepared must fully evaluate any potential negative impacts upon Nassau County’s water supply. (Trinko)

Response: The use of the Queens Groundwater system is no longer needed for water augmentation during the RWBT shutdown, and will not be used. The potential for incremental environmental impacts related to the potential operation of the Queens wells will be evaluated as part of a separate independent In-City Water Supply Resiliency EIS, as appropriate.

Comment 55: Some of the New York City wells are located in the Lloyd formation. What will be the affect as a result of pumping these wells on a continuous basis for 8 months plus the time required to facilitate the rebalancing of the upstate reservoir systems after the completion of the repairs to the RWBT? Beyond the use of these wells during the shutdown of the RWBT, the wells would remain available for future use to respond to variable water supply conditions to the New York City water supply such as during droughts, repairs or emergencies. (Abbondondelo) (Carey) (Constantinopoli) (Hughes) (Johansen) (Kosinski) (Torres)

Response: The use of the Queens Groundwater system is no longer needed for water augmentation during the RWBT shutdown, and will not be used. The potential for incremental environmental impacts related to the potential
operation of the Queens wells will be evaluated as part of a separate independent In-City Water Supply Resiliency EIS, as appropriate.

**Comment 56:** What will be the affect as it relates to the before mentioned concerns as it relates to the long term pumping of these wells particularly during drought conditions? (Abbondondelo) (Carey) (Constantinopoli) (Hughes) (Johansen) (Kosinski) (Torres)

**Response:** The use of the Queens Groundwater system is no longer needed for water augmentation during the RWBT shutdown, and will not be used. The potential for incremental environmental impacts related to the potential operation of the Queens wells will be evaluated as part of a separate independent In-City Water Supply Resiliency EIS, as appropriate.

**Comment 57:** It is imperative that groundwater modeling be performed to evaluate the various conditions under which these wells will be used in order to determine the affects of their use and to ascertain any ramifications due to the proposed reactivation of the Queens groundwater system. (Abbondondelo) (Carey) (Constantinopoli) (Hughes) (Johansen) (Kosinski) (Torres)

Residents believes that a comprehensive groundwater modeling study of the Long Island sole source aquifer should be conducted before the completion and disclosure of the In-City Water Supply Resiliency EIS to allow for the comprehensive evaluation of the complex and varied conditions under which the Queens wells will be utilized by the City of New York in order to adequately determine the true effects of their reactivation. Enclosed herewith is a proposal that has been provided to us from the USGS to conduct such a modeling study. (Trinko)

**Response:** The use of the Queens Groundwater system is no longer needed for water augmentation during the RWBT shutdown, and will not be used. The potential for incremental environmental impacts related to the potential operation of the Queens wells will be evaluated as part of a separate independent In-City Water Supply Resiliency EIS, as appropriate.