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NEW YORK CITY DISTRICTING COMMISSION

CHAIRMAN ROMANO: Good evening. Thank you for coming tonight and welcome to the second round of the New York City Districting Commission's public hearing. My name is Benito Romano and I am the chair of the New York City Districting Commission. On behalf of the Commission, I want to thank LaGuardia Community College and President Gail Mellow for hosting us here tonight.

We have the facility for only a few hours, and I want to make sure that we hear from everyone who is signed up. For those of you who did not sign up, but wish to speak, please see a staff person, at the registration desk, outside, to register. If you require translation for your testimony, please let the staff, at the registration desk, know, and they will ensure that a translator will be provided when it's your turn to testify.

Before we take testimony, I would like to make a few observations. At the beginning of this month, the Commission advertised, in community and ethnic newspapers, announcing the Commission's public hearing schedule. An e-mail blast was sent to over 5,500 individuals and
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organizations. Various advocacy groups helped spread the word, through their individual networks, and the hearing schedule was publicized on our Facebook page and Twitter feed. We will continue to find other ways to maximize community participation and interest, but that's what we have done so far.

Tonight represents the second round of public hearings that the Commission will conduct throughout the City. Over 450 individuals attended our first round of hearings, in late August, and the Commission heard from 131 others.

Since then, the Commission has released a preliminary draft of the Council District Plan, on September 4th, that adjusted the current council lines for population proportionality, because, in the last decade, many council districts have either increased or decreased in population, creating significant deviations from the ideal district population of 160,710.

The preliminary draft plan was also posted on the Commission's website, www.nyc.gov/districting, displayed in public areas, such as the Brooklyn Borough Hall, the Queens Library and the Districting Commission's
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offices in Lower Manhattan, and linked to other websites, such as the New York Public Library's. On the same date, the Commission also launched an online mapping tool, to allow the public to draw council district maps for the Commission's consideration.

The Commission staff also opened the resource room at the Commission's offices. The resource room is available for those, without access to a computer terminal, who wish to draw and submit a map to the Commission, or for those wishing to receive one-on-one assistance with map drawing, because the resource room is also staffed by Justin Bassett, our mapping associate. The room is by appointment only, but it is open past normal business hours, and I am sure we can accommodate you.

The Commission has received a few maps, so far, and we encourage you to explore the mapping tool available on our website. You will also be able to see some of the maps submitted by the public.

Finally, the Commission has launched a Speakers' Bureau, where an organization or group can request a staff member to talk about the
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decennial districting process. So far, the Speakers' Bureau has addressed a number of civic organizations, community boards and even a grade-school class. If there is anyone wishing to have the Speakers' Bureau address their group or organization, please see Jonathan Ettricks or Carlos Carino to set up an appointment.

The Commission is eager to hear tonight's testimony and comments on the preliminary draft plan. After tonight's hearing, and the others in the second round of hearings, the Commission will consider the comments during its next scheduled public meeting on October 18th. At that meeting, the Commission will direct the staff to revise the preliminary district plan in response to the comments received during the second round of public hearings.

Then, at the Commission's public meeting on October 30th, a revised plan, reflecting the comments received, will be presented and the Commission will decide whether to adopt it. If the Commission adopts the plan, it will then be delivered to the City Council for its inspection and approval by November 5th.

According to the City Charter, by
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November 26th, the City Council must either adopt the revised district plan, at which point it will be filed with the City Clerk or the Council can object to the revised plan, at which point the plan will be returned to the Commission with the Council's comments and concerns.

If the Council takes neither action, it will be deemed adopted. If the Council objects to the revised district plan, the Commission will then have until January 5, 2013, to create a revised plan. This will then trigger a new set of public hearings to receive comments and feedback on the revised plan. The Commission will then have until March 5th to create, adopt and submit a final plan to the City Clerk and to the U.S. Department of Justice for preclearance under the Voting Rights Act.

This process is described in a flow chart, that is available for you tonight, as it was during the first round of hearings. Other handouts include a copy of the preliminary draft map, an enlarged map of Queens, with the preliminary draft map lines, and a listing of the public participation tools that are available. It's, again, worth noting that there are

NEW YORK CITY DISTRICTING COMMISSION
certain factors that the law obligates the Commission to consider during the districting process. These include the difference in population, between the least populous and the most populous district, shall not exceed ten percent of the average population for all districts.

Districts must fairly and effectively represent racial and language minority groups in New York City. Districts must keep neighborhoods and communities, with established ties and association, intact. Districts must be compact and must be contiguous with one another. Districts must be kept within the same borough and districts must avoid diminishing the effective representation of voters.

I want to, finally, bring to your attention our website at www.nyc.gov/districting. The site is the central repository of all documents and videos related to the Districting Commission. It also contains a complete schedule of our public hearings, all documents and maps submitted to the Commission, our online mapping tool, a portal, where you can preregister for all hearings and be added to our mailing list. I hope you will all

NEW YORK CITY DISTRICTING COMMISSION
visit the website and let us know how it can be made more informative and interactive.

One final note. We have a number of speakers tonight. Each of you has three minutes, which is not very long. But keep in mind, we have assembled a substantial amount of data from the U.S. Census and elsewhere. We also will take any written submission you wish to offer. The data, we have, will be important to our decision, but these hearings provide critical input as well. Tell us about your neighborhoods. Not just the maps, but what they are like. Tell us where you think the natural boundaries are and why they should be there.

Now, that concludes my opening remarks. We will now have the Commissioners introduce themselves beginning on my far left (indicating). MR. HACKWORTH: Thaddeus Hackworth, staff to the Commission. MR. OGNIBENE: Thomas Ognibene, Queens County. MS. LIN: Linda Lin, Queens. MS. PERSAUD: Roxanne Persaud, Brooklyn. MS. BRAGG: Jamila Ponton Bragg, Manhattan. CHAIRMAN ROMANO: Benito Romano, Manhattan.
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MR. HUM: Carl Hum, staff to the commission. MS. WOLFE: Gloria Carvajal Wolfe, Manhattan.

MR. CERULLO: Scott Cerullo, Staten Island. MR. PADAVAN: Frank Padavan, Queens. MR. HACKWORTH: Before $I$ call the first speaker, I will explain the ground rules for giving testimony tonight. As the Chairman said, each speaker has three minutes to testify. When your three minutes have expired, you will hear a chime. At that point, please finish your sentence and yield the microphone to the next speaker. Because the Commission only has the use of these facilities until nine o'clock, taking more than your three minutes of time, may result in your neighbor or fellow community member not being able to speak at all.

Please keep that in mind and be respectful to others wishing to testify. If you would like to provide more detailed testimony to the Commission, you are encouraged to submit your written testimony by providing a copy at the registration desk, or by e-mailing it to hearings@districting.nyc.gov, or by mailing it to the Commission's office.
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I will first call those who preregistered to speak, followed by those who registered, today, at the desk. I will announce the next speaker as well as the following speaker. When you hear your name called, please approach the nearest microphone, so that you can be ready to give testimony when your name is called. If you have any questions, please ask our staff members at the registration desk. I will now call our first speaker, Mr. James Hong, to be followed by Mr. Steven Choi. (Applause).

MR. HONG: Good afternoon, members and staff of the Districting Commission, Chairman Romano, citizens and residents of Queens. My name is James Hong and I am speaking on behalf of ACCORD, the Asian-American Community Coalition on Restricting and Democracy. Our mission, as a pan-Asian, nonpartisan coalition, is to advance the opportunities for all Asian-Americans to have meaningful participation in their elections.

Being divided among different districts, the fracturing or cracking of minority populations is, today, the greatest problem New York City's Asian-Americans are facing, in our current districts lines, and in the preliminary map
released last month. It feels a bit like déjà vu, standing here with our members and allies making our statements today, and that's for a good reason. Because little has changed since August, when we were commenting on the current district lines. I would like to ask everyone and our allies to stand and deliver our message to the Commission today. We hope you hear us today and for the next draft.

Richmond Hill and South Ozone Park are divided, right now, along Lefferts Boulevard between Districts 28 and 32. Lefferts Boulevard is a main thoroughfare for that neighborhood and community. End the cracking of Richmond Hill's Asian-American community. Unite Richmond Hill.

The neighborhood of Bayside is also divided, with a northern section in District 19, and the southern portion, of the neighborhood, falling into District 23.

This area, also known as Oakland Gardens, should be included in District 19. The residents, of Oakland Gardens, often identify themselves as living in Bayside. And at the last Queens hearing, various residents, of eastern Queens, independently supported the inclusion of
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the Oakland Gardens area into District 19.
For our working definitions of these neighborhoods, we, again, recommend AALDEF's community boundaries survey.

The neighborhood of Elmhurst is even more divided now according to the preliminary draft, with large parts of it now joined to the $29 t h$ District. Elmhurst, a heavily Asian-immigrant neighborhood, shares much more in common with the rest of District 25 and Jackson Heights than with Rego Park, Forest Hills and Kew Gardens of the 29th District.

The area bounded by the BQE on the west, the Long Island Expressway on the south, Junction Boulevard on the east and Roosevelt Avenue on the north should be kept whole and be part of the 25th District. We, again, express our coalition's support for the unity map drawn with support from the African-American, Latino and Asian-American communities.

On a positive note, I would like to say that the neighborhood clusters of Bellerose, Floral Park, Queens Village and Glen Oaks are currently kept whole within a district and this should not change.
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Briarwood and Jamaica Hills should stay together and intact. Finally, Flushing, East Flushing, Murray Hill and Queensboro Hill are, largely, kept together within a single district and this should not change.

So, in closing, as a community, as a coalition, -- (three-minute timer alarms) -- we are asking and we are saying hear our voices. Make our voices and our votes count. Produce a district plan that brings an equal voice to the Asian-American residents and voters of Queens and all of New York City. Thank you. (Applause).

MS. BRAGG: Excuse me.
Can you give me the boundaries that you want -- you mentioned for 25 again?

Can you tell me what the natural boundaries that you described?

MR. HONG: Sure. Sure. It's been submitted to the front desk, but it's also -- this is for part of -- this is part of Elmhurst, 25th, it should be bounded by the BQE, the Brooklyn Queens Expressway on the west, Long Island Expressway on the south, Junction Boulevard on the east and Roosevelt on the north. It's sort of a square.

MS. BRAGG: Thank you.
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MR. HONG: Sure.
MR. HACKWORTH: Our next speaker is Steven Choi, to be followed by Jerry Vattamala.
(Applause).
MR. CHOI: Good afternoon. My name is Steven Choi and I am the executive director of the MinKwon Center for Community Action. I am here to comment on the proposed city council districts that this Commission has offered.

The MinKwon Center was established in 1984 to meet the needs of the Korean-American community. Since our founding, we have had a profound presence on the Korean-American, Asian-American and local communities through organizing, education and advocacy initiatives that have addressed important community issues. As a proud member of ACCORD, the Asian-American Community Coalition on Redistricting and Democracy, the MinKwon Center has been active, because we believe that fair and equitable redistricting is absolutely critical to increasing the civic engagement of our communities. Our voters have, historically, been disempowered and disenfranchised by complicated procedures, a lack of translated materials and by
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intimidation and harassment.
The MinKwon Center has sought to overcome these obstacles by registering new voters, educating them about the process, mobilizing them to vote and then protecting them at the polls. I want to emphasize that the goal of our efforts is completely nonpartisan. We do not support or endorse any politicians or parties.

Instead, our goal is to empower our communities and have districts that are drawn properly in ways that respect natural communities of interest and uphold the Voting Rights Act. And we are rewarded with competitive elections, politicians, who are accountable to their communities, and disenfranchised minorities, who are now protected.

This is critical for the Asian-American community, which has grown by more than 30 percent since 2000, and is, by far, the fastest-growing, major racial group in New York. Nineteen City Council members have districts where Asian-Americans make up more than 15 percent of their constituents. Yet, many of those City Council members are unaware of their needs and concerns.
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ACCORD has worked with Latino, black, Asian-American and Korean communities to create the unity maps, which we believe truly represent communities of interest. Yet, I join my ACCORD colleagues in expressing disbelief and anger, because the unity maps were simply ignored when this Commission drew their proposed districts. We, at the MinKwon Center, agree that the growing South Asian communities in Richmond Hill and South Ozone Park are unacceptably divided. The Chinese and South Asian communities in Chinatown, especially Sunset Park and Bensonhurst, are not being kept whole. And as for North Queens, in which we are based, our neighborhoods are unacceptably divided.

The Oakland Gardens neighborhood was not included in District 19, the rest of Bayside, and instead was put in District 23, although the residents believe themselves to be living in Bayside.

The neighborhood of Elmhurst is even more divided. Elmhurst is home to a heavily-immigrant community with a surging number of Asian-American recent immigrants.

This area shares a great deal in common with
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So I close, again, by referring to the unity maps, -- (three-minute timer alarms) -- we believe, truly reflect our principles of equality and fairness. The MinKwon Center will continue to organize and mobilize, so that

Korean-American, Asian-American and marginalized communities are being heard. We are committed to making sure that redistricting helps strengthen democracy. Not undermine it. Thank you.
(Applause).
MR. HACKWORTH: Our next speaker is Jerry Vattamala, to be followed by Chejin Park.

MR. VATTAMALA: Good evening. My name is Jerry Vattamala and I am a staff attorney at the Asian-American Legal Defense and Education Fund, AALDEF. AALDEF is the 38-year-old, New York-based, national organization that protects and promotes the civil rights of Asian-Americans through litigation, advocacy, community education and organizing.

This year, in coalition with LatinoJustice,
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PRLDEF, the National Institute for Latino Policy and the Center for Social Justice at Medgar Evers College, we developed a unity map for New York congressional, State Senate, State Assembly and New York City council districts. The unity map, for the New York City Council, was submitted to this Districting Commission on August 30, 2012, and is the only full, 51-district, citywide proposal that has been submitted to the Commission.

The unity map applies all legal requirements including one person, one vote, the Voting Rights Act and all requirements of the City Charter. The New York City Charter explicitly lists the criteria to be considered in redistricting, prioritizes and lists the order. The first priority is keeping districts within a ten percent deviation.

The second priority requires this Commission to ensure the fair and effective representation of the racial and language minority groups, in New York City, which are protected by the Voting Rights Act. Those groups are African-Americans, Latinos and Asian-Americans.

The third requirement, the third priority on

NEW YORK CITY DISTRICTING COMMISSION
the list, requires this Commission to keep, intact, neighborhoods and communities with established ties of common interest and association, whether racial, economic, ethnic or religious. That's what is required under the charter.

Keeping communities of interest together will ensure that Asian-Americans will have full and fair opportunity to elect candidates of their choice, in accordance with the Voting Rights Act of 1965 and the New York City Charter.

We are disappointed that the Commission's preliminary map, released on September 4, 2012, failed to take into account the statements made by numerous advocates and community residents during the first round of public hearings in August 2012.

At the Manhattan, Brooklyn and Queens hearings, Asian-Americans, repeatedly, pointed out the changing demographics of their communities and urged this Commission to keep Asian-American neighborhoods together within single districts wherever possible. Those statements were not reflected in the preliminary draft.

I submitted my statement to the Commission. You will see I am going to echo the same comments. Richmond Hill, South Ozone Park is unacceptably divided along Lefferts Boulevard, it's now a divided community, in half. Elmhurst has now been made worse, divided in half, and Bayside continues to be divided in half, despite the numerous members, of the community, that came out and asked that their communities be united.

The unity map, for congressional districts, was substantially adopted and implemented by the Federal Court almost six months ago. Once again, we urge this Commission to take a closer look at the 51-district unity map, that AALDEF has submitted with its partners from the Latino, African-American and Asian-American community. The unity map reflects the changing demographics -- (three-minute timer alarms) -- of New York City. We urge this Commission to look at the unity map, adopt the unity map, and follow the requirements of the City Charter.

And in closing, the last page of my testimony has the preliminary draft lines, which are the lines where the Asian-American neighborhood boundaries are overlaid, so it
illustrates how the communities are divided to numerous districts. Thank you. (Applause). CHAIRMAN ROMANO: Thank you, Mr. Vattamala. I can assure you we are look closely at the unity map.

MR. HACKWORTH: Our next speaker will be Chejin Park, to be followed by Harpreet Toor. MR. NO: Chejin Park is not here today. My name is Chae No. I will be speaking in proxy. Good evening. My name is Chae No, program coordinator of Korean-American Civic Empowerment, or KACE. KACE is a nonpartisan, nonprofit community education and advocacy organization devoted to empowering Korean-American and Asian-American communities in New York City.

On behalf of Korean-Americans and Queens County, KACE urges the Redistricting Commission to keep the Korean-American community, in Bayside, undivided and their votes undiluted by recognizing the Asian-American Legal Defense and Education Fund unity map, for which many Asian-American community organizations provided information about each ethnic community's social-economic, geographic boundaries to draw the neighborhood maps.
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A community of interest is where residents have actual shared interests or some common thread of social, economic or political interest. Keeping us together is one of the traditional districting criteria. Also, the New York City Charter mandates the Redistricting Commission to keep COIs intact. Among the COIs, KACE and Korean-American communities are especially interested in two neighborhoods, Flushing and Bayside, where Korean-Americans are concentrated.

Most parts of the Flushing Asian COI is included in Council District 20. However, the Bayside and Asian-American COIs are divided into Council Districts 19 and 23. On August 21st, KACE and other Asian-American organizations recommended that the Bayside COI be placed into Council District 19. We especially urge that a neighborhood, Oakland Gardens, situated between the Long Island Expressway and 73rd Avenue, in between Cunningham Park and Alley Pond Park, should be included in Council District 19.

The residents, in the neighborhood, consider the neighborhood as a part of Bayside and they often use the mailing address as Bayside. They send their high-school children to Benjamin
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Cardozo High School, which is located in Bayside. They also shop across the Long Island Expressway. However, the map, proposed by the New York City Districting Commission, proves that the Commission didn't listen to what the community members requested.

The proposed map not only failed to keep Asian-American communities together, but also made the situation worse by allowing more parts of the community to be included into District 23.

KACE strongly urges the Districting Commission to keep Bayside undivided and include the neighborhood, having its boundaries of Cunningham Park, Alley Pond Park, Long Island Expressway and 73rd Avenue into Council District 19. Thank you. (Applause).

MR. HACKWORTH: Our next speaker is Harpreet Toor, to be followed by John Albert.

MR. TOOR: First let me thank you, Mr. Chairman and the Committee, for giving me the opportunity to speak. Again, when you mentioned that advertisements went out to the local media and to all of the ethnic communities, the communities living in New York City, I am sorry to point out that it never happened. In our
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community, $I$ did not see a single advertisement in our community. So those are the issues. That's why we are here today.

I am going to talk about, even though we have the neighborhoods like Briarwood, Jamaica Hills, Jackson Heights, Elmhurst, Bellerose, Queens Village, Floral Park, Astoria and Long Island City, that's where the South Asian and Asian communities are increasing. But if we look at the borders of Queens and Brooklyn, right next to the west of Woodhaven Boulevard, there is a huge Bangladeshi community, also, which is a growing population.

But, I am here to talk about Richmond Hill, South Richmond Hill, South Ozone Park and Ozone Park, which is divided between 28 and 22 . It was divided into four districts, before this preliminary map came out, where it had been divided into two.

My name is Harpreet Toor, and at present, I am the chairman of public policy, at the Sikh Cultural Society, located in Richmond Hill on 118th Street. I have been in this neighborhood and this community or served in this neighborhood since I came to this country. I am honored to
know Mr. Padavan, also on a personal basis, because we worked together when he was elected, and he worked in that community and that neighborhood.

To get a better background on the Sikh Cultural Society, it was established in 1965. We moved into this building in 1972. It was a church, which was a Methodist church, built in 1896. We moved in, and if we look at the neighborhood now, the neighborhood is changed.

There are half a dozen cultural organizations, besides mosques and churches, which are located in South Ozone Hill, in the neighborhood, to serve the needs of the communities. There are shops, that also show, if we really look around Atlantic Avenue, Lefferts Boulevard, Liberty Avenue and Rockaway, showing the same thing, immigrants, of this community, moving into that neighborhood.

Right now, the boundary lines are divided into Lefferts Boulevard, which comes through the heart of the community, and we are requesting you to move it to the Van Wyck, which will be a more natural line of border to keep these communities together.
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All these things, which I am talking --(three-minute timer alarms) -- I am short of time. It's all written in there.

And, also, at the same time, there is just one thing more which I would like to say. I know that I am running out of time right here now, that the communities, if we are left together, we can ask for services, which have been denied to us right now, by any elected official, by not showing any interest in our community. Thank you very much. They have to do more. That's a good sign. I really like it and that's what I expect. Thank you. (Applause).

MR. HACKWORTH: I have a question on behalf of the staff. You mentioned that you did not receive any outreach efforts in your community.

What is the best method to advertise to your community?

Is there a particular newspaper or something?

MR. TOOR: Well, I have the whole list. If somebody starts out there, somebody can reach out to me, I will give you the whole South Asian area, TV channels, TV media.

MR. HACKWORTH: If you could leave it with
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MR. TOOR: I will do that. Thank you.
MR. HACKWORTH: Our next speaker is John Albert, to be followed by Council Member Dan Halloran.

MR. ALBERT: Hi. Good evening. My name is John Albert. I have copies of my testimony. I think it might be illustrative to see some of the maps. So, again, my name is John Albert. I run an organization called Taking Our Seat. Taking Our Seat was formed specifically to empower the South-Asian-American community within the electoral process. And our first focus was to stop voter dilution, because I think that's the number one problem facing us, in our community, within the electoral process.

Taking our Seat is a proud member of ACCORD. We are happy to join our folks here and add our voice to theirs. We are a strong supporter of the unity map. So I think what you are going to find here is a lot of the folks talking about the benefits of the unity map. And what we advocate for is the unity map to be adopted, as a whole, not piecemealed.

I just wanted to point out a couple of
things. There's a lot of talk about the Census. According to the 2010 Census, there are 351,000 South-Asian-Americans in New York.

I don't think that is part of the overall Asian number that makes it out there. That is a 61 percent increase since the last redistricting, 61 percent, and nearly 60 percent of those people are living in Queens County.

What you are seeing here, representative in the room, reflects the tremendous growth that took place over the last ten years. I am not going to repeat prior testimony, but I am going to refocus, again, on Richmond Hill and South Ozone Park.

We believe that the Districting Commission's proposal to split or to propose Lefferts Boulevard, as a boundary, is unacceptable and we ask that that be moved to the Van Wyck Expressway in the east. What we have done, actually, is we have actually proposed our own set of maps, but only in relation to south and southern Queens. We stand behind the unity map and we, again, propose that it's adopted in whole. But what we did decide to do, was address the changes that you made in your proposal.
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So these are some of the changes that I can outline: One, moving the Lefferts Boulevard boundary east to the Van Wyck.

Two, what this does is it combined -- our proposal combines the Rockaways into one community of interest, and we believe that that is the best solution for the Rockaways, and that's because they share the same transportation line, and they share the same police precinct, and they share similar or the same community boards. And so, the Rockaways need to be kept together in order to have justice for Richmond Hill.

Our plan also keeps Cambria Heights together. It really takes the focus of the community boundaries that the Districting Commission, itself, endorses in your software. (Three-minute timer alarms).

So we hope you take a look at our plan. Just to summarize, we support the unity map, so long as it is accepted in total, and we propose our maps, if you choose to look at Richmond Hill very specifically. Thank you. (Applause).

MR. HACKWORTH: Our next speaker is the Honorable Dan Halloran, to be followed by Al

NEW YORK CITY DISTRICTING COMMISSION Baldeo.

MR. HALLORAN: Commissioner, I express my strongest objection to the proposed redistricting of northeast Queens. Three council districts have been chopped up in a manner that does not actually serve the Commission's ends of keeping communities united.

Your proposal specifically divides the College Point community of Mitchell-Linden, and its apartment complexes, between the 19th and the 20th, in half. It takes an entire multifamily, multifloor-dwelling, apartment complex and cuts it down the middle.

This district has, historically, been part of Council District 20 and does not fit into the zoning of Council District 19, which is all R2, R2A and R3. That's an R5 building, and half of it is in my district, and half in Peter Koo's. It's completely inappropriate.

Auburndale, you have chopped it up in three council districts. Auburndale is, again, an R2, R2A community, and it consists of territories that run in the southern part of Council District 19, currently, into Council Districts 20 and 23. So you have chopped up the community of Auburndale.

Finally, you have also continued to split Bayside Hills into two parts. Part in the 23rd. Part in the 19th. I thought the goal was to bring these communities into one, single council district.

The 19th Council District is completely low-density, one- and two-family homes, with the exception of the Bay Terrace community, which is entirely surrounded in the northern part of the quadrant. Every district home, in my council district, is under three stories. You have now included, in this R2A zone, Mitchell-Linden, which is an R5. It will seriously impact and dilute the low-density zoning of my district.

Instead, I propose that you unite
Mitchell-Linden and return it to the 20th Council District, where it has been for the last 20 years, where it is at home with the other R5, R6 and R7 neighborhoods in that community. To supplement this change, the Commission should add the rest of North Flushing to my council district. Union Street and 33rd Avenue should be the boundary. The Commission can then, easily, take all of North Flushing and bring it into the
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19th Council District.
All of that area are one- and two-family homes governed by R2A and R3 zoning. Auburndale, which has been divided amongst the 19th, 20th and 23rd Council Districts, is unfair. As a result, the civic associations, that cover the entire area, are all united in opposition to this plan.

The Auburndale community, working with three different council members, has had a tough time, as it is, to get City services scheduled and properly handled. I suggest using 159th Street and Underhill Avenue, Hollis Court Boulevard, Francis Lewis Boulevard as the boundaries for this Auburndale community, wholly within the 19th Council District, again, Auburndale is an R2A and R3 zoning area.

The community deserves to be united under a single council district, as does the community of Bayside Hills, which was has remained --(three-minute timer alarms) -- divided over the last ten years. I propose Bayside Hills, in the area immediately surrounding, be divided up either into the 23rd Council District or the 19th Council District, wholly, using Northern Boulevard as the dividing line for the
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Commission.
I have stood with members of the Bayside Hills Civic Group, who are also opposed. Today, my office was informed, by Community Board 11, that its chairman issued a unanimous opinion, of Community Board 11, in opposition to the plan that this Commission has presented. I have a submission to give to you and a copy of the map, that our council office has created, that reflect these changes, and I would hope, you very seriously, take it into consideration. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN ROMANO: I assure you, we will. Thank you, very much. (Applause).

MR. HACKWORTH: Our next speaker is Al
Baldeo, to be followed by Leela Maret.
MR. BALDEO: Good evening, members of this Commission. My name is Al Baldeo. I am an elected district leader, community advocate and an attorney. I am here to speak on behalf of the residents of Richmond Hill.

The last time we were here, and I want to ask the question again: Is there anyone, in this room, who would like to see Richmond Hill united?

Can you say so, please?
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And, again, you have a sense, and I submit to you, respectfully, that the map, behind you, is a farce, insofar as Richmond Hill is concerned, because you have not moved the boundaries.

All we did was make a few adjustments. The problem lies in this map, here, and I have submitted here, Mr. Commissioner, for each of you to see, the testimony, the maps, and, also, the arguments we used in accordance with the New York City Charter and the Voting Rights Act. The problem, here, is that Richmond Hill is spread down the middle. You have divided it into two. It's like truncating it.

CHAIRMAN ROMANO: One second.
MR. BALDEO: Sure. And it's on those maps, Mr. Chairman. My only problem is the clock is running against my time. (Laughter).

CHAIRMAN ROMANO: What page are you on?
MR. BALDEO: Oh, these are some maps, that are submitted, and it's also on the second page. Also, you have the maps, in his hands, there (indicating), that shows the divided community, Council District 32 and Council District 28, right down the middle, and up the critical mass,
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like a spear through the heart of Richmond Hill. There are two alternative maps, that show, from the AALDEF and from the unity map, that show the districts together. There, you have this. Right?

All right.
MR. HACKWORTH: Go ahead and I will start the clock a little later.

MR. BALDEO: Here's the problem, Mr. Chairman and members of this honorable Commission, you have lumped the second-largest co-op in the world, Rochdale Village, with the one- and two-family homes of Richmond Hills. And hence, you have marginalized the one- and two-family homes, in Richmond Hill, and the constituents, thereof, because their voices are not heard.

Their needs are not met. They are marginalized and they are ignored in very many respects. And the most basic services, and everything that concerns governmental services, Richmond Hill remains the orphan child of Queens, and that, we need to get straight and resolve that issue with this Commission.

The problem, here, goes on.
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How do you treat one of the largest tax-paying and growing groups, in New York City, by marginalizing it and dividing it into two?

Again, I reiterate what the other speakers have said. You move the line in accordance with the unity map, or diversity map, more to the Van Wyck Expressway, which is not only a natural divide, but it's also the most common-sense thing to do, because that is where you have a critical mass kept together in Richmond Hill.

Mr. Chairman, I am living testimony that people, looking like me, sounding like me, are electable. In 2006, I almost won the State Senate seat, by almost taking out the Republican State Senator, Serphin Maltese, who was a 20-year incumbent. So it's not that we are not electable.

It's just that we want to have the basic democratic choice to have people elect one of us, one of their own, who understands the cultural, the historical patterns, the heritage and the great things that we bring to this country, and that has sadly been lacking from all of the Redistricting Commissions going back three decades.
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We have been used as filler material. We are merely filling others. We are not asking for a majority in this district. If you notice, in the majority map, the majority, here, is still white, 27 percent. Hispanics are 26 percent. We are up 21 percent, the Asian population there. The problem there, Mr. Chairman and members of this Commission, is that we are only asking to be kept whole, so that our issues, our problems and solutions are understood and are kept together.

And I would like to tell you this: There was a councilman, by the name of Tom White, who never, one day, stepped over the Van Wyck Expressway to come to Richmond Hill --(three-minute timer alarms) -- to listen or to solve any of our problems, and that's a crying same. Our children die for this country. We pay taxes. We are responsible citizens. And that must change with this Commission, because, going forward, we feel marginalized, ostracized and we ask you to do the right thing.

We have no representatives in Federal, State or City government. I am the only one who stands as a district leader. We are one of the largest-growing groups, in New York City, and
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that is all in my testimony. And in accordance with the Voting Rights Act and the City Charter, you have breached these by not ensuring fair and effective representation as a community group.

And we ask that you do the right thing and make sure that you move the line from Lefferts to the Van Wyck Expressway. Make us whole. We are not in South Africa or an apartheid system. We are in America and we need to have those rights respected. I know you will do the right thing. Thank you, very much. (Applause).

MR. HACKWORTH: Our next speaker is Leela Maret, to be followed by Susan Wong.

MS. MARET: Respected Commission, and the community leaders, and friends, my name is Leela Maret. I was the Recording Secretary for Local 375, DC37 for the last ten years, and DC37, is the largest municipal union, in the City, representing 135,000 members. The City employs nurses, cross guards, teachers, police officers, firefighters and makes the City work day by day.

I am a community activist and vice president of the Indian Association of North America and Canada, and I lived in Richmond Hill for the last 25 years. The Richmond Hill, South Ozone Park
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community of interest still continues to be divided. It takes a continuous area of population, of people of common interest, culture and heritage and the community is disenfranchised, chopped up and fragmented.

That is the Indo-Caribbeans are a group of same origin and background. We also congregate in the same places of worship. We are heavily populated and are disbursed. The children go to same high school and share common country of origin, dialect and language. We have opened up small businesses in Richmond Hill that reflect our culture.

We have local newspapers, and West Indian Caribbean, New York programs, for the needs of our community, and share community services and other issues. We identify as Americans, who can trace our ancestry back to South Asia. We do not have health clinics, community centers, day-care centers, senior centers, social-service centers or even job-training centers in the area.

It is most important for family policy, urban policy, foreign policy, neighborhood policy to have representation by all people. We are part of the economic of New York. We are asking
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for the opportunity to become part of the mechanics of America. We do not have any South Asian elected, so far, to the City Council. We would like to have a piece of the pie, too. We are one of the fastest-growing ethnic populations.

Richmond Hill and South Ozone Park communities should be kept as one district, as it functions as one neighborhood. It should be unified into one community, that must be kept together and not splintered. The proposed district lines still continue to split our neighborhood.

We are very disappointed. We strongly emphasize to redraw the district line with Atlantic Avenue, the Conduit, -- (three-minute timer alarms) -- and the Van Wyck Expressway, and City line as its boundaries. We attach, the proposed map, for consideration. My heartfelt thanks to the Commission for consideration. Thank you. (Applause).

MR. HACKWORTH: Our next speaker is Susan Wong, to be followed by William Stanford, Jr.

MS. WONG: Good evening. My name is Susan Wong. I have been a Queens resident for over ten

NEW YORK CITY DISTRICTING COMMISSION
years, and I live at 63-84 Landis Street, and that is part of Rego Park. I am a counselor at Baruch College. I am testifying on behalf of OCA and I have been a member for over ten years.

OCA New York, formerly known as the Organization of Chinese Americans, is a nonprofit, nonpartisan organization, dedicated to protecting and advancing the political, economic, social and cultural rights of Asian-Americans. OCA New York is a founding member of ACCORD. That's the Asian-American Community Coalition on Redistricting and Democracy.

In the interest of time, please look at my written statement to see what OCA New York has done in Queens. The 2010 Census shows 500,000 Asians live in Queens alone. OCA New York's position is based on its community involvement, input from its Queens members and the 2010 Census.

Proposed District 25 divides Elmhurst, primarily, at the district's southwestern boundary. This boundary excludes an active part of Elmhurst that stretches all the way to the Long Island Expressway. Elmhurst residents, in District 25 shop, in at least three of the major
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ethnic grocery stores on Broadway, off of Queens Boulevard, and at 51st Avenue and Queens Boulevard.

These establishments are all in the area that is not in District 25, but drawn into the northern section of proposed District 29. The significant Asian populations in Elmhurst, who are in proposed District 25, frequently dine at the restaurants along Broadway and shop at Queens Center Mall, which are both in proposed District 29. The majority Asian churches, at Broadway and Columbia Avenue and Queens Boulevard and Columbia Avenue, are also drawn into the northern section of proposed District 29.

Given that this northern section is still what most residents consider Elmhurst, it is very different from other parts of proposed District 29. While Elmhurst residents use subways and bus lines on a daily basis, those who live in 30, south of the Long Island Expressway, use the Long Island Rail Road more often. Those in the Forest Hills and Rego Park area of District 29, shop at Trader Joe's and other mainstream grocery stores.

Everything, in this section, is more

NEW YORK CITY DISTRICTING COMMISSION expensive, like nail salons, boutiques, restaurants and the rent is at least \$300 to \$500 higher. Please look at the proposed district in the unity map, which lowers the natural southern boundary, of District 25, to the Long Island Expressway -- (three-minute timer alarms) -- to encompass all of Elmhurst into one district. Thank you. (Applause).

MR. HACKWORTH: Our next speaker is William Stanford, Jr., to be followed by Richard David.

MR. STANFORD: The name is Mr. X. Let's get that straight right now.

Okay?
To the chair, you mentioned you sent an e-mail blast to us?

You didn't send me anything about this and/or two public hearings.

What e-mail blast are you referring to?
I have a volunteer, at the website, in order to see what is happening, for people to reregister.
okay?
You still didn't apologize for what you did to me last Tuesday.

And my question is: Why didn't you
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accommodate me?
Were you too busy?
Were you too incompetent?
Were you too lazy or were you just too damn yellow?

Which is it?
You tell me, Benito Romano, a/k/a
Mr. Potatohead.
Okay?
MR. HACKWORTH: Restrict your testimony to the redistricting.

MR. STANFORD: Excuse me. Let me remind you, Benito, you violated my rights last Tuesday. Okay?

You mention the e-mail blast. You didn't e-mail blast anything to me.

Okay?
So it's false advertisement.
Okay?
You said that these public hearings was scheduled until 5:30 to nine.

Well, what happened at Staten Island yesterday?

That public hearing ended before seven o'clock.
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What happened in my borough last Tuesday?
That public hearing ended at 7:30, from what I heard. You could have accommodated me if you wanted. As I said before, you could have accommodated me, last Tuesday, if you wanted to. All you had to do was wait there until nine. You could have waited until nine, even if put me last, and you couldn't even do that.

And this mapping, first of all, I can't even read this copy here. That copy is easier to read out there. I can't read this, so, therefore, I can't tell you how this map -- these districts should be redrawn. So we have Districts 27 and 28.

Do we need Districts 27 and 28 ?
Can we just use one?
Because it's all in here, Queens, southeastern Queens neighborhoods.

And Districts 22 and 26, do we need both?
They are here in Queens, northwest Queens. This is confusing. I can't read this. Firstly, these lines should be drawn directly in the middle and they are not. As I said before, you should go by the bus corridors. I'm sorry. This is a sham. As I mentioned, this map, here, is a
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sham. I can't read this.
You made duplicate copies, that are somewhat faded. You know -- (three-minute timer alarms) -- you know.

Are you using a Xerox machine? (Applause).
Hold on. Hold on. Hold on. Hold on.
MR. HACKWORTH: Our next speaker --
MR. STANFORD: Hold on. Let me ask a question here.

MR. HACKWORTH: No. No question. Your time is over.

MR. STANFORD: What did you use?
Did you use a Xerox machine?
MR. HACKWORTH: Our next speaker will be Richard David, to be followed by Linda Lee.

MR. DAVID: That's a tough act to follow. (Laughter). Thank you for the opportunity to testify before you here today. I am Richard David. I am the executive director of the Indo-Caribbean Alliance or ICA. ICA is a nonpartisan, nonprofit, 501(c)(3) organization, that serves the Indo-Caribbean and South Asian communities, or collectively the South Asian community. This community traditionally includes Guyanese, Trinidadian residents, Indians,
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Bengalis and other residents from the Caribbean and South Asia, who trace their ancestry to South Asia.

ICA provides civic advocacy as well as youth development, cultural and community-building programs, to residents in Richmond Hill, Ozone Park and South Ozone Park and neighborhoods of southern Queens. Those are Council Districts 28 and 32. We ask, and we actually ask again, we make the same request that we made in August, that this Districting Commission not continue to divide Richmond Hill.

I reiterate these statements of previous speakers today, who have pleaded before this Commission the same way they pleaded in August, asking each of you to seriously consider the impact of your decision and to not maintain a line that's in the middle, along Lefferts Boulevard, of what we consider our community.

One of the issues with the current map is, it's not reflective of the neighborhood. But even if you wanted to ignore all of us today, we have given you every reason not to. We are probably the largest group of folks out here today. We are also the largest groups of folks
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who were here at the last hearing. (Applause).
We have given you a map that works. We have given you the numbers. There's not a single reason for you to ignore our request. But still, that line, down Lefferts Boulevard, is in the middle of our community. There is not a single good reason why is that. We are hoping that the next of map, that is produced by the Commission, is reflective of these changes, because there is not a single reason not to.

I can't think of a reason, unless this
Commission is put together to dilute the power of the residents in this area, and I don't believe that's the case, because that's in your opening remarks, that the Chairman, you clearly said that that's not your intention. I don't understand how that cannot be your intention, with the testimony that you have heard testimony today, and for the supporting documents that we have provided already, and that you will continue to hear for the rest of this year.

So, we ask you, I reiterate another request for each of you to come out to Richmond Hill. I will be happy to personally give you a tour, because I think what you will see, on the ground,

NEW YORK CITY DISTRICTING COMMISSION
is undeniable, and you will be compelled to keep this community together. We hope that you will keep the district lines from the Van Wyck Expressway to the City line, from Atlantic Avenue, in the north, to the South Conduit in the south. (Three-minute timer alarms). Thank you, very much, and I appreciate the time.
(Applause).
MR. HACKWORTH: Our next speaker is Linda Lee, to be followed by Kenneth Cohen.

MS. LEE: Hi. Good afternoon. My name is Linda Lee. I am the executive director of KCS, Korean Community Services. We have been around the community for 39 years. It is the oldest and largest nonprofit serving the Korean community. Thank you all for coming and listening to us.

I feel like it's like déjà vu. I have copies of my testimony, which can you read. But last time, when we were in Flushing, the two areas that were talked about the most, probably, were Bayside and Richmond Hill. It's kind of surprising, to me, that the maps, that came out, didn't really reflect what the community was asking. I think all of us have been pretty united in what we have been saying.
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It's sort of disheartening, a little bit, that the maps have not reflected the changes that the community has been asking for.

Organizations, like KCS, we are really on the ground running. I am tired of budget cuts, and having to provide services for the community, but tired in a good way. That's our job, is to fight for the community, to stand up for their voices, to really represent them and make sure their needs are being met.

That's why this whole process is so important to our community, especially because in the past, Asian-Americans have not really been present and sort of taking a stand. I am proud of the fact that we are all out here advocating on behalf of our communities. That is something I know my parents would be very proud of, which is why we are here. (Applause).

So it's definitely a little disheartening, like I said. So I will keep it short, so that others can speak. But I urge you to, please, please, please, look at the community maps, and really take into consideration what the community members are saying, so that we can sort of better serve our communities, and really represent their
voices, and make sure that their voices are being heard, and that they have proper representation. So thank you. (Applause).

MR. HACKWORTH: Our next speaker is Kenneth Cohen, to be followed by David Goldstein. MR. COHEN: Good evening. My name is Ken Cohen. I am regional director for the NAACP, New York State Conference Metropolitan Council, which is all 14 branches of New York City. Our request, this evening, is very simple. And just hearing the little bit I have heard so far, we are asking that none of these submissions be submitted for next month's hearing.

We are concerned that there is a discord in the communities, and there is a difference between the maps that have been presented, and the maps that will reflect the communities, not only in Queens, but across the City. We have heard concerns, from our branches in the Bronx and Brooklyn as well as Queens, as to what is presently being presented.

And we ask that, before this goes to the City Council for a vote, that you reconsider all of the proposals and then present a different map. Thank you. (Applause).
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MR. HACKWORTH: Our next speaker is David Goldstein, to be followed by Mohammed Sadiq.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: Good evening, Commissioners. I was shocked. I am David Goldstein. I am the zoning chairman of the Bayside Hills Civic Association. I have to say I was shocked when the ground one maps show Bayside Hills split in half, with the northern half going into District 19 and the southern half going into District 23.

Bayside Hills has existed, as a distinct community, since 1936. Proof of this is the existence of the Bayside Hills Civic Association since that time.

If the existence, of a civic association, does not define a neighborhood, then what else would?

At some point, many years ago, additional single-family homes were added to the area of our association, but we remained an area of single-family homes, on similar-sized plots, with our children attending the same schools, being policed by the same police precinct, attending the same churches, using the same railroad stations and the same post office, and sharing
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Together, we fought to secure R2A zoning for our area. We continue to function as a cohesive neighborhood, even as our neighborhood has come to include many, many people of different ethnic and religious backgrounds. We are all the same in terms of how we live, what we do, and the principles we live under.

Any differences, in the ways residents of Bayside Hills live, based on their ethnicity or country of origin, are tiny, when compared to the similarities, going back, by the history and customs of the area we live in, the common architecture of our homes, and the mutual respect we have for one another.

We are sure that you realize that all of Bayside Hills belongs in District 19. Neighborhoods should be seen as areas of historic development, which have been formed by natural boundaries, man-made obstructions and cohesive architecture. I am puzzled by those who want to gerrymander our neighborhoods based on other factors. In fact, our neighborhood is about one-half Asian and one-half non-Asian. There is no way one person could represent everyone.
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So the idea that people have to represented by person of a particular race is an impossible situation. It is ridiculous. Therefore, I urge you, not only on my behalf, but as zoning chairman of the Bayside Hills Civic Association, to adopt the QCC plan, which shows the right zoning for our area and takes care of the whole job of mapping out Queens properly. Thank you. (Applause).

MR. HACKWORTH: Our next speaker is Mohammed Sadiq, to be followed by Richard Hellenbrecht.

MR. SADIQ: Good evening. My name is Mohammed Sadiq and I am with Taking Our Seat. John Albert, of Taking Our Seat, he already explained about our maps. I would like to explain a little bit more about that. Also, thank you for giving me the opportunity to present my testimony. I submitted my testimony in the August redistricting hearing and I testified ten years ago before the 2002 Districting Commission.

I am asking for the same things that I have always asked for, keeping our neighborhoods together, distinctly. You have the demographic information that I provided earlier at the
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I will not recount the history of the voter recognition in this areas, because you know that Richmond Hill and South Ozone Park are broken into four different council districts. Briarwood and Jamaica Hills are broken into three council districts. The Districting Commission's proposed maps gave our community a mixed bag, depending on the neighborhoods. The one place, that the Commission did justice to the community, was in the Bellerose and Floral Park. We support the proposed map for the 23rd District. This district keeps the Bellerose, Floral Park, Glen Oaks and Queens Village together.

We also support the unity maps, and, also, in Briarwood and Jamaica Hills, the Commission's proposed maps do an acceptable job for keeping the Bangladeshi together. The Commission's proposed District 24 keeps this neighborhood together, for the most part, but adjustments can be made, to the southern property, to keep it
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uniform along the Jamaica Avenue.
We have the same problem in Richmond Hill and South Ozone Park, and a lot of people are testifying about that area. This is ongoing. Ongoing from the last ten years. So --(three-minute timer alarms) -- I appreciate it. Please, listen to the unity-map groups and do it. Thank you. (Applause).

MR. HACKWORTH: Our next speaker is Richard Hellenbrecht, to be followed by Bryan Block.

MR. HELLENBRECHT: Good evening, and thank you, very much. The box coming up looks like a Dunkin' Donuts, but it's really not. That is a complete copy of the Queens Civic Congress map, 15 copies, one for everybody.

Good evening. My name is Rich Hellenbrecht. I am president of the Queens Civic Congress, a member organization comprised of over 1,000 civic and block associations, co-ops and condos, and historic societies throughout the entire borough. We offer assistance and advocacy to our member organizations, several of which have called for significant action in this redesigned proposal, in order to ensure fairness and equity in representation across the borough.
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Therefore, the Queens Civic Congress conducted a thorough analysis of the boundaries released by the Districting Commission on September 4th. Several members provided input and detailed information through the process. We find the proposed boundaries are unsatisfactory and do nothing to improve the proper representation of individuals and neighborhoods in our great borough.

We have prepared a full set of alternative district boundaries. As you have heard here tonight, several civic groups and community boards have expressed their complete support for the Queens Civics Congress report and proposed district boundaries. The Queens Civic Congress considered several guiding principles, that must be met, in establishing and setting the new City Council districts for our borough.

Most important, of course, is the City Charter, Article 52, requiring that each district meet certain population numeric requirements. That is understandable and we respect it. The next-most important thing, to Queens Civic Congress, is that our towns, with their named identities and common-interest areas, remain
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intact in one council district.
In addition to the areas named, common interests include density, similarity of housing types and zoning, local schools, precincts and customs. Additional guiding principles we considered, included making district boundaries as straight and rational as possible and using natural and man-made barriers where we can. We also take serious consideration of ethnicities, and other demographics, to ensure the continued diversity that Queens is known for.

Back in 2003, many civic organizations were seriously disappointed with the district changes in which some areas separated communities into as many as three districts, such as Maspeth and Auburndale. We hope that the Districting Commission will take this opportunity to correct such problems. Unfortunately, we were disturbed to see that even more communities have been, needlessly, separated in the 2012 proposal.

As the media noted, the Commission's proposed lines, at first glance, don't look very substantial. But the devil, as always, is in the details. Communities, that have been united for a century or more, including Cambria Heights and
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Springfield Gardens, in the north, Bayside Hills, North Flushing and Auburndale, in the north, and the planned community of Mitchell-Linden and Maspeth, further west, all have now been split --(three-minute timer alarms) -- into two or three districts, in the simple move of a line, of a couple of blocks.

In many cases, this would make it impossible for areas, like Springfield Gardens, to get strong representation, because civics will need to lobby three different council members. There is more. I thank you, very much, for your time. I don't want to take other people's time. Many people will be talking about the Queens Civic Congress proposal and I hope you take it seriously. Thank you. (Applause).

MR. HACKWORTH: Our next speaker will be Bryan Block, to be followed by Chuck Mohan.

MR. BLOCK: Good evening, Commissioners and ladies and gentlemen in the audience. My name is Bryan Block. I am the chair of Community Board 13. I understand what my chair was just speaking about, commonality with regard to various communities. I get this firsthand, as chair of my board, of how the communities would
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like to stay together.
But I am not here tonight as a chair. I am just letting you know what $I$ hear as chair. But tonight, I am speaking as a resident of Cambria Heights since 1967. I have grown up, since 1967, in Cambria Heights. I am an appointed board member of the Cambria Heights Civic Association. Some of the civic association members are sitting here this evening. I would like to state that I am in opposition, strong opposition, to the proposed lines for the 27th Council District that affects the residents of Cambria Heights.

The proposal would have an adverse impact on the community. Now, let's go back several years ago. When the proposed lines, that this Commission is recommending, was the lines that we had ten, 11 years ago, when Council Member Juanita Watkins was in office.

At that time, I am not talking about the council member, but it caused confusion, great confusion, for the residents in Cambria Heights, because we didn't know, that you were on one block, you had one council member, then you had Juanita as your council member. It just caused too much confusion.
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Now you want to go back to that confusion that we left?

No. It's diminishing the voters in the community. It's creating apathy in the community. And the commonality, that my chair just spoke about, of lanyards, you are talking that away. I know the time is going quickly. I just want to stress the commonality of Cambria Heights. Since I have been there since '67, we are one community. Now you want to put us in with the community of Laurelton.

It didn't work back in '91 and you want to go back to that?

I don't understand your thinking here. I am not using up the time.

This proposed map, with that little piece that you are talking about, that you want to cut out, that is political gerrymandering, political gerrymandering that you are looking to do with this one piece. I leave that with you and someone else can use my time. Thank you, very much. (Applause).

MR. HACKWORTH: Our next speaker is Chuck Mohan, to be followed by Kris Gounden.

MR. MOHAN: Hi. Good evening. I am a
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member of PSC, Professional Staff Congress. I am a union member. I am a community activist and I will not bore you with some of the facts and figures that were presented before by Albert Baldeo, Jerry Vattamala and others, who spoke here, because I do support the unity map.

I know Americans believe in equality, liberty and democracy. The present situation, in Richmond Hill, is definitely not what democracy looks like.

Okay?
The fact is, if you step over, if you are driving on Liberty, east or west, and you cross from one side of Lefferts Boulevard to the other side, the neighborhood doesn't change. The neighborhood needs to be pushed to the Van Wyck.

This Commission has a great opportunity to right that wrong, that has been prevalent in that community for many, many years. And as Albert Baldeo said earlier, to the City Council representatives, it seems that our community is looked at as a cash cow for them. And after the election is over, no one gets the services that we deserve, that the people in the community pay taxes, they vote and everything else they
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I am urging you that, for too long, this situation has been in effect. I just want to say, to this body, as the great Martin Luther King once said, "Justice delayed is justice denied." Please don't let this continue anymore. Thank you. (Applause).

MR. HUM: Mr. Mohan, you mentioned that you support the community map, which indicates Jamaica Avenue as the northern border, but you also said that you wanted to support or advocate for some of the previous speakers, which has Atlantic Avenue as the northern border.

Which is it that you prefer?
MR. MOHAN: Well, as I said, the unity map is good, but John Albert said, and I understand your position as a Commission, and you are being tugged in all directions. So there's two other maps, I believe, that were by Taking Our Seats, if I am not mistaken, that you can look at. You know we want you to take a close look at, first of all, we want the boundary to go to the Van Wyck. We are pushing for that.

However, we understand, again, your
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MR. HACKWORTH: Our next speaker is Kris Gounden, to be followed by Aminta Kilawan.

MR. GOUNDEN: Good evening, ladies and gentlemen. My name is Kris Gounden. I have been called a community activist, so I guess that's my position now. My testimony is, basically, it does not work, the redistricting does not work, and Ozone Park, Richmond Hill is a community that my family has been in since 1976.

We live right off of Lefferts Boulevard. We currently own a house now. It's paid off. We have been victims of redistricting that did not work. The Caribbean, West Indian community has grown in Ozone Park through our will to live together. Because of the shape of the voting district, we cannot vote to elect someone who is born of us.

We cannot vote for someone who is more likely to support our own interests. The voting district was a whole before we became a community. This redistricting is, essentially, to divide and weaken us. The Lefferts Boulevard
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line is unacceptable. I repeat that one more time. The Lefferts Boulevard line is unacceptable. (Applause).

Instead of help, I had to do a lawsuit against my councilman, at the time, Joe DeSalvo, that a Federal judge says I have standing. Current politicians are our enemies. Politicians, police and City agencies have double standards. When we have no representation, this is what happens. This is our fight. We've become our own community activists.

We have to write stories, in papers, outside of our community, that will speak of our interests. As a paper now, from Harlem, the Black Storm News, is coming into Ozone Park just for the same reason, that the double standards, that families such as mine, endured.

On June 12, 2012, a neighbor of mine, Scott Statland (phonetic), repeatedly called police, on frivolous and false allegations against me and my family, confronted me, when I was driving with my son, who was then five years old.

He pushed and cursed me and my son and preposterously claimed my son must be stealing his letters from his mailbox. Knowing the
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history of harassment of my family, with the cooperation of the police, the 106th Precinct, I videotaped the incident. Afterwards, I was called to the police, who took no action against Statland. Instead, Statland accused me of assaulting the him.

The police, without even getting my story or viewing the videotape, arrested me. A judge granted an order of protection to Statland, when, in fact, I was the victim. Now, as a result, I face a court trial and since my Legal Aid attorney is not interested in my side of the story, and has viewed the tape, I could be convicted of false charges. As a result of the harassment, my wife suffered a miscarriage that week.

What kind of justice is that?
Is this really the American dream or an American nightmare?

I hope there's someone up here, on the panel, who exposes the injustices. I graduated high school down the block, the honors' program (three-minute timer alarms). I have 20 years in as a professional. This is an insult to hardworking, educated people, That you will draw
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a line right through the middle of our community. This is intentional, and the current politicians are the ones that are doing this, and I am insulted by it.

CHAIRMAN ROMANO: Thank you. We are going to take a brief recess.
(Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.)
CHAIRMAN ROMANO: We are going to resume our meeting. If we can have order in the room. Call the next speaker.

MR. HACKWORTH: Our next speaker will be Aminta Kilawan, to be followed by Henry Euler. Quiet, please.

MS. KILAWAN: Chairman Romano and members of the New York Districting Commission, thanks for the opportunity to testify tonight. I appear before you as the human rights chair of the Global Organization for People of Indian Origin, and as a member of the Shruti -- (inaudible) -in Ozone Park, and as a founder of the progressive Hindu organization called Sadhana, and as the co-president of the North American South-Asian Law Students Association, and as a third-year law student at Fordham Law.

But above all these titles, I am most proud
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to call myself a United States citizen, the first in my family to be born on New York City soil, to hardworking Indo-Guyanese parents, in the rich and diverse county of Queens. Like many of my fellow South Asian and Indo-Caribbean people, my parents migrated to this country, decades ago, because it's a land of opportunity.

Specifically, they migrated to New York City, because of its ability to respect cultures while, simultaneously, promoting unity among all peoples. I am most proud to be a citizen of the United States, because one of its most basic, fundamental tenets is democracy. Namely, a country for the people, by the people.

When I was in grammar school, in Ozone Park, I learned about the concept of gerrymandering, and it's safe to say that that is exactly what the current lines propose. Members of the panel, I implore you to listen. Listen, as I explain why certain areas of Richmond Hill, Ozone Park and South Ozone Park must remain a cohesive whole.

Listen, as I explain why the existing lines, for City Council Districts 28 and 32, do not make any logical essential. Listen, to why these
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lines do not represent democracy. The current lines split one community of interest right down the middle. That community of interest is chopped down Lefferts Boulevard, which, if you visit it, you would see serves as a hub for our community.

To its left, you have the Mandir, that I worship in, along with a plethora of other mosques and churches, as my fellow community members have testified to today. In the middle, you have got the public transportation, that I have used for as long as I was allowed to use public transportation. There is the A train, and the Q10 and the Q37 bus lines, which most of my community members also utilize.

To the right, you have got Richmond Hill High School, John Adams High School, where most of our young people attend. And all around us, you have got a myriad of small businesses, run by our entrepreneurial people, allowing local markets to thrive. These businesses include Roti shops, bakeries, West Indian supply stores, boutiques and traditional Indian attire, et cetera, et cetera.

Now, it's my hope that you recognize this
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community of interest and go back to the drawing board. Serve democracy and readjust those unjust lines (three-minute timer alarms). Thank you. (Applause).

MR. HACKWORTH: Our next speaker will be Henry Euler, followed by Armando Cortino.

MR. EULER: My name is Henry Euler. I am first vice president of the Auburndale Improvement Association. We have over 600 members and we have been in existence for over 100 years. I come, today, to speak to you about the Districting Commission's proposed lines.

We were kind of upset with the lines, so we went to the Queens Civic Congress to express our thoughts about it. Other civic associations also went to the Queens Civic Congress, and what they did was they set up a proposal of their own lines. They had a study done and we find these new lines, that they are proposing, excellent. We are very happy that the community of North Flushing will be placed in the 19th District under the QCC proposal. It's made up of one- and two-family homes. It should be in the 19th. Not the 20th. The 20th is made up, mostly, of high-rise apartments and very
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densely-populated areas and downtown Flushing. It doesn't match the same needs and concerns of the people living in North Flushing have. It should go to the 19th District.

We also feel that Mitchell-Linden should be kept in the 20th District. We are very happy to see that more parts of Auburndale, under the QCC plan, will be in the 19th District. We are also happy that Bayside Hills has been united in the 19th District under the QCC plan. We have one further request, of the Commission, for your consideration. We would like you to take a look at the portion of Auburndale that's in the 20th Council District right now.

Tonight, we heard a lot of people complain about the fact that their neighborhoods were divided into two. My neighborhood, of Auburndale and Western Bayside, was split into three council districts. Now, the part of Auburndale, that's in the 20th District, is bounded by these boundary lines, 47th and 46th Avenue on the north, Francis Lewis Boulevard on the east, the Long Island Expressway on the south and Utopia Parkway on the west.

This portion is in the 20th District. We

NEW YORK CITY DISTRICTING COMMISSION
feel it should be either to the 19th or 23 rd District. I have spoken, to some of the residents there, and they feel it should be in the 19th. They have more of a connection to the 19th than the $23 r d$. We, also, were considering extending the boundary a little even further to the west, to 162nd Street, because that's where our civic boundary ends.

So we hope that the Commission will look at the Queen Civics Congress plan and support that, and, also, take a look at that portion of Auburndale, that's in the 20th right now, and we move it can be moved to another district, where it has similar interests with one- and two-family homes and the same type of zoning, R2A. Thank you, very much. (Applause).

MR. HACKWORTH: Our next speaker is Armando Cortino, to be followed by Vishnu Mahadeo.

MR. CORTINO: Hello, everyone, and thank you for allowing me the opportunity to provide testimony. I am here on behalf of the Bayside Hills Civic Association. I am their vice president. Dear Districting Committee, I have reviewed the new redistricting map, as currently proposed. As the vice president of the Bayside
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Hills Civic Association, I strongly oppose it. Under the new proposal, Bayside Hills would be broken up and sliced in half, becoming two separates districts, 19 and 23. Splitting up communities is unfair and directly impacts its ability to prosper. Not keeping communities whole makes it difficult to service its needs. A community should be judged and addressed as a whole. Not as the sum of two parts with different sets of rules, rights, representatives and officials.

I realize that the Committee has worked very hard and must have taken much into consideration before arriving at this current proposal. However, breaking and splitting up communities, for any reason, should not be done simply by redrawing a few lines on a map. Today's actions directly affect tomorrow's future. The proposed redistricting would have an obvious detrimental effect on Bayside Hills and communities like it. It is not my intention to come here and complain, but to encourage open communication between all parties involved, in order to yield positive results. I hope that the Committee -excuse me -- the Committee is receptive to
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suggestions and ideas that may lead to accomplishing the redistricting objective, while, optimally, still serving the communities it directly affects.

The Queens Civic Congress, which represents all civic associations in Queens County, has reviewed the Committee's current proposed plan. They have developed and proposed a new plan that makes a lot more sense. Their plan proposes different boundaries for the borough, including breaking the borough into districts of proper size, while still recognizing the needs of other areas as well.

The QCC's proposal is more of a full plan, that solves the entire problem for all districts in the entire borough of Queens. It satisfies the goal of redistricting, while still being sensitive to the communities affected by the redistricting. This plan, surely, deserves your serious consideration.

In conclusion, just a little bit about Bayside Hills. It's an active community, that is composed of over 1,200, mostly, single-family homes. Since 1936, it has been represented by its own civic association. Together, they have
successfully tackled many homeowner issues, such as area beautification, flooding, overdevelopment and now redistricting. Yet, we still find time to gather at things, such as our 9/11 ceremony, and memorial ceremonies, and holiday-lighting ceremonies, et cetera.

Splitting up Bayside Hills would destroy the unique cohesiveness that it possesses (three-minute timer alarms). Every community here wants the same thing, to be united and to stick together. In order to enjoy success and prosperity, every community should be allowed to be part of one town, one neighborhood, one community and one district with one voice.

I urge the Committee to take all of the submitted proposals under careful consideration, including the QCC's, and the mission here should be to unite communities. Not divide them. Thank you all. (Applause).

MR. HACKWORTH: Our next speaker is Vishnu Mahadeo, to be followed by Darrel Sukhdeo. MR. MAHADEO: Good afternoon, or good evening, all. I actually have written testimony here that I am going to actually submit this rather than reading it. So I would like someone
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to take it. I have a copy for everyone here. However, I would like to use the balance of my time to talk about what you guys have sent, the message you have sent to us.

We have made a significant presentation, that you choose to ignore us, completely ignore everything we have said. We have testimony. We have statements. We have maps. We have demographics. We have legal presentations.

What do you need as an option, for us to take you to court and challenge you?

Why are you ignoring us, when you have a public forum?

We are asking, and are giving you, just occasion to keep the Richmond Hill community whole. We have come to a point where we deserve to be recognized. We are asking for you to do what is right. We are begging you. We are cajoling you.

What else do we have to do?
You choose to ignore us on your first release. Please, do what is right. Make us feel as though you are doing what you are asked to do.

You are an independent Commission, whose job is to be making decisions without political
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compromise. Make us feel proud of you. We want to be proud of you. I am not here to give you all the details. The details, we have done, repeatedly, through many presentations. Today, I am asking and I am appealing to your better judgment. We are looking to be, finally, recognized as a people.

We, my community, has been compromised, lack of funding, from the discretionary funding, from every one of the City Councils. We have not been getting our fair share of assembly funding. Nor that of the State Senate. The congressional office have completely ignored us. Richmond Hill has two of the worst high schools in all of Queens.

What else do we have to do?
Both schools have over two to 300 percent overcrowding. Yet, you guys are treating us as though we are stepchild. We are not significant. I am asking, please, do what is right. We need your support. We want to work with you. We come out here, in large numbers, with maps, T-shirts, placards.

What else do we have to do?
We are asking, please work with us. Thank
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you. (Applause).
MR. HACKWORTH: Our next speaker will be Darrel Sukhdeo, to be followed by Sati Gurdial.

MR. SUKHDEO: Good afternoon. I am Darrel Sukhdeo, a community activist representing South Queens and South Asian and Asian voters. I spoke, on behalf of several organizations, that have worked tirelessly to bring our registered voters. By a conservative estimate, 60,000 residents of South Queens, particularly Richmond Hill and South Ozone Park. We also reach 200,000 of all South Asians in New York City.

To name a few of the groups $I$ work with, it includes the Ruskin Arts Center, AALDEF, from whom you have heard today, the Indo-Caribbean Alliance, the Richmond Hill Economic Development Corporation, the American Cancer Society, Eastern Division, and the National Cancer Action Network, the Asian-American/Asian Research Institute of CUNY, the City University of New York, the South Asian Journalists Association, among many more.

Since 1998, I have worked, long and hard, to advocate for a single district for Richmond Hill, South Ozone Park. Along the way, have been many politicians, but despite a multitude of promises,
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no effort has been made to help this community. And it seems, once again, that you have disregarded and disenfranchised us. As a so-called model minority, we owe more and pay more taxes than the average New York City household.

We have hired more employees, across most industries in New York City, including all employers in the tech sector. I am speaking of the Asian community. We have hired more employees, across most industries in New York City, I repeat, including all employers in the tech sector. Yet, we do not have a single South Asian on the New York City Council, because of the legacy of dividing our vote and the agenda, by many, to disenfranchise our community.

Are you, the members of this Committee, part of that legacy?

It seems, from your proposed map, that you intend to perpetuate this not-so-hidden policy of deliberate disenfranchisement. This time, I am asking the 200,000 New York City residents, that we reach, not to give money to any political parties and only to give to South Asian and Asian candidates directly. Not to believe promises
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that have been proven to be all empty promises, and to send a message that it's not okay to continue with this castigation, by withholding all funding to political parties.

You have received our proposed maps, and, particularly, the new proposed district for Richmond Hill, South Ozone Park from AALDEF. I think AALDEF is the only organization that has presented a map that unifies all minority communities, and in addition to that, continues to look at the legacy communities of New York City. No other organizations have done that.

I think it's fair, it's unbiased and it's equity for all, and I think the community should adopt the entire AALDEF map. (Three-minute timer alarms.) We are a significant part of the fabric of New York City. Not just a model minority with salaries, math and science, but with civic engagement, political activism and policies. We continue to make massive impacts on New York City.

It is more than past the time that we have fair district lines. I am calling, on each of you, to help us, and I promise that I will ask our communities to contribute to your next
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campaigns. Thank you. (Laughter). (Applause).
MR. HACKWORTH: Our next speaker will be Sati Gurdial, to be followed by Harry Lauture.

MS. GURDIAL: Good evening, Commissioners. My name is Sati Gurdial. Thank you for the opportunity to speak tonight. I have been a community activist in the Richmond Hill, Ozone Park area for over 20 years. I have actually been involved with many of the religious organizations along Liberty Avenue, particularly. I am here, tonight, to support a proposal to extend the line, for District 32, from Lefferts Boulevard to the Van Wyck Expressway.

Currently, this area is in District 28 and we are asking for it to be extended to District 32. This will greatly improve our political representation, for the residents of that area, to keep Richmond Hill as a whole. You have heard previous speakers emphasizing the importance to discontinue the split in the neighborhood. I plead, to you, to recognize the needs of the residents of the Richmond Hill community and extend the lines from Lefferts Boulevard, to the east, and the Van Wyck Expressway. Thank you for your attention on this
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important issue. (Applause).
MR. HACKWORTH: Our next speaker is Harry Lauture, to be followed by Gregory -- and I have trouble reading the writing here -- Guercin.

MR. LAUTURE: Okay. Good evening, members of the Commission. My name is Harry Lauture and I am a resident, a long-time resident, of Cambria Heights. It's District 27. I don't have a prepared speech. I will speak from my heart. I was very disappointed to see what you have proposed regarding our community. Our communities consist of long-time retirees and a mixture of young families. And in the past ten years, we have done a lot of things in one district, improving our services in the community.

And under the proposed plan, that may reverse the changes we have done and opportunity we have to empower the residents of our community. I implore everyone, on the Commission, to reconsider dividing our community. And just keep in mind, that dividing our community, would have less representation for both the members and the residents of our community. So, please, be aware that the
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changes, you make today, will affect our goals and other ideas we might have for the future. Thank you for your time. (Applause).

MR. HACKWORTH: Our next speaker is Gregory Guercin, to be followed by Dr. Bobby Tiwari.

MR. GUERCIN: Hello. My name is Gary
Guercin. I am just here, as a resident, to voice my opposition to the redistricting of my neighborhood. I have been a resident of Cambria Heights since 1986. We are a cohesive, small community. I see no true purpose in the redrawing of our district lines. I don't see what purpose it serves in dividing the community. I am here to implore that you reconsider this decision and not divide our community. That's all. (Applause).

MR. HACKWORTH: Our next speaker is Dr. Bobby Tiwari, to be followed by Clyde Vanel. DR. TIWARI: Honorable ladies and gentlemen of this distinguished Committee, staff and the administration of LaGuardia College, our college has been very close to me. Twenty-nine years ago, I was a security officer here. Today, I am a research scientist and M.D. (Applause). I am very proud to come back here today. Yes. As
many speakers have said, Richmond Hill -- first of all, let me say, America gave us a lot of things, but one thing is its freedom of expression and democracy. We must choose what is good for us. And we, in Richmond Hill, want a cohesive brotherhood/sisterhood relationship, and that cannot be attained by splitting us up. Our religious organization, our political organization, our economic organization all start in Richmond Hill. If you were to split us up, we will feel disempowered.

Where would that put us?
We are disenfranchised. It will leave us a very unhappy community.

And when people are unhappy, what happens? We have a ruckus in the society. We don't want that.

We, Richmond Hill, are very peaceful. Yes, there are spates of problems, as any society. But we must be pragmatic. We must not go in this view of redemarcation of the City lines or the borough lines in a blinking (sic) way. No. If we do that, we are becoming undemocratic, un-American. No. I am proud of America and I am proud of having this community to listen to us.
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All I am asking is, members of this honorable Committee, lean back, take a deep breath and think, if you divide us, what will happen to us?

You don't have to divide us. It's like you're eating steak outside and I am a vegetarian inside. No. We don't want that. We want to be in our community. I live in a system, formerly, the British divided and ruled us. And what I am see from this redemarcation, is that the potential meaning is to divide and rule us.

No. We are here as Americans. America has been great to us, to all my sisters and brothers here. We want a cohesive, united and a fair crack of the whip. And it only will come, by you members of this honorable Committee, to take that and say, Yes, let us give the Richmond Hill people their block and their share of the crack of the whip.

That's what I am asking you. Ladies and gentlemen of this honorable Committee, please don't have a blinker on your eyes. We are not on that race track (three-minute timer alarms). We are here, our sisters and brothers, all. Thank you, all, very much. (Applause).
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MR. HACKWORTH: Our next speaker is Clyde Vanel, to be followed by Robert and Stacey Myers. MR. VANEL: Good evening, Commissioners. Good evening, members of the audience. My name is Clyde Vanel. I am an attorney, a community advocate and a resident, a long-time resident, of Cambria Heights. Today, I am going to talk to you about making sure that we urge you to keep Cambria Heights together and contiguous.

Now, there are arguments that districting is based on the law, and the New York City Commission -- sorry. The New York City Charter. Under the New York City Charter, Section 52, Subsection 1C, it states: "The district lines shall be kept intact, neighborhoods and communities, with established ties of common interest and association, with a historical, racial, economic, ethnic, religious or other."

We urge that the community, the Cambria Heights community, stay together. In fact, we stand here together with many of the Cambria Heights residents, if the Cambria Heights residents will stand up. (Applause).

Now, very important for us is to -- we are here to urge that the Commission really consider

NEW YORK CITY DISTRICTING COMMISSION the proposed lines that were put in this proposal. On the proposed lines, the eastbound border is really drawn on 119th Avenue, in fact, where it used to be a straight line down 121st Avenue, to the top going, all the way down to Francis Lewis. We propose, that line, to be drawn back for that border, to be 121st Avenue.

All the homes to the east of 121st Avenue, that whole neighborhood is Cambria Heights. So there is no rhyme or reason for us to have that zigzag toward the end of that border of the district. So we urge you, we strongly urge the Commissioners to reconsider that border and to make the border, on the eastbound of the district, a straight line of 121st Avenue. Thank you. (Applause).

MR. HACKWORTH: Our next speaker is Stacey Myers, to be followed by Mazeola Uddin.

MS. MYERS: Yes. Good evening and I am glad to have the opportunity to speak with you. I am here to voice my opposition to the proposed redistricting of Cambria Heights. The community is an intact neighborhood with established ties of common interest and association. The geographic integrity of this community has been
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preserved by the July 27, 2005, New York City Department of City Planning's adoption of the Lower Density Zoning Initiative, which maintains the prevailing character of the neighborhood, and ensures that new residential development reflects the scale of the existing housing mix.

Likewise, the political integrity of this community must be preserved, by keeping the entire community within the 27th Council District, comprised of middle class, tax-paying homeowners, who have a vested interest and stake in the integrity of this community. Our current districting ensures fair and effective representation. This family-oriented community has worked hard to maintain its qualities of life and property values.

The proposed redistricting undermines our ability to hold one political official accountable, thereby, weakening our political effectiveness and, ultimately, compromising our community's health, safety and welfare. Clearly, the proposed redistricting plan draws an artificial line to separate an otherwise intact community and flies in the face of true democracy.

While the rationale for this proposed redistricting results from the 2010 Census, noting a population decrease, it's puzzling why there would be any discussion of splitting a community with fewer people into two council districts. It stands to reason that Cambria Heights is best served by one council member. As the New York City Districting Commission is charged with making recommendations regarding the proposed redistricting plan, we believe that it is in the best interest, of our community, to remain one district with one council member.

We believe that your rejection of the proposed redistricting plan, for Cambria Heights, best represents the residents' interests, as well as of those who share our values, interests and vision. Your support, of the Cambria Heights community, is most appreciated. (Applause). MR. HACKWORTH: Our next speaker is Mazeola Uddin, to be followed by Mary Vavruska. MS. UDDIN: Hello. Good evening Commissioners and all of my distinguished community members. My name is Mazeola Uddin. I am the Alliance of South Asian American Labor organization coordinator. Dear Commissioners,
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the Alliance of South Asian American Labor is a community-based organization founded and led by South-Asian-Americans, from the trade-unionized movement, who seek to share our experience in politics and leverage unions to create a more dynamic culture of civic participation in the wider South-Asian-American community.

We are a membership-based organization with chapters in Brooklyn, Bronx, Queens and Manhattan. We are very disappointed in the proposed council district map of Queens. The neighborhoods of Richmond Hill and South Ozone Park continue to be divided by multiple council districts and this is unacceptable.

This Commission heard from several community members, at the last hearing, on the importance of keeping this community united. However, it still proposed a map, which continues this unfair treatment of a largely immigrant and minority community. There is one here, in the South Ozone Park section of Queens, that has one of the densest concentrations of South-Asian-Americans in the country.

The community of interest must be united and a population will have its own district. It is
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time that this community is united and its interests better served in the City Council. We demand that you keep our community united and do your duties, under the Charter of the City of New York, to visit communities of interest. Thank you. (Applause).

MR. HACKWORTH: Our next speaker is Mary Vavruska, to be followed by Doris Bodine. MS. VAVRUSKA: Good evening, honorable members of the New York City Districting Commission. Thank you for coming to Queens County to hear our concerns. My name is Mary Vavruska. I reside at 34-10 94th Street, in Jackson Heights, Queens, in the Brulene co-op apartments, and I am president of the Brulene Cooperative.

Adjacent to the Brulene Cooperative is Southridge Section 1, 2, 3 and 4 cooperative apartments, and Northridge Section 1, 2 and 3 cooperative apartments. These cooperative apartments were developed, in the early 1950s, under the Section 13 Federal housing legislation. Throughout this half century, we have worked together on issues that affected our building maintenance and our quality of life.
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Our proudest achievement, in these years, is our NORC, Naturally Occurring Retirement Communities, established in 1999. It is significant that eight separate cooperative corporations worked to create this NORC and have supported it through service and financial commitments. This effort clearly establishes us as a community within the Jackson Heights community.

One of the objectives of redistricting is to assure that populations, with a commonality of interest, are located within the same council district. Our objective is to provide services, through NORC, for an aging population, so they can continue to enjoy the quality of life that our cooperative community provides.

We believe this can best be accomplished with all eight cooperatives being included in the 25th Council District. We ask that the 25th Council District include the Brulene Cooperative, Southridge Sections 1, 2, 3 and 4 cooperatives, and the Northridge 1, 2 and 3 cooperatives. Thank you, very much, for your attention. (Applause).

MR. HACKWORTH: Our next speaker is Doris

NEW YORK CITY DISTRICTING COMMISSION Bodine, to be followed by Thomas Ryan. MS. BODINE: Good evening, honorable members of the Commission. I am Doris Bodine and I am the treasurer of the Cambria Heights Civic Association. Our civic association is over 80 years old and we represent the Cambria Heights community, the contiguous ZIP code of 11411. We are a very active association, and we count on the support of our elected officials to make sure that the services, that we need, are delivered. And we have a very close and productive working relationship with those elected officials. So to split Cambria Heights, so that it's represented by two councilmen, would really be problematic, and it would cause a decline in the quality of life and the delivery of services.

One other thing I would like to mention is the 2005 rezoning of Cambria Heights. I worked on that committee, as a member of the civic, and I went to all of the meetings. We went through, block by block, just to make sure that we maintained the character of Cambria Heights. It's mostly R2A, one- and a few two-family homes, and we worked very well together, and it really is counterproductive to take that chunk out.
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I mean when I looked at the map, I thought, maybe, somebody released a little Pac-Man and took a little chunk out, because to see that little bite going to another district, really didn't make sense. So I would like to echo the sentiments of the other residents of Cambria Heights, that have come here, to oppose any redrawing of the district lines and leave it at 121st Avenue. Thank you, very much, for your time and attention. (Applause).

MR. HACKWORTH: Our next speaker is Thomas Ryan, to be followed by Dhanasar Singh.

MR. RYAN: Good evening, ladies and gentlemen of the Commission. My name is Tom Ryan. I am from Woodside, Queens. I represent the Northern Woodside Coalition. I am here to talk about Woodside, which is, basically, if you look at the colored map behind you, that little, green triangle between Districts 26 and 25. I am referring to, in my speech tonight, to that one little area. Basically, that area has been taken, from 26 to 22, going to the Astoria district.

And what disturbs me is, basically, according to your data here, in Astoria, the deviation is 15,000 people, almost nine percent. If you go to Zagat's or Time Out, Astoria is one of the happening places and a hotspot. The fact that we are going to be misrepresented from Woodside and going to Astoria is unacceptable. Basically, Woodside is a town. Everybody knows Woodside. It has its own ZIP code. It has its own Long Island Rail Road station. There are cemeteries, railroads, and, basically, it has an Irish pub, that everyone knows about.

We are ethnically and religiously diverse. We all get along with all the confidence of America. My problem, I think, is the Census data. We seen that before. To say that Astoria has gone down eight percent, 15,000 people, and Woodside has gone up, is sort of ridiculous. I would suggest, maybe, using United States Postal Service ZIP code information, also the housing information, to make a better determination.

Basically, what I am looking to do, is that little triangle of land, that separates 22, 26 and 25, that little, green smudge, I would like to have that area put back where it belongs. It's now in Council District 26. So I ask you to make a little tweak, of the map, and put us back
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where we belong. Thank you. (Applause).
MR. HACKWORTH: Our next speaker is Dhanasar Singh, to be followed by Ali Najmi.

MR. SINGH: My friends, let me thank all of us for being here this splendid evening, this particular day. I have come here and I have been hearing cries. I come so that these cries can no longer be. I come so that the deal can be sealed. When I hear that the world is being chopped, I totally am not in agreement. I do not like for our communities, which were standing tall, and doing very good, and remain wonderful, that it be chopped.

I represent, right now, the Richmond Hill/Ozone Park area. The maps, I ask that the fundamental does not become disturbed, lest there will be more and more cries. I ask that the members, whom are in charge, this Committee, that they do know the proper usage of the word. If you move on and make chops and cuts in it, you will hear cries. I come so that these cries can be stopped. Let us unite. Let us keep our community together and I ask for no more. Thank you. (Applause).

MR. HACKWORTH: Our next speaker is Ali
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Najmi, to be followed by Kelli Singleton.
MR. NAJMI: Thank you. My name is Ali Najmi. I am representing a community-based organization called SEVA. We are Queenswide with a particular interest in the Richmond Hill and South Ozone Park community. I want to echo the concerns, raised by everyone in the audience, for Richmond Hill and South Ozone Park, which is presently gerrymandered by four council districts. And under your proposed map, it continues to be gerrymandered by multiple council districts.

I want to remind you, that in the last hearing, in my testimony, I presented a question to you and to the audience, which was questioning, essentially, the Commission's integrity, independence, thoughtfulness, sincerity, and the commitment to the Charter, and the commitment to the community. I questioned, all these things, about the Commission.

And I left you saying that the true test, and the true measure, and the real answer to all these questions, would be best measured by how this Commission treats Richmond Hill and South Ozone Park. And under your proposed plan,
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unfortunately, we have the answer. The answer is you are completely insincere. You could care less about the community. You may or may not understand the Charter, and, frankly, you don't give a damn about Richmond Hill and South Ozone Park, and it's a failure of you in your duties.

I urge you to do what we are asking. It's very possible. There are 160,000 people in this area. The boundaries are natural boundaries. Many of them have already been suggested to you. You know what they are. It's very detailed and you still didn't do it. You still didn't do it.

Do we have to have the same dog-and-pony show at the third hearing?

I hope not. (Applause).
MR. HACKWORTH: Our next speaker is Kelli Singleton, to be followed by Beverly Eugene.

MS. SINGLETON: Good evening, everyone, members of the Commission, and all guests. My name is Kelli Singleton, as was stated. I am the immediate, past president and chairman of the board of the Cambria Heights Civic Association. As you've heard my colleagues say, we are one of the oldest and most active civic associations in the nation, with 80 years of existence and over

500 members, many of whom are here this evening. I wrote a letter, on behalf of the civic association, addressed to Mr. Benito Romano. I have four copies of the letter, here, which I will pass to you, after expressing our sentiment as regarding the districting proposal for Councils 27 and 31, within Cambria Heights, and it reads as follows:
"The purpose, of the letter, is to provide our response to your proposal to alter council district boundaries within Cambria Heights. Our community continues to face many challenges pertaining to zoning and the illegal usage of land. While we have successfully managed to maintain the low-density character of the community," as you've heard earlier, "this was through the help of our local elected officials."
"We are still quite concerned about the possibility of us not receiving fair representation, regarding these and other matters, should our district lines be separated. We are stronger together than we are apart."
"It is for this reason, that our civic association is strongly opposed to the proposal, that has been presented by the Districting
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Commission, to expand sections of Cambria Heights into District 31. As a valued member of the Queens Civic Congress, we are in agreement with their proposal to keep the district lines drawn as is for the Cambria Heights community."
"As an alternative, however, we are amenable to having the lines redrawn to expand District Council 27 across Francis Lewis Boulevard, so that our fellow, Cambria Heights, in that vicinity, can be joined with the rest of the community. We thank you for your consideration regarding this important matter." Thank you. (Applause).

MR. HACKWORTH: Our next speaker is Beverly Eugene, to be followed by Murray Berger.

MS. EUGENE: Good evening. First of all, I want to thank you for allowing me some time to speak. My name is Beverly Eugene, and I live in the community of Cambria Heights, and have been living there since 1973. I am speaking on behalf, and raising my voice with, the rest of your audience. I, personally, am offended and oppose, venomously, as well as the rest of my community in Cambria Heights, this division.

I heard, a long time ago, there was a

NEW YORK CITY DISTRICTING COMMISSION
saying, Together we stand. Divided we fall. I don't know if anybody, on this panel, ever heard that. But let me tell you why I moved to Cambria Heights, and why I object, venomously, to this splitting of the districts.

Okay?
On television, in 1973, it said, "Strong, stable" -- I am using the words verbatim -"stable, black community."

In Africa, as a black, African woman, we have a saying, and there's a bird called the sankofa bird. You have to know where you came from to know where you are going. Cambria Heights, to me and to my Cambria Heights neighbors and family, represents security, family. That's what it means to me.

I am 70 years old. My children are 52. They have gone to school there. They played. There's a sense of pride. We take care of our community and we don't want the divide.

What good is it and what motive is that?
What is the reason?
What is the underlying reason?
What good will it serve?
If something is stable, and safe, and
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secure, and family, and pride, how can you change that?

How will your change -- how will your change really affect it?

I'm sorry, gentlemen, please, no pun intended, I'm not trying to insult anybody, but it's not politically correct. I smell a rat. Not a mouse. (Laughter). I object and I am going to tell you right now -- (applause) -- I will join -- I will join forces and I will not go down on my knees. I will stand on my feet, because I have enjoyed living with my neighbors and my family. I don't see to seek change, because it can't change, and be any better or more beautiful, than it is.

And so I ask you to ponder, look within yourselves, ask yourselves -- (three-minute timer alarms) -- why?

What is the real reason?
Why?
Maybe you need to address that?
But I am going to relinquish this mic, right now, okay, just to let you know I exemplify everybody in this room. (Applause). Everybody. I will thank you, Richmond, to my last. I will
walk the streets. I will do whatever it takes, so that we can remain in our communities that we are happy in.

Is that too much to ask?
Ask yourself, would you like to remain in yours?

Would you like a lot of change, and differences, and dividing, and divisions?

You have to know, okay, what this means to us. We take pride. And if anybody hasn't really walked through Cambria Heights, since 1973, it's 39 years for me, it's beautiful and peaceful. (Applause).

MR. HACKWORTH: Thank you.
MS. EUGENE: We pay taxes.
CHAIRMAN ROMANO: Thank you.
MS. EUGENE: Thank you, very much.
(Applause).
CHAIRMAN ROMANO: Thank you.
MR. HACKWORTH: Our next speaker is Gerry Duke, to be followed by Murray Berger.

Do we have a Gerry Duke?
Murray Berger?
Murray Berger, to be followed by Kendra Pearson.
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MS. BURGER: Good evening, gentlemen and ladies on the Commission. I think I am in the right auditorium. When Dominick Pistone, the president of the Kew Gardens Civic Association and I, appeared at the first hearing, it was to ask you to correct a longstanding wrong, where a tip of Kew Gardens, the southwestern tip, which Commissioner Ognibene must be familiar with, has long been separated from the bulk of Kew Gardens. I am here tonight, unlike some of my colleagues here, to say thank you for listening and for correcting that minor wrong. For the first time, in my memory, and I thank you very much, for over 50 years, we have had one council. We will have one council person representing all of Kew Gardens. It's very fitting, because for the first time, we also have one Congressperson representing Kew Gardens. We are still honored by three Senators and two assemblymen.

But I am here to thank you and to hope that, as you fine-tune the rest of the map, for the rest of the borough, that you keep Kew Gardens intact and rectify some of the problems that have been raised here tonight. Thank you again.
(Applause).
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MR. HACKWORTH: Our next speaker is Kendra Pearson, to be followed by our last registered speaker, Eric Curry, Sr.

MS. PEARSON: Good evening. I just received the notice, in the mailbox, today, in the afternoon. I happy to be a nurse that works at nighttime. So when you guys are sleeping, in your beds, I am just coming home.

The reason why I am here, is to just let you know, that if you do divide Cambria Heights, we are -- currently, there's a fight for the land. Things are being done illegally in our area, and if you separate us, we cannot fight cohesively in our community like we are supposed to.

Okay?
Another thing is, if you move us in the district that you want us to, we will not be represented properly. We already have a district person that is representing us properly. To that effect, in addition to what Mother Beverly said over there, okay, there is always a hidden agenda somewhere.

Okay?
We are just asking to keep Cambria Heights together. Otherwise, we will have to object to
all the divisions that you are trying to make in Cambria Heights. Good evening. (Applause). MS. BRAGG: Excuse me, the boundary you are requesting is to be back to 121st Street?

Is that the only boundary?
I heard someone say Francis Lewis Boulevard. What is the request?

MS. PEARSON: The request is just to keep Cambria Heights together. You are trying to divide it into two.

MS. BRAGG: I understand that.
You want to put the border back to 121st Street?

MS. PEARSON: Yes, I believe, put the border back the way it was.

MS. BRAGG: Thank you. (Applause).
MR. HACKWORTH: Our last registered speaker, tonight, is Eric Curry, Sr.

MR. CURRY: Good evening, everyone. Just like this young lady (indicating), I just received a letter from my daughter's school today.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: Put the mic up.
MR. CURRY: That's not a problem. I don't have a problem with speaking up. I just received
a letter, from my daughter's school, today, and had I not received this letter, I would have never known about this meeting. I am a homeowner, in Cambria Heights, for 45 years, and I am not pleased with this decision as to whatever zoning you all plan to do.

And my question is: Who is it going to benefit?

We already know who it is going to affect. 121st, we all know who is over there and what that divides. We are not having it. I am telling you, right here, right now, I am representing those, who is not speaking with the pen (indicating).

Okay?
So you all made your decisions. We are going to do what we got to do and that's all I have to say. (Applause).

MR. HACKWORTH: There are no additional registered speakers.

CHAIRMAN ROMANO: We appear to have heard from everyone who preregistered.

Is there anyone else, who would like to address the Commission, that can register now or just address the Commission?
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With the lights, I may not be able to see you. Just come up to the mic, and you will have your three minutes.

In that case, I want to thank everyone for coming tonight. I can assure you, we are hearing what you are saying, and we will take it into consideration as we proceed. Thank you, very much. We stand adjourned.
(Whereupon, at 8:13 p.m., the above matter was concluded.)

I, JOSHUA B. EDWARDS, a Notary Public for and within the State of New York, do hereby certify that the above is a correct transcription of my stenographic notes.
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