Dear Commissioner:

I understand from the meeting that thoughts are for Rochdale Village to be broken up in two components in districting. In my opinion, Rochdale Village was built as a whole and all should be put into one district. You wouldn't want to move hot water in one area and cold in another, they both have a purpose and work together. No matter what building Cooperators live, they are all neighbors. That is like putting a boundary line as if one side is in another country and creating another. I know this all comes down to the census that was completed. You must look at the value of the people who live in Rochdale Village. Rochdale Village is its own community, and a city within itself for which it stands. By splitting Rochdale into two components, how will problems be addressed being in two separate districts. A problem could be solved in one district, but what about the other. All problems occur in Rochdale as one unit and enterprise. What Politician would happen what within these two districts. Rochdale is not Rosedale, Cameria Heights and Laurelton and the list goes on. Rochdale is one unit and will always be one unit. Having Rochdale as two districts only leads questions of why? What sense does that make? To me, it is like putting a restriction on who does what and how the district will be supported. Rochdale has been here since 1964 and that is when I moved in and still their present. Who in their right mind thought about breaking up Rochdale into two districts? Would you break up the United States Map into District 1 and 2. All the states serve a purpose. Rochdale serves the
community within, and there needs to be a better strategy on districting Rochdale into two entities of 2 districts. Think about what I said because I see a deprive within the Rochdale community and we deserve better than that. Thanks Anthony, Rochdale Village Cooperator