



Date:	4/19/2016
LPC Docket #:	17-7985
LPC Action:	Approved
Action required by other agencies:	DOB
Permit Type:	CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS

Address: 11 Fifth Avenue, aka 1-11 East 8th Street, 2-10 East 9th Street

Borough: Manhattan

Block: 566 **Lot:** 1

Historic District: Greenwich Village Historic District

Description: An apartment house built in 1953. Application is to replace cladding and storefront infill and install signage.

COMMISSION FINDINGS

The Commission NOTED that the building's style, scale, materials and details contribute to the architectural and historic character of the Greenwich Village Historic District.

Pursuant to Section 25-307 of the Administrative Code of the City of New York, the Commission APPROVED the proposal, finding:

- that the replacement of the existing storefront infill, signage and granite cladding will not eliminate or conceal any significant historic architectural features;
- that the proposed storefront infill will closely recall the original storefronts, added shortly after the building was constructed, in terms of their configuration, proportions, details, and use of silver anodized aluminum framing;
- that the incorporation of granite cladding at the storefront bulkheads and piers at the eastern storefronts will utilize a material originally used at the base of the building, adjoining these storefronts, and will maintain a unified composition in keeping with the character of the building;
- that the expansion of the granite cladding, surrounding the the western storefront, will not alter the basic character of this framing element and will maintain a harmonious proportional relationship with the storefront and façade;
- that the signage panels will be well scaled to the signband and typical in terms of placement and materials;
- that the silver anodized finish of the panels will match the silver anodized finish of the storefront framing elements and is compatible with the age and style of the building;
- that these storefronts featured illuminated signage when they were first added to the building, therefore the presence of illuminated signage will be in keeping with the signage types at these storefronts at the time of their construction or shortly after;
- that the acrylic letters with opaque vinyl coated faces will only be illuminated at the sides of the letters, creating a halo-lit effect;
- that the limited level of uncoated acrylic and illumination at the sides of the letters, which will project no more than 1/4", will be consistent in character with the variety of illuminated signage found at storefronts at the bases of nearby large apartment buildings; and
- that the cumulative effect of the size and quantity of the illuminated signage will not overwhelm the building or streetscape.

VOTE:

Present: Meenakshi Srinivasan, Adi Shamir-Baron, Frederick Bland, Diana Chapin, Wellington Chen, Michael Devonshire, Michael Goldblum, John Gustafsson, Kim Vauss

9-0-0

In Favor = M.Srinivasan, A.Shamir-Baron, F.Bland, D.Chapin, W.Chen, M.Devonshire, M.Goldblum, J.Gustafsson, K.Vauss
Oppose =
Abstain =
Recuse =

Please note that these "Commission Findings" are a summary of the findings related to the application. This is NOT a permit or approval to commence any work. No work may occur until the Commission has issued a Certificate of Appropriateness, which requires review and approval of Department of Buildings filing drawings and/or other construction drawings related to the approved work. In addition, no work may occur until the work has been reviewed and approved by other City agencies, such as the Department of Buildings, as required by law