

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: DECEMBER 15, 2009

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: BATTERY PARK CITY

COMMITTEE VOTE:	8 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC MEMBER VOTE:	1 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	37 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: Governor Paterson's proposal to transfer \$250 million from Battery Park City Authority to the State's General Fund to help cover this year's State budget shortfall

WHEREAS: The current economic crisis has resulted in projected budget deficits for the State of New York, and

WHEREAS: On November 29, 2009, Governor Paterson announced his intention to seek to transfer up to \$250 million from the Battery Park City Authority to the State's General Fund, and

WHEREAS: In the normal course, any excess of Battery Park City Authority revenue over expenses is either remitted to the city of New York or spent by the authority for purposes such as affordable housing as agreed with the City of New York, and

WHEREAS: Diversion of Battery Park City Authority funds to the State's General Fund would therefore deprive the City of New York of funding at a time when it is suffering from its own serious budget deficit and potentially deprive the Battery Park City Authority of funding necessary for the proper operation and maintenance of facilities within Battery Park City and the provision of needed affordable housing, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: Although Community Board #1 commends the Governor for his efforts to address the serious budget crisis facing the State of New York, this Board believes that it is not advisable to attempt to close one budget gap by risking, creating or exacerbating budget problems for other public entities, and

BE IT

FURTHER

RESOLVED

THAT: CB#1 therefore opposes the Governor's proposal to transfer funding from the Battery Park City Authority to the State's General Fund, and urges the Battery Park City Authority, and the Mayor and Comptroller of the City of New York to reject such proposal.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: DECEMBER 15, 2009

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: BATTERY PARK CITY

COMMITTEE VOTE:	8 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC MEMBER VOTE:	0 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	37 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: Request to NotifyNYC to send alerts to all residents of Lower Manhattan regarding events affecting West Street

WHEREAS: On Saturday, November 28, 2009, West Street was closed down for several hours due to falling glass from the Goldman Sachs building on West Street between Vesey Street and Murray Street, and

WHEREAS: Residents as close as southern Battery Park City were not included as recipients in the NotifyNYC advisory notification, and

WHEREAS: Any closure of West Street affects all those who reside in Manhattan, especially those who live in any part of Battery Park City, and

WHEREAS: Significant police-events that occur without any accompanying notification can be extremely alarming to residents, and

WHEREAS: Community Board #1 acknowledges that NotifyNYC is still an evolving program, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 respectfully requests that NotifyNYC include all areas of Lower Manhattan in future notices sent regarding closures of West Street, construction accidents, and the significant presence of the police or fire departments downtown.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: DECEMBER 15, 2009

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LANDMARKS

COMMITTEE VOTE:	6 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC MEMBER VOTE:	0 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	35 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: 60 Pine Street, application by Down Town Association for roof top addition

WHEREAS: The Down Town Association was the first club formed in Lower Manhattan, it has remained in the original Romanesque Revival Clubhouse designed by CC Haight which opened in May 1887, it is an individual New York City Landmark, and

WHEREAS: In 1902 a major renovation converted the original Victorian interiors into Edwardian, with a partial sixth floor containing a laundry, staff quarters being added to the five storey structure, and

WHEREAS: In 1910, Charles Wetmore designed an addition – sympathetic to the original exterior - added dining rooms as well as a magnificent Jacobean-style paneled Reading Room, and

WHEREAS: The application is being made to help keep the Club in business, enhance it's offer to an increasingly residential areas as well as add 10 Local 6 jobs, and

WHEREAS: The application, if approved, will add 41 bedrooms, 2 squash courts, a new HVAC system incorporated in a four storey (on Cedar, three story on Pine) 115' roof addition, two new internal fire escape egress stair cases existing on Cedar Street and

WHEREAS: The application if approved will remove all window HVAC units, the fire escape on Cedar Street, and

WHEREAS: The addition is set back 24' on Pine and 9'to 12' on Cedar, but will be slightly visible from Pine and Cedar and most visible from the corner of the Chase Manhattan Plaza, and

WHEREAS: The Committee felt the minor visibility on Pine actually enhanced the integration of the roof dormers between the Haight and Wetmore designs, and

WHEREAS: The Committee was more troubled by the visibility of the roof for the squash courts on Cedar Street – particularly since the design called for a TERNE II steel finish – rather than a more appropriate copper, freedom cooper or zinc material, and

WHEREAS: The Committee noted the additional cost of using copper rather than TERNE II was \$100,000, which it strongly felt should be allocated by value –engineering other aspects of the project budget, and

WHEREAS: The Committee reviewed the digital rendering of the additions visibility as there was no mock up to see as of the meeting - these were acceptable, and

WHEREAS: The Committee asked that the in wall HVAC grilles on the firth floor of Pine Street be removed and the brick work carefully matched to the original -which the applicant agreed to consider when the HVAC plans were prepared, and

WHEREAS: The Committee asked that the pipe roof railings be replaced with simple picket railings, which the applicant agreed to do, and

WHEREAS: The Committee was told that LPC was considering the zoning issues – the architect said they did not consider there to be any zoning issues, and

WHEREAS: The Committee noted that the Mayors office was reviewing the ADA compliance By installing an elevator on Cedar Street – which the Committee agreed was the best solution, and

WHEREAS: The Committee commended the architect for an excellent design solution and thorough presentation, and

WHEREAS: The Committee wanted to make a site visit once the mock up was in place, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB#1 recommends the Landmark Preservation Commission approve the application with the condition that copper is used in the design.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: DECEMBER 15, 2009

BOARD VOTE: 38 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: MTA proposal to cease the provision of free MetroCards to students and to eliminate door-to-door Access-A-Ride service for seniors and the disabled

WHEREAS: Free and discounted rides have been provided to New York City public school students for over sixty years, and

WHEREAS: The MTA has recently proposed to reduce their subsidies for student fares by half in September 2010 and eliminate Student MetroCards entirely by September 2011, and

WHEREAS: The elimination of Student MetroCards would dramatically affect the ability of children to attend schools out of district, including gifted and other special programs, and

WHEREAS: The elimination of student subsidized transportation could result in an increase in bussing costs for the Department of Education, and

WHEREAS: Door-to-door Access-a-Ride service is essential for seniors and disabled given the significant number of stations around the city, which are not accessible for the disabled, and

WHEREAS: The MTA has proposed reductions of Access-A-Ride service based on the bare minimum Federal requirements to carry seniors and the disabled only to the closest handicap-accessible station, and

WHEREAS: The Access-A-Ride service in its reduced form would greatly impair the travel of seniors and the disabled, particularly in light of proposed station closures and cuts in service to downtown Manhattan, and

WHEREAS: All MTA employees receive free unlimited MetroCards, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board One opposes the MTA's proposal to cut its Student MetroCard program and reduce its Access-A-Ride service.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: DECEMBER 15, 2009

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: PLANNING AND COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE

COMMITTEE VOTE: 6 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused
PUBLIC MEMBERS: 1 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 29 In Favor 1 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: Residential Streetscape Preservation Text Amendment

WHEREAS: The New York City Department of City Planning has proposed certain text amendments to the Zoning Resolution for the purpose of clarifying streetscape regulations in residential neighborhoods, and

WHEREAS: The goals of the proposed text amendments are to maintain lively retail streets, minimizing pedestrian and vehicular conflicts, and having continuous sidewalks, and

WHEREAS: The proposed text amendments would enhance the pedestrian environment, maintain continuous and safe sidewalks, preserve on-street parking, and

WHEREAS: Some of the proposed text amendments have limited applicability in CB1, Manhattan, applying only to C6-2A and R8 Districts, and most of the text amendments apply to low-density residential neighborhoods, and

WHEREAS: A representative of the NYC Department of City Planning presented and discussed these proposed changes to the satisfaction of the Planning and Community Infrastructure Committee, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB#1 recommends the approval of these proposed text amendments, and makes the following recommendations:
(1) the proposed text change to ZR 13-551 be amended in such a way that finding (d) read as: “the parking spaces will not unduly inhibit pedestrian movements” and
(2) the proposed text change to ZR 13-553 be amended in such a way that finding (d) include discussion that curb cuts also will not interfere with the efficient functioning of bicycle lanes.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: DECEMBER 15, 2009

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: PLANNING AND COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE

COMMITTEE VOTE: 6 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused
PUBLIC MEMBERS: 1 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 30 In Favor 1 Opposed 0 Abstained 1 Recused

RE: Edgar Street Plaza Park

WHEREAS: The Alliance for Downtown New York (the “Downtown Alliance”) has submitted an application to the NYC Department of Transportation (“NYCDOT”), under the DOT Plaza Program, to engage in a project to improve and enhance an existing traffic median at Edgar Street in Lower Manhattan, and

WHEREAS: The Edgar Street Plaza is currently an uninviting and underutilized public space, and

WHEREAS: The Greenwich South neighborhood in which Edgar Street Plaza is situated has no existing green space, and

WHEREAS: The Downtown Alliance, through its application under the DOT Plaza Program seeks to create a green space in Greenwich South that would materially improve the area, and

WHEREAS: With the opening in September 2010 of PS/IS 276 in Battery Park City, the Edgar Street Plaza area will see much increased pedestrian traffic of school-aged children, and

WHEREAS: CB1 has previously submitted a letter of support in connection with the Downtown Alliance’s application under the DOT Plaza Program, which application remains pending today, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB1 renews its support for the Downtown Alliance’s application under the DOT Plaza Program, and urges NYCDOT to accept this application.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: DECEMBER 15, 2009

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: SEAPORT/CIVIC CENTER

COMMITTEE VOTE:	6 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC MEMBER VOTE:	0 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	29 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: 142-144 Beekman Street, application for renewal of unenclosed sidewalk cafe for Salud Restaurant and Bar

WHEREAS: The applicant has applied for an renewal of an unenclosed sidewalk cafe license for 10 tables and 20 seats, and

WHEREAS: The applicant has agreed to operate the sidewalk café no later than midnight on weekdays and 1:00 am on weekends, and

WHEREAS: The applicant agrees to maintain 8 feet of sidewalk clearance, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 approves the application for renewal of an unenclosed sidewalk café license for Salud Restaurant at 142-144 Beekman Street, for a period of two years subject to compliance by the applicant with the limitations and conditions set forth above.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: DECEMBER 15, 2009

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA

COMMITTEE VOTE:	7 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC MEMBER VOTE:	2 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	34 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: Street Activity Permit Application on Saturday, May 15, 2010 for the Taste of Tribeca, Greenwich Street between Reade Street and Jay Street and Duane Street between Greenwich Street and Hudson Streets

WHEREAS: The applicant has applied for a street activity permit for Saturday, May 15, 2010 for an event on Greenwich Street between Reade Street and Jay Street and Duane Street between Greenwich Street and Hudson Streets, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 does not oppose the proposed street activity permit submitted by the Taste of Tribeca Inc. for Saturday, May 15, 2010. Closure of street during the hours of 6 AM to 6 PM; event will take place during the hours of 11:30 AM to 3:00 PM, subject to the following conditions:

1. The Lower Manhattan Construction Command Center reviews the application and determines that it is compatible with nearby construction activity that is expected to be simultaneously underway.
2. Traffic control agents are deployed as needed to ensure that there is no significant adverse impact from the event on traffic flow
3. Clean-up will be coordinated with the appropriate City agencies.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: DECEMBER 15, 2009

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA

COMMITTEE VOTE:	8 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC MEMBER VOTE:	1 In Favor	0 Opposed	1 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	34 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: 114 Franklin Street, application for liquor license for Cocktail Despirito LLC

WHEREAS: The applicant, Cocktail Despirito LLC, is applying for a liquor license, and

WHEREAS: The establishment is a restaurant, and

WHEREAS: The total square footage of the establishment is 2,000 square feet with a public assembly capacity of 140 and the number of tables is 12 with 40 seats and 8 bar seats, and

WHEREAS: The applicant agreed to open from 4:00 pm to 1:00 am on weekdays and 4:00 pm to 2:00 am on weekends with the right to return after six months to seek a closure time of 2:00 am on weekdays and 3:00 am on weekends, and

WHEREAS: There will be live non-amplified and recorded background music only and the applicant agreed not to place speakers in the ceiling, and

WHEREAS: The applicant agreed to soundproof the back windows and skylight, and

WHEREAS: The applicant stated that they will not apply for a sidewalk café license, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 does not oppose the grant of a liquor license for Cocktail Despirito at 114 Franklin Street

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: DECEMBER 15, 2009

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: WTC REDEVELOPMENT

COMMITTEE VOTE:	6 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC MEMBER VOTE:	0 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	36 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: Continuation of the New York City Council Committee on Lower Manhattan Redevelopment

WHEREAS: The New York City Council Committee on Lower Manhattan Redevelopment is currently chaired by outgoing City Council Member Alan Gerson, and

WHEREAS: The committee has held oversight hearings on issues related to the financing of World Trade Center reconstruction; progress of ongoing construction at the site; pedestrian circulation and security issues; major health concerns resulting from the attacks of 9/11; and notification about emergencies, among other important topics, and

WHEREAS: Essential aspects of a number of key projects have not yet been resolved, including the funding and location for the Performing Arts Center, the location of a facility for tour buses that will bring visitors to the 9/11 Memorial and Museum, the financing for the World Trade Center towers, the passage of the 9/11 Health Act, and security for the trials of those accused of planning the 9/11 terrorist attacks, and

WHEREAS: The hearing on the effect of the recession on the redevelopment of Lower Manhattan that was deferred yesterday and will need to be rescheduled for 2010 is an example of the ongoing need for the committee; and

WHEREAS: The Lower Manhattan Redevelopment Committee has provided a public forum in which progress on the World Trade Center and other important sites can be publicly reviewed and assessed by the Chair and other members of the Committee, after hearing from officials of agencies involved with key projects and concerned members of the public, and

WHEREAS: Margaret Chin, the Council Member-elect for the 1st District, would be in a position to continue the work of this committee and use it to monitor and encourage progress on Lower Manhattan redevelopment, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 strongly supports the continuation of the New York City Council Lower Manhattan Redevelopment Committee through the upcoming 2010 session of the New York City Council, and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED

THAT: CB1 commends Council Member Gerson for his leadership as Chairman of the Lower Manhattan Redevelopment Committee and believes that Margaret Chin would effectively and ably continue this work if she were appointed to this position.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: DECEMBER 15, 2009

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: WTC REDEVELOPMENT

COMMITTEE VOTE:	6 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC MEMBER VOTE:	0 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	36 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: Support for the Continuation of the Lower Manhattan Construction Command Center

WHEREAS: By resolution dated June 17, 2003, CB#1 unanimously approved the Coordinated Construction Act for Lower Manhattan to coordinate and facilitate construction, reduce costs, and promote safety and fairness in connection with the rebuilding of Lower Manhattan following the terrorists attacks on the World Trade Center on September 11, 2001, and

WHEREAS: The Lower Manhattan Construction Command Center (LMCCC) was established by Governor Pataki and Mayor Bloomberg in 2004 by joint Executive Order No. 133 and No. 53, respectively, on November 22, 2004 and continued by Governor Paterson in March 2008, and

WHEREAS: By resolution dated June 19, 2007, CB#1 unanimously supported the continuation of the LMCCC in its current form under the Executive order since it provides independent oversight of the massive rebuilding efforts and helps to keep Lower Manhattan livable on a day-to-day basis, and

WHEREAS: The LMCCC is responsible for overseeing construction in Lower Manhattan including the redevelopment of the World Trade Center site, the Calatrava PATH Station, the Fulton Transit Center, and the construction of the 75-story Beekman Tower, and the demolition of 130 Liberty Street, and

WHEREAS: Over the past five years, LMCCC has assumed a vital role and demonstrated continued leadership, which is essential to assure independent oversight by working with numerous agencies at the Federal (FTA, OSHA and EPA), New York State (MTA, PANYNJ, BPCA, NYSDOT, DASNY, DOL, and HRPT) and New York City (OEM, NYPD, LMDC, DDC, DOT, DEP, CME, and DOB) levels, authorities, utilities, project sponsors and private sector developers to provide a consistent forum in which the agencies can communicate with each others and with the community, including CB#1, and

WHEREAS: LMCCC has created the Construction Permit Enforcement Taskforce (CPET) to coordinate with the City agencies (CDOT, NYPD, DOB, DEP, DOS, etc.) that issue and enforce permits for the use of public space for construction, parades or special events to mitigate traffic, maintain mobility, ensure proper enforcement and prevent agencies from working at cross purposes, and

WHEREAS: LMCCC also oversees environmental performance commitments of numerous projects including dust suppression, monitoring for PM 2.5 and PM10, limitation of idling, and enforcing the diesel retrofitting program to minimize health impact on the surrounding areas, as well as related efforts to minimize noise and vibration impacts, and

WHEREAS: The LMCCC has been and continues to be critical in the protection of the quality of life for people living and working in Lower Manhattan, and

WHEREAS: The Joint Executive Order by Governor Pataki and Mayor Bloomberg, which established the LMCCC is set to expire on December 31, 2010, and

WHEREAS: The schedules for numerous major public and private construction projects in Lower Manhattan have been extended well beyond the life of the Joint Executive Order, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB#1 continues to strongly support the LMCCC in its coordination of construction projects in lower Manhattan and urges Governor Paterson and Mayor Bloomberg to reissue a Joint Executive Order for the continuation of the LMCCC for an additional five years, or until a substantial majority of the construction activity in Lower Manhattan is completed.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: DECEMBER 15, 2009

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: YOUTH & EDUCATION

COMMITTEE VOTE:	6 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC MEMBER VOTE:	2 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	38 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: The Community Education Council's (CEC) proposals for temporary zoning in Lower Manhattan

WHEREAS: The Department of Education (DOE) intends to rezone Lower Manhattan schools prior to the start of the new school year in September 2010, and

WHEREAS: The rezoning will determine which students will be guaranteed seats in which of four Lower Manhattan schools – PS 89, PS 234, PS 276 and the Spruce Street School, and

WHEREAS: The rezoning will have effect for one year to allow time for the creation and implementation of a longer-term rezoning plan in order to avoid ad hoc measures such as the lotteries that were used last year to determine placements in PS 234, and

WHEREAS: The Community Education Council (CEC) intends to make a recommendation to the DOE in January 2010 about one of two alternative rezoning proposals that have already been put forward, and

WHEREAS: The first option generally divides the area between Canal Street and Chambers/Warren Streets east of West Street into two zones, with those students living south of Chambers or Warren Street zoned for Spruce Street school and those to the north zoned for PS 234, and

WHEREAS: The plan also establishes zones for PS 276 and PS 89 which are apparently the same in both plans, and

WHEREAS: Option Two eliminates a potential problem created by dividing one building with two addresses – 275 and 295 Greenwich Street – between two zones, with children living in one address zoned for PS 234 and children in the other zoned for PS 397, and

WHEREAS: PS 276 will open with 6th grade seats, and

WHEREAS: Those 5th graders who live in the catchment zone will be guaranteed a 6th grade seat, and

WHEREAS: There continues to be a need for 6th grade seats for CB#1 residents who do not live in the 276 catchment zone, and

WHEREAS: CB1 believes that the travel time for students would be minimized in Option Two, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB1 recommends that the CEC vote in favor of Option Two, and

BE IT

FURTHER

RESOLVED

THAT: CB1 5th grade graduates who do not live in the 276 zone be given preference for any "empty" 6th grade seats.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: DECEMBER 15, 2009

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: YOUTH & EDUCATION

COMMITTEE VOTE:	6 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC MEMBER VOTE:	1 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	36 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: Cigar store located at 75 Broad Street, adjacent to Millennium High School

WHEREAS: There is a cigar store located at 75 Broad Street in a retail space that is adjacent to the multi-purpose room at Millennium High School which is also located in the building, and

WHEREAS: Students at Millennium High School and their parents have complained that odors and fumes from the store have exacerbated asthma and other respiratory conditions and raised concern about possible impacts from these fumes to the health of students, faculty and staff, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB1 requests that the Department of Environmental Protection and Department of Health and Mental Hygiene inspect the multi-purpose room to ensure that there is no significant health risks to Millennium High School students, faculty and staff from the odors and fumes, and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED

THAT: CB1 requests that these agencies report back to the Department of Education, Millennium High School, and CB1 with their findings.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: DECEMBER 15, 2009

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: YOUTH & EDUCATION

COMMITTEE VOTE:	6 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC MEMBER VOTE:	2 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	36 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: Millennium High School Gym

WHEREAS: Community Board One believes that a gymnasium is an essential part of any high school, and

WHEREAS: Millennium High School has lacked a gymnasium since it opened in 2003, and

WHEREAS: Allocations of approximately \$2.25 million have been allocated to Millennium High School by Assembly Speaker Sheldon Silver, Council Member Alan J. Gerson and the City of New York for the construction of a gymnasium, and

WHEREAS: The administration of Millennium High School has located various sites for a gymnasium, including offsite sites which have been rejected by the Department of Education and a space on the 34th floor of its building at 75 Broad Street which has been deemed unsafe by the Fire Department, and

WHEREAS: CB1 believes that that the gymnasium should be located in the school if at all possible to cut down on travel time for students which would be better spend in classes, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB1 supports the use of the space on the 34th floor of 75 Broad Street and requests that the Fire Department work with the school to ensure that the space will be safe and suitable for use by the students and community residents.