

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: OCTOBER 23, 2012

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: BATTERY PARK CITY

COMMITTEE VOTE:	6 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC MEMBERS:	2 In Favor	1 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	Withdrawn			

RE: BPC Community Center

WHEREAS: The Battery Park City Authority (BPCA) has constructed 50,000 square feet of indoor recreation and community space on Sites 23 and 24 in Battery Park City, and

WHEREAS: This Community Center and the Ballfields have been envisioned since February, 2001 when CB#1, the BPCA and our elected officials signed a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU), with CB#1 being an active participant in the development and planning of these community facilities, and

WHEREAS: In late 2008, the BPCA decided to hire an outside organization to operate the Community Center, not including the Ballfields generating an RFP, and

WHEREAS: The BPCA invited members of CB#1 to review the two responses to the RFP in February, 2009. The YMCA of Greater New York and Asphalt Green were the only two to answer the RFP, and

WHEREAS: Asphalt Green was selected as the operator and construction began on the center based on input from CB#1 with a target opening of November, 2011, and

WHEREAS: Since an outside operator would now be in place, CB#1 decided that the existing 2001 MOU needed to be revised to account for this change and ensure that this valuable community asset would be administered in the public interest, and

WHEREAS: This revised MOU has been presented and discussed with the CEO of BPCA but is still not signed, and

WHEREAS: It is now a year later and the center is not open and no clear date or process to open it has been announced, and

WHEREAS: The BPCA has provide little transparency and community outreach regarding the delayed opening or the myriad of inspections necessary, and

WHEREAS: The BPCA has now also commented that the contract between Asphalt Green and themselves is being reviewed, and

WHEREAS: The community has waited too long and worked too hard to tolerate further delays, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB#1 calls upon the BCPA to expedite the opening of the BPC community center immediately; and

BE IT

FURTHER

RESOLVED

THAT: CB#1 urges the BPCA and Asphalt Green to conduct themselves in a manner that provides greater transparency in regards to all planning, negotiating, and operational matters related to the community center; and

BE IT

FURTHER

RESOLVED

THAT: CB#1 calls on the BPCA to work closely and expeditiously to reconcile the existing February, 2001 Memorandum of Understanding to reflect the changes that have taken place since that time.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: OCTOBER 23, 2012

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

COMMITTEE VOTE: 7 In Favor 1 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 31 In Favor 5 Opposed 1 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: Proposal Regarding Long-Term Greenwich Street South Vision and Related Improvements Including Washington Plaza

WHEREAS: On September 28, 2012, Community Board 1 (CB#1) testified before the City Council Committee on Lower Manhattan Redevelopment regarding the many significant impacts to quality of life in Lower Manhattan caused by the ten million visitors to the 9/11 Memorial and other iconic sites last year; and

WHEREAS: CB#1 noted in the testimony that these impacts were especially significant in the area of Greenwich South as a result of the temporary entrance to the 9/11 Memorial where almost five million visitors passed through since it opened last year; and

WHEREAS: In less than one month, the City responded by developing a five point plan and CB#1 notes that the response from the City, a month after being asked to address the following issues involving the dramatic increase in tourism and visitors in Lower Manhattan, is very responsive and appreciated: a replacement for the temporary West Thames Street bridge, a redesign of Edgar Plaza, improvements to the Battery Parking Garage (by ADNY), the Greenwich Street Amenity Strip and a new public plaza on Washington Street between Albany and Carlisle Streets as part of the larger Vision for Greenwich South and related efforts; and

WHEREAS: The New York City Department of Transportation is required to meet with the Community Board and solicit its opinion and comments in order to close the one block of Washington Street to be used for the plaza; and

WHEREAS: The plaza, to be implemented in mid-November due to weather constraints, will include granite blocks for pedestrian safety, a gravel surface paving treatment on the roadway and tables and chairs; and

WHEREAS: The Downtown Alliance intends to be the City's maintenance partner. The Downtown Alliance intends to locate and staff an information kiosk in the plaza, perform routine cleaning, and place/remove tables and chairs on a daily seasonal basis; and

WHEREAS: The plaza will be open to the public. Tables and chairs should be in place in advance of the opening of the Memorial Plaza. Rules and restrictions on certain behaviors (including no sleeping, storing of possessions, smoking, camping or lying down) will be posted on the plaza and enforced; and

WHEREAS: The plaza is intended to be a temporary improvement and intends to address

issues of pedestrian congestion and lack of proper space in the Greenwich South area for the estimated 10-15,000 daily visitors to the 9/11 Memorial to reflect or orient themselves following their visit; and

WHEREAS: Following a change in access to the 9/11 Memorial the Plaza could be removed. In the meantime, the City has committed to evaluate any resulting impacts caused by the closure of this block of Washington Street and return to the Community Board to discuss; and

WHEREAS: CB#1 also welcomed the recent announcements regarding other improvements planned for the Greenwich South area: a replacement for the West Thames Street bridge, a redesign of Edgar Plaza, and the Battery Parking Garage Improvements (ADNY); and

WHEREAS: CB#1 looks forward to continuing to work with the Mayor's Office and agencies to address additional concerns detailed in our recent resolution regarding the impacts on quality of life from tourism, including emergency vehicle access; now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 approves implementation of the Proposal Regarding Long-Term Greenwich Street South Vision and Related Improvements of the Five Point Plan including Washington Street Plaza to address the need for open space in Greenwich South and alleviate sidewalk overcrowding, trash accumulation, provide direction and advice to tourists and provide synergy with existing places; and

BE IT

FURTHER

RESOLVED

THAT: CB#1 expects the City to return to the Community Board with an evaluation of the Plaza and any resulting changes to traffic or pedestrian conditions for discussion and review.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: OCTOBER 23, 2012

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: EXECUTIVE

COMMITTEE VOTE: 7 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 37 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: Crime Prevention

WHEREAS: At 2:00am on September 10, 2012, a 56 year old woman was raped and thrown from the second story of Pier 15 on the East River Waterfront, leaving her with a broken pelvis and other serious injuries, and

WHEREAS: Twelve days later, at 5:00am on September 22, 2012, a 21 year old woman in Hudson River park was raped twice by a homeless registered sex offender, and

WHEREAS: The victim of the rape on September 22 was helped by two Parks Enforcement Patrol (PEP) officers who were the only two PEP officers covering the park¹, and

WHEREAS: We are concerned about safety in all parks in our district given these recent incidents, and

WHEREAS: We understand that PEP officers employed by the Parks Department patrol Hudson River Park and Battery Park City Parks by contract with the Hudson River Park Trust and Battery Park City Authority and that the Parks Department is reimbursed by those organizations for the costs incurred, and

WHEREAS: Parks patrols have been subject to regular 5 percent budget cuts for the past several years², and

WHEREAS: In early September, the Bloomberg administration sent a letter to city agencies warning them of a \$2.5 billion budget deficit over the next year and a half and urging them to submit cutback plans³, and

WHEREAS: The New York Police Department (NYPD) is required to submit a plan to cut its budget by 2.7 percent this year and 4 percent next year⁴, and

WHEREAS: The Parks Department must plan for a 5.4 percent budget cut this year and an 8 percent cut next year⁵, and

WHEREAS: In light of the recent sexual assaults in Lower Manhattan, City Council Speaker Christine Quinn and City Councilwoman Margaret Chin organized the “Take Back My Park” walk calling for no cuts to the police or parks officers as well as more homeless and mental health outreach in our parks, and

1 Tcholakian, Danielle. "Who's Watching Our City's Parks?" *Metro New York* [New York City] 25 Sept. 2012.

2 Ibid.

3 Shapiro, Julie. "Politicians Slam Anticipated NYPD Cuts After Tribeca Sex Assault." *DNAinfo* [New York City] 23 Sept. 2012.

4 Ibid.

5 Ibid.

WHEREAS: Manhattan Borough President Scott Stringer has also spoken out against the budget cuts, calling for a suspension of cuts to the NYPD and PEP budget, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB#1 urges that there be no cuts to the NYPD and PEP budget and supports the call for more homeless and mental outreach in our district, all which are essential for protecting our neighborhood's residents, workers and visitors, and

BE IT

FURTHER

RESOLVED

THAT: CB#1 supports sufficient funding from the state as well as the city so that the amount of coverage by PEP officers in Hudson River Park will not be reduced.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: OCTOBER 23, 2012

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: EXECUTIVE

COMMITTEE VOTE:	9	In Favor	0	Opposed	0	Abstained	0	Recused
BOARD VOTE:	37	In Favor	0	Opposed	0	Abstained	0	Recused

RE: Capital and Expense Budget Requests for FY 2014

BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 recommends the funding of the following budget requests for FY 2014.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 PRIORITIZED BUDGET REQUESTS - FY 2014

CAPITAL:

<u>PRIORITY</u>	<u>AGENCY</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>
1	DOE	Construct a K-5 school with a capacity for at least 600 students, a new middle school, and high school in CB #1.
2	DPR	Provide funding for enhancement and expansion of Edgar Plaza to include Trinity Plaza.
3	NYPL	Create a public library east of Broadway in Lower Manhattan.
4	DPR	Renovate Battery Park Playground and comfort station in the one acre space designed by Frank Gehry.
5	DPR	Develop more park and unstructured open space east of Broadway in Lower Manhattan.
6	DPR	Develop more ballfields and space for active recreation east of Broadway in Lower Manhattan.
7	DPR	Build a community center to serve the increased population east of Broadway in Lower Manhattan.
8	DOT	Install stop signs at the pedestrian crossings at the intersection of Walker Street and West Broadway near Tribeca Park.
9	DOT	Install a traffic light or stop sign at the intersection of Gold Street and Beekman Street.
10	DOT	Install traffic signal at South End and Thames Street.
11	DCA	Install high efficiency gas hot water boilers, replace the freight elevator on Schermerhorn Row and renovate the two structures (including the ticket sales booth) on Pier 16 at the South Street Seaport Museum.
12	HPD	Develop and maintain affordable housing.
13	DCA	Create a performing arts center at Castle Clinton.
14	DOT	Create viable pedestrian connections and access to the Battery Maritime Building with open space in front of the building in association with the proposed development.
15	DOT	Provide funding for and build permanent pedestrian bridge in southern Battery Park City (West Thames Street bridge).
16	DOT	Fund improvements and maintenance to the pedestrian bridge over the Brooklyn Battery Tunnel.

17	DOT	Reconstruct and restore N. Moore Street between Hudson Street and Varick Street within CB #1's historic district.
18	DPR	Renovate Barnett Newman Triangle.
19	DCA	Replace boiler, and fund piping and asbestos removal at The New York City Police Museum.
20	NYPL	Renovate interior spaces (including ADA compliance), and supply new furniture and equipment at the New Amsterdam Branch and PC, WiFi and VoIP Technology at the New Amsterdam Branch and the Battery Park City Branch.
21	EDC	Provide funds to initiate capital repairs to permit safe public access to the Fulton Fish Market historic structures including the Tin Building.
22	NYPD	Provide funds to restore horse stable for NYPD mounted unit Troupe A to its original location at 16 Ericsson Place and to restore building to original condition by removal of unapproved additions made to accommodate WTC security unit.
CS	EDC	Complete construction of East River Waterfront Esplanade up to Brooklyn Bridge.
CS	DOT	Reconstruct Fulton St. (between Broadway and Water St.) and remaining segments on Nassau St. from Spruce Street up to Liberty Street including new sidewalks, lighting and streetscape improvements.
CS	DOT	Reconstruct and restore the following cobblestone streets within CB #1's historic district: Franklin Street (Greenwich/Varick), Leonard Street (Hudson/West Broadway), Staple Street (Duane/Harrison), Vestry Street (West/Greenwich), Collister Alley (Laight/Beach) and Duane Street (Greenwich/Hudson). Peck Slip, Front Street in the South Street Seaport historic district.
CS	DPR	Construct connections between East River Bikeway/Walkway and the Battery Park City esplanade.
CS	DPR	Complete construction of Peck Slip and Collect Pond Parks.

Note: CS = "Continued Support" for projects previously funded

EXPENSE:

<u>PRIORITY</u>	<u>AGENCY</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>
1	OMB	Increase Community Board budget to \$250,000.
2	NYPD	Increase personnel of 1st Precinct for quality of life issues such as bus idling, street vendors, crime, traffic enforcement, drug enforcement, disruptive bars, and clubs-related noise.
3	DHS	Increase funding for mental health and outreach to the homeless in CB1.
4	DOS	Increase personnel and resources to increase frequency of garbage pick-ups.
5	NYPD	Provide traffic personnel with traffic mitigation training and mitigation measures along Canal Street at the following intersections: West Street, Washington Street, Greenwich Street, Hudson Street, Varick Street and Church Street during evening rush hours, nights and weekends and provide the same at the intersections of Albany and West Streets and Murray and Warren Streets.
6	DYCD	Fund needed programs at the Downtown Community Center.
7	DOB	Increase personnel for day, night and weekend for building and construction site inspections (enforcement teams).
8	LPC	Increase staff budget for the Landmarks Preservation Commission including for enforcement.
9	DOH	Increase resources to address the proliferation of rats in Lower Manhattan.
10	DEP	Increase personnel for air/noise/idling inspections (enforcement teams).
11	DYCD	Increase funding of After School and Summer Youth and Recreation programs.
12	DFTA	Continue funding for senior programs operating at the district's senior centers, Independent Plaza North and St. Margaret's at Southbridge Towers.
13	DCA	Increase staffing at the Seaport Museum.

14	HHC	Provide funding to Gouverneur Healthcare.
15	NYPL	Provide sufficient operating funds for the new Battery Park City Library and the New Amsterdam Library, including funds for Saturday hours. Increase funding for security and maintenance, books, periodicals and other information resources for the Branch Libraries.
16	DOT	Provide funds for Downtown Alliance's Wayfinding Program to improve signage and lighting in Greenwich South.
17	DPR	Increase personnel for Parks Department maintenance and PEP Officers.
18	DPR	Provide maintenance funds for East River bikeway/walkway, including for the upkeep of lighting along it.
19	DYCD	Provide funding to The New York City Police Museum for educational safety programming for schools and summer camps.
20	DSBS	Provide funds for the New Amsterdam Market's small business incubation and development programming.
21	DFTA	Provide start-up seed money for new Lower Manhattan Senior Center.
22	NYPD	Allocate funds for increased surveillance and law enforcement in non-permitted street encampments which are causing problems of safety, sanitation and economic distress to residents and retail merchants in the Financial District.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: OCTOBER 23, 2012

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: FINANCIAL DISTRICT

COMMITTEE VOTE:	9 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC MEMBERS:	1 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	34 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: Closed underground subway concourse between Fulton Street, Cortlandt Street and World Trade Center stations

WHEREAS: The Metropolitan Transportation Authority (MTA) has announced that a new underground subway concourse connecting the Fulton Street, Cortlandt Street and WTC stations is substantially completed, but will not be opened for public use for at least two years, and

WHEREAS: Local residents and workers have endured years of disruption while anticipating completion of this project, including construction noise, closed traffic lanes, and loss of many retail merchants displaced or forced out of business, and

WHEREAS: Local residents and workers have endured these problems for eight years in anticipation of MTA's stated plan to provide free and easy underground transfer between 2, 3, 4, 5, A, C, E, R and PATH trains, and

WHEREAS: The NYC Department of Transportation has announced that lower Broadway now requires major reconstruction, which will make above-ground access between these stations even more difficult for 68,000 residents, 310,000 daily commuters, and an estimated 10 million annual tourists for as long as four more years, and

WHEREAS: A delayed opening of this underground concourse will impose further hardship on this community, delay its recovery from economic crisis and diminish its appeal as a place to live, work and visit, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: Until this concourse is opened for use, Manhattan CB 1 strongly urges that the MTA immediately establish a policy of free transfers for passengers who must exit and re-enter these stations until the underground passage is opened, and

BE IT

FURTHER

RESOLVED

THAT: Manhattan CB 1 urges the MTA to carefully monitor traffic between these stations and open this concourse for use as soon as warranted by traffic volume, with free access for passengers among all connected stations and prominent signage to encourage use of mass transit in an area increasingly affected by vehicular congestion.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: OCTOBER 23, 2012

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: FINANCIAL DISTRICT

COMMITTEE VOTE:	9 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC MEMBERS:	1 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	34 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: 79 Pearl/46 Stone Street, application for on-premises liquor license for RET Venture Ltd., d/b/a Route 66 American BBQ

WHEREAS: The applicant, RET Venture Ltd. d/b/a Route 66 American BBQ, is applying for an on-premises liquor license for 79 Pearl/46 Stone Street; and

WHEREAS: The hours of operation of this establishment are 11 a.m. to 2 a.m. for food service and 11 a.m. to 4 a.m. for bar service seven days a week; and

WHEREAS: There will be background music; and

WHEREAS: The applicant does not intend to apply for a sidewalk café license; and

WHEREAS: The applicant does not intend to apply for a cabaret license; and

WHEREAS: The applicant has stated that there are no buildings used primarily as schools, churches, synagogues or other places of worship within 200 feet of this establishment; and

WHEREAS: The applicant has stated that there are three or more establishments with on-premises liquor licenses within 500' of this establishment; now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 opposes the granting of a restaurant wine and beer license to RET Venture Ltd., d/b/a Route 66 American BBQ for an on-premises liquor license for 79 Pearl/46 Stone Street unless the applicant complies with the limitations and conditions set forth above.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: OCTOBER 23, 2012

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: FINANCIAL DISTRICT

COMMITTEE VOTE:	9 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC MEMBERS:	1 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	34 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: Proposal for newsstand license #1444304 at the southeast corner of Wall and Pearl Streets

WHEREAS: Mr. Mehrab Jan (“the Applicant”) has applied to the Department of Consumer Affairs for a newsstand at the southeast corner of Wall and Pearl Streets, and

WHEREAS: The Applicant appeared at the CB #1 Financial District Committee meeting on October 3, 2012 (“the Meeting”) to discuss this application, and

WHEREAS: Community Board 1 members residing in the vicinity stated that there are numerous vendors and several other newsstands in the area around this location; and

WHEREAS: A representative of the property owner of 75 Wall Street, the building fronting the proposed newsstand location, appeared at the meeting to express opposition to this application, citing the already congested conditions on the sidewalk in this area; now

WHEREAS: CB #1 strongly supports the establishment of small businesses in a community that has lost several hundred retail merchants in the aftermath of 9/11 and ensuing reconstruction; now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 opposes the application for newsstand license #1444304 at the southeast corner of Wall and Pearl Streets due to the inappropriateness of the this location; and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 would welcome the opportunity an opportunity to reconsider the applicant's proposal for a newsstand in a more suitable location.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: OCTOBER 23, 2012

COMMITTEES OF ORIGIN: LANDMARKS
SEAPORT/CIVIC CENTER
WATERFRONT
PLANNING

COMMITTEE VOTE: 9 In Favor 1 Opposed 1 Abstained 0 Recused

BOARD VOTE: 34 In Favor 1 Opposed 0 Abstained 1 Recused

RE: South Street Seaport Pier 17, Landmarks application for new signage

WHEREAS: The application is further to the overwhelmingly positive approval of the application to renovate and alter the 1985 Pier 17 structure by Howard Hughes Corporation, and

WHEREAS: The signage application falls into four elements:
a. Pier 17 roof “SEAPORT” sign
b. Pier 17 retail space blade signs
c. Link Building “SEAPORT MARKET” sign
d. Way finding street level signs

WHEREAS: The Pier 17 roof “SEAPORT” sign would be 90’ in length, 9’ in height, illuminated, be supported by a substantial frame, and

WHEREAS: The applicant represented that the support would not reduce the sight lines from the public open space roof, and

WHEREAS: The applicant represented that City Planning had mandated the content of the sign to be nothing other than “SEAPORT”, and

WHEREAS: The Committee did not accept the industrial historic precedents presented to support the application and noted opposition from the New Amsterdam Market, the Historic Districts Council, The New York Landmarks Conservancy, and

WHEREAS: The Pier 17 retail space blade signs would be 32’ in height, 3’ feet wide (96 square feet), 36 in number along each side of the building with vertical signage of the retail tenants brand constructed in clear glass, and there would also be additional signage on the store front windows, and

WHEREAS: The Committee was concerned that a member of the applicant’s team referred to the signs representing “big box retail tenants” – the lead representative when

questioned about this confirmed this was an error and that there would be no big box retail tenants allowed on the Pier, and

WHEREAS: The Committee did not accept the precedent of the signage of the Chicago Art Institute, was concerned that a small retail applicant would be required to meet LPC signage guidelines of no more than 2' by 2' (4 square feet) and noted opposition from the New Amsterdam Market, the Historic Districts Council, The New York Landmarks Conservancy, and

WHEREAS: The Link Building "SEAPORT MARKET" painted sign with 4' high lettering would be in a color that complements the muted colors of the re-skinned and repainted building, there was also place holder signage for potential future tenants – which was depicted by generic terms such as "COFFEE", "DELI", and

WHEREAS: The Committee liked the "SEAPORT MARKET" painted sign and muted colors but not the generic terms which it noted could end up being tenant brands, and

WHEREAS: The four way finding street level signs would be 13' high and placed in appropriate locations throughout the market area – replacing three existing way finding signs, they would incorporate a digital element, and

WHEREAS: The Committee felt the way finding signs to be appropriate, and

WHEREAS: The applicant has amended the flooring material of the Fulton Plaza by introducing a 32' by 157' concrete paving material to differentiate the area – which the Committee felt was appropriate, and

WHEREAS: The Committee asked the Howard Hughes Corporation to comment on the leaked EDC document showing there was clearly a larger planned development than the one presented to Community Board #1 – the applicant stated there were no plans beyond those presented to the Community, and

WHEREAS: The Committee commended the applicant and their team for the thorough presentation, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB#1 recommends that the Landmarks Preservation Commission reject the application for an illuminated 90' by 9' "SEAPORT" sign as it detracts from the design of the building, obstructs the view of the river from the roof public space, obstructs the view of the roof public space from the river, is totally inappropriate for the historic district and contravenes the LPC signage guidelines.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: OCTOBER 23, 2012

COMMITTEES OF ORIGIN: LANDMARKS
SEAPORT/CIVIC CENTER
WATERFRONT
PLANNING

COMMITTEE VOTE: 8 In Favor 2 Opposed 1 Abstained 1 Recused

BOARD VOTE: 34 In Favor 1 Opposed 0 Abstained 1 Recused

RE: South Street Seaport Pier 17, Landmarks application for new signage

WHEREAS: The application is further to the overwhelmingly positive approval of the application to renovate and alter the 1985 Pier 17 structure by Howard Hughes Corporation, and

WHEREAS: The signage application falls into four elements:
a. Pier 17 roof “SEAPORT” sign
b. Pier 17 retail space blade signs
c. Link Building “SEAPORT MARKET” sign
d. Way finding street level signs

WHEREAS: The Pier 17 roof “SEAPORT” sign would be 90’ in length, 9’ in height, illuminated, be supported by a substantial frame, and

WHEREAS: The applicant represented that the support would not reduce the sight lines from the public open space roof, and

WHEREAS: The applicant represented that City Planning had mandated the content of the sign to be nothing other than “SEAPORT”, and

WHEREAS: The Committee did not accept the industrial historic precedents presented to support the application and noted opposition from the New Amsterdam Market, the Historic Districts Council, The New York Landmarks Conservancy, and

WHEREAS: The Pier 17 retail space blade signs would be 32’ in height, 3’ feet wide (96 square feet), 36 in number along each side of the building with vertical signage of the retail tenants brand constructed in clear glass, and there would also be additional signage on the store front windows, and

WHEREAS: The Committee was concerned that a member of the applicant’s team referred to the signs representing “big box retail tenants” – the lead representative when

questioned about this confirmed this was an error and that there would be no big box retail tenants allowed on the Pier, and

WHEREAS: The Committee did not accept the precedent of the signage of the Chicago Art Institute, was concerned that a small retail applicant would be required to meet LPC signage guidelines of no more than 2' by 2' (4 square feet) and noted opposition from the New Amsterdam Market, the Historic Districts Council, The New York Landmarks Conservancy, and

WHEREAS: The Link Building "SEAPORT MARKET" painted sign with 4' high lettering would be in a color that complements the muted colors of the re-skinned and repainted building, there was also place holder signage for potential future tenants – which was depicted by generic terms such as "COFFEE", "DELI", and

WHEREAS: The Committee liked the "SEAPORT MARKET" painted sign and muted colors but not the generic terms which it noted could end up being tenant brands, and

WHEREAS: The four way finding street level signs would be 13' high and placed in appropriate locations throughout the market area – replacing three existing way finding signs, they would incorporate a digital element, and

WHEREAS: The Committee felt the way finding signs to be appropriate, and

WHEREAS: The applicant has amended the flooring material of the Fulton Plaza by introducing a 32' by 157' concrete paving material to differentiate the area – which the Committee felt was appropriate, and

WHEREAS: The Committee asked the Howard Hughes Corporation to comment on the leaked EDC document showing there was clearly a larger planned development than the one presented to Community Board #1 – the applicant stated there were no plans beyond those presented to the Community, and

WHEREAS: The Committee commended the applicant and their team for the thorough presentation, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB#1 recommends that the Landmarks Preservation Commission reject the application for 36 - 32' by 3' blade signs as they detract from the design of the building, are totally inappropriate for the historic district and contravene the LPC signage guidelines.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: OCTOBER 23, 2012

COMMITTEES OF ORIGIN: LANDMARKS
SEAPORT/CIVIC CENTER
WATERFRONT
PLANNING

COMMITTEE VOTE: 10 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 1 Recused

BOARD VOTE: 35 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 1 Recused

RE: South Street Seaport Pier 17, Landmarks application for new signage

WHEREAS: The application is further to the overwhelmingly positive approval of the application to renovate and alter the 1985 Pier 17 structure by Howard Hughes Corporation, and

WHEREAS: The signage application falls into four elements:
a. Pier 17 roof “SEAPORT” sign
b. Pier 17 retail space blade signs
c. Link Building “SEAPORT MARKET” sign
d. Way finding street level signs

WHEREAS: The Pier 17 roof “SEAPORT” sign would be 90’ in length, 9’ in height, illuminated, be supported by a substantial frame, and

WHEREAS: The applicant represented that the support would not reduce the sight lines from the public open space roof, and

WHEREAS: The applicant represented that City Planning had mandated the content of the sign to be nothing other than “SEAPORT”, and

WHEREAS: The Committee did not accept the industrial historic precedents presented to support the application and noted opposition from the New Amsterdam Market, the Historic Districts Council, The New York Landmarks Conservancy, and

WHEREAS: The Pier 17 retail space blade signs would be 32’ in height, 3’ feet wide (96 square feet), 36 in number along each side of the building with vertical signage of the retail tenants brand constructed in clear glass, and there would also be additional signage on the store front windows, and

WHEREAS: The Committee was concerned that a member of the applicant’s team referred to the signs representing “big box retail tenants” – the lead representative when

questioned about this confirmed this was an error and that there would be no big box retail tenants allowed on the Pier, and

WHEREAS: The Committee did not accept the precedent of the signage of the Chicago Art Institute, was concerned that a small retail applicant would be required to meet LPC signage guidelines of no more than 2' by 2' (4 square feet) and noted opposition from the New Amsterdam Market, the Historic Districts Council, The New York Landmarks Conservancy, and

WHEREAS: The Link Building "SEAPORT MARKET" painted sign with 4' high lettering would be in a color that complements the muted colors of the re-skinned and repainted building, there was also place holder signage for potential future tenants – which was depicted by generic terms such as "COFFEE", "DELI", and

WHEREAS: The Committee liked the "SEAPORT MARKET" painted sign and muted colors but not the generic terms which it noted could end up being tenant brands, and

WHEREAS: The four way finding street level signs would be 13' high and placed in appropriate locations throughout the market area – replacing three existing way finding signs, they would incorporate a digital element, and

WHEREAS: The Committee felt the way finding signs to be appropriate, and

WHEREAS: The applicant has amended the flooring material of the Fulton Plaza by introducing a 32' by 157' concrete paving material to differentiate the area – which the Committee felt was appropriate, and

WHEREAS: The Committee asked the Howard Hughes Corporation to comment on the leaked EDC document showing there was clearly a larger planned development than the one presented to Community Board #1 – the applicant stated there were no plans beyond those presented to the Community, and

WHEREAS: The Committee commended the applicant and their team for the thorough presentation, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB#1 recommends that the Landmarks Preservation Commission approve the Link Building "SEAPORT MARKET" with 4' high appropriately painted letters on the basis that this is the only signage on the Link Building.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: OCTOBER 23, 2012

COMMITTEES OF ORIGIN: LANDMARKS
SEAPORT/CIVIC CENTER
WATERFRONT
PLANNING

COMMITTEE VOTE: 10 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 1 Recused

BOARD VOTE: 35 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 1 Recused

RE: South Street Seaport Pier 17, Landmarks application for new signage

WHEREAS: The application is further to the overwhelmingly positive approval of the application to renovate and alter the 1985 Pier 17 structure by Howard Hughes Corporation, and

WHEREAS: The signage application falls into four elements:
a. Pier 17 roof “SEAPORT” sign
b. Pier 17 retail space blade signs
c. Link Building “SEAPORT MARKET” sign
d. Way finding street level signs

WHEREAS: The Pier 17 roof “SEAPORT” sign would be 90’ in length, 9’ in height, illuminated, be supported by a substantial frame, and

WHEREAS: The applicant represented that the support would not reduce the sight lines from the public open space roof, and

WHEREAS: The applicant represented that City Planning had mandated the content of the sign to be nothing other than “SEAPORT”, and

WHEREAS: The Committee did not accept the industrial historic precedents presented to support the application and noted opposition from the New Amsterdam Market, the Historic Districts Council, The New York Landmarks Conservancy, and

WHEREAS: The Pier 17 retail space blade signs would be 32’ in height, 3’ feet wide (96 square feet), 36 in number along each side of the building with vertical signage of the retail tenants brand constructed in clear glass, and there would also be additional signage on the store front windows, and

WHEREAS: The Committee was concerned that a member of the applicant’s team referred to the signs representing “big box retail tenants” – the lead representative when

questioned about this confirmed this was an error and that there would be no big box retail tenants allowed on the Pier, and

WHEREAS: The Committee did not accept the precedent of the signage of the Chicago Art Institute, was concerned that a small retail applicant would be required to meet LPC signage guidelines of no more than 2' by 2' (4 square feet) and noted opposition from the New Amsterdam Market, the Historic Districts Council, The New York Landmarks Conservancy, and

WHEREAS: The Link Building "SEAPORT MARKET" painted sign with 4' high lettering would be in a color that complements the muted colors of the re-skinned and repainted building, there was also place holder signage for potential future tenants – which was depicted by generic terms such as "COFFEE", "DELI", and

WHEREAS: The Committee liked the "SEAPORT MARKET" painted sign and muted colors but not the generic terms which it noted could end up being tenant brands, and

WHEREAS: The four way finding street level signs would be 13' high and placed in appropriate locations throughout the market area – replacing three existing way finding signs, they would incorporate a digital element, and

WHEREAS: The Committee felt the way finding signs to be appropriate, and

WHEREAS: The applicant has amended the flooring material of the Fulton Plaza by introducing a 32' by 157' concrete paving material to differentiate the area – which the Committee felt was appropriate, and

WHEREAS: The Committee asked the Howard Hughes Corporation to comment on the leaked EDC document showing there was clearly a larger planned development than the one presented to Community Board #1 – the applicant stated there were no plans beyond those presented to the Community, and

WHEREAS: The Committee commended the applicant and their team for the thorough presentation, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB#1 recommends that the Landmarks Preservation Commission approve the four 13' high way finding signs in appropriate positions around the market area.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: OCTOBER 23, 2012

COMMITTEES OF ORIGIN: LANDMARKS
SEAPORT/CIVIC CENTER
WATERFRONT
PLANNING

COMMITTEE VOTE: 10 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

BOARD VOTE: 35 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 1 Recused

RE: South Street Seaport Pier 17, Landmarks application for new signage

WHEREAS: The application is further to the overwhelmingly positive approval of the application to renovate and alter the 1985 Pier 17 structure by Howard Hughes Corporation, and

WHEREAS: The signage application falls into four elements:
a. Pier 17 roof “SEAPORT” sign
b. Pier 17 retail space blade signs
c. Link Building “SEAPORT MARKET” sign
d. Way finding street level signs

WHEREAS: The Pier 17 roof “SEAPORT” sign would be 90’ in length, 9’ in height, illuminated, be supported by a substantial frame, and

WHEREAS: The applicant represented that the support would not reduce the sight lines from the public open space roof, and

WHEREAS: The applicant represented that City Planning had mandated the content of the sign to be nothing other than “SEAPORT”, and

WHEREAS: The Committee did not accept the industrial historic precedents presented to support the application and noted opposition from the New Amsterdam Market, the Historic Districts Council, The New York Landmarks Conservancy, and

WHEREAS: The Pier 17 retail space blade signs would be 32’ in height, 3’ feet wide (96 square feet), 36 in number along each side of the building with vertical signage of the retail tenants brand constructed in clear glass, and there would also be additional signage on the store front windows, and

WHEREAS: The Committee was concerned that a member of the applicant’s team referred to the signs representing “big box retail tenants” – the lead representative when

questioned about this confirmed this was an error and that there would be no big box retail tenants allowed on the Pier, and

WHEREAS: The Committee did not accept the precedent of the signage of the Chicago Art Institute, was concerned that a small retail applicant would be required to meet LPC signage guidelines of no more than 2' by 2' (4 square feet) and noted opposition from the New Amsterdam Market, the Historic Districts Council, The New York Landmarks Conservancy, and

WHEREAS: The Link Building "SEAPORT MARKET" painted sign with 4' high lettering would be in a color that complements the muted colors of the re-skinned and repainted building, there was also place holder signage for potential future tenants – which was depicted by generic terms such as "COFFEE", "DELI", and

WHEREAS: The Committee liked the "SEAPORT MARKET" painted sign and muted colors but not the generic terms which it noted could end up being tenant brands, and

WHEREAS: The four way finding street level signs would be 13' high and placed in appropriate locations throughout the market area – replacing three existing way finding signs, they would incorporate a digital element, and

WHEREAS: The Committee felt the way finding signs to be appropriate, and

WHEREAS: The applicant has amended the flooring material of the Fulton Plaza by introducing a 32' by 157' concrete paving material to differentiate the area – which the Committee felt was appropriate, and

WHEREAS: The Committee asked the Howard Hughes Corporation to comment on the leaked EDC document showing there was clearly a larger planned development than the one presented to Community Board #1 – the applicant stated there were no plans beyond those presented to the Community, and

WHEREAS: The Committee commended the applicant and their team for the thorough presentation, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB#1 recommends that the Landmarks Preservation Commission approve the Fulton Plaza 32' by 157' concrete flooring material.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: OCTOBER 23, 2012

COMMITTEES OF ORIGIN: LANDMARKS
SEAPORT/CIVIC CENTER
WATERFRONT
PLANNING

COMMITTEE VOTE: 10 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

BOARD VOTE: 34 In Favor 1 Opposed 0 Abstained 1 Recused

RE: South Street Seaport Pier 17, Landmarks application for new signage

WHEREAS: The application is further to the overwhelmingly positive approval of the application to renovate and alter the 1985 Pier 17 structure by Howard Hughes Corporation, and

WHEREAS: The signage application falls into four elements:
a. Pier 17 roof “SEAPORT” sign
b. Pier 17 retail space blade signs
c. Link Building “SEAPORT MARKET” sign
d. Way finding street level signs

WHEREAS: The Pier 17 roof “SEAPORT” sign would be 90’ in length, 9’ in height, illuminated, be supported by a substantial frame, and

WHEREAS: The applicant represented that the support would not reduce the sight lines from the public open space roof, and

WHEREAS: The applicant represented that City Planning had mandated the content of the sign to be nothing other than “SEAPORT”, and

WHEREAS: The Committee did not accept the industrial historic precedents presented to support the application and noted opposition from the New Amsterdam Market, the Historic Districts Council, The New York Landmarks Conservancy, and

WHEREAS: The Pier 17 retail space blade signs would be 32’ in height, 3’ feet wide (96 square feet), 36 in number along each side of the building with vertical signage of the retail tenants brand constructed in clear glass, and there would also be additional signage on the store front windows, and

WHEREAS: The Committee was concerned that a member of the applicant’s team referred to the signs representing “big box retail tenants” – the lead representative when

questioned about this confirmed this was an error and that there would be no big box retail tenants allowed on the Pier, and

WHEREAS: The Committee did not accept the precedent of the signage of the Chicago Art Institute, was concerned that a small retail applicant would be required to meet LPC signage guidelines of no more than 2' by 2' (4 square feet) and noted opposition from the New Amsterdam Market, the Historic Districts Council, The New York Landmarks Conservancy, and

WHEREAS: The Link Building "SEAPORT MARKET" painted sign with 4' high lettering would be in a color that complements the muted colors of the re-skinned and repainted building, there was also place holder signage for potential future tenants – which was depicted by generic terms such as "COFFEE", "DELI", and

WHEREAS: The Committee liked the "SEAPORT MARKET" painted sign and muted colors but not the generic terms which it noted could end up being tenant brands, and

WHEREAS: The four way finding street level signs would be 13' high and placed in appropriate locations throughout the market area – replacing three existing way finding signs, they would incorporate a digital element, and

WHEREAS: The Committee felt the way finding signs to be appropriate, and

WHEREAS: The applicant has amended the flooring material of the Fulton Plaza by introducing a 32' by 157' concrete paving material to differentiate the area – which the Committee felt was appropriate, and

WHEREAS: The Committee asked the Howard Hughes Corporation to comment on the leaked EDC document showing there was clearly a larger planned development than the one presented to Community Board #1 – the applicant stated there were no plans beyond those presented to the Community, and

WHEREAS: The Committee commended the applicant and their team for the thorough presentation, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB#1 strongly recommends the applicant prepare – and the Landmarks Preservation Commission require – a Master Plan for the entire potential development.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: OCTOBER 23, 2012

COMMITTEES OF ORIGIN: LANDMARKS
SEAPORT/CIVIC CENTER
WATERFRONT
PLANNING

COMMITTEE VOTE: 10 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

BOARD VOTE: 34 In Favor 1 Opposed 0 Abstained 1 Recused

RE: South Street Seaport Pier 17, Landmarks application for new signage

WHEREAS: The application is further to the overwhelmingly positive approval of the application to renovate and alter the 1985 Pier 17 structure by Howard Hughes Corporation, and

WHEREAS: The signage application falls into four elements:
a. Pier 17 roof “SEAPORT” sign
b. Pier 17 retail space blade signs
c. Link Building “SEAPORT MARKET” sign
d. Way finding street level signs

WHEREAS: The Pier 17 roof “SEAPORT” sign would be 90’ in length, 9’ in height, illuminated, be supported by a substantial frame, and

WHEREAS: The applicant represented that the support would not reduce the sight lines from the public open space roof, and

WHEREAS: The applicant represented that City Planning had mandated the content of the sign to be nothing other than “SEAPORT”, and

WHEREAS: The Committee did not accept the industrial historic precedents presented to support the application and noted opposition from the New Amsterdam Market, the Historic Districts Council, The New York Landmarks Conservancy, and

WHEREAS: The Pier 17 retail space blade signs would be 32’ in height, 3’ feet wide (96 square feet), 36 in number along each side of the building with vertical signage of the retail tenants brand constructed in clear glass, and there would also be additional signage on the store front windows, and

WHEREAS: The Committee was concerned that a member of the applicant’s team referred to the signs representing “big box retail tenants” – the lead representative when

questioned about this confirmed this was an error and that there would be no big box retail tenants allowed on the Pier, and

WHEREAS: The Committee did not accept the precedent of the signage of the Chicago Art Institute, was concerned that a small retail applicant would be required to meet LPC signage guidelines of no more than 2' by 2' (4 square feet) and noted opposition from the New Amsterdam Market, the Historic Districts Council, The New York Landmarks Conservancy, and

WHEREAS: The Link Building "SEAPORT MARKET" painted sign with 4' high lettering would be in a color that complements the muted colors of the re-skinned and repainted building, there was also place holder signage for potential future tenants – which was depicted by generic terms such as "COFFEE", "DELI", and

WHEREAS: The Committee liked the "SEAPORT MARKET" painted sign and muted colors but not the generic terms which it noted could end up being tenant brands, and

WHEREAS: The four way finding street level signs would be 13' high and placed in appropriate locations throughout the market area – replacing three existing way finding signs, they would incorporate a digital element, and

WHEREAS: The Committee felt the way finding signs to be appropriate, and

WHEREAS: The applicant has amended the flooring material of the Fulton Plaza by introducing a 32' by 157' concrete paving material to differentiate the area – which the Committee felt was appropriate, and

WHEREAS: The Committee asked the Howard Hughes Corporation to comment on the leaked EDC document showing there was clearly a larger planned development than the one presented to Community Board #1 – the applicant stated there were no plans beyond those presented to the Community, and

WHEREAS: The Committee commended the applicant and their team for the thorough presentation, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB#1 urgently requests the Landmarks Preservation Commission extend the New York City Landmark Boundary to reflect the Federal Landmark District boundary.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: OCTOBER 23, 2012

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LANDMARKS

COMMITTEE VOTE: 4 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 36 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: 272 Water Street, application for bulkhead and window replacement

WHEREAS: The application is to increase the existing bulkhead by 3’6” and 25’ in length to accommodate new mechanical units for this residential building, and

WHEREAS: The new mechanicals will be enclosed to provide sound proofing in stucco and copings to match the existing, and

WHEREAS: There will be a new spiral staircase to provide access to the mechanicals, and

WHEREAS: The addition would only be minimally visible from Water Street – mid block, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB#1 recommends the Landmarks Preservation Commission approve the application, subject to a site visit to confirm the mock up is minimally visible.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: OCTOBER 23, 2012

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LANDMARKS

COMMITTEE VOTE: 5 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

BOARD VOTE: 36 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: 319 Broadway, application for exterior renovation, removal of sidewalk enclosure, storefront restoration and signage

WHEREAS: The application is to remove the existing sidewalk veranda of the former Stark's Restaurant and renovate it to a Pret A Manger café, and

WHEREAS: The historic storefront of this important cast iron building will be fully restored, and

WHEREAS: There will be awnings in the two bays on Broadway and all 11 bays on Thomas Street – each with a 27" drop and 7" valance, and

WHEREAS: The awnings would have the "star" logo on each bay and various messages on each valance including: soups, baguettes, coffee, oat meal, and

WHEREAS: There would be two blade "Pret A Manger" signs on the corner of the building on Broadway and Thomas Street and two hanging signs – each 2' by 2', LPC signage guideline complaint, and

WHEREAS: There would be a new aluminum ADA compliant entrance door on Broadway, and

WHEREAS: There will be a future application for a side walk café permit on Thomas St., and

WHEREAS: The Committee commended the applicant for removing the existing side walk enclosure, but did not like the signage proposal for the valances, which the applicant agreed to amend, and

WHEREAS: The Committee did not feel the aluminum door was appropriate and recommended a more appropriate material such as bronze for the door – which the applicant agreed to amend, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB#1 recommends the Landmarks Preservation Commission approve the application, on the condition that the signage on the valances be removed and the new door be constructed of a more appropriate material such as bronze.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: OCTOBER 23, 2012

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LANDMARKS

COMMITTEE VOTE: 3 In Favor 1 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 36 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: 40 Wall Street, application for alterations to entrance for ADA Compliance

WHEREAS: The application is to provide an ADA compliant entrance on the secondary Pine Street facade of the building, and

WHEREAS: The new tenant is a university which needs clear signage to discourage students from entering the Wall Street building facade, and

WHEREAS: The new ADA entrance will require the removal of three existing steps and hand rail, and

WHEREAS: The new glass and steel - at grade - entrance will be set back and contain signage on the inside of clear glass, and

WHEREAS: There will be a new metal awning with ceiling lighting in the set back entrance

WHEREAS: The existing freight entrance steel doors will be retained, and

WHEREAS: There will be one blade - LPC signage guideline compliant - sign extruding from the side of the new entrance stating: 35 Pine, and

WHEREAS: The Committee felt everything was appropriate except that there was an opportunity to replace the freight door with one using a material such as bronze – which the applicant agreed to incorporate, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB#1 recommends the Landmarks Preservation Commission approve the application, on the condition that the steel freight door be replaced with a door made of bronze.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: OCTOBER 23, 2012

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LANDMARKS

COMMITTEE VOTE: 5 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

BOARD VOTE: 36 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: 2 N Moore Street, application for alteration of existing rooftop pergola

WHEREAS: The application is to replace the existing wooden pergola with a new aluminum one of the same size, and

WHEREAS: The roof planters will be updated, and

WHEREAS: There will be a new “floating” planter around the inside perimeter of the roof terrace, and

WHEREAS: There will be new plantings, and

WHEREAS: The new screening will be 1” higher than the existing, and

WHEREAS: The Committee noted that all proposed additions will be easily removable from the historic structure, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB#1 recommends the Landmarks Preservation Commission approve the application.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: OCTOBER 23, 2012

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: QUALITY OF LIFE

COMMITTEE VOTE:	9 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC MEMBERS:	3 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	30 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: Potential sequestering of Zadroga Funds

WHEREAS: The *James Zadroga 9/11 Health and Compensation Act* established the World Trade Center (WTC) Health Program and the September 11th Victim Compensation Fund (VCF); and

WHEREAS: The WTC program provides health care, services and compensation for 9/11 survivors; for residents and workers in Lower Manhattan during and after 9/11; and for responders, workers, and volunteers who helped with rescue, recovery, and cleanup at the World Trade Center and related sites in relation to 9/11; and

WHEREAS: The VFC provides compensation for economic and non-economic loss to individuals or relatives of deceased individuals who were killed or physically injured as a result of the terrorist-related aircraft crashes of September 11, 2001; and

WHEREAS: The *Budget Control Act of 2011* stipulates sequestration of \$1.2 trillion in federal spending over ten years to commence January 1, 2013; and

WHEREAS: According to a recent report from the Office of Management and Budget, a 7.6% sequester cut to both programs would total around \$329 million from the \$4.2 billion stipulated in the *James Zadroga 9/11 Health and Compensation Act*; and

WHEREAS: The *Zadroga Act* provides \$433 million in deficit reduction, is fully PAYGO compliant, and would have been included in sequestration exemption if passed prior to the PAYGO Statute; and now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 strongly urges federal, state and local politicians and all other relevant parties to exempt the WTC Health Program and VCF from sequestration.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: OCTOBER 23, 2012

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: QUALITY OF LIFE

COMMITTEE VOTE:	8 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC MEMBERS:	3 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	30 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: Redistricting in New York City

WHEREAS: City Council district lines are redrawn every decade to conform to demographic changes and adhere to the constitutional requirement of “one-person, one-vote”; and

WHEREAS: The Districting Commission, appointed by the Mayor, is currently conducting public hearings prior to their first district plan submission to the Council on November 5th; and

WHEREAS: Several special interest groups have submitted an alternate district plan titled: *Unity Map*; and

WHEREAS: The *Unity Map* would severely alter District 1, severing Battery Park City and Tribeca from District 1 and placing these two neighborhoods in District 3; and

WHEREAS: Battery Park City and Tribeca share economic, cultural and communal ties with Lower Manhattan; and

WHEREAS: 9/11 left an indelible mark on Lower Manhattan that continues to pervade all civic affairs; and

WHEREAS: CB1 is completely encompassed within District 1 and believes this arrangement produces a single, unified voice for the myriad of quality of life issues and communal amenities needed to keep pace with the revitalization of Lower Manhattan; now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 strongly urges the District Commission and local politicians to keep CB1 completely intact within District 1 and to modify District 1 along the already established district lines.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: OCTOBER 23, 2012

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: SEAPORT/CIVIC CENTER

COMMITTEE VOTE:	7 In Favor	2 Opposed	1 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC MEMBERS:	0 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	35 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: 89 South Street, pier 17, application for beer license for Café on the Pier

WHEREAS: The applicant, Salmaan Enterprises Inc., is applying for a beer license; and

WHEREAS: The hours of operation of this establishment are 10 a.m. to 12:00 a.m. Sunday through Thursday and 10:00 a.m. to 1:00 a.m. Friday and Saturday; and

WHEREAS: The total area of the restaurant is approximately 405 square feet, including a dining area of 200 square feet with 2 tables; and

WHEREAS: The applicant does not intend to apply for a cabaret license or sidewalk café license; and

WHEREAS: The applicant has represented that there are no buildings used primarily as schools, churches, synagogues or other places of worship within 200 feet of this establishment; and

WHEREAS: The applicant has represented that there are three or more establishments with on-premises liquor licenses within 500 feet of this establishment; and

WHEREAS: The applicant has signed and notarized a stipulations sheet; now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 opposes the granting of a beer license to Café on the Pier at 89 South Street, pier 17, unless the applicant complies with the limitations and conditions set for above.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: OCTOBER 23, 2012

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: SEAPORT/CIVIC CENTER

COMMITTEE VOTE: 7 In Favor 1 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 35 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: Street permit application extension for Fulton Stall Market by Seaport Marketplace

WHEREAS: The applicant has applied for an extension of a street activity permit for every Saturday & Sunday from the present date to October 28, 2012 from 10:00 am to 5:00 pm, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 does not oppose the proposed extension of a street activity permit submitted by Seaport Marketplace for Fulton Stall Market for a street activity permit for every Saturday & Sunday from the present date to October 28, 2012 from 10:00 am to 5:00 pm, subject to the following conditions:

1. The Lower Manhattan Construction Command Center reviews the application and determines that it is compatible with nearby construction activity that is expected to be simultaneously underway, and
2. Traffic control agents are deployed as needed to ensure that there is no significant adverse impact from the event on traffic flow, and
3. Clean-up will be coordinated with the appropriate City Agencies, and
4. Bands and persons with megaphones are not situated along the route such that they disturb residents, and
5. Pedestrian and vehicular traffic in and out of all garages downtown remain open at all times.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: OCTOBER 23, 2012

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: STREET FAIRS TASK FORCE

COMMITTEE:	5 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	35 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: Sponsorship of Street Fairs for Fundraising by CB #1 in 2013

WHEREAS: CB #1 adopted a resolution on January 17, 2006 establishing procedures to be following in connection with its future use of street fairs for fundraising, and

WHEREAS: The Chair of CB #1 appointed a task force of the Board (the “Street Fairs Task Force”) in 2006 to implement the new procedures, and

WHEREAS: In order for the Street Fairs Task Force to begin implementing the procedures for the following year, CB #1 must first vote affirmatively to raise funds by sponsoring street fairs in that following year, and

WHEREAS: The total amount to be raised by street fairs in 2013 and used by CB #1 to support its work is expected to be approximately \$23,500, which amount would have to be replaced by some other source of funds in the event that the street fairs are discontinued in order to avoid an adverse impact on the work of the organization, and

WHEREAS: The Board deems it desirable to grant the Street Fairs Task Force some additional flexibility in determining whether it is necessary to again solicit bids from street fair promoters for the 2013 street fairs sponsored by CB #1, as was done for the 2012 street fairs sponsored by CB #1, and

WHEREAS: Under the by-laws of CB #1, the Street Fairs Task Force will automatically dissolve one year from its creation unless continued by a resolution of the Board or the Executive Committee for a specified period of time, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 hereby (1) authorizes the sponsorship of street fairs by CB #1 in 2013 to raise funds to support the work of the organization, (2) extends the existence of the Street Fairs Task Force for an additional year, subject to the appointment of members by the Chair of CB #1 as provided by the by-laws, and (3) grants the Street Fairs Task Force the authority to determine whether it is appropriate to solicit bids from street fair promoters for the 2013 street fairs sponsored by CB #1, following an evaluation by the Street Fairs Task Force of (a) the manner in which the current promoter has performed its obligations with respect to the 2012 street fairs and (b) any proposal that promoter may choose to make to conduct the 2013 street fairs.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: OCTOBER 23, 2012

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA

COMMITTEE VOTE:	7 In Favor	1 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC MEMBERS:	3 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	37 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: Application for newsstand at SWC West Broadway and Leonard Street

WHEREAS: The applicant has applied to the Department of Consumer Affairs for a newsstand license at the southeast corner of Chambers Street and Greenwich Street; and

WHEREAS: The proposed location is a wide street that is not a congested location; and

WHEREAS: There are no newsstands within a 7 block radius of the proposed newsstand; now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB#1 approves a newsstand at the Southwest Corner of West Broadway and Leonard Street.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: OCTOBER 23, 2012

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA

COMMITTEE VOTE:	7 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC MEMBERS:	3 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	37 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: 222 West Broadway, application for restaurant liquor license for Terra

WHEREAS: The applicant, Terra, is applying for a restaurant liquor license; and

WHEREAS: The hours of operation of this establishment are 11 a.m. to 12:00 a.m. Sunday through Thursday and 11:00 a.m. to 2:00 a.m. Friday and Saturday; and

WHEREAS: The total area of the restaurant is approximately 1,900 square feet, including a dining area of 600 square feet with 11 tables and 22 seats, bar area of 150 square feet with 7 seats; and

WHEREAS: The applicant does not intend to apply for a cabaret license or sidewalk café license; and

WHEREAS: The applicant has represented that there are no buildings used primarily as schools, churches, synagogues or other places of worship within 200 feet of this establishment; and

WHEREAS: The applicant has represented that there are three or more establishments with on-premises liquor licenses within 500 feet of this establishment; and

WHEREAS: The applicant has signed and notarized a stipulations sheet; now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 *opposes* the granting of a restaurant wine and beer license to Terra at 222 West Broadway *unless* the applicant complies with the limitations and conditions set forth above.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: OCTOBER 23, 2012

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA

COMMITTEE VOTE:	7 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC MEMBERS:	3 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	37 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: 2 Avenue of the Americas, application for alteration of liquor license for Tribeca Grand Hotel

WHEREAS: The applicant, Tribeca Grand Hotel, Inc., is applying for alteration of an existing liquor license for an approved bar are; and

WHEREAS: The total square footage of the bar area is 546 with 0 tables 4 seats; and

WHEREAS: The closing hour of operation is midnight on weekdays and weekends is 4 a.m.; and

WHEREAS: The applicant has agreed to install soundproofing for the bar area; and

WHEREAS: There are no other changes in the method of operation of the establishment; and

WHEREAS: The applicant has signed and notarized a stipulations sheet; now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 opposes the granting of the alteration of the liquor license to Tribeca Grand Hotel, Inc. at 2 Avenue of the Americas unless the applicant complies with the limitations and conditions set for above.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: OCTOBER 23, 2012

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA

COMMITTEE VOTE:	7 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC MEMBERS:	3 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	37 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: 165 Church Street, application for restaurant wine and beer license for Sole Di Capri

WHEREAS: The applicant, Sole Di Capri, is applying for a restaurant wine and beer license; and

WHEREAS: The hours of operation of this establishment are 12 p.m. to 3:30 p.m. and 5:30 p.m. to 11 p.m. Monday through Saturday; and

WHEREAS: The total area of the restaurant is approximately 450 square feet with a public assembly capacity of 19, including a dining area of 150 square feet with 8 tables and 19 seats, bar area of 50 square feet with no seats; and

WHEREAS: The applicant does not intend to apply for a cabaret license or sidewalk café license; and

WHEREAS: The applicant has represented that there are no buildings used primarily as schools, churches, synagogues or other places of worship within 200 feet of this establishment; and

WHEREAS: The applicant has represented that there are three or more establishments with on-premises liquor licenses within 500 feet of this establishment; and

WHEREAS: The applicant has signed and notarized a stipulations sheet; now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 opposes the granting of a restaurant wine and beer license to Sole Di Capri at 165 Church Street unless the applicant complies with the limitations and conditions set for above.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: OCTOBER 23, 2012

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA

COMMITTEE VOTE:	7 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC MEMBERS:	3 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	37 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: 33 Leonard Street, application for renewal of sidewalk café license for Square Diner

WHEREAS: The applicant, TK Rest Corp. has applied for renewal of an unenclosed sidewalk café license for 15 tables and 31 seats;

WHEREAS: There is no change to the method of operations, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB#1 approves the renewal of the sidewalk café license for Square Diner at 33 Leonard Street.