

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: MAY 23, 2017

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: BATTERY PARK CITY

COMMITTEE VOTE:	5 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC MEMBERS	3 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	31 In Favor	0 Opposed	1 Abstained	1 Recused

RE: Battery Park City Authority Resiliency Planning

WHEREAS: Following Superstorm Sandy in October 2012, plans are being developed to improve defenses against climate change and extreme weather events in lower Manhattan and surrounding areas. The City is in the process of a study and preliminary design process for Lower Manhattan Coastal Resiliency (LMCR), which spans the perimeter of lower Manhattan from the Brooklyn Bridge to the northwest corner of Battery Park City; and

WHEREAS: The LMCR study and preliminary design phase is expected to be completed in spring 2018, but the project is not currently funded for implementation; and

WHEREAS: On a parallel track, the Hugh L. Carey Battery Park City Authority (BPCA) is developing its own plans to shore up Battery Park City (BPC) to protect it against extreme weather events, and has engaged a consultant, Perkins Eastman, to develop plans for Wagner Park, which the BPCA has identified as particularly vulnerable; and

WHEREAS: It is not yet clear how BPCA's resiliency plans will integrate with the City's LMCR initiative; and

WHEREAS: Representatives of Perkins Eastman have presented their preliminary plans for Wagner Park at two meetings of the BPC Committee (the Committee), in December 2016 and April 2017, and the Committee members appreciated that some of the concerns raised by the Committee in December were reflected in the plan presented in April; and

WHEREAS: A significant part of the Perkins Eastman plan for Wagner Park is focused on the pavilion building, and would replace the current structure with a new building that would be more enclosed and bulkier, with more programmed and fewer open areas; and

WHEREAS: It has not been made clear to members of the Committee why the existing structure, which was built in 1994, must be replaced by a new building, or why the new building is necessary; and

WHEREAS: The Committee is concerned about the expansion of the commercial elements in the proposed building given the character and nature of Wagner Park, which should be preserved in any new design, particularly with a sprawling commercial space nearby at Pier A; and

WHEREAS: Funding for this proposal would be extremely costly and it may well require federal funding at a time when the federal government is slashing budgets for local projects, especially those involved with climate change amelioration. This circumstance casts doubt on the project itself; now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board 1 (CB1) requests that the BPCA work closely with the community as it develops and revises its plans for the entire BPC waterfront including Wagner Park and the pavilion building, and includes input from the Committee throughout the process until a final plan is produced; and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED

THAT: The BPCA should also closely coordinate with the LMCR to ensure that its planning process compliments the LMCR and is not in any way redundant or incompatible with it, and report back about this coordination in public meetings with the community.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: MAY 23, 2017

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LANDMARKS

COMMITTEE VOTE: 7 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 32 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: 415 Broadway, an application to legalize the previously preserved and LPC approved for reinstallation historic bank entrance gates aka LPC NOC 16/0160

WHEREAS: When the bank building at 415 Broadway became part of the Tribeca East Historic District the LPC designation photograph shows a pair of existing historic gates, and

WHEREAS: The Landmarks Preservation Commission Certificate of Appropriateness number 98-4046 findings state "...the demolition of existing entrance steps to create an on-grade entrance, the installation of new black-finished, metal, glazed, double doors, and the relocation of historic bronze gates to the new on-grade entrance...", and

WHEREAS: The applicant has completed all of the COFA 98-4046 work. However the original historic brass gates are missing resulting in the LPC NOC 16/0160, and

WHEREAS: The applicant would prefer not to install replica gates due to the expense, and

WHEREAS: The building's historic entrance gates contributed to the overall harmony of the front face and were a fine example of historic bank-type entry features, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB1 recommends the Landmarks Preservation Commission abide by the findings of the 1998 Certificate of Appropriateness and requires the applicant to furnish and install new decorative gates based on historical photographic evidence.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: MAY 23, 2017

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LANDMARKS

COMMITTEE VOTE: 7 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 31 In Favor 0 Opposed 1 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: 312-322 Canal Street, application for new nine story building

WHEREAS: This application is for a new nine-story residential and retail building within the Tribeca East Historic District to replace the five buildings occupying the site currently, and

WHEREAS: Normally, this resolution would analyze the details of the application before offering a concluding recommendation, but in this instance, it is necessary to state without mincing that the proposed design is unacceptable on almost every level, and

WHEREAS: The Landmarks and Preservation Committee of Community Board 1 Manhattan does not reject applications on the basis of the loss of lot-line windows. In fact, the committee - and the full board - have approved many in the recent past, often to the ire of neighbors and community groups. Nor do the committee and the board insist on slavish historicist replication, a considered broadmindedness which again raises the ire of some advocacy groups, and

WHEREAS: The architect's commendably comprehensive presentation illustrates in minute detail everything that is wrong with the proposal, and

WHEREAS: In one of the last remaining truly historic, eclectic and low-rise blocks of Canal Street, this design is a 103-foot long blockhouse, extending in height from 86 feet to 100 feet, and

WHEREAS: At the last Landmarks Preservation Commission hearing about this site, in 2011, one commissioner described the block as "lively, teeming...tight, compact, and different," and

WHEREAS: On a presentation document called "Landmark District - Existing Heights," purporting to justify the height requested here, a mere handful of midblock or infill properties out of more than a hundred lots on the document are as high as this, and

WHEREAS: An exhausting roster of architectural claims are made about the structure's design details, and while the panelized, prefabricated masonry façade is busy upon close inspection, from a distance it looks like a pastiche of superficial quotations, and

WHEREAS: The view southward from Mercer Street is an important one to both the SoHo Cast-Iron Historic District and the Tribeca East Historic District and, while stentorian claims are made about the eastern end of the proposed building, which would terminate the view, the eastern terminus looks like nothing so much as a mini-33 Thomas Street -- a.k.a. the ATT Long Lines Building, a.k.a. the National Security Agency TITANPOINTE Building -- the windowless, brutalist tower designed by John Carl Warneke in 1974, whose designation day will never come and whose existence prompted a grassroots effort at landmarking Tribeca in the first place, and

WHEREAS: The architects cite many extraordinary buildings, historic and new, to justify this proposal -- buildings such as 120 Franklin Street; 7 Harrison Street; the glorious 50 White Street; the classic "whitestones" from 65 to 83 Worth Street (whose twins across the street were destroyed to erect 33 Thomas!); Morris Adjmi's 71 Laight Street and 837 Washington Street, and Annabelle Selldorf's terra-cotta 10 Bond Street -- and the buildings cited all criticize the proposal, and

WHEREAS: The aluminum and glass storefront seems to run for miles and is completely out of context for the historic district, unless one reaches back to the loading docks in the area at the end of the 1800s, when many warehouses had no first-floor enclosures at all, so horse-drawn trucks could load and unload around the clock, and

WHEREAS: The residential fenestration, while exhausting, offers little relief, and

WHEREAS: One wishes more could be said in favor of this proposal. An informed architect on the committee likened the application to "a Hilton Gardens," and

WHEREAS: The architect does show enthusiasm for the project, and

WHEREAS: In regard to massing, dimensionality, contextually, originality, and aesthetics, the committee is at a loss here, and

WHEREAS: Residents of the adjacent building at 45 Leonard Street spoke at the Committee and Board meetings in opposition to the proposed building as inappropriate for the historic district, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB1 urges that the Landmarks Preservation Commission reject this application.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: MAY 23, 2017

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LICENSING AND PERMITS

COMMITTEE VOTE: 6 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 32 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: 95 West Broadway, application for liquor license for Tribeca Hotel LLC

WHEREAS: The applicant, Tribeca Hotel LLC, has applied for a liquor license for 95 West Broadway; and

WHEREAS: The applicant requested hours of operation of noon to 4 a.m. seven days a week for room service and to 1 a.m. weekdays and 2 a.m. weekends for a bar on the first floor; and

WHEREAS: There will be recorded background music only; and

WHEREAS: The total area of the establishment will be 46,564 square feet; and

WHEREAS: The applicant does not intend to apply for a cabaret license; and

WHEREAS: The applicant does not intend to apply for a sidewalk café license; and

WHEREAS: The applicant has represented that there are no buildings used exclusively as schools, churches, synagogues or other places of worship within 200 feet of this establishment; and

WHEREAS: The applicant appeared before Community Board 1 in December, 2016 to request a liquor license for 95 West Broadway and CB1 opposed the application because the applicant did not agree to close the first floor bar at 2 a.m. in accordance with the CB1 guidelines for Tribeca liquor license applicants; and

WHEREAS: The applicant has signed and notarized a stipulations sheet; now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB1 rescinds its resolution of December, 2016 opposing this application, because the applicant has now agreed to comply with the CB1 guidelines for Tribeca liquor license applicants for closing hours for the first floor bar; and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED

THAT: CB 1 opposes the granting of a liquor license for 95 West Broadway unless the applicant complies with the limitations and conditions set forth above.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: MAY 23, 2017

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LICENSING AND PERMITS

COMMITTEE VOTE:	9 In Favor	0 Opposed	1 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC VOTE:	0 In Favor	1 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	31 In Favor	0 Opposed	1 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: 361 Greenwich Street, application for restaurant liquor license for IM GS LLC
d/b/a Il Mulino

WHEREAS: The applicant, IM GS LLC is applying for a liquor license; and

WHEREAS: The hours of operation at this establishment would be 7 a.m. to 1 a.m. on
weekdays and 7 a.m. to 2 a.m. on weekends with alcohol service starting at 10
a.m. seven days a week; and

WHEREAS: The total area of the restaurant is approximately 1,800 square feet with a public
assembly capacity of 74; and

WHEREAS: The applicant does not intend to apply for a cabaret license; and

WHEREAS: The applicant does not intend to apply for a sidewalk café license; and

WHEREAS: The establishment, which will be an Italian restaurant, will have background
music only; and

WHEREAS: The applicant has represented that there are three or more establishments with on-
premises liquor licenses within 500 feet of this establishment; and

WHEREAS: The applicant has represented that there are no buildings used exclusively as
schools, churches, synagogues or other places of worship within 200 feet of this
establishment; and

WHEREAS: The applicant has signed and notarized a stipulations sheet; now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 opposes the granting of a liquor license for IM GS LLC
d/b/a Il Mulino at 361 Greenwich Street unless the applicant complies with the
limitations and conditions set forth above.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: MAY 23, 2017

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LICENSING AND PERMITS

COMMITTEE VOTE:	10 In Favor	1 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC VOTE:	1 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	31 In Favor	1 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: 79 Walker Street, application for restaurant liquor license for 79 Walker Street Restaurant LLC

WHEREAS: The applicant, 79 Walker Street Restaurant LLC, is applying for a liquor license; and

WHEREAS: The applicant requested hours of operation of 6 a.m. to 2 a.m. seven days a week with alcohol service ending at 1:30 a.m. seven days a week; and

WHEREAS: Alcohol service in New York City can begin no earlier than 7 a.m. Monday through Saturday and 12 p.m. on Sunday; and

WHEREAS: The applicant's reputation encouraged nearby residents to hope it could provide an anchor for a residential area undergoing considerable development; and

WHEREAS: The residents and committee members were concerned about recommending hours beyond the Tribeca guidelines without the usual six month to a year trial period; and

WHEREAS: Further concerns were expressed about possible intermingling of patrons from the proposed establishment during late hours with patrons of M1-5 Bar and Lounge at 52 Walker Street, a bar with a 4 a.m. closing time six nights a week that has caused complaints over the years, particularly about crowds milling loudly in the streets, and which was found recently by the SLA to be operating for years outside of its approved method of operations; and

WHEREAS: The applicant submitted a stipulation sheet with his questionnaire that, as long as it includes the agreed-upon opening time for liquor service of 10 a.m. rather than 6 a.m., was acceptable to the committee in all areas other than the requested 2 a.m. closing time, and

WHEREAS: The applicant's other NYC establishment on Charles Street closes at 12 a.m., and its Chicago establishment closes either at 12 a.m., as represented on the Chicago menu supplied with the questionnaire, or 1 a.m. six days a week as seen recently on their website; and

WHEREAS: The committee requested that the applicant sign stipulations complying with Tribeca Committee guidelines that call for establishments on side streets to close at 12 a.m. on weekdays and 1 a.m. on weekends; and

WHEREAS: The total area of the restaurant is 7,300 square feet with a public assembly of approximately 130; and

WHEREAS: This establishment would be a coffee bar, restaurant and bar with recorded background music; and

WHEREAS: The applicant does not intend to apply for a cabaret license; and

WHEREAS: The applicant does intend to apply for a sidewalk café license; and

WHEREAS: The applicant has represented that there are not three or more establishments with on-premises liquor licenses; and

WHEREAS: The applicant has represented that there are no buildings used exclusively as schools, churches, synagogues or other places of worship within 200 feet of this establishment; now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 *opposes* the granting of a liquor license at 79 Walker Street *unless* the applicant complies with the limitations and conditions set forth above, including the terms on the stipulations submitted by the applicant along with their questionnaire, as well as an opening time of 10 a.m. and a closing time of 12 a.m. on weekdays and 1 a.m. weekends to comply with CB1's guidelines for Tribeca liquor license applicants.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 –MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: MAY 23, 2017

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LICENSING & PERMITS

COMMITTEE VOTE:	8 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC VOTE:	1 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	31 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	1 Recused

RE: 203 Front Street, application for a restaurant/bar liquor license for Superspace 2 LLC

WHEREAS: The applicant, Superspace 2 LLC, is applying for a restaurant/bar liquor license; and

WHEREAS: The alcohol service hours will be 11:00AM – 2:00AM all week; and

WHEREAS: The establishment will be a total of 4,597 square feet including a dining area of 1,600 square feet with 13 tables and 66 seats; a bar area of 1,500 square feet with 4 tables and 24 seats; and a kitchen area of 1,000 square feet; and

WHEREAS: The public assembly capacity of the space will be 100; and

WHEREAS: The applicant does not intend to apply for a cabaret license and

WHEREAS: The applicant does not intend to apply for a sidewalk café license; and

WHEREAS: The applicant has represented that there are no buildings used exclusively as schools, churches, synagogues or other places of worship within 200 feet of this establishment; and

WHEREAS: The applicant has represented that there are three or more establishments with on-premises liquor licenses within 500 feet of this establishment; and

WHEREAS: The applicant has signed and notarized a stipulations sheet; now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB1 *opposes* the granting of a restaurant/bar liquor license to Superspace 2 LLC *unless* the applicant complies with the limitations and conditions set forth above.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 –MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: MAY 23, 2017

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LICENSING & PERMITS

COMMITTEE VOTE:	9 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC VOTE:	1 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	31 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	1 Recused

RE: 53 Stone Street, application for a restaurant liquor license for 53 Lapidar Inc.

WHEREAS: The applicant, 53 Lapidar Inc., is applying for a restaurant liquor license; and

WHEREAS: The alcohol service hours will be 11:00AM – 2:00AM all week. After one year, the applicant may request extended hours if there have been no problems; and

WHEREAS: The establishment will be a total of 1,600 square feet including a dining area of 200 square feet with 8 tables and 16 seats; a bar area of 350 square feet with 13 tables, 50 seats and 6 barstools; and a kitchen area of 200 square feet; and

WHEREAS: The public assembly capacity of the space will be 74; and

WHEREAS: The applicant does not intend to apply for a cabaret license and

WHEREAS: The applicant does not intend to apply for a sidewalk café license; and

WHEREAS: The applicant has represented that there are no buildings used exclusively as schools, churches, synagogues or other places of worship within 200 feet of this establishment; and

WHEREAS: The applicant has represented that there are three or more establishments with on-premises liquor licenses within 500 feet of this establishment; and

WHEREAS: The backyard seating area (i.e. the Stone Street side) will close at 10PM, all patrons will be cleared at that time; and

WHEREAS: Shared security will be used from the Stone Street pedestrian mall; and

WHEREAS: The applicant has signed and notarized a stipulations sheet; now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB1 opposes the granting of a restaurant liquor license to 53 Lapidar Inc. unless the applicant complies with the limitations and conditions set forth above.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 –MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: MAY 23, 2017

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LICENSING & PERMITS

COMMITTEE VOTE:	9 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC VOTE:	1 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	32 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: 88 Broad Street, application for alteration of a liquor license for OBBM LLC d/b/a Broadstone Tap House

WHEREAS: The applicant, OBBM LLC d/b/a Broadstone Tap House, is applying for alteration of a liquor license; and

WHEREAS: The applicant intends to apply to the State Liquor Authority for permission to make alterations, by extending the premises covered by its liquor license to include an unenclosed sidewalk café on the Stone Street side of the building, which will contain 7 tables and 14 seats; and

WHEREAS: Community Board 1 has already adopted a resolution recommending approval of the sidewalk café application; and

WHEREAS: The alcohol service hours for this extended area will be 11:30AM – 11PM Sunday – Thursday and 11:00AM – 11:00PM Friday and Saturday. After one year, the applicant may request extended hours if there have been no problems; and

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB1 opposes the granting of a liquor license alteration to OBBM LLC d/b/a Broadstone Tap House unless the applicant complies with the limitations and conditions set forth above.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 –MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: MAY 23, 2017

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LICENSING & PERMITS

COMMITTEE VOTE: 8 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 32 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: 5 Hanover Square, application for a restaurant liquor license for 5 Hanover Square Café Inc. d/b/a The Bedford

WHEREAS: The applicant, 5 Hanover Square Café Inc. d/b/a The Bedford, is applying for a restaurant license; and

WHEREAS: The alcohol service hours will be 10:00AM – 12:00 AM all week. After 6 months, the applicant may request extended hours if there have been no problems; and

WHEREAS: The establishment will be a total of 2,000 square feet including a dining area of 900 square feet with 20 tables and 55 seats; a bar area of 300 square feet with 12 seats; and a kitchen area of 800 square feet; and

WHEREAS: The occupancy maximum of the space will be 73; and

WHEREAS: The applicant does not intend to apply for a cabaret license and

WHEREAS: The applicant does not intend to apply for a sidewalk café license; and

WHEREAS: The applicant has represented that there are no buildings used exclusively as schools, churches, synagogues or other places of worship within 200 feet of this establishment; and

WHEREAS: The applicant has represented that there are three or more establishments with on-premises liquor licenses within 500 feet of this establishment; and

WHEREAS: The applicant agrees to be vigilant as to loitering and smoking at Memorial Garden Park; and

WHEREAS: The applicant has represented that garbage will be stored in an indoor refrigerated unit until the restaurant porter takes it out around 2AM for private pick-up on Hanover Street; and

WHEREAS: The applicant has represented that they will hose down the street/sidewalk each morning; and

WHEREAS: The applicant has signed and notarized a stipulations sheet; now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB1 opposes the granting of a restaurant liquor license to 5 Hanover Square Café Inc. d/b/a The Bedford unless the applicant complies with the limitations and conditions set forth above.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 –MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: MAY 23, 2017

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LICENSING & PERMITS

COMMITTEE VOTE: 9 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 32 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: Transportation Alternatives street activity permit application for a single block festival, Friday, June 9, 2017

WHEREAS: Transportation Alternatives has applied for a street activity permit application for a single block festival on Broad Street between South Street and Water Street on Friday, June 9, 2017 from 10:00AM – 6:00PM; and

WHEREAS: The applicant appeared before CB1 in March 2017 to propose this event on Beach Street between Greenwich Street and Hudson Streets for August 29, 2017. CB1 adopted a resolution opposing the event because of problems associated with the location; and

WHEREAS: The Licensing & Permits Committee discussed potential negative impacts and complications associated with hosting this event on Broad Street, and discussed with the applicant the possibility of hosting the event on Old Slip which is busy with pedestrian but not vehicular traffic; now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB1 cannot support this event as it is currently proposed on Broad Street because it is a busy and narrow area; and

BE IT

FURTHER

RESOLVED

THAT: CB1 would support this event taking place on Old Slip on Friday, June 9, 2017 from 10AM – 6PM provided that:

1. The New York City Department of Transportation reviews the application and determines that it is compatible with nearby construction activity that is expected to be simultaneously underway, and
2. Traffic control agents are deployed as needed to ensure that there is no significant adverse impact from the event on traffic flow, and
3. Clean-up will be coordinated with the appropriate City Agencies, and
4. Pedestrian and vehicular traffic in and out of all garages downtown remain open at all times.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: MAY 23, 2017

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: QUALITY OF LIFE

COMMITTEE VOTE:	9 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC VOTE:	2 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	33 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: Community Board 1 Participation in the Hudson Square/West Village Transportation Study as it relates to Community Board 1

WHEREAS: The New York City Department of Transportation (DOT) is conducting a study of the area mainly north of Canal Street regarding traffic congestion and pedestrian and bicycle safety improvements and recommendations related to congestion predominantly caused by traffic associated with the Holland Tunnel entrance in Community Board 2 (CB2) and new development projects, and

WHEREAS: Within the Community Board 1 (CB1) area, 50% of the vehicles enter the Holland Tunnel south of Canal Street, and 100% of the vehicles exit the Holland Tunnel south of Canal Street, and

WHEREAS: On April 6th 2017, DOT gave a preliminary presentation to the CB2 Traffic and Transportation Committee that included recommendations that would have an impact on CB1, such as that at the intersection of Varick and Canal Streets there should be a restriction that no left turns be allowed onto Canal Street eastbound, which would require vehicular traffic to drive into Tribeca to get onto Canal Street eastbound, and

WHEREAS: CB1 is concerned that the study may give limited consideration to the long-term traffic congestion and pedestrian quality of life and safety issues within CB1 caused by the congestion associated with the Holland Tunnel, and

WHEREAS: At the same CB2 committee meeting, it was suggested that CB1 and CB2 cooperate in the study as it affects both community boards, a suggestion which was endorsed by the committee co-chair, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: Community Boards 1 and 2 must work together to address the negative quality of life impacts in the common use areas related to the Holland Tunnel in conjunction with the New York City Department of Transportation, and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED

THAT:

Prior to recommendations and decisions being made on future implementation strategies or proposed changes, the NYC Department of Transportation and our colleagues at CB2 must include participation and input from Community Board 1 to address the impacts within CB1.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: MAY 23, 2017

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: QUALITY OF LIFE

COMMITTEE VOTE:	9 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC VOTE:	1 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	33 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: Temporary alternate side street parking regulations on Hubert Street

WHEREAS: Due to various construction projects over the last several years, various temporary alternate side street parking regulations were installed and many of these were later converted to permanent alternate side parking regulations; and

WHEREAS: Hubert Street has had temporary alternate side street parking between Hudson and Collister Streets for the last seven years, however this section of Hubert Street was recently changed, with the north side of the street converted to commercial parking and the south side remaining temporary alternate side street parking; and

WHEREAS: With the increase in residential population within Tribeca and downtown overall, more alternate side street parking is needed; and

WHEREAS: The neighborhood over the last seven years has become accustomed to this section of Hubert Street as a place with alternate side street parking; now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB1 requests that the north side of Hubert Street between Hudson and Collister Streets be restored to temporary alternate side street parking and be made permanent; and

BE IT

FURTHER

RESOLVED

THAT: CB1 requests that the south side of Hubert Street between Hudson and Collister Streets, which is currently temporary alternate side street parking, be made permanent.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: MAY 23, 2017

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: YOUTH AND EDUCATION

COMMITTEE VOTE: 7 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 1 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 32 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 1 Recused

RE: Borough of Manhattan Community College Capital Budget Request

WHEREAS: The Borough of Manhattan Community College is a well-respected institution of higher education within the City University of New York, and a valued and collaborative partner situated in Community Board 1, and

WHEREAS: The Borough of Manhattan Community College has been providing quality higher educational opportunities to the City of New York for more than 50 years; and

WHEREAS: With over 26,000 students, the demands on BMCC's campus infrastructure are significant, and;

WHEREAS: The Borough of Manhattan Community College has submitted a Fiscal Year 2018 Reso-A capital budget request to the Speaker of the New York City Council and the Office of the Manhattan Borough President for capital improvement projects totaling \$1.9 million - \$1.4 million from the City Council directly and \$500,000 from the Manhattan Borough President's Office, and

WHEREAS: These two projects would improve the college's learning and work environment and make the main building, located at 199 Chambers Street, more energy-efficient and sustainable, and

WHEREAS: \$900,000 of this request would be used to enclose a pedestrian passageway separating the two wings of the third floor of the main campus at 199 Chambers Street to provide a continuous, cohesive link between them, and

WHEREAS: The enclosed pedestrian extension will allow students to traverse the building without venturing outside to go between the Registrar's Office and the Bursar, Counseling, Job Placement, Disabilities Office and Health Services, and

WHEREAS: This project will also alleviate clustering and overcrowding at the third floor courtyard entrance and exit, and

WHEREAS \$1 million of this request is for an LED lighting conversion in public areas of the college, and

WHEREAS: This project will replace outdated fluorescent lighting fixtures in various public areas on campus with LED units to provide greater illumination, energy conservation and safety, and

WHEREAS: Replacement will reduce the labor costs required to install hard-to-find and ever-more expensive replacement parts, and

WHEREAS: This project is the third phase of a college-wide lighting replacement program that began with the support of the City Council and the Manhattan Borough President in previous budget cycles, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board 1 supports the Borough of Manhattan Community College Fiscal Year 2018 Reso-A capital budget request to the Speaker of the New York City Council and the Office of the Manhattan Borough President for capital improvement projects totaling \$1.9 million - \$1.4 million from the City Council directly and \$500,000 from the Manhattan Borough President's Office.