

22 FEB 2024

Fitch Rates New York City Muni Water Finance Auth's Revs 'AA+'; Outlook Stable

Fitch Ratings - New York - 22 Feb 2024: Fitch Ratings has assigned a 'AA+' rating to the following New York City Municipal Water Finance Authority's (NYW or the authority) revenue bonds.

Water and sewer system second general resolution (SGR) revenue bonds fiscal 2024 series BB consisting of the following:

--Approximately \$206.5 million subseries BB-1;

--Approximately \$550.0 million subseries BB-2.

The fiscal 2024 series BB bonds are scheduled to sell the week of March 4 through negotiation. Proceeds of the bonds will be used to pay costs of system improvements, refund certain outstanding bonds, and to pay costs of issuance.

Fitch has also affirmed the following ratings:

--Approximately \$405.7 million first general resolution (FGR) revenue bonds and various related bank bonds at 'AA+' (pre-refunding);

--Approximately \$26.4 billion SGR revenue bonds and various related bank bonds at 'AA+' (pre-refunding);

--\$400 million authorized extendable municipal CP (EMCP) notes, series seven and eight at 'F1+'.

The Rating Outlook is Stable.

The 'AA+' rating on the FGR and SGR bonds reflects the combined credit quality of the authority and the New York City Water Board (the water board), as well as the authority's role as the issuer of revenue bonds on behalf of and in support of the expansive New York City (the city) water and sewer system (the system). The financial profile is very strong and assessed at 'aa' in the context of historically very low leverage, measured as net adjusted debt to adjusted funds available for debt service (FADS) on a gross revenue basis. The 'F1+' short-term rating on the extendable commercial paper notes primarily reflects the 'AA+' long-term rating and adequate liquidity.

Bondholders are afforded strong protections through a statutory framework providing a gross lien on pledged water and sewer revenues, along with Fitch Rating's expectation of the remoteness of either the authority or water board filing for bankruptcy protection or being included in a city bankruptcy proceeding if one were to ever occur. The credit profile is further supported by the very strong revenue defensibility and operating risk profile, both assessed at 'aa'.

Leverage was in the mid-7.0x range through fiscal 2020 (FYE June 30). The adverse impact of the pandemic on the service area and resulting weaker collections drove leverage to 8.3x in fiscal 2021. Additionally, the

system's previously projected rate increase was not adopted that year in light of the widespread economic strain, further reducing revenue-generating capacity.

In fiscal 2022, leverage improved to 8.0x, reflecting an increase in revenues driven by the 2.76% rate increase combined with a higher rate of consumption, slowing rate of delinquencies and the elimination of the lease payment to the city (discussed in more detail below). Total debt service was lower in fiscal 2022, the result of prior years' cash defeasances and the benefits of the low interest rate environment on certain variable-rate debt service. Fiscal 2023 results showed further improvement with leverage declining to 7.3x, driven by better than projected revenues, including the impact of an amnesty program in place for fiscal 2023.

The authority's projections through fiscal 2028 date from June 2023, prior to city's January 2024 request for a rental payment of \$145 million in fiscal 2024 and \$295 million (the maximum amount) in fiscal 2025. Although the rental payments have not yet been formally incorporated in the projections, the impact of these payments is largely mitigated by better than anticipated fiscal 2023 results.

Based on the authority's assumptions from June 2023, and including the city's requested lease payments in fiscal 2024 and 2025, leverage is anticipated to rise and reach 8.3x in fiscal 2028, in line with levels previously anticipated; leverage would be declining in the absence of the lease payments. Fitch anticipates updated projections as a result of the water board's fiscal 2025 rate setting process will more explicitly incorporate the fiscal 2024 and 2025 requested lease payments, and any additional lease payments, if requested.

Fitch does not differentiate between the FGR and SGR liens as they are both afforded strong support from gross revenue pledge and benefit from the subordination of O&M payments. Since 2020, the authority has historically prefunded in excess of \$1.0 billion of debt service, further reducing risk to subordinate lien bondholders.

SECURITY

SGR bonds are special obligations of NYW issued under the SGR and payable solely from and secured by a subordinate lien on gross revenues of NYW. Outstanding FGR bonds are payable solely from and secured by a first lien on gross revenues of NYW.

KEY RATING DRIVERS

Revenue Defensibility - 'aa'

Very Strong Rate Flexibility, Favorable Service Area with Unique Economic Profile

The water board retains the legal authority to adjust rates as needed without external oversight. Revenue defensibility is further supported by the system's monopolistic provision of water and sewer services to its favorable service area. The service area is characterized by a stable customer base, median household income that approximates the nation and weaker, but improving, unemployment rates relative to the nation.

Fitch also considers the city's unique economic profile as an international center for numerous industries and an anchor for the service area. Rates are considered affordable for almost 80% of the service area population, using Fitch's standard monthly usage of 7,500 gallons for water and 6,000 gallons for sewer.

Operating Risk - 'aa'

Very Low Operating Cost Burden, Elevated Capital Needs

In fiscal 2023, the system's operating cost burden was considered very low at \$3,497 per million gallons (mg), consistent with the operating risk assessment. The life cycle ratio was a low 37% in fiscal 2023. Capex outpaces annual depreciation, with a five-year average of 182% for the five years ended fiscal 2023.

The system's capital improvement plan (CIP) for fiscal years 2024 through 2028 approximates \$15.4 billion of system funds, while associated estimated actual spending is expected to be lower at around \$12.0 billion for the same period. Approximately 38% of the CIP is related to water pollution control projects, including plant upgrades and reconstruction. Water distribution approximates 22% of the CIP, water supply and transmission approximate 19%, and sewer programs approximate 18%. Estimated capital spending averages \$2.4 billion annually during these five years, well in excess of historical depreciation, supporting a continued low life cycle ratio.

Financial Profile - 'aa'

Leverage Remains Elevated for the Rating; Neutral Liquidity

The authority's leverage (on a gross lien basis) was 7.3x in fiscal 2023, declining from the peak of 8.3x in fiscal 2021. Fiscal 2023 results reflect increased liquidity available for debt service, collections that benefitted from the rate increase and an amnesty program that realized \$105 million. The liquidity profile is neutral to the assessment and reflects coverage of full obligations (COFO) of 1.6x and current cash on hand of 391 days, when incorporating carry forward revenues. (Carry forward revenues include available funds that are restricted at the fiscal year end.)

The FAST considers the potential trend of key ratios in a base case and stress case over a five-year period. The stress case is designed to impose capital costs 10% above expected base case levels and evaluate potential variability in projected key ratios. The FAST reflects Fitch's view of a reasonable scenario, which is generally informed by publicly available and/or management provided information with respect to capital expenditures, user charges and rate of revenue and expenditure growth.

In the base case, leverage approximates 8.1x in fiscal 2024 and after rising to 8.2x in fiscal 2026 declines to 8.1x in fiscal 2028. In the stress case, leverage reaches 8.2x in fiscal 2024 and gradually increases through the five-year horizon to 8.3x in fiscal 2028. In consideration of the significant construction funds that would likely be available in each year given the cyclical nature of issuing and spending down that the system demonstrates, leverage is closer to 8.2x in the stress case. Although near-term leverage has declined from previous estimates, a sustained pause in the trend of declining leverage or a reversal of this trend is likely to have negative rating implications. The liquidity profile is anticipated to remain neutral to the assessment.

Asymmetric Additional Risk Considerations

No asymmetric additive risk considerations affected this rating determination.

RATING SENSITIVITIES

Factors that Could, Individually or Collectively, Lead to Negative Rating Action/Downgrade

--Failure to realize a declining leverage trend over the short term.

--Leverage that stabilizes around 8.5x in Fitch's base or stress cases.

--Sustained weakness in FADS stemming from a delay in rate-setting or an increase in expenses that weaken the liquidity position.

Factors that Could, Individually or Collectively, Lead to Positive Rating Action/Upgrade

--A sustained decline in leverage to below 5.0x in Fitch's base and stress cases within the context of current revenue defensibility and operating risk assessments.

PROFILE

The authority was created as a public benefit corporation in 1984 to issue bonds, notes and other financing instruments to fund capital improvements to the city's system. Fitch considers the authority an issuer that benefits from a contractual framework in which revenues and costs are largely balanced. The water board leases the system from the city and is responsible for setting, levying and collecting customer rates and system revenues to transfer to the authority for bond repayment and to the city for O&M reimbursement.

In addition to reimbursement for O&M, the city is entitled to an annual lease payment, most recently received in fiscal 2021. In January 2024, the city requested a lease payment of \$145 million and \$295 million for fiscal years 2024 and 2025, respectively; no lease payment has been requested for fiscal years 2026 through 2028. The maximum annual lease payment is 15% of the authority's annual debt service; the maximum lease payment ranges from about \$283 million in fiscal 2024 to about \$373 million in fiscal 2028.

The authority has the power to require the water board to charge and collect sufficient rates to pay the costs of operating and financing the system, as well as to enforce that the city adequately operate and maintain the system. The authority may not file for bankruptcy without state legislative approval, and Fitch views the authority as bankruptcy-remote from the city. Fitch also views the water board as bankruptcy-remote from the city.

The system is the country's largest municipally owned water and sewer utility. It is operated by the New York City (NYC) Department of Environmental Protection (DEP, a city agency) and provides retail water and sewer service to approximately 835,700 accounts and about 8.3 million residents within the city. It also provides wholesale service to approximately one million residents in communities north of the city. The service area includes over 300 square miles across NYC's five boroughs (the Bronx, Brooklyn, Manhattan, Queens and Staten Island) as well as communities within Westchester, Putnam, Orange and Ulster counties.

The DEP performs day-to-day system O&M and is responsible for executing the system's multi-billion-dollar capital program. Capital and maintenance needs are expected to remain significant over the long term given the demand placed on system assets in an expansive urban setting.

The water system provides an average of approximately 1 billion gallons per day (bgd) from three upstate aqueducts that span as far as 125 miles north of the city. Demand has steadily declined over time due to water efficiency measures and has been a stable 75% of dependable yield from three city-owned upstate reservoir systems. The sewer system collects and treats an average of approximately 1.2 bgd of wastewater at 14 in-city wastewater treatment plants for virtually the entire city. Average annual flows approximate 70% of the 1.8 bgd design capacity.

Structural and Legal Protections

Fitch believes NYW bondholders benefit from strong legal protections that include the statutorily defined nature of the authority, bankruptcy remoteness and the gross pledge of system revenues. While these layers of legal protection do not completely shield FGR and SGR bondholders from the operational risks of the city's massive water and sewer enterprise, they limit diversion of revenues to general city operations.

A large portion of annual debt service obligations is consistently funded well in advance of scheduled payment dates by the restricted revenue fund, which consists of both current year revenues and carry-forward surplus cash. The authority carried forward about \$1.7 billion into fiscal 2024, sufficient to pre-pay approximately 90% of fiscal 2024 combined FGR and SGR debt service of about \$1.9 billion.

After monthly required deposits under the SGR are satisfied and held by NYW's trustee, funds will be released for other purposes, including the cash-defeasance of additional debt, reimbursement to the city for O&M expenses and the lease payment (if any).

Sources of Information

In addition to the sources of information identified in Fitch's applicable criteria specified below, this action was informed by information from Lumesis.

REFERENCES FOR SUBSTANTIALLY MATERIAL SOURCE CITED AS KEY DRIVER OF RATING

The principal sources of information used in the analysis are described in the Applicable Criteria.

ESG Considerations

The highest level of ESG credit relevance is a score of '3', unless otherwise disclosed in this section. A score of '3' means ESG issues are credit-neutral or have only a minimal credit impact on the entity, either due to their nature or the way in which they are being managed by the entity. Fitch's ESG Relevance Scores are not inputs in the rating process; they are an observation on the relevance and materiality of ESG factors in the rating decision. For more information on Fitch's ESG Relevance Scores, visit www.fitchratings.com/topics/esg/products#esg-relevance-scores.

Fitch Ratings Analysts

Kristen Reifsnyder

Director

Primary Rating Analyst

+1 646 582 3448

Fitch Ratings, Inc. Hearst Tower 300 W. 57th Street New York, NY 10019

Allison Clark

Director

Secondary Rating Analyst

+1 646 582 4562

Audra Dickinson

Senior Director

Committee Chairperson

+1 512 813 5701

Media Contacts





Sandro Scenga

New York

+1 212 908 0278

sandro.scenga@thefitchgroup.com

Rating Actions

ENTITY/DEBT	RATING		RECOVERY	PRIOR
New York City Municipal Water Finance Authority (NY) [Water, Sewer]				
<div><div></div><div>New York City Municipal Water Finance Authority (NY) /Water & Sewer Revenues (2nd Lien)/2 LT</div></div>	LT	AA+ 	Affirmed	AA+ 
<div><div></div><div>New York City Municipal Water Finance Authority (NY) /Water & Sewer Revenues/1 LT</div></div>	LT	AA+ 	Affirmed	AA+ 
<div><div></div><div>New York City Municipal Water Finance Authority (NY)</div></div>	ST	F1+	Affirmed	F1+

ENTITY/DEBT	RATING	RECOVERY	PRIOR
/Water & Sewer Revenues/1 ST			

RATINGS KEY OUTLOOK WATCH

POSITIVE	+	◇
NEGATIVE	-	◇
EVOLVING	◊	◆
STABLE	○	

Applicable Criteria

- U.S. Public Sector, Revenue-Supported Entities Rating Criteria (pub.12 Jan 2024) (including rating assumption sensitivity)
- U.S. Water and Sewer Rating Criteria (pub.03 Mar 2023) (including rating assumption sensitivity)

Additional Disclosures

Solicitation Status

Endorsement Status

New York City Municipal Water Finance Authority (NY) EU Endorsed, UK Endorsed

DISCLAIMER & DISCLOSURES

All Fitch Ratings (Fitch) credit ratings are subject to certain limitations and disclaimers. Please read these limitations and disclaimers by following this link: <https://www.fitchratings.com/understandingcreditratings>. In addition, the following <https://www.fitchratings.com/rating-definitions-document> details Fitch's rating definitions for each rating scale and rating categories, including definitions relating to default. ESMA and the FCA are required to publish historical default rates in a central repository in accordance with Articles 11(2) of Regulation (EC) No 1060/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 September 2009 and The Credit Rating Agencies (Amendment etc.) (EU Exit) Regulations 2019 respectively.

Published ratings, criteria, and methodologies are available from this site at all times. Fitch's code of conduct, confidentiality, conflicts of interest, affiliate firewall, compliance, and other relevant policies and procedures

are also available from the Code of Conduct section of this site. Directors and shareholders' relevant interests are available at <https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory>. Fitch may have provided another permissible or ancillary service to the rated entity or its related third parties. Details of permissible or ancillary service(s) for which the lead analyst is based in an ESMA- or FCA-registered Fitch Ratings company (or branch of such a company) can be found on the entity summary page for this issuer on the Fitch Ratings website.

In issuing and maintaining its ratings and in making other reports (including forecast information), Fitch relies on factual information it receives from issuers and underwriters and from other sources Fitch believes to be credible. Fitch conducts a reasonable investigation of the factual information relied upon by it in accordance with its ratings methodology, and obtains reasonable verification of that information from independent sources, to the extent such sources are available for a given security or in a given jurisdiction. The manner of Fitch's factual investigation and the scope of the third-party verification it obtains will vary depending on the nature of the rated security and its issuer, the requirements and practices in the jurisdiction in which the rated security is offered and sold and/or the issuer is located, the availability and nature of relevant public information, access to the management of the issuer and its advisers, the availability of pre-existing third-party verifications such as audit reports, agreed-upon procedures letters, appraisals, actuarial reports, engineering reports, legal opinions and other reports provided by third parties, the availability of independent and competent third-party verification sources with respect to the particular security or in the particular jurisdiction of the issuer, and a variety of other factors. Users of Fitch's ratings and reports should understand that neither an enhanced factual investigation nor any third-party verification can ensure that all of the information Fitch relies on in connection with a rating or a report will be accurate and complete. Ultimately, the issuer and its advisers are responsible for the accuracy of the information they provide to Fitch and to the market in offering documents and other reports. In issuing its ratings and its reports, Fitch must rely on the work of experts, including independent auditors with respect to financial statements and attorneys with respect to legal and tax matters. Further, ratings and forecasts of financial and other information are inherently forward-looking and embody assumptions and predictions about future events that by their nature cannot be verified as facts. As a result, despite any verification of current facts, ratings and forecasts can be affected by future events or conditions that were not anticipated at the time a rating or forecast was issued or affirmed. Fitch Ratings makes routine, commonly-accepted adjustments to reported financial data in accordance with the relevant criteria and/or industry standards to provide financial metric consistency for entities in the same sector or asset class.

The complete span of best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings for all rating categories ranges from 'AAA' to 'D'. Fitch also provides information on best-case rating upgrade scenarios and worst-case rating downgrade scenarios (defined as the 99th percentile of rating transitions, measured in each direction) for international credit ratings, based on historical performance. A simple average across asset classes presents best-case upgrades of 4 notches and worst-case downgrades of 8 notches at the 99th percentile. Sector-specific best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings are listed in more detail at <https://www.fitchratings.com/site/re/10238496>

The information in this report is provided "as is" without any representation or warranty of any kind, and Fitch does not represent or warrant that the report or any of its contents will meet any of the requirements of a recipient of the report. A Fitch rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a security. This opinion and reports made by Fitch are based on established criteria and methodologies that Fitch is continuously evaluating and updating. Therefore, ratings and reports are the collective work product of Fitch and no individual, or group of individuals, is solely responsible for a rating or a report. The rating does not address the risk of loss due to risks other than credit risk, unless such risk is specifically mentioned. Fitch is not engaged in the offer or sale of any security. All Fitch reports have shared authorship. Individuals identified in

a Fitch report were involved in, but are not solely responsible for, the opinions stated therein. The individuals are named for contact purposes only. A report providing a Fitch rating is neither a prospectus nor a substitute for the information assembled, verified and presented to investors by the issuer and its agents in connection with the sale of the securities. Ratings may be changed or withdrawn at any time for any reason in the sole discretion of Fitch. Fitch does not provide investment advice of any sort. Ratings are not a recommendation to buy, sell, or hold any security. Ratings do not comment on the adequacy of market price, the suitability of any security for a particular investor, or the tax-exempt nature or taxability of payments made in respect to any security. Fitch receives fees from issuers, insurers, guarantors, other obligors, and underwriters for rating securities. Such fees generally vary from US\$1,000 to US\$750,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent) per issue. In certain cases, Fitch will rate all or a number of issues issued by a particular issuer, or insured or guaranteed by a particular insurer or guarantor, for a single annual fee. Such fees are expected to vary from US\$10,000 to US\$1,500,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent). The assignment, publication, or dissemination of a rating by Fitch shall not constitute a consent by Fitch to use its name as an expert in connection with any registration statement filed under the United States securities laws, the Financial Services and Markets Act of 2000 of the United Kingdom, or the securities laws of any particular jurisdiction. Due to the relative efficiency of electronic publishing and distribution, Fitch research may be available to electronic subscribers up to three days earlier than to print subscribers.

For Australia, New Zealand, Taiwan and South Korea only: Fitch Australia Pty Ltd holds an Australian financial services license (AFS license no. 337123) which authorizes it to provide credit ratings to wholesale clients only. Credit ratings information published by Fitch is not intended to be used by persons who are retail clients within the meaning of the Corporations Act 2001. Fitch Ratings, Inc. is registered with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission as a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization (the “NRSRO”). While certain of the NRSRO's credit rating subsidiaries are listed on Item 3 of Form NRSRO and as such are authorized to issue credit ratings on behalf of the NRSRO (see <https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory>), other credit rating subsidiaries are not listed on Form NRSRO (the “non-NRSROs”) and therefore credit ratings issued by those subsidiaries are not issued on behalf of the NRSRO. However, non-NRSRO personnel may participate in determining credit ratings issued by or on behalf of the NRSRO.

dv01, a Fitch Solutions company, and an affiliate of Fitch Ratings, may from time to time serve as loan data agent on certain structured finance transactions rated by Fitch Ratings.

Copyright © 2024 by Fitch Ratings, Inc., Fitch Ratings Ltd. and its subsidiaries. 33 Whitehall Street, NY, NY 10004. Telephone: 1-800-753-4824, (212) 908-0500. Reproduction or retransmission in whole or in part is prohibited except by permission. All rights reserved.

Endorsement policy

Fitch's international credit ratings produced outside the EU or the UK, as the case may be, are endorsed for use by regulated entities within the EU or the UK, respectively, for regulatory purposes, pursuant to the terms of the EU CRA Regulation or the UK Credit Rating Agencies (Amendment etc.) (EU Exit) Regulations 2019, as the case may be. Fitch's approach to endorsement in the EU and the UK can be found on Fitch's [Regulatory Affairs](#) page on Fitch's website. The endorsement status of international credit ratings is provided within the entity summary page for each rated entity and in the transaction detail pages for structured finance transactions on the Fitch website. These disclosures are updated on a daily basis.