# NEW YORK CITY OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE TRIALS AND HEARINGS ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL BOARD

## BOARD MEETING

Training Room 143, 12th Floor

100 Church Street, New York, New York

September 29, 2016

9:22 A.M. to 9:50 A.M.

### MEMBERS PRESENT:

Chari Anhouse - Department of Health & Mental Hygiene
Ernest Cavallo, Appointed Member
Fidel F. Del Valle, Esq. - Chair, OATH
Shamonda Graham - Department of Buildings
Joseph Gregory, Esq. - Fire Department
Madelynn Liguori, Esq. - Department of Sanitation
Russell Pecunies, Esq. - Dept. of Environmental Protection
Indi Savitala - Appointed Member
Matthew Smith - Police Department
Thomas D. Shpetner, Esq. - Appointed Member
Douglas S. Swann - Appointed Member

### ALSO PRESENT:

Simone Salloum, Esq. - Assistant General Counsel, OATH Frances Shine - Secretary to the Board, OATH

Rachel Amar - Special Assistant to the Commissioner, OATH
Denis Brogan, Esq. - Assistant General Counsel, OATH
John Burns - First Deputy Commissioner, OATH
Vanessa Caughman - Supervising, Computer Service
Technician, OATH

# 

Fana Garrick - Creative Services Coordinator, OATH
David Goldin, Esq. - Administrative Justice Coordinator,
Mayor's Office

Diana Haines, Esq. - Assistant General Counsel, OATH
Will Hughes - Office of Management & Budget
Johnathan Jacobs - Business Integrity Commission
Mark H. Leeds, Esq. - Special Senior Counsel, OATH
Maria Marchiano - Deputy Commissioner/Chief Clerk, OATH
Joanne Rattansingh, Esq. - Assistant Director of
Adjudications

Tynia Richard, Esq. - Deputy Commissioner General Counsel, OATH

Peter Schulman, Esq. - Assistant Director of Adjudications, OATH

Amy Slifka, Esq. - Deputy Commissioner, OATH
Thomas Southwick, Esq. - Supervising Attorney, Appeals,
OATH

Doris Stewart - Department of Transportation

September 29, 2016	Page 3
INDEX	
Mr. Fidel Del Valle, Esq.	4
Mr. Indi Savitala	5
Ms. Simone Salloum, Esq.	5
Mr. Russell Pecunies, Esq.	6
Mr. Douglas Swann	11
Ms. Tynia Richard, Esq.	12
Ms. Kelly Corso, Esq.	15

2.1

2.2

(The board meeting commenced at 9:22 A.M.)

MR. FIDEL DEL VALLE, ESQ., CHAIR, OATH:

I'd like to welcome everybody to the September

29, 2016, Board Meeting of the Environmental

Control Board. Before we get started, is there a

motion to accept the minutes of the last meeting?

It's unanimous.

MR. ERNEST CAVALLO, APPOINTED MEMBER: I abstain. I wasn't here.

MR. JOSEPH GREGORY, ESQ., FIRE DEPARTMENT: I abstain also.

MR. DEL VALLE: Okay. Three abstentions and it's adopted. Before we go any further, I want to read a letter from the Mayor. To Hari Savitala. Dear Mr. Savitala: Pursuant to the authority invested in me as Mayor by Section 1049(a) of the New York City Charter, I am pleased to appoint you to the Environmental Control Board (ECB) to serve as ECB member with a background and experience in the field of noise pollution control. Your appointment is for the remainder of the four-year term expiring on March

1 September 29, 2016 2 5, 2019. On behalf of all New Yorkers, thank you for sharing your time and skills with the 3 4 Environmental Control Board. Sincerely, Bill de 5 Blasio, Mayor. And here is Mr. Savitala. Thank you for 6 7 being here today. MR. INDI SAVITALA, APPOINTED MEMBER: 8 9 Thank you. 10 MR. DEL VALLE: Your inaugural meeting. 11 MR. SAVITALA: Thank you for having me. 12 MR. DEL VALLE: First item on the agenda 13 is an amendment to the ECB Sanitation Penalty 14 Schedule. 15 MS. SIMONE SALLOUM, ASSISTANT GENERAL 16 COUNSEL, OATH: Good morning. This is Simone 17 Salloum, Assistant General Counsel with OATH, and 18 as the Commissioner said, up for adoption is the 19 final rule which repeals certain provisions of 20 the Sanitation Penalty Schedule related to sweep 2.1 out, throw out, and those all fall under Ad. Code

2.2

23

24

modified the penalty amounts rendering our

16-118(1). And the reason for the repeal is that

Local Law 75, which took effect August 12, 2016,

1	September 29, 2016
2	penalty schedule inaccurate. So we're asking
3	that you vote to repeal those provisions out of
4	the penalty schedule and please let me know if
5	you have any questions.
6	MR. DEL VALLE: Any questions? Is there
7	a motion? It's unanimous
8	MR. ERNEST CAVALLO: Oh, I'm sorry. I
9	apologize.
10	MR. DEL VALLE:with Health Department
11	abstaining. That's okay. I'm sorry, Sanitation.
12	MS. SALLOUM: Thank you.
13	MR. DEL VALLE: DEP has requests for
14	cease and desist orders.
15	MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES, ESQ., DEPT. OF
16	ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION: Good morning. I'm
17	Russell Pecunies, Assistant Counsel with the
18	Department of Environmental Protection. DEP has
19	a number of cease and desist orders to request
20	from the Board this morning and since we do have
21	a new board member, I'll go into a little bit
22	more detail than normal on [unintelligible] I
23	think a short agenda, so a little bit more detail

than normal about what these are about.

24

MR. DEL VALLE: Thank you.

MR. PECUNIES: The first group of 27 requests relate to failure to install backflow prevention devices. In each of these cases, the building owner has been ordered by DEP to install appropriate backflow prevention devices on the water services to the premises. The determination that a building requires a backflow prevention device is made by DEP after inspecting the building and that's in accordance with guidelines established by the New York State Department of Health. In each of these cases, the building owner has failed to comply with the

device. So in each of these 27 cases, the

Department is asking the Board to issue an order
to cease and desist.

order and has then been issued a summons which

they have either defaulted on or been found in

violation of. And in each of these cases, the

building owner has still not complied with the

requirement to install the backflow prevention

MR. DEL VALLE: Any questions? Is there a motion? It's adopted unanimously with one

September 29, 2016

2

abstention.

23

MR. PECUNIES: Thank you. The next one is a request from the Bureau of Wastewater Treatment. This is in the matter of 1560 Bronx River Deli Grocery Corp., 1560 East 174th Street in the Bronx. Based on an inspection that was done by DEP in January, an order was issued to the respondent, which is a restaurant or deli, to install a grease trap on their sink and to submit written proof of proper grease disposal. When they failed to comply with that order, the Department issued a series of summonses which were scheduled for hearings in April, June, August and September 1st of this year. respondent has defaulted as to all of those summonses, has still not installed the required grease trap and failed to appear for a mandatory compliance meeting on August 24th. Due to the failure of all of this enforcement activity to get the respondent to comply, the Department is asking the Board to issue an order to cease and desist.

MR. DEL VALLE: Any questions? Is there

September 29, 2016

2

a motion? Again, it's unanimous with one

3

Ů

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

abstention.

MR. PECUNIES: Thank you. The next two cases involving Charles Williams and Kazi Zachria are of a type that we have not asked the Board to issue an order to cease and desist before in, in a while, I think four or five years probably. These relate to failure to connect to the public Although it's probably not widely known outside of Staten Island, there are still a few parts of Staten Island where they don't have sewers yet and they still use septic systems. And DEP is gradually installing sewers in those remaining areas and when a sewer is installed, what the law provides is that all of the building owners, and these are usually homeowners, these are mainly residential areas -- all of the building owners on the streets where the sewers have been installed receive a notice that says that they have six months to abandon their septic system and connect to the public sewer. And in the vast majority of cases, people are anxious to connect to the sewer. Among other things, it

1

2 increases the property value by more than the cost of connecting to the sewer in most cases. 3 4 And, in fact, the remaining neighborhoods that 5 don't have sewers are usually clamoring for them. So usually most people hook up as soon as the 6 7 sewer is available. But there are occasionally 8 people who do not for a variety of reasons. 9 can be financial. It can be that they feel like 10 their septic is still in good shape and they want 11 to get as much use out of it as they can before 12 they, they hook up to the sewer. So occasionally 13 there are building owners who do not comply with 14 the order to connect. And in those cases, we do 15 issue a summons for failing to connect and if 16 people default on those summonses, we then come 17 to the Board for an order to cease and desist. 18 Again, it's probably been four or five years 19 since we've had any of these, but in these two 20 cases, people had hearings in July, which they 2.1 failed to appear for. It's been over 60 days 2.2 since they went into default and the Department 23 is asking the Board in these two cases to issue 24 orders to cease and desist.

2.1

2.2

2 MR. DEL VALLE: Any questions?

3 MR. DOUGLAS SWANN, APPOINTED MEMBER:

Yes. Doug Swann, Citizen Member. In this, in this instance, what does cease and desist mean for the residents?

MR. PECUNIES: It will mean that they will have to come in to a cease and desist hearing and show a hearing officer that they have started the process to connect and ultimately that they connect. Connecting requires you to get a permit from DEP, a permit from the Buildings Department, and the street opening permit from DOT because you have to obviously open the street to hook up. So there are three permits that are involved. So they would have to come in, show the hearing officer that they've hired a plumber and that the plumber is in the process of getting all the paperwork together and then ultimately do the work.

MR. DEL VALLE: And if they fail?

MR. PECUNIES: Well, I mean,

theoretically, as the request says, the water supply to the premises could be terminated if

1 September 29, 2016 2 they fail. Obviously, since these are residential locations, you know, I mean, we've 3 4 already given them repeated notices and, you 5 know, they would get every opportunity before we 6 would, we would do anything like that so 7 hopefully, it's only two cases and hopefully neither of them will come to that. 8 9 MR. DEL VALLE: Any other questions? 10 MS. TYNIA RICHARD, DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, 11 GENERAL COUNSEL, OATH: How much does the process 12 cost? 13 MR. PECUNIES: Depending on the length 14 of the connection, my recollection is 15 approximately \$2500 in most cases. If it's a 16 longer distance to the sewer, if people have a 17 bigger front yard and it's a longer distance to 18 the sewer, then it can cost a little bit more, 19 but my recollection is about \$2500, \$3000. 20 MR. DEL VALLE: Any other questions? Is 2.1 there a motion? It's unanimous with one 22 abstention. 23 MR. PECUNIES: Thank you. We now have a 24 request for a cease and desist order under the

24

1

Air Code. This one is also a little bit unusual because it involves a concrete plant. This is Advanced Ready Mix Corp. and the reason that there are two premises addresses is that their establishment goes through the entire block so it fronts on both Johnson Avenue and Ingram Street. And so some of the tickets were issued for the Johnson Avenue address, some were issued for the other address, but it's a silo that is in the middle of the block and it's all one facility. The silo has a certificate of operation from DEP for a dust control device that the silo was equipped with which keeps dust in the silo and out of the surrounding neighborhood. This has apparently been malfunctioning at least going back to 2014, in the case of the first violation, and then we've been receiving frequent complaints this year about dust from this facility. been issued four summonses for not renewing the certificate of operation and at this point, due to the repeated violations and the repeated failure to renew the certificate of operation and for them to get a renewed certificate of

2.1

2.2

September 29, 2016

operation, they would have to repair the dust control device and it would have to pass an inspection so it will solve the problem that is plaguing the surrounding neighborhood. So based on that, DEP is asking the Board to issue an order to cease and desist.

MR. DEL VALLE: Any questions? Is there a motion? Again, it's unanimous with one abstention.

MR. PECUNIES: And finally, we have Cody Car Park, which is located at 555 11th Street in Brooklyn. This is a noise situation, but again, it's a little bit out of the ordinary because the source of the noise — this is a parking garage — the source of the noise is the elevator that brings the cars up and down. Apparently it makes a very loud noise when the doors open and close, which has been cited by DEP as unnecessary or unreasonable noise is actually the correct term, three times this year, in March, in June, and earlier this month. The respondent stipulated to the first violation, defaulted on the second one, and the third one is coming up next month. We

2.1

2.2

are still getting frequent complaints about the very loud noise from these doors and since the respondent has been cited repeatedly at this point and failed to correct the problem, the Department is asking the Board to issue an order to cease and desist.

MR. DEL VALLE: Questions? Motion? Unanimous--

MR. PECUNIES: Thank you.

MR. DEL VALLE: --with one abstention.

Thank you. We'll have a report on pre-sealing orders from Kelly Corso.

MS. KELLY CORSO, ESQ., ASSISTANT

DIRECTOR OF ADJUDICATIONS, OATH: Good morning.

I'm Kelly Corso, Assistant Director for OATH, and

I'm just going to give our new member a little

background on what the pre-sealing reports are.

As Mr. Pecunies was saying, the issuance of a C&D

order will require the respondent to appear at a

special hearing and the hearing is for the

purpose of the respondent to show why their

equipment should not be sealed. And after the

hearing, the hearing officer will issue a report,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

which includes a recommendation to the Board, and that report is going to recommend such things as no further action, for example, if the respondent has come into compliance with the Code that they were cited of violating or that the order should be discontinued. For example, if DEP has determined that the device that they needed, it's no longer needed at the premises, maybe the premises has been demolished or something like that. Other example would be, of a recommendation, would be monitoring and further reinspection by DEP, those are common in Noise Code cases. And rarely, what we can see of the hearing officers recommend is sealing of the equipment. And usually, we only see that when the respondent either has not appeared for the hearings for a period of time or if they have appeared, a representative of respondent has appeared, but has shown no attempt to comply with the order. For today, we have 17 pre-sealing reports for the Board. Nine of these cases involve backflow violations, six involve Air Code violations, and two involve Noise Code

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

violations. The backflow violations, in seven of these cases, the hearing officers recommend no sealing or other action based on the respondent's compliance that was presented at the hearings. In one of the remaining two backflow cases, the hearing officer recommended no sealing or other action because the cited equipment is being demolished. And in the final backflow case, the hearing officer recommended no sealing or other action based on a recent DEP inspection showing that no backflow prevention device is required at this time. For the Air Code cases, in three of them, the hearing officers recommend no further action based on the respondent's proof at the hearings that they have obtained valid operating certificates for the site of boilers and burners. In the remaining three Air Code cases, respondents did not appear at the hearings. However, the hearing officers agreed with DEP's recommendation that the C&D orders be discontinued in these cases because the respondents have either obtained renewed operating certificates bringing them into

2.1

2.2

September 29, 2016

compliance or they have removed the cited equipment from the premises. And the final cases are two Noise Code cases. In these cases the respondents presented evidence at the hearings that work had been done to bring the cited premises into compliance with the Noise Code and in each case, the hearing officers recommend that DEP reinspect the equipment which were kitchen exhaust fans and that the equipment remain unsealed only if DEP's initial reinspection and further reinspection for a period of 180 days show no violations. That's it

MR. DEL VALLE: Any questions? Is there a motion to adopt the recommendations? It's unanimous--

MR. PECUNIES: One abstention.

MR. DEL VALLE: --with one abstention.

Thank you. The public officers' law provides that when a board is considering questions of the judicial nature or disciplinary nature and may involve litigation, we can retire to Executive Session to do just that. And is there a motion to retire to Executive Session for that purpose?

1	Page I September 29, 2016
2	It's unanimous. And I'll ask anyone who is not
3	ECB/OATH to step outside.
4	MR. DEL VALLE: Are there any questions?
5	Anyone? Is there a motion to adjourn? We're
6	adjourned.
7	(The board meeting concluded at 9:50
8	A.M.)
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	

# Environmental Control Board, 9/29/2016 CERTIFICATE OF ACCURACY

I, Julia Zappi, certify that the foregoing transcript of Environmental Control Board Board Meeting on September 29, 2016 was prepared using the required transcription equipment and is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Certified By

/ / /

Date: September 30, 2016

GENEVAWORLDWIDE, INC

256 West 38th Street - 10th Floor New York, NY 10018