Chapter 22: Mitigation

A. INTRODUCTION

This chapter considers mitigation measures to address significant adverse impacts generated by the proposed actions. As described in Chapter 1, "Project Description," the applicants, the New York City Department of City Planning (DCP) and SJC 33 Owner 2015 LLC, are proposing a series of discretionary actions (the proposed actions) that would facilitate the redevelopment of St. John's Terminal Building at 550 Washington Street (Block 596, Lot 1) (the development site) with a mix of residential and commercial uses, and public open space (the proposed project) in Manhattan Community District 2.

The proposed actions have the potential to result in significant adverse impacts to open space, traffic, and construction-period air quality and noise. Potential mitigation measures for each of these technical areas are identified below.

PRINCIPAL CONCLUSIONS

OPEN SPACE

With the proposed project, the study area's total open space ratio would decrease by 5.66 percent, and the active open space ratio would decrease by 6.96 percent. According to the 2014 *City Environmental Quality Review (CEQR) Technical Manual*, these reductions in the total and active open space ratios would result in a significant adverse open space impact based on quantitative analysis of indirect effects.

Potential partial mitigation measures for these significant adverse impacts are currently beingwere explored by the private applicant in consultation with the lead agency, DCP, and the New York City Department of Parks and Recreation (NYC Parks), and the Hudson River Park Trust (HRPT). The CEQR Technical Manual lists potential mitigation measures for open space impacts. These measures may include, but are not limited to, creating new open space within the study area; funding for improvements, renovation, or maintenance at existing local parks; or improving existing open spaces to increase their utility or capacity to meet identified open space needs in the area, such as through the provision of additional active open space facilities. and will be refined b

Between the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) and Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS), a Revised Proposed Project Alternative and a Revised Proposed Project with Reduced Parking Alternative have been developed. Either of these alternatives would provide 10,000 sf of on-site active open space that would partially mitigate the open space impact. Other potential mitigation measures have been identified that would partially mitigate the open space impact; however, the private applicant has not made any commitment regarding these measures.

If feasible mitigation is found, the impacts will be considered partially mitigated. As the significant adverse impact on open space would not be fully mitigated, the proposed actions would result in an unavoidable adverse impact on open space.

TRANSPORTATION

The proposed actions would result in significant adverse impacts with respect to traffic. The proposed actions would not result in significant adverse impacts with respect to subway, buses and pedestrians. As discussed in Chapter 14, "Transportation," traffic conditions were evaluated at 18 intersections for the weekday AM, midday, PM, and Saturday peak hours. In the 2024 With Action condition (the proposed project), there would be the potential for significant adverse traffic impacts at seven intersections during the weekday AM peak hour, two intersections during the weekday midday peak hour, six-four intersections during the weekday PM peak hour, and four intersections during the Saturday peak hour, as summarized in **Table 22-1**. In the 2024 With Action condition (the proposed project with big box retail), there would be the potential for significant adverse traffic impacts at five intersections during the weekday AM peak hour, six-seven intersections during the weekday midday peak hour, nine intersections during the weekday PM peak hour, and five intersections during the Saturday peak hour, as summarized in **Table 22-2**.

Table 22-1 Summary of Significant Adverse Traffic Impacts Proposed Project

					ı J				
Intersec	Intersection		Weekday Midday	Weekday PM	Saturday				
EB/WB Street	NB/SB Street	Peak Hour	Peak Hour	Peak Hour	Peak Hour				
Clarkson Street	Washington Street	SB-LT		SB-LT					
West Houston Street	Washington Street	SB-TR		SB-TR	SB-TR				
West Houston Street	Varick Street	-	=	SB-TR (West Lanes)	-				
Clarkson Street	West Street	SB-L	SB-L	SB-L	SB-L				
West Houston Street	West Street	EB-L	WB-R	WB-R	WB-R				
Canal Street (North)	West Street	WB-L							
Canal Street	Hudson Street	-	=	NB-LT (West Lanes)	-				
Clarkson Street	Hudson Street	EB-LT			EB-LT				
Clarkson Street	Varick Street	EB-TR							
Total Impacted Intersec	tions/Lane Groups	7/7	2/2	6/6 4/4	4/4				
Notes: L = Left Turn, T = Through, R = Right Turn, EB = Eastbound, WB = Westbound, NB = Northbound, SB = Southbound.									

Table 22-2 Summary of Significant Adverse Traffic Impacts Proposed Project with Big Box Retail

Inter	section	Weekday AM	Weekday Midday	Weekday PM	Saturday				
EB/WB Street	NB/SB Street	Peak Hour	Peak Hour	Peak Hour	Peak Hour				
Clarkson Street	Washington Street	SB-LT		SB-LT					
West Houston Street	Washington Street	SB-TR SB-TR		WB-LT SB-TR	SB-TR				
West Houston Street	West Houston Street Varick Street		<u>SB-R</u>	SB- TR (West Lanes) R					
Clarkson Street	West Street		SB-L	SB-L	SB-L				
West Houston Street	West Street	EB-L	WB-R	WB-R	WB-R				
Canal Street (North)	West Street		WB-LR WB-R		WB-LR WB-R				
Canal Street	Hudson Street		NB-LT (West Lanes)	NB-LT (West Lanes)					
Clarkson Street	Hudson Street	EB-LT	EB-LT	EB-LT	EB-LT				
Clarkson Street	Varick Street	EB-TR							
Spring Street	West Street			WB-R					
Spring Street	Washington Street			SB-LTR					
Total Impacted Intersections/Lane Groups 5/5 6/77/18 9/10 5/6									
Notes: L = Left Turn,	Γ = Through, R = Right Tur	n, EB = Eastbound,	WB = Westbound, NB :	= Northbound, SB = Sou	uthbound.				

The majority of the locations where significant adverse traffic impacts are predicted to occur could be fully mitigated with the implementation of standard traffic mitigation measures (e.g.,

signal timing changes, approach daylighting, and lane restriping, and installing a new traffic signal), which are described below. Specifically, a new traffic signal is only recommended under the proposed project with big box retail scenario, where the significant adverse traffic impact at the unsignalized intersection of Spring Street and Washington Street could be mitigated by installing a new traffic signal. However, for the proposed project, the significant adverse impacts at the intersection of West Houston Street at Varick Street and at the intersection of Canal Street at Hudson Street could not be fully mitigated during the weekday PM peak hour. Ffor the proposed project with big box retail, the significant adverse impacts at the intersections of West Houston Street at Varick Street, West Houston Street at West Street, Canal Street at Hudson Street, and Spring Street at West Street at Washington Street could not be fully mitigated during one or more analysis peak hours. No significant adverse impacts were identified for transit, pedestrians, vehicular and pedestrian safety, and parking.

As noted in Chapter 1, "Project Description," the South Site could contain either hotel or office use. The EIS analyses are generally based on hotel use as a more conservative assumption and the transportation analyses presented in Chapter 14, "Transportation" assumed a 229,700-gsf hotel use. However, because of different travel patterns between the hotel and office uses, developing the South Site with office instead of a hotel could have the potential to result in additional significant adverse transportation impacts. Bwhich will be explored between the DEIS and FEIS, additional traffic analysis was prepared and potential.—mMitigation measures were ill be explored in coordination with the New York City Department of Transportation (NYCDOT) to mitigate any additional significant adverse transportation impacts. The proposed mitigation measures are subject to review and approval by the NYCDOT, and if certain proposed mitigation measures are deemed infeasible by NYCDOT, alternate measures will be explored. If no other alternate mitigation is identified, the impacted locations would be unmitigated.

Between Draft and Final of this EIS, additional measures will be explored, where feasible, to further mitigate the impacts identified above. If additional feasible measures can be identified, certain projected impacts may become mitigated. If no additional feasible measures can be identified, the projected impacts would remain unmitigated, and would therefore be considered unavoidable adverse impacts (see Chapter 23, "Unavoidable Adverse Impacts").

CONSTRUCTION

As described in Chapter 20, "Construction," there is a potential for temporary constructionperiod air quality and noise impacts. Between the DEIS and FEIS, a detailed modeling analyseis will bewere conducted to quantify the levels of construction air quality concentrations and noise levels that may occur at project elements and/or at existing tenants should they be completed and occupied during construction on one or more of the other project buildings. No potential exceedances of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS), or applicable de minimis criteria were identified. The detailed modeling analysis concluded that construction of the proposed project has the potential to result in construction noise levels that exceed CEOR Technical Manual noise impact criteria at the future 354-361 West Street development site. Furthermore, should the proposed project proceed by a phased schedule resulting in one or more project buildings being completed and occupied while construction occurs at one or more other project buildings, construction would have the potential to result in elevated noise levels at completed and occupied project building(s) that are predicted to result in exceedances of CEQR Technical Manual noise exposure guidelines and would constitute significant adverse noise impacts at some façades. Since 354-361 West Street and the proposed project buildings are or will be mapped with Noise (E) designations requiring between 26 and 41 dBA of window/wall

attenuation, which would be achieved by means of installing acoustically rated insulated glass windows, and an alternate means of ventilation (i.e., air conditioning that does not degrade the acoustical performance of the façade) to allow for the maintenance of a closed-window condition, there are no feasible and practicable mitigation measures that would be able to reduce or eliminate the potential significant adverse noise impacts. The detailed analysis found that construction would affect noise levels at this proposed open space only for construction hours during a relatively short period of time beyond the already relatively high noise levels resulting from traffic. There are no source, path, or receptor control measures, beyond those already identified for construction of the proposed project that would be effective in reducing the level of construction noise at the proposed elevated open space areas. Mitigation measures will be explored to reduce or eliminate any potential impacts.

B. OPEN SPACE

With the proposed project, the study area's total open space ratio would decrease by 5.66 percent, and the active open space ratio would decrease by 6.96 percent. According to the *CEQR Technical Manual*, an action may result in a significant adverse open space impact if it would reduce the open space ratio by more than 5 percent in areas that are currently below the City's median community district open space ratio of 1.5 acres per 1,000 residents. Therefore, these reductions in the total and active open space ratios would result in a significant adverse open space impact based on quantitative analysis of indirect effects as set forth in the *CEQR Technical Manual*.

Potential partial mitigation measures for these significant adverse impacts are currently beingwere explored by the private applicant in consultation with the lead agency, DCP, HRPT, and NYC Parks. The CEQR Technical Manual lists potential mitigation measures for open space impacts. These measures may include, but are not limited to, creating new open space within the study area; funding for improvements, renovation, or maintenance at existing local parks; or improving existing open spaces to increase their utility or capacity to meet identified open space needs in the area, such as through the provision of additional active open space facilities. and will be refined b

Between the DEIS and FEIS, in coordination with NYC Parks and HRPT, the following mitigation measures were explored. The significant adverse impact on open space could be partially mitigated by the provision of an on-site active recreational facility. The private applicant has committed to a 10,000-sf on-site active recreation facility, which has been analyzed in Chapter 21, "Alternatives to the Proposed Actions."

The significant adverse impact on open space could also be partially mitigated by the development of approximately 11,000 square feet of passive open space at 388 Hudson Street, owned by the New York City Department of Environmental Protection (DEP). In connection with this project DEP and NYC Parks have agreed to utilization of this area for passive open space purposes. The private applicant has not committed to this mitigation.

The significant adverse impact on open space could also be partially mitigated by means of a financial contribution by the private applicant towards the improvement of active open space at Tony Dapolito Recreation Center, operated by NYC Parks, in order to enhance its ability to attract additional members from the community and increase its potential utilization. The private applicant has not committed to this mitigation.

Finally, the significant adverse impact on open space could be partially mitigated by means of a financial contribution by the private applicant to improve the ball field surfaces located at Pier 40. The private applicant has not committed to this mitigation.

If feasible mitigation is found, the impacts will be considered partially mitigated. As the significant adverse impact on open space would not be fully mitigated, the proposed actions would result in an unavoidable adverse impact on open space.

C. TRANSPORTATION

TRAFFIC

As discussed in Chapter 14, "Transportation," traffic conditions were evaluated at 18 intersections for the weekday AM, midday, PM, and Saturday peak hours for both the proposed project and the proposed project with big box retail. The 2024 With Action condition analysis identified the potential for significant adverse traffic impacts at multiple analysis intersections during one or more analysis peak hours under the proposed project and the proposed project with big box retail. The potential significant adverse traffic impacts and their recommended mitigation measures under both proposed development programs are discussed below.

As described in Chapter 14, "Transportation," traffic levels of service (LOS) at signalized and unsignalized intersections are evaluated using average stop control delay, in seconds per vehicle, for individual lane groups (grouping of movements in one or more travel lanes), the approaches, and the overall intersection. According to the criteria presented in the 2014 CEQR Technical Manual, impacts are considered significant and require examination of mitigation if they result in an increase in the With Action condition of five or more seconds of delay in a lane group over No Action levels beyond mid-LOS D. For No Action LOS E, a four-second increase in delay is considered significant. For No Action LOS F, a three-second increase in delay is considered significant. In addition, impacts are considered significant if levels of service deteriorate from acceptable A, B, or C in the No Action condition to marginally unacceptable LOS D (a delay in excess of 45 and 30 seconds, the midpoint of LOS D, for signalized and unsignalized intersections, respectively), or unacceptable LOS E or F in the With Action condition. For unsignalized intersections, for the minor street to trigger significant impacts, at least 90 passenger car equivalents (PCEs) must be identified in the With Action condition in any peak hour. A traffic impact is considered fully mitigated when the resulting degradation in the average control delay per vehicle under the Action-with-Mitigation condition compared to the No Action condition is no longer deemed significant following the impact criteria described above.

PROPOSED PROJECT

Tables 22-3 to 22-6 itemize the recommended mitigation measures that address the identified impacts under the proposed project. With the implementation of these standard traffic mitigation measures (including primarily signal timing changes, approach daylighting, and lane restriping), which are subject to review and approval by the New York City Department of Transportation (NYCDOT), the significant adverse traffic impacts identified above could be fully mitigated except for the intersection of West Houston Street at Varick Street and the intersection of Canal Street at Hudson Street, during the weekday PM peak hour.

Table 22-3 Recommended Mitigation Measures: Proposed Project Weekday AM Peak Hour

			ekuay AM Feak Hour
Intersection	No Action Signal Timing	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Recommended Signal Timing
Clarkson Street and Washington Street	EB: Green = 40 s SB: Green = 40 s	Shift 1 second of green time from the EB phase to the SB phase.	EB: Green = 39 s SB: Green = 41 s
West Houston Street and Washington Street	WB: Green = 40 s SB: Green = 40 s	1) Restripe the SB approach from one 8-foot parking lane, one 11-foot moving lane, one 5-foot bike lane, and one 8-foot parking lane to one 11-foot right-turn lane, one 13-foot shared moving/bike lane, and one 8-foot parking lane. 2) Install "No Standing Anytime" for 100-feet at the SB approach to create an additional right-turn lane.	No change from No Action
Clarkson Street and West Street	SB-L: Green = 34 s NB/SB: Green = 104 s	Shift 1 second of green time from the NB/SB phase to the SB left-turn phase.	SB-L: Green = 35 s NB/SB: Green = 103 s
West Houston Street and West Street	EB/WB: Green = 33 s NB/SB: Green = 90 s NB-L: Green = 10 s	Shift 1 second of green time from the NB/SB phase to the EB/WB phase.	EB/WB: Green = 34 s NB/SB: Green = 89 s NB-L: Green = 10 s
Canal Street (North) and West Street	WB: Green = 25 s NB/SB: Green = 99 s	Shift 1 second of green time from the NB/SB phase to the WB phase.	WB: Green = 26 s NB/SB: Green = 98 s
Clarkson Street and Hudson Street	EB: Green = 31 s NB: Green = 49 s	Shift 3 seconds of green time from the NB phase to the EB phase.	EB: Green = 34 s NB: Green = 46 s
Clarkson Street and Varick Street	EB/WB: Green = 40 s SB: Green = 40 s	Shift 2 seconds of green time from the SB phase to the EB/WB phase.	EB/WB: Green = 42s SB: Green = 38 s

Table 22-4
Recommended Mitigation Measures: Proposed Project
Weekday Midday Peak Hour

Intersection	No Action Signal Timing	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Recommended Signal Timing						
Clarkson Street and	SB-L: Green = 34 s	Shift 1 second of green time from the NB/SB phase to the SB left-turn phase.	SB-L: Green = 35 s						
West Street	NB/SB: Green = 74 s		NB/SB: Green = 73 s						
West Houston Street and West Street	EB/WB: Green = 33 s	Shift 2 seconds of green time	EB/WB: Green = 35 s						
	NB/SB: Green = 60 s	from the NB left-turn phase to	NB/SB: Green = 60 s						
	NB-L: Green = 10 s	the EB/WB phase.	NB-L: Green = 8 s						
Notes: EB = Eastbound; WB = Westbound; NB = Northbound; SB = Southbound; L = Left; T = Through; R = Right.									

Table 22-5 Recommended Mitigation Measures: Proposed Project Weekday PM Peak Hour

			ekday PM Peak Hour
Intersection	No Action Signal Timing	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Recommended Signal Timing
Clarkson Street and Washington Street	EB: Green = 40 s SB: Green = 40 s	Shift 1 second of green time from the EB phase to the SB phase.	EB: Green = 39 s SB: Green = 41 s
West Houston Street and Washington Street	WB: Green = 39 s SB: Green = 41 s	1) Restripe the SB approach from one 8-foot parking lane, one 11-foot moving lane, one 5-foot bike lane, and one 8-foot parking lane to one 11-foot right-turn lane, one 13-foot shared moving/bike lane, and one 8-foot parking lane. 2) Install "No Standing Anytime" for 100-feet at the SB approach to create an additional right-turn lane.	No change from No Action
West Houston Street and Varick Street	WB-T: Green = 7 s WB: Green = 33 s SB: Green = 40 s	Restripe the SB approach moving lanes from four 10-foot shared through/right-turn lanes to one 10-foot right-turn lane and three 10-foot through lanes.Unmitigated	No change from No Action
Clarkson Street and West Street	SB-L: Green = 34 s NB/SB: Green = 104 s	Shift 3 seconds of green time from the NB/SB phase to the SB left-turn phase.	SB-L: Green = 37 s NB/SB: Green = 101 s
West Houston Street and West Street	EB/WB: Green = 33 s NB/SB: Green = 90 s NB-L: Green = 10 s	Shift 3 seconds of green time from the NB/SB phase to the EB/WB phase.	EB/WB: Green = 36 s NB/SB: Green = 87 s NB-L: Green = 10 s
Canal Street and Hudson Street	EB/EB-L/WB-R: Green = 21 s EB-T/WB-T/WB-R: Green = 25 s NB: Green = 26 s	Unmitigated	No change from No Action
Notes: EB = Eastbound;	WB = Westbound; NB = Northboun	d; SB = Southbound; L = Left; T = T	hrough; R = Right.

Table 22-6 Recommended Mitigation Measures: Proposed Project Saturday Peak Hour

Intersection	No Action Signal Timing	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Recommended Signal Timing
West Houston Street and Washington Street	WB: Green = 40 s SB: Green = 40 s	1) Restripe the SB approach from one 8-foot parking lane, one 11-foot moving lane, one 5-foot bike lane, and one 8-foot parking lane to one 11-foot right-turn lane, one 13-foot shared moving/bike lane, and one 8-foot parking lane. 2) Install "No Standing Anytime" for 100-feet at the SB approach to create an additional right-turn lane.	No change from No Action
Clarkson Street and West Street	SB-L: Green = 34 s NB/SB: Green = 74 s	Shift 2 seconds of green time from the NB/SB phase to the SB left-turn phase.	SB-L: Green = 36 s NB/SB: Green = 72 s
West Houston Street and West Street	EB/WB: Green = 33 s NB/SB: Green = 60 s NB-L: Green = 10 s	Shift 2 seconds of green time from the NB left-turn phase to the EB/WB phase.	EB/WB: Green = 35 s NB/SB: Green = 60 s NB-L: Green = 8 s
Clarkson Street and Hudson Street	EB: Green = 31 s NB: Green = 49 s	Shift 1 second of green time from the NB phase to the EB phase.	EB: Green = 32 s NB: Green = 48 s
Notes: EB = Eastbound;	WB = Westbound; NB = Northbour	id; $SB = Southbound$; $L = Left$; $T = TI$	nrough; R = Right.

A discussion of the recommended mitigation measures is provided below. **Tables 22-7 to 22-10** compare the levels of service (LOS) and lane group delays for the impacted intersections under the 2024 No Action, With Action (the proposed project), and Mitigation conditions for the four analysis peak hours.

Clarkson Street and Washington Street

The significant adverse impacts at the southbound approach of this intersection during the weekday AM and PM peak hour could be fully mitigated by shifting 1 second of green time from the eastbound phase to the southbound phase. As shown in **Tables 22-7 and 22-9**, the significant adverse impacts could be fully mitigated.

West Houston Street and Washington Street

The significant adverse impacts at the southbound approach of this intersection during the weekday AM, PM, and Saturday peak hours could be fully mitigated by restriping the southbound approach from one eight-foot parking lane, one 11-foot moving lane, one five-foot bike lane, and one eight-foot parking lane to one 11-foot right-turn lane, one 13-foot shared moving/bike lane, and one eight-foot parking lane; and prohibiting parking (installing "No Standing Anytime" sign) on the west curbside of the southbound approach for approximately 100 feet from the intersection. As shown in **Tables 22-7, 22-9, and 22-10**, the significant adverse impacts could be fully mitigated.

West Houston Street and Varick Street

The significant adverse impact at the southbound (west lanes) approach of this intersection during the weekday PM peak hour could not be mitigated (see **Table 22-9**).

Clarkson Street and West Street

The significant adverse impacts at the southbound left-turn lane group of this intersection during the weekday AM and midday peak hours could be fully mitigated by shifting one second of green time from the northbound/southbound phase to the southbound left-turn phase.

The significant adverse impact at the southbound left-turn lane group of this intersection during the weekday PM peak hour could be fully mitigated by shifting three seconds of green time from the northbound/southbound phase to the southbound left-turn phase.

The significant adverse impact at the southbound left-turn lane group of this intersection during the Saturday peak hour could be fully mitigated by shifting two seconds of green time from the northbound/southbound phase to the southbound left-turn phase.

As shown in Tables 22-7 to 22-10, the significant adverse impacts could be fully mitigated.

Table 22-7 2024 No Action, With Action, and Mitigation Conditions Level of Service Analysis **Proposed Project** Weekday AM Peak Hour - Signalized Intersections

						M/s slee	Jan. A BA						
					1		day AM						
		2024 No					th Action				2024 Mit		
	Lane	v/c	Delay		Lane	v/c	Delay			Lane	v/c	Delay	
Intersection	Group	Ratio	(sec)	LOS	Group	Ratio	(sec)	LOS		Group	Ratio	(sec)	LOS
			С	larkson	Street and	Washin	gton Stree	et					
EB	TR	0.80	28.7	С	TR	0.880.88	33.8 34.1	С		TR	0.90	37.2 37.6	D
SB	LT	0.99	60.9	Е	LT	1.02	69.5	Е	+	LT	1.00	62.1	E
	Int		42.4	D	Int	t.	48.248.5	D		Int		47.3 <u>47.5</u>	D
	•		Wes	t Houst	on Street a	nd Wash	ington St	reet					
WB	LT	0.69	23.3	С	LT	0.67	22.922.9	С		LT	0.67	22.9	С
	-	-		-		-	-	-		T	0.58	22.8	Č
OD.	TD	1.31	177.6	F	TR	4.00	208.7	F					
SB	TR	1.31	177.0	Г	IK	1.38	211.4	Г	+	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		R	0.77	35.8 <u>36.2</u>	D
	Int		91.7	F	Int	,	106.2	F		Int		25.4	С
	1110	•	91.7	F	1111	l.	<u>107.5</u>	г		IIIL	•	25.4	C
			₩	est Hou	ston Stree	t and Var	ick Street	(1)					
WB	Ł	0.88	54.7	Đ	Ł	0.89	56.8	E		F	0.89	56.8	E
	Ŧ	0.72	26.7	C	Ŧ	0.71	26.5	Ē		Ŧ	0.71	26.5	C
SB (East Lanes)	Ŧ	0.76	25.6	C	Ŧ	0.75	25.5	C		-	-	-	-
SB (West Lanes)	TR	0.86	31.9	E	ŦR	0.86	31.6	E		-	-	-	-
SB	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		Ŧ	0.93	34.4	C
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		R	0.60	27.5	C
	Int	.	31.3	£	Int	!.	31.3	E		Int	-	34.9	C
				Clarks	son Street	and Wes	t Street						
NB	TR	0.89	22.1	С	TR	0.93	25.6 25.3	С		TR	0.94	27.2 26.8	С
SB	L	1.34	228.5	F	L	1.35	232.2	F	+	L	1.31	213.8	F
	Т	0.81	18.8	В	Т	0.81	18.8	В		Т	0.82	19.6	В
	Int		36.3	D	Int		38.2 <u>38.1</u>	D		Int		38.1 <u>37.9</u>	D
			1	Nest Ho	uston Stre	et and W	est Street						
EB	L	0.71	90.0	F	L	0.75	97.3	F	+	L	0.71	89.4	F
	R	0.09	47.1	D	R	0.09	47.1	D		R	0.08	46.3	D
WB	L	0.70	66.2	E	L	0.71	66.8	E		L	0.69	64.4	E
	LT	0.82	76.6	E	LT	0.83	78.1	E		LT	0.81	74.1	E
	R	1.37	248.5	F	R	1.37	245.2	F		R	1.33	228.9	F
NB	L	0.34	76.2	E	L	0.34	76.2	E		L	0.34	76.2	E
OD.	T	0.92	32.9	С	T		35.6 <u>35.1</u>	D		T	0.96	37.7 <u>37.2</u>	D
SB	T	0.95	37.2	D B	T R	0.95	37.2	D B		T	0.96	39.5	D B
	R	0.03	12.3	D D		0.03	12.3	D		R	0.03	12.7	D
	Int		50.1		Int		<u>51.251.0</u>	D		Int		<u>51.851.6</u>	U
WD		0.00			treet (Nort				,		0.000.00	70 077 -	_
WB	L	0.82	79.3	E	L	0.85	84.0 <u>83.4</u>	F	+	L	v.u8<u>0.82</u>	78.3 <u>77.7</u>	E
	LR	1.22	187.6	F	LR	1.21	185.9 <u>184</u>	F		LR	1.17 1.16	166.5 <u>164</u>	F
	R	1.22	187.7	F	R	1.21	<u>.2</u>	F		R	1.16	<u>.9</u> 164.4	F
NB	T	0.69	187.7	В	T K	0.69	184.1 10.8	В		K T	0.70	104.4	В
SB	l ¦	0.69	8.4	A	l ¦	0.55	8.5	A		†	0.70	8.9	A
	Int		26.4	C	Int		26.4 26.2	C	\dashv	Int		25.024.9	C
	1111		20.7		n Street a			J		1111		20.0 <u>27.3</u>	
	1	ı	ı				102 2101		- 1			132.8 130	
EB	LT	1.19	135.8	F	LT	1.31 <u>1.30</u>	183.2 <u>181</u>	F	+	LT	1.19 <u>1.18</u>		F
NB	TR	0.74	19.5	В	TR	0.74	<u>.6</u> 19.5	В		TR	0.79	<u>.3</u> 23.3	С
	Int	_	59.6	E	Int		79.4 78.6	E		Int		63.462.4	E
	1111		55.0		on Street a					IIIL	•	∪∪. 1 <u>∪∠.4</u>	
EB	TR	1.06	81.5	F		1.10		F	. 1	TD	1.05	75 274 2	_
WB	L	0.18	17.8	В	TR L	0.190.18	95.2 <u>94.0</u> 18.1	В	+	TR L	1.05 0.17	75.3 <u>74.3</u> 16.2	E B
SB	LT	0.18	22.3	C	LT	0.71	22.3	С		LT	0.17	24.4	C
	Int		37.7	D	Int		42.141.7	D		Int		38.237.9	D
Notos: L - Loft Tur	n T – Thro		Oight Turn							Moethound			SB -

Notes: L = Left Turn, T = Through, R = Right Turn, LOS = Level of Service, EB = Eastbound, WB = Westbound, NB = Northbound, SB = Southbound, Int. = Intersection.

+ Denotes a significant adverse traffic impact

Table 22-8 2024 No Action, With Action, and Mitigation Conditions Level of Service Analysis **Proposed Project** Weekday Midday Peak Hour - Signalized Intersections

					•	Weekda	y Midday					
		2024 No	Action			2024 Wit	th Action			2024 Miti	igation	
	Lane	v/c	Delay		Lane	v/c	Delay		Lane	v/c	Delay	
Intersection	Group	Ratio	(sec)	LOS	Group	Ratio	(sec)	LOS	Group	Ratio	(sec)	LOS
			West	Housto	n Street a	nd Washi	ngton Str	eet ⁽¹⁾	*			
WB	LT	0.63	21.9	С	LT	0.60	21.2	С	LT	0.60	21.2	С
SB	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Т	0.570.56	22.1 21.2	С
	TR	1.14	109.8	F	TR	1.11 <u>1.10</u>	98.0 <u>96.0</u>	F	-	-	-	-
		-	-	-	-	-	-		R	0.55	<u>24.924.8</u>	С
	Int		61.1	Е	Int	-	55.3 <u>54.3</u>	<u> </u>	In	t.	22.0 21.9	С
					ston Stree							
WB	Ŀ	1.10	111.0	E	F	1.04	91.9	E	L L	1.04	91.9	E
00 (5)	Ŧ	0.68	25.5	E	Ŧ	0.68	25.5	C	Ŧ	0.68	25.5	c
SB (East Lanes)	Ŧ	0.75	25.2	Ĉ.	Į.	0.75	25.2	Ç	-	-	-	-
SB (West Lanes)	TR	0.88	33.0	£	TR	0.86	31.7	C	_ _	0.00	07.0	-
SB	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	+ R	0.96 0.54	37.9 25.8	C
	- Int		38.2	Đ	- Int	-	- 35.5	Đ	ln		23.8 41.3	Đ
	HII	.	30.∠	_	son Street			₽	H1	t .	41.3	₽
NB	TR	0.88	23.1	Clark	TR	0.88	22.9	С	TR	0.89	24.2	С
			_				187.1 185	_			171.1 169	-
SB	L	1.27	182.0	F	L	1.28	.1	F +	L	1.24	.1	F
	Т	0.78	19.3	В	Т	0.78	19.3	В	Т	0.79	20.2	С
	Int		36.7	D	Int	i.	37.3 <u>37.0</u>	D	In	t.	36.8 36.5	D
			1	Nest Ho	uston Stre	et and W	est Street					
EB	L	0.24	36.2	D	L	0.25	36.5	D	L	0.23	34.5	С
	R	0.06	32.3	С	R	0.06	32.3	С	R	0.05	30.8	С
WB	L	0.37	37.7	D	L		37.7 <u>37.6</u>	D	L		35.8 <u>35.7</u>	D
	LT	0.40	38.5	D	LT	0.40	38.4	D	LT	0.38	36.4	D
l	R	1.44	262.6	F	R	1.52	294.9	F +	R	1.41	248.9	F
NB	L	0.13	53.3	D	L	0.13	53.3	D	L	0.17	56.6	E
OD.	T	0.93	35.7	D	T	0.93	35.0 <u>34.9</u>	С	T	0.93	35.0 <u>34.9</u>	С
SB	T R	1.02	54.5	D	T R	1.02	54.5	D	T R	1.02	54.5	D B
		0.04	15.4 59.1	B E		0.04	15.4 60.9	B E	R In	0.04	15.4	E
	Int		59.1		Int	l.	60.9		<u>I</u> In	ι.	57.6 <u>57.5</u>	

Notes: L = Left Turn, T = Through, R = Right Turn, LOS = Level of Service, EB = Eastbound, WB = Westbound, NB = Northbound, SB =

Southbound, Int. = Intersection.

+ Denotes a significant adverse traffic impact.

(1) Intersection not impacted during the weekday midday peak hour; analysis presented to demonstrate the proposed lane restriping mitigation measures would not result in additional significant adverse traffic impacts.

Table 22-9 2024 No Action, With Action, and Mitigation Conditions Level of Service Analysis **Proposed Project** Weekday PM Peak Hour - Signalized Intersections

						Weeko	lay PM					
	- 2	2024 No	Action			2024 Wit	h Action			2024 Miti	gation	
	Lane	v/c	Delay		Lane	v/c	Delay		Lane	v/c	Delay	
Intersection	Group	Ratio	(sec)	LOS	Group	Ratio	(sec)	LOS	Group	Ratio	(sec)	LOS
			Clarks	on Stre	et and Wa							
EB	TR	0.85	32.0	С	TR	0.820.8	30.0	С	TR	0.840.83	32.3	С
SB	LT	1.00	61.4	E	LT	1.04	<u>29.5</u> 72.5	E	+ LT	1.01	31.7 64.5	E
OB	Int		45.0	D	Int		49.3 49.2	D		nt.	46.9 <u>4</u> 6.7	D
		,	West Hou	iston St	treet and \	Nashing		+			0.7	
						0.940.9	46.2		1		46.24	
WB	LT	0.91	42.0	D	LT	3	<u>45.6</u>	D	LT	0.94 <u>0.93</u>	<u>5.6</u> 28.9	D
SB	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Т	0.77 <u>0.76</u>	<u>28.5</u>	С
	TR	1.49	254.9	F	TR	1.58 <u>1.5</u> <u>7</u>	294.1 292.5	F	+ -	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	R	0.89 <u>0.90</u>	53.2 54.4	D
	Int		143.8	F	Int	t.	164.2 <u>162.9</u>	F		nt.	41.9 41.6	D
			West I	loustor	Street ar	d Varick	Street					
₩B	Ł	0.94	68.9	E	Ł	0.89	58.3	E	LUnmition ated	0.90	58.9	E
-	Ŧ	0.87	36.3	Đ	Ŧ	0.91	41.1	Đ	- Ŧ	0.91	40.7	Đ
SB (East Lanes)	Ŧ	0.71	23.8	C	Ŧ	0.71	23.8	C	- -	-	-	-
SB (West Lanes) SB (West Lanes)	TR	- 1.11	- 260.7	- E	- TR	1.12	- 267.9	F	+ -			_
SB	-	1.11	-	-	-	-	201.5	-	<u>-</u> ∥ ∓	1.06	139.1	F
	-	-	_	_	-	-	-	-	R	0.56	25.5	¢
-	Int		89.1	F	Int		91.0	F	- 4	nt.	93.5	F
			Cla	rkson S	Street and	West St			11	1	00.0	
NB	TR	0.94	25.8	С	TR	0.92	24.2 24.1	С	TR	0.95	28.9 28.7	С
SB	L	1.35	234.2	F	L	1.46 <u>1.4</u> 5	281.3 276.2	F	+ L	1.34 <u>1.33</u>	228.9 224.2	F
	Т	0.67	14.4	В	Т	0.67	14.4	В	Т	0.69	16.3	В
	Int		37.3	D	Int	t.	41.4 <u>40.8</u>	D		nt.	40.6 40.0	D
			West	Housto	n Street a	nd West	Street		u			
EB	L	0.64	75.2	Е	L	0.66	77.8	Е	L	0.57	65.1	Е
NA/D	R	0.06	46.5	D	R	0.06	46.5	D	R	0.05	44.1	D
WB	L LT	0.64 0.73	62.2 67.3	E E	L LT	0.64 0.72	62.1 67.1	E E	L LT	0.58 0.66	57.1 60.7	E E
	R	1.35	235.5	F	R	1.43	272.6	F	+ R	1.32	222.7	F
NB	Ĺ	0.42	79.3	Ē	Ĺ	0.42	79.3	Ē	È	0.42	79.3	Ē
	Т	0.95	35.3	D	Т	0.93	33.3<u>33.2</u>	С	Т	0.96	39.3 39.2	D
SB	T R	0.78 0.02	25.0 12.2	C B	T R	0.78 0.02	25.0 12.2	C B	T R	0.81 0.02	27.9 13.5	C B
	Int		46.8	D	Int		48.9	D		nt.	49.2 49.1	D

EB	Ł	0.75	103.2	F	l⊨ .	0.75	103.2	F	-	
-	Ŧ	0.71	18.8	₿	Ŧ	0.71	18.8	₿	-	
₩B	Ŧ	0.73	109.8	E	Ŧ	0.72	104.7	F	-	
-	R	1.07	88. 7	E	R	1.07	88.7	F	-	Unmitigated
NB (East Lanes)	Ŧ	0.69	87.8	E	Ŧ	0.69	8 7.8	F	-	Omnitigated
	R	0.06	23.5	c	R	0.06	23.5	C	-	
NB (West Lanes)	LŦ	1.11	97.8	E	LŦ	1.12	102.9	F	+	
- `	Int.		81.3	F	Int.		82.2	F	_	1

Notes: L = Left Turn, T = Through, R = Right Turn, LOS = Level of Service, EB = Eastbound, WB = Westbound, NB = Northbound, SB = Southbound, Int. Intersection.

+ Denotes a significant adverse traffic impact.

Table 22-10
2024 No Action, With Action, and Mitigation Conditions Level of Service Analysis
Proposed Project
Saturday Peak Hour - Signalized Intersections

					2000		ı canı		_	Jigiiaii			
							ırday						
		2024 No	Action			2024 Wi	th Action				2024 Mit	tigation	
	Lane	v/c	Delay		Lane	v/c	Delay			Lane	v/c	Delay	
Intersection	Group	Ratio	(sec)	LOS	Group	Ratio	(sec)	LOS		Group	Ratio	(sec)	LOS
West Houston Street and Washington Street													
WB	LT	0.61	21.1	С	LT	0.62	21.4	С		LT	0.62	21.4	С
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		Т	0.47	19.9 <u>19.8</u>	В
SB	TR	0.96	56.3	E	TR		76.3 <u>75.3</u>	Е	+	-		-	-
		-	-	-		-	-			R	0.59	26.1 26.3	С
	Int	i.	34.6	С	Int		42.8 <u>42.3</u>	D		Int		21.7	С
					ton Stree								
₩B	F	1.05	89.5	Ę.	<u></u>	1.03	82.5	E		<u> </u>	1.03	82.5	F (
CD (Foot Longs)	∓ ∓	0.80 0.77	30.2	Ç	∓ ∓	0.82 0.77	31.7 25.9	C		Ŧ	0.82	31.7	£
SB (East Lanes) SB (West Lanes)	TR	0.77	25.8 27.3	e e	TR	0.77	25.9 27.4	C		-	-	_	-
SB	-	0.75	21.0	-		0.00	27.4	-		Ŧ	0.92	2 32.5	Ç
ОВ	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		P	0.52	23.6	9
	Int	<u> </u>	35.5	Đ	Int	<u> </u>	34.9	E		Int		38.6	Đ
Clarkson Street and West Street													
NID.	TD	0.77	40.5			0.790.7				TD	0.04	00 000 7	_
NB	TR	0.77	18.5	В	TR	8	19.0 18.9	В		TR	0.81	20.8 <u>20.7</u>	С
SB	L	0.82	56.3	E	L	0.89	64.8 <u>64.1</u>		+	L	0.84	56.5 <u>56.0</u>	E
	Т	0.79	19.6	В	Т	0.79	19.6	В		Т	0.81	21.5	С
	Int	t.	21.4	С	Int	t.	22.5 22.4	С		Int		23.6	С
					uston Stre								
EB	L	0.17	34.8	С	L	0.18	35.0	C		L	0.16	33.2	С
	R	0.03	31.9	С	R	0.03	31.9	С		R	0.03	30.4	С
WB	L	0.44	39.3	D	L	0.45	39.4	D		L	0.42	37.2	D
	LT R	0.48 1.29	40.1 193.5	D F	LT R	0.48 1.36	40.2 223.3	D F		LT R	0.45 1.29	38.0 191.2	D F
NB	L	0.13	53.0	D	Ĺ	0.13	53.0	D	+	L	0.16	56.1	Ē
140	Ī	0.13	27.7	C	Ī	0.13	27.8	C		Ť	0.16	27.8	С
SB	Ť	1.01	52.4	Ď	l i	1.01	52.4	Ď		Ť	1.01	52.4	Ď
	Ŕ	0.04	15.4	В	Ř	0.04	15.4	В		R	0.04	15.4	В
	Int	t.	51.5	D	Int		54.5	D		Int		51.7	D
				Clarkso	n Street a	nd Huds	on Street						
EB	LT	0.92	53.5	D	LT		61.860.9		+	LT	0.93	54.5 <u>53.8</u>	D
NB	TR	0.48	13.7	В	TR	0.48	13.7	В		TR	0.49	14.4	В
	Int	t.	28.9	С	Int	t.	32.6 32.2	С		Int		30.1 29.8	С
Notes: - oft Tu	ırn T Th	rough D	Diaht T	urn I O	C Lovel	of Condo	o ED E	aathaun	7	MD Mos	ام مدد دم ملخ	NID	

Notes: L = Left Turn, T = Through, R = Right Turn, LOS = Level of Service, EB = Eastbound, WB = Westbound, NB = Northbound, SB = Southbound, Int. = Intersection. + Denotes a significant adverse traffic impact.

West Houston Street and West Street

The significant adverse impact at the eastbound left-turn lane group of this intersection during the weekday AM peak hour could be fully mitigated by shifting one second of green time from the from the northbound/southbound phase to the eastbound/westbound phase.

The significant adverse impacts at the westbound right-turn lane group of this intersection during the weekday midday and Saturday peak hours could be fully mitigated by shifting two seconds of green time from the northbound left-turn phase to the eastbound/westbound phase.

The significant adverse impact at the westbound right-turn lane group of this intersection during the weekday PM peak hour could be fully mitigated by shifting three seconds of green time from the northbound/southbound phase to the eastbound/westbound phase.

As shown in **Tables 22-7 to 22-10**, the significant adverse impacts could be fully mitigated.

Canal Street (North) and West Street

The significant adverse impact at the westbound left-turn lane group of this intersection during the weekday AM peak hour could be fully mitigated by shifting one second of green time from the northbound/southbound phase to the westbound phase. As shown in **Table 22-7**, the significant adverse impact could be fully mitigated.

Canal Street and Hudson Street

The significant adverse impact at the northbound (west lanes) approach of this intersection during the weekday PM peak hour could not be mitigated (see **Table 22-9**).

Clarkson Street and Hudson Street

The significant adverse impact at the eastbound approach of this intersection during the weekday AM peak hour could be fully mitigated by shifting three seconds of green time from the northbound phase to the eastbound phase.

The significant adverse impact at the eastbound approach of this intersection during the Saturday peak hour could be fully mitigated by shifting one second of green time from the northbound phase to the eastbound phase.

As shown in **Tables 22-7 and 22-10**, the significant adverse impacts could be fully mitigated.

Clarkson Street and Varick Street

The significant adverse impact at the eastbound approach of this intersection during the weekday AM peak hour could be fully mitigated by shifting two seconds of green time from the southbound phase to the eastbound/westbound phase. As shown in **Table 22-7**, the significant adverse impact could be fully mitigated.

PROPOSED PROJECT WITH BIG BOX RETAIL

Tables 22-11 to 22-14 itemize the recommended mitigation measures that address the identified impacts under the proposed project with big box retail. With the implementation of these standard traffic mitigation measures (including primarily signal timing changes, approach daylighting, and lane restriping, and installing a new traffic signal), which are subject to review and approval by NYCDOT, the significant adverse traffic impacts identified above could be fully mitigated except for the intersections of West Houston Street at Varick Street (weekday PM peak hour), West Houston Street at West Street (Saturday peak hour), Canal Street at Hudson Street (weekday midday and PM peak hours), and Spring Street at West Street (weekday PM peak hour), and Spring Street at Washington Street (weekday PM peak hour). The new traffic signal is only recommended under the proposed project with big box retail scenario for the unsignalized intersection of Spring Street and Washington Street, where its significant adverse traffic impact (weekday PM peak hour) could be mitigated by installing a new traffic signal. The cost for design and implementation of this new traffic signal would be the responsibility of the applicant under the proposed project with big box retail scenario.

Table 22-11 Recommended Mitigation Measures: Proposed Project with Big Box Retail Weekday AM Peak Hour

		,,,	chady first I can flour
Intersection	No Action Signal Timing	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Recommended Signal Timing
Clarkson Street and	EB: Green = 40 s	Shift 2 seconds of green time from	EB: Green = 38 s
Washington Street	SB: Green = 40 s	the EB phase to the SB phase.	SB: Green = 42 s
West Houston Street and Washington Street	WB: Green = 40 s SB: Green = 40 s	1) Restripe the SB approach from one 8-foot parking lane, one 11-foot moving lane, one 5-foot bike lane, and one 8-foot parking lane to one 11-foot right-turn lane, one 13-foot shared moving/bike lane, and one 8-foot parking lane. 2) Install "No Standing Anytime" for 100-feet at the SB approach to create an additional right-turn lane.	No change from No Action
West Houston Street and West Street	EB/WB: Green = 33 s NB/SB: Green = 90 s NB-L: Green = 10 s	Shift 1 second of green time from the NB/SB phase to the EB/WB phase.	EB/WB: Green = 34 s NB/SB: Green = 89 s NB-L: Green = 10 s
Clarkson Street and	EB: Green = 31 s	Shift 3 seconds of green time from	EB: Green = 34 s
Hudson Street	NB: Green = 49 s	the NB phase to the EB phase.	NB: Green = 46 s
Clarkson Street and	EB/WB: Green = 40 s	Shift 1 second of green time from the	EB/WB: Green = 41 s
Varick Street	SB: Green = 40 s	SB phase to the EB/WB phase.	SB: Green = 39 s
Notes: EB = Eastboun	d; WB = Westbound; NB = Northb	bound; $SB = Southbound$; $L = Left$; $T = Th$	rough; R = Right.

Table 22-12 Recommended Mitigation Measures: Proposed Project with Big Box Retail Weekday Midday Peak Hour

		WCCKU	ay Miluuay Feak Houl
Intersection	No Action Signal Timing	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Recommended Signal Timing
West Houston Street and Washington Street	WB: Green = 40 s SB: Green = 40 s	1) Restripe the SB approach from one 8-foot parking lane, one 11-foot moving lane, one 5-foot bike lane, and one 8-foot parking lane to one 11-foot right-turn lane, one 13-foot shared moving/bike lane, and one 8-foot parking lane. 2) Install "No Standing Anytime" for 100-feet at the SB approach to create an additional right-turn lane.	No change from No Action
West Houston Street and Varick Street	<u>WB-T: Green = 7 s</u> <u>WB: Green = 33 s</u> <u>SB: LPI = 7s</u> <u>SB: Green = 33 s</u>	Shift 1 second of green time from the WB phase to the SB phase.	<u>WB-T: Green = 7 s</u> <u>WB: Green = 32 s</u> <u>SB: LPI = 7s</u> <u>SB: Green = 34 s</u>
Clarkson Street and West Street	SB-L: Green = 34 s NB/SB: Green = 74 s	Shift 2 seconds of green time from the NB/SB phase to the SB left-turn phase.	SB-L: Green = 36 s NB/SB: Green = 72 s
West Houston Street and West Street	EB/WB: Green = 33 s NB/SB: Green = 60 s NB-L: Green = 10 s	Shift 2 seconds of green time from the NB left-turn phase to the EB/WB phase.	EB/WB: Green = 35 s NB/SB: Green = 60 s NB-L: Green = 8 s
Canal Street (North) and West Street	WB: Green = 25 s NB/SB: Green = 84 s	Shift 1 second of green time from the NB/SB phase to the WB phase.	WB: Green = 26 s NB/SB: Green = 83 s
Canal Street and Hudson Street	EB/EB-L/WB-R: Green = 20 s EB-T/WB-T/WB-R: Green = 26 s NB: Green = 26 s	Unmitigated	No change from No Action
Clarkson Street and Hudson Street	EB: Green = 31 s NB: Green = 49 s	Shift 2 seconds of green time from the NB phase to the EB phase.	EB: Green = 33 s NB: Green = 47 s
Notes: EB = Eastbound;	WB = Westbound; NB = Northboun	d; SB = Southbound; L = Left; T = Th	rough; R = Right.

Table 22-13
Recommended Mitigation Measures: Proposed Project with Big Box Retail
Weekday PM Peak Hour

			Kuay Pivi Peak Hour
Intersection	No Action Signal Timing	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Recommended Signal Timing
Clarkson Street and	EB: Green = 40 s	Shift 2 seconds of green time from	EB: Green = 38 s
Washington Street	SB: Green = 40 s	the EB phase to the SB phase.	SB: Green = 42 s
West Houston Street and Washington Street	WB: Green = 39 s SB: Green = 41 s	1) Restripe the SB approach from one 8-foot parking lane, one 11-foot moving lane, one 5-foot bike lane, and one 8-foot parking lane to one 11-foot right-turn lane, one 13-foot shared moving/bike lane, and one 8-foot parking lane; 2) Install "No Standing Anytime" for 100-feet at the SB approach to create an additional right-turn lane; 3) Shift 1 second of green time from the SB phase to the WB phase.	WB: Green = 40 s SB: Green = 40 s
West Houston Street and Varick Street	WB-T: Green = 7 s WB: Green = 33 s <u>SB: LPI = 7s</u> SB: Green = 40-33 s	Restripe the SB approach moving lanes from four 10-foot shared through/right-turn lanes to one 10-foot right-turn lane and three 10-foot through lanes_Unmitigated	No change from No Action
Clarkson Street and West Street	SB-L: Green = 34 s NB/SB: Green = 104 s	Shift 3 seconds of green time from the NB/SB phase to the SB left- turn phase.	SB-L: Green = 37 s NB/SB: Green = 101 s
West Houston Street and West Street	EB/WB: Green = 33 s NB/SB: Green = 90 s NB-L: Green = 10 s	Shift 3 seconds of green time from the NB/SB phase to the EB/WB phase.	EB/WB: Green = 36 s NB/SB: Green = 87 s NB-L: Green = 10 s
Canal Street and Hudson Street	EB/EB-L/WB-R: Green = 21 s EB-T/WB-T/WB-R: Green = 25 s NB: Green = 26 s	Unmitigated	No change from No Action
Clarkson Street and	EB: Green = 31 s	Shift 1 second of green time from	EB: Green = 32 s
Hudson Street Spring Street and West Street	NB: Green = 49 s Unsignalized	the NB phase to the EB phase. Unmitigated	NB: Green = 48 s Unsignalized
Spring Street and Washington Street	Unsignalized	Unmitigated1) Install 2 phase signal with 90 second cycle length. 2) EB Phase: 25/3/2 (Green/Amber/Red) 3) SB Phase: 55/3/2 (Green/Amber/Red)	EB: Green = 25 SB: Green = 55Unsignalized
Notes: EB = Eastbound;	WB = Westbound; NB = Northbound	d; $SB = Southbound$; $L = Left$; $T = Through T$	ough; R = Right.

Table 22-14
Recommended Mitigation Measures: Proposed Project with Big Box Retail
Saturday Peak Hour

		Satu	i day i can iiodi
Intersection	No Action Signal Timing	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Recommended Signal Timing
West Houston Street	WB: Green = 40 s	1) Restripe the SB approach from one 8-foot parking lane, one 11-foot moving lane, one 5-foot bike lane, and one 8-foot parking lane to one 11-foot right-turn lane, one 13-foot shared moving/bike lane, and one 8-foot parking lane. 2) Install "No Standing Anytime" for 100-feet at the SB approach to create an additional right-turn lane.	No change from No
and Washington Street	SB: Green = 40 s		Action
Clarkson Street and	SB-L: Green = 34 s	Shift 3 seconds of green time from the NB/SB phase to the SB left-turn phase.	SB-L: Green = 37 s
West Street	NB/SB: Green = 74 s		NB/SB: Green = 71 s
West Houston Street and West Street	EB/WB: Green = 33 s NB/SB: Green = 60 s NB-L: Green = 10 s	Unmitigated	No change from No Action
Canal Street (North)	WB: Green = 25 s	Shift 1 second of green time from the NB/SB phase to the WB phase.	WB: Green = 26 s
and West Street	NB/SB: Green = 84 s		NB/SB: Green = 83 s
Clarkson Street and	EB: Green = 31 s	Shift 3 seconds of green time from the NB phase to the EB phase.	EB: Green = 34 s
Hudson Street	NB: Green = 49 s		NB: Green = 46 s
Notes: EB = Eastbound;	WB = Westbound; NB = Northbour	nd; SB = Southbound; L = Left; T = Through; R	= Right.

A discussion of the recommended mitigation measures is provided below. **Tables 22-15 to 22-18 22-22** compare the levels of service (LOS) and lane group delays for the impacted intersections under the 2024 No Action, With Action (the proposed project with big box retail), and Mitigation conditions for the four analysis peak hours.

Clarkson Street and Washington Street

The significant adverse impacts at the southbound approach of this intersection during the weekday AM and PM peak hours could be fully mitigated by shifting two seconds of green time from the eastbound phase to the southbound phase. As shown in **Tables 22-15 and 22-17** 22-19, the significant adverse impacts could be fully mitigated.

West Houston Street and Washington Street

The significant adverse impacts at the southbound approach of this intersection during the weekday AM, midday, and Saturday peak hours could be fully mitigated by restriping the southbound approach from one eight-foot parking lane, one 11-foot moving lane, one five-foot bike lane, and one eight-foot parking lane to one 11-foot right-turn lane, one 13-foot shared moving/bike lane, and one eight-foot parking lane; and prohibiting parking (installing "No Standing Anytime" sign) on the west curbside of the southbound approach for approximately 100 feet from the intersection.

The significant adverse impacts at the westbound and southbound approaches of this intersection during the weekday PM peak hour could be fully mitigated by restriping the southbound approach from one eight-foot parking lane, one 11-foot moving lane, one five-foot bike lane, and one eight-foot parking lane to one 11-foot right-turn lane, one 13-foot shared moving/bike lane, and one eight-foot parking lane; prohibiting parking (installing "No Standing Anytime" sign) on the west curbside of the southbound approach for approximately 100 feet from the intersection; and shifting one second of green time from the southbound phase to the westbound phase.

Table 22-15 2024 No Action, With Action, and Mitigation Conditions Level of Service Analysis **Proposed Project with Big Box Retail** Weekday AM Peak Hour - Signalized Intersections

						Week	day AM						
		2024 No	Action			2024 Wi	th Action				2024 Mit	igation	
	Lane	v/c	Delay		Lane	v/c	Delay			Lane	v/c	Delay	
Intersection	Group	Ratio	(sec)	LOS	Group	Ratio	(sec)	LOS		Group	Ratio	(sec)	LOS
				Clarkso	n Street and	d Washing	gton Stree						
EB	TR	0.80	28.7	С	TR	0.86	32.2	С		TR	0.91	38.9	D
SB	LT	0.99	60.9	Е	LT	1.04	73.1		+	LT	0.99	57.6	E
	In	i.	42.4	D	Int		49.1	D		In	i.	46.6	D
					ton Street a								
WB	LT	0.69	23.3	С	LT	0.67	22.9	С		LT	0.67	22.9	C
								_		T	0.58	22.7	С
SB	TR	1.31	177.6	F	TR	1.39	212.7	F	+	-		- 07.0	-
	-	-	- 04.7	-	- 1	-	- 400.4	-		R	0.78	37.2	D
	In		91.7	F	Int		108.1	F		In		25.6	С
W/D		0.00			uston Stree						0.00	T 50.0	
₩B	₽	0.88 0.72	54.7 26.7	Đ	 ∓	0.89 0.71	56.8 26.3	E C		⊢ ∓	0.89 0.71	56.8 26.3	E C
SB (East Lanes)	+	0.72 0.76	25.7 25.6	E	+ 	0.71 0.75	25.6	E		+	0.7 1	∠0.3	-
SB (West Lanes)	TR	0.76	23.0 31.9	Ę	TR.	0.75 0.86	23.0 32.2	Ç		_	_	_	_
SB	-	0.00	01.0	-		0.00	-	_		Ŧ	0.93	34.5	c
OB	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_		R	0.62	28.4	Ē
	- In	t.	31.3	e	In	t.	31.5	C		lr.	t.	34.9	E
			•	West H	ouston Stre	et and W	est Street	•		••		•	
EB	L	0.71	90.0	F	L	0.75	97.3	F	+	L	0.71	89.4	F
	R	0.09	47.1	D	R	0.09	47.1	D		R	0.08	46.3	D
WB	L	0.70	66.2	E	L	0.71	66.8	E		L	0.69	64.4	E
	LT	0.82	76.6	E	LT	0.83	78.1	E		LT	0.81	74.1	E
	R	1.37	248.5	F	R	1.38	250.1	F		R	1.34	233.6	F
NB	Ļ	0.34	76.2	E	L	0.34	76.2	E		L	0.34	76.2	E
SB	T T	0.92 0.95	32.9 37.2	C	T T	0.94 0.95	34.6 37.2	C		T T	0.95 0.96	36.6 39.5	D D
SD	R	0.93	12.3	В	R	0.93	12.3	В		R	0.96	12.7	В
			50.1	D	Int		51.1	D	-	In		51.7	D
						nd Hudso		D		111	l.	31.7	
EB	LT	1.19	135.8	F	LT	1.29	177.8	F	+	LT	1.18	128.1	F
NB	TR	0.74	19.5	В	TR	0.74	19.5	В	۱.	TR	0.79	23.3	Ċ
	Int		59.6	Ē	Int		77.0	Ē		In		61.4	Ĕ
				Clark	son Street			-					
EB	TR	1.06	81.5	F	TR	1.09	90.4	F	+	TR	1.06	80.3	F
WB	L	0.18	17.8	В	L	0.18	18.0	В		L	0.17	17.0	В
SB	LT	0.71	22.3	С	LT	0.71	22.3	С		LT	0.73	23.3	С
	Int		37.7	D	Int		40.5	D		In		38.5	D
Notes: = eft Turn	T - Throug	h P – Pio	ht Turn I (75 - L ov	el of Service	EB = Ea	ethound M	/R = West	hOI	und NR - N	lorthhound	I SB - Sou	ithhound

Notes: L = Left Turn, T = Through, R = Right Turn, LOS = Level of Service, EB = Eastbound, WB = Westbound, NB = Northbound, SB = Southbound, Int. = Intersection.

+ Denotes a significant adverse traffic impact.

Table 22-16 2024 No Action, With Action, and Mitigation Conditions Level of Service Analysis **Proposed Project with Big Box Retail** Weekday AM Peak Hour - Unsignalized Intersections

										Siluiz	tou III	COLDCC	
						Wee	kday AM						
		2024 No	Action			2024 V	lith Action	1			2024 Mit	<u>igation</u>	
	Lane	<u>v/c</u>	Delay	. = _	Lane	<u>v/c</u>	Delay	. = _	=	Lane	v/c	Delay	. = _
<u>Intersection</u>	Group	Ratio	(sec)	LOS	Group	Ratio	(sec)	LOS	-	Group	Ratio	(sec)	LOS
				Sprir	ng Street ar	nd Washin	gton Stree	et ⁽¹⁾					
											Signa	lized	
<u>EB</u>	<u>TR</u>	0.50	13.3	<u>B</u>	TR	0.52	<u>14.1</u>	<u>B</u>		IR	0.66	31.6	<u>C</u>
<u>SB</u>	LTR					C ITD 0.70 24.5 C				LTR	0.70	20.5	<u>C</u>
		<u> </u>								Int	24.8	C	

Notes: L = Left Turn, T = Through, R = Right Turn, LOS = Level of Service, EB = Eastbound, WB = Westbound, NB = Northbound, SB =

Southbound, Int. = Intersection, + Denote a significant adverse traffic impact.

(i) Intersection not impacted during the weekday AM peak hour; analysis presented to demonstrate the proposed traffic signal installation mitigation measure would not result in additional significant adverse traffic impacts

Table 22-<u>1617</u>
2024 No Action, With Action, and Mitigation Conditions Level of Service Analysis
Proposed Project with Big Box Retail
Weekday Midday Peak Hour - Signalized Intersections

			WCCK		viiuua		/ Midday			,			
		2024 No	Action				th Action			2	024 Mitig	nation	
	Lane	v/c	Delay		Lane	v/c	Delay			Lane	v/c	Delay	
Intersection	Group	Ratio	(sec)	LOS	Group	Ratio	(sec)	LOS		Group	Ratio	(sec)	LOS
				ston St	treet and \								
WB	LT	0.63	21.9	С	LT	0.65	22.4	С		LT	0.65	22.4	С
SB	- TR	- 1.14	109.8	- F	TR	1.20	133.8	- F	+	T	0.63	23.8	С
OD	-	-	-	'-	-	-	-	'-		R	0.59	26.8	С
	In	i.	61.1	Е	Int		72.8	Е		Int	-	23.5	С
		1	West F	loustor	Street an	d Varick	Street			1		1	
<u>WB</u>	L	<u>1.10</u>	<u>111.0</u>	E	L	<u>1.05</u>	94.2	E		L	1.05 1.09	<u>94.2</u> 108.7	E
		0.00	05.5		_	0.70	00.0				0.70	26.0	
	Ī	0.68	<u>25.5</u>	<u>C</u>	Ī	0.70	<u>26.0</u>	<u>C</u>		Ī	0.72	27.5	<u>C</u>
SB (East Lanes)	∓ TR	0.75	25.2	C	∓ TR	0.75	25.2	E		-	-	-	-
SB (West Lanes)		0.88	33.0	C		0.90	35.1	Đ		-	0.96	- 38.0	-
<u>SB</u>	크	<u>-1.16</u>	<u>-107.3</u>	£	<u>-I</u>	<u>-1.16</u>	<u>-107.0</u>	<u>£</u>		Ī	1.12	92.3	ÐF
	<u>-</u> R	-0.79	-50.8	-D	<u>-</u> R	-0.84	-57.9	<u>-</u> E	±	R	0.64	30.0	CD
									_	_	<u>0.81</u>	51.6 41.8	
	<u>In</u>	<u>t.</u>	<u>38.2</u> 89.3	<u>D</u> E	<u>In</u>	<u>t.</u>	37.0 87.3	<u>D</u> E		<u>Int</u>	<u>.</u>	79.5	<u>DE</u>
	•		Cla	rkson S	Street and	West St	reet			•			•
NB	TR	0.88	23.1	С	TR	0.90	24.3	С		TR	0.93	27.5	0
SB	L T	1.27 0.78	182.0 19.3	F B	L	1.33 0.78	206.6 19.3	F B	+	L	1.25 0.80	174.0 21.2	F C
	ln:		36.7	D	Int		40.3	D		Int		39.5	D
					n Street a								
EB	L	0.24	36.2	D	L	0.25	36.6	D		L	0.23	34.6	С
	R	0.06	32.3	С	R	0.06	32.3	С		R	0.05	30.8	С
WB	L LT	0.37 0.40	37.7 38.5	D D	L LT	0.37 0.41	37.8 38.6	D D		L LT	0.35 0.38	35.9 36.5	D D
	R	1.44	262.6	F	R	1.54	303.6	F	+	R	1.43	256.8	F
NB	L	0.13	53.3	D	L	0.13	53.3	D		L	0.17	56.6	Ε
0.0	T	0.93	35.7	D	T	0.95	37.3	D		T	0.95	37.3	D
SB	T R	1.02 0.04	54.5 15.4	D B	T R	1.02 0.04	54.5 15.4	D B		T R	1.02 0.04	54.5 15.4	D B
	In		59.1	E	Int		62.6	E		Int		59.2	E
	<u> </u>			Street		nd West							
WB	L	0.44	46.9	D	L	0.45	47.2	D		L	0.43	45.7	D
	LR	1.08	127.6	F F	LR	1.11	139.9	F F	+	LR	1.07	125.1	F F
NB	R T	1.07 0.58	131.1 9.7	A	R T	1.12 0.58	145.3 9.7	A	+	R T	1.08 0.59	130.0 10.3	В
SB	Ť	0.47	8.4	A	Ť	0.47	8.4	A		Ť	0.48	8.9	Ā
	In	i.	21.0	С	Int		22.7	С		Int	-	21.7	С
					et and Hu					1			
EB	L	0.87	49.4	D	L	0.87	49.4	D			Unmitig	ated	
WB	T T	0.68 1.28	18.1 171.9	B F	T T	0.68 1.27	18.1 167.7	B F					
V V D	R	0.52	13.6	В	R	0.52	13.6	В					
NB (East Lanes)	Т	0.16	24.3	С	Т	0.16	24.3	С					
ND (Most Larre)	R	0.19	25.2	C	R	0.19	25.2	C					
NB (West Lanes)	LT In	1.08	88.8 69.6	F E	LT Int	1.11	97.6 70.9	F E	+				
					reet and H					l			
EB	LT	1.24	156.0	F	LT	1.31	184.4	F	+	LT	1.23	148.3	F
	TR	0.75	19.5	В	TR	0.75	19.5	В		TR	0.78	22.0	С
N	In:		66.6	E .	Int		78.3	E		Int		67.0	E
Notes: L = Left Turn, T =	i hrough	≺ = Riahi	r Lurn IO	S = I e v	el of Servi	ce FB =	⊢astbour	nd WB	= V	vestbound	NB = Nc	arthhound	n SB

Notes: L = Left Turn, T = Through, R = Right Turn, LOS = Level of Service, EB = Eastbound, WB = Westbound, NB = Northbound, SB = Southbound, Int. = Intersection.

⁺ Denotes a significant adverse traffic impact.

Table 22-18 2024 No Action, With Action, and Mitigation Conditions Level of Service Analysis **Proposed Project with Big Box Retail** Weekday Midday Peak Hour - Unsignalized Intersections

=						Weekda	y Midday					
=		2024 No	<u>Action</u>			2024 W	ith Action	<u>1</u>		2024 Miti	<u>gation</u>	
=	Lane	v/c	Delay	=	Lane	v/c	Delay		Lane	v/c	Delay	=
Intersection	Group	<u>Ratio</u>	(sec)	<u>LOS</u>	Group	<u>Ratio</u>	(sec)	LOS _	Group	Ratio	(sec)	LO S
				Spring	Street and	d Washin	gton Stre	et ⁽¹⁾				
										<u>Signali</u>	zed	
EB SB	<u>IR</u> LTR	0.30 0.69	<u>10.5</u> 17.8	<u>B</u> C	<u>IR</u> LTR	0.31 0.83	<u>11.1</u> 25.7	<u>B</u> C	IR LTR	0.39 0.93	24.6 38.9	<u>C</u>
				=	=		<u> </u>	<u>Int.</u> <u>35.4</u> <u>D</u>				

Notes: L = Left Turn, T = Through, R = Right Turn, LOS = Level of Service, EB = Eastbound, WB = Westbound, NB = Northbound, SB = Southbound, Int. = Intersection, + Denote a significant adverse traffic impact.

(i) Intersection not impacted during the weekday midday peak hour; analysis presented to demonstrate the proposed traffic signal

Table 22-1719 2024 No Action, With Action, and Mitigation Conditions Level of Service Analysis **Proposed Project with Big Box Retail** Weekday PM Peak Hour - Signalized Intersections

							day PM		ignanz			
		2024 No	Action			2024 Wit	h Action			2024 Mit	igation	
	Lane	v/c	Delay		Lane	v/c	Delay		Lane	v/c	Delay	
Intersection	Group	Ratio	(sec)	LOS	Group	Ratio	(sec)	LOS	Group	Ratio	(sec)	LOS
			Clarks	on Stre	et and Wa	shingtor	Street					
EB	TR	0.85	32.0	С	TR	0.88	34.2	С	TR	0.93	41.8	D
SB	LT	1.00	61.4	Е	LT	1.07	81.9		- LT	1.02	64.7	E
	ln		45.0	D	Int		55.3	Е	In	t.	52.0	D
					treet and \				п	1	1	
WB	LT	0.91	42.0	D	LT	0.97	53.0	D +	- LŢ	0.94	46.2	D
SB	TR	1.49	254.9	F	- TR	1.64	321.7	F 4	Т	0.82	33.0	C
	IK	1.49	254.9	-	IK -	1.04	321.7	-	R R	0.99	75.5	E
	In		143.8	F	Int		181.7	F	In In		46.7	D
					Street ar	•	_			••		
WB	L	0.94	68.9	E	L	0.90	60.1	Е				
	Т	0.87	36.3	D	Т	0.91	40.7	D		11		
<u>SB</u>	- <u>I</u> -R	- <u>1.44</u>	- <u>327.5</u>	-E -D	- <u>I</u> -R	- <u>1.44</u>	- <u>327.0</u>	D -E -D -		Unmiti Unmitiq		
	<u>-R</u>	<u>-0.70</u>	<u>-40.3</u>	<u>-D</u>	<u>-R</u>	<u>-0.81</u>	<u>-50.3</u>	<u>-D</u> -	1	E		
	In	t.	89.1	F	Int	t.	98.9	F		=		
			<u>196.3</u>			144 04	<u>193.3</u>		1			
NB	TR	0.94	25.8	C C	Street and TR	0.94	26.2	С	TR	0.97	31.9	С
SB	L	1.35	234.2	F	L	1.48	290.4		. 'K	1.36	237.0	F
OB	Ī	0.67	14.4	В.	Ť	0.67	14.4	В	T T	0.69	16.3	В
	In		37.3	D	Int		43.4	D	In		43.2	D
	-		West	Housto	n Street a	nd West	Street					
EB	L	0.64	75.2	Е	L	0.67	78.5	Е	L	0.57	65.5	Е
	R	0.06	46.5	D	R	0.06	46.5	D	R	0.05	44.1	D
WB	Ŀ	0.64	62.2	E	L_	0.64	62.2	E E F	L_	0.58	57.2	E E
	LT	0.73	67.3	E	LT	0.73	67.5	E	LT	0.67	61.0	
NB	R	1.35 0.42	235.5 79.3	F E	R L	1.45 0.42	278.8 79.3	F +	R L	1.34 0.42	228.3 79.3	F E
IND	L T	0.42	79.3 35.3	D	T	0.42	79.3 35.4	D		0.42	79.3 42.9	D
SB	Ι τ̈́	0.93	25.0	C	l '	0.93	25.0	C	Η ή	0.80	27.9	C
=	R	0.02	12.2	В	R	0.02	12.2	В	R	0.02	13.5	В
	In		46.8	D	Int	t.	50.5	D	In	t.	51.4	D

installation mitigation measure would not result in additional significant adverse traffic impacts

Table 22-17 (cont'd)

2024 No Action, With Action, and Mitigation Conditions Level of Service Analysis Proposed Project with Big Box Retail

Weekday PM Peak Hour - Signalized Intersections

						Weeko	day PM									
		2024 No	Action			2024 Wit	h Action			2	2024 Miti	igation				
	Lane	v/c	Delay		Lane	v/c	Delay			Lane	v/c	Delay				
Intersection	Group	Ratio	(sec)	LOS	Group	Ratio	(sec)	LOS		Group	Ratio	(sec)	LOS			
			Ca	nal Stre	et and Hu	dson Sti	reet									
EB	L 0.75 103.2 F L 0.75 103.2 F															
	Т	0.71	18.8	В	Т	0.71	18.8	В								
WB	Т	0.73	109.8	F	Т	0.73	109.0	F								
	R	1.07	88.7	F	R	1.07	88.7	F			Unmitio	natod				
NB (East Lanes)	Т	0.69	87.8	F	Т	0.69	87.8	F			Ommug	galeu				
	R	0.06	23.5	С	R	0.06	23.5	С								
NB (West Lanes)	LT	1.11	97.8	F	LT	1.14	110.1	F	+							
	In	t.	81.3	F	In	t.	84.8	F								
		Clarkson Street and Hudson Street														
EB	LT	1.19	136.9	F	LT	1.22	146.3	F	+	LT	1.18	129.5	F			
NB	TR	0.51	14.2	В	TR	0.51	14.2	В		TR	0.52	14.9	В			
	In	t.	65.3	Е	In	t.	69.7	Е		Int		63.1	Е			

Notes: L = Left Turn, T = Through, R = Right Turn, LOS = Level of Service, EB = Eastbound, WB = Westbound, NB = Northbound, SB = Southbound, Int. = Intersection. + Denotes a significant adverse traffic impact.

Table 22-18<u>20</u>
2024 No Action, With Action, and Mitigation Conditions Level of Service Analysis
Proposed Project with Big Box Retail
Weekday PM Peak Hour - Unsignalized Intersections

						1	Neekday	PM								
		2024 No	Action			2024 W	ith Actio	n		2024	4 Mitigati	on				
Intersection	Lane Group	v/c Ratio	Delay (sec)	LOS	Lane Group	v/c Ratio	Delay (sec)	LOS		Lane Group	v/c Ratio	Delay (sec)	LOS			
Spring Street and West Street																
WB	R 0.02 17.0 C R 0.65 36.5 E + Unmitigated															
				9	Spring Stre	et and W	ashingtor	Street								
										S	ignalized					
EB	TR	0.49	14.3	В	TR	0.49	14.2	В		TRUnmitigated	0.73	40.5	<u>D</u> D			
SB	LTR	0.99	53.2	F	LTR	1.19	116.1	F	+	LTR 1.01 51.6 D						
													D			
Notes: = ef	t Turn T =	- Through	R – Rigi	nt Turn	LOS = Lev	el of Sen	ice FR -	Fastho	und '	WB = Westbound, I	JR – Nort	hhound S	SR -			

Notes: L = Left Turn, T = Through, R = Right Turn, LOS = Level of Service, EB = Eastbound, WB = Westbound, NB = Northbound, SB = Southbound, Int. = Intersection, + Denote a significant adverse traffic impact.

Table 22-1921 2024 No Action, With Action, and Mitigation Conditions Level of Service Analysis **Proposed Project with Big Box Retail Saturday Peak Hour - Signalized Intersections**

						Satu	rday						
		2024 No	Action			2024 Wit	h Action				2024 Miti	gation	
	Lane	v/c	Delay		Lane	v/c	Delay			Lane	v/c	Delay	
Intersection	Group	Ratio	(sec)	LOS	Group	Ratio	(sec)	LOS		Group	Ratio	(sec)	LOS
			Wes	t Houst	on Street a	nd Wash	ington St	reet		•			
WB	LT	0.61	21.1	С	LT	0.67	22.6	С		LT	0.67	22.6	С
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		Т	0.54	21.4	С
SB	TR	0.96	56.3	E	TR	1.16	115.7	F	+	_			_
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		R	0.65	29.2	С
	In	i.	34.6	С	Int		60.1	Е		Int		23.3	С
			40.5		son Street								_
NB	TR	0.77	18.5	В	TR	0.82	20.0	CE		TR	0.85	23.1	C
SB	L	0.82 0.79	56.3	E B	L T	0.94	73.2 19.6	B	+	L T	0.86	58.2 22.5	E C
	Int		19.6 21.4	С	Int	0.79	23.7	С		Int	0.82	25.5	C
	111	l.			uston Stre		_			1111	•	25.5	C
EB		0.17	34.8	C C		0.18	35.0	D		l			
ED	L	-			L								
WB	R L	0.03 0.44	31.9 39.3	C D	R I	0.03 0.45	31.9 39.5	C D					
WD	LT	0.44	39.3 40.1	D	LT	0.45	39.5 40.4	D					
	R	1.29	193.5	F	R	1.39	235.1	F	+				
NB	l È	0.13	53.0	D.	Ĺ	0.13	53.0	D.	١.		Unmitig	jated	
	ΙĒ	0.81	27.7	Č	Ī	0.84	28.9	Č					
SB	Т	1.01	52.4	D	Т	1.01	52.4	D					
	R	0.04	15.4	В	R	0.04	15.4	В					
	In	t.	51.5	D	Int	i.	55.8	E					
				Canal S	treet (Norti	h) and We	est Street						
WB	L	0.43	45.6	D	Ĺ	0.46	46.5	D		L	0.44	45.2	D
	LR	0.69	56.7	E	LR	0.74	60.8	E	+	LR	0.71	57.5	E
	R	0.77	65.4	E	R	0.83	73.0	E	+	R	0.80	67.5	E
NB	T	0.54	9.1	Α	T	0.54	9.2	Α		T	0.55	9.7	Α
SB	T	0.47	8.4	Α	T	0.48	8.4	A		T	0.48	8.9	Α
	In	i.	13.5	В	Int		14.4	В		Int. 14.4 B			В
					on Street a								
EB	LT	0.92	53.5	D	LT	1.06	86.8	F	+				
NB	TR	0.48	13.7	В	TR	0.48	13.7	В					В
	Int		28.9	C	Int	i. 	43.9	D	_	Int	ND N-	33.4	С

Notes: L = Left Turn, T = Through, R = Right Turn, LOS = Level of Service, EB = Eastbound, WB = Westbound, NB = Northbound, SB = Southbound, Int. = Intersection.

+ Denotes a significant adverse traffic impact

Table 22-22 2024 No Action, With Action, and Mitigation Conditions Level of Service Analysis **Proposed Project with Big Box Retail** Saturday Peak Hour - Unsignalized Intersections

											Signain	deu III	COLDEC	CICIO
ľ	=						Sat	urday						
ı	=		2024 No	Action			2024 V	/ith Action	<u>n</u>			2024 Miti	gation	
	Intersection	<u>Lane</u> Group	<u>v/c</u> Ratio	Delay (sec)	LOS	<u>Lane</u> Group	<u>v/c</u> Ratio	Delay (sec)	LOS	1 11	<u>Lane</u> Group	<u>v/c</u> Ratio	Delay (sec)	LOS
ſ					Spring	Street an	d Washin	gton Stre	et ⁽¹⁾					
ſ												Signal	ized	
	EB SB	<u>TR</u>	0.41	<u>11.5</u>	<u>B</u>	<u>TR</u>	0.44	12.7	<u>B</u>		<u>TR</u>	<u>0.58</u>	28.8	<u>C</u>
ı	<u>SB</u>	<u>LTR</u>	0.58	<u>14.3</u>	<u>C</u>	<u>LTR</u>	0.79	<u>23.4</u>	<u>C</u>		<u>LTR</u>	0.84	<u>28.9</u>	<u>C</u>
ı		=	_	=	=				=		Int		28.9	C

Notes: L = Left Turn, T = Through, R = Right Turn, LOS = Level of Service, EB = Eastbound, WB = Westbound, NB = Northbound, SB = Southbound, Int. = Intersection, + Denote a significant adverse traffic impact.

(1) Intersection not impacted during the Saturday peak hour; analysis presented to demonstrate the proposed traffic signal installation

mitigation measure would not result in additional significant adverse traffic impacts.

As shown in **Tables 22-15, 22-17, 22-19, and** to 22-19 22-21, the significant adverse impacts could be fully mitigated.

West Houston Street and Varick Street

The significant adverse impact at the southbound right-turn lane group of this intersection during the weekday midday peak hour could be fully mitigated by shifting one second of green time from the westbound phase to the southbound phase. As shown in **Table 22-17**, the significant adverse impact could be fully mitigated.

The significant adverse impact at the southbound right-turn lane group of this intersection during the weekday PM peak hour could not be mitigated (see **Table 22-19**).

The significant adverse impact at the southbound (west lanes) approach of this intersection during the weekday PM peak hour could not be mitigated (see **Table 22-17**).

Clarkson Street and West Street

The significant adverse impacts at the southbound left-turn lane group of this intersection during the weekday midday peak hour could be fully mitigated by shifting two seconds of green time from the northbound/southbound phase to the southbound left-turn phase.

The significant adverse impact at the southbound left-turn lane group of this intersection during the weekday PM and Saturday peak hours could be fully mitigated by shifting three seconds of green time from the northbound/southbound phase to the southbound left-turn phase.

As shown in **Tables 22-1<u>7, 22-19, and 6 to 22-1921</u>**, the significant adverse impacts could be fully mitigated.

West Houston Street and West Street

The significant adverse impact at the eastbound left-turn lane group of this intersection during the weekday AM peak hour could be fully mitigated by shifting one second of green time from the from the northbound/southbound phase to the eastbound/westbound phase. As shown in **Table 22-15**, the significant adverse impact could be fully mitigated.

The significant adverse impact at the westbound right-turn lane group of this intersection during the weekday midday peak hour could be fully mitigated by shifting two seconds of green time from the northbound left-turn phase to the eastbound/westbound phase. As shown in **Table 22-1617**, the significant adverse impact could be fully mitigated.

The significant adverse impact at the westbound right-turn lane group of this intersection during the weekday PM peak hour could be fully mitigated by shifting three seconds of green time from the northbound/southbound phase to the eastbound/westbound phase. As shown in **Table 22-1719**, the significant adverse impact could be fully mitigated.

The significant adverse impact at the westbound right-turn lane group of this intersection during the Saturday peak hour could not be mitigated (see **Table 22-1921**).

Canal Street (North) and West Street

The significant adverse impacts at the westbound left-turn/right-turn and right-turn lane groups of this intersection during the weekday midday and Saturday peak hours could be fully mitigated by shifting one second of green time from the northbound/southbound phase to the westbound

phase. As shown in **Tables 22-16 17 and 22-19 21**, the significant adverse impacts could be fully mitigated.

Canal Street and Hudson Street

The significant adverse impact at the northbound (west lanes) approach of this intersection during the weekday midday and PM peak hours could not be mitigated (see **Tables** 22-17 and 22-19).

Clarkson Street and Hudson Street

The significant adverse impacts at the eastbound approach of this intersection during the weekday AM and Saturday peak hours could be fully mitigated by shifting three seconds of green time from the northbound phase to the eastbound phase.

The significant adverse impact at the eastbound approach of this intersection during the weekday midday peak hour could be fully mitigated by shifting two seconds of green time from the northbound phase to the eastbound phase.

The significant adverse impact at the eastbound approach of this intersection during the weekday PM peak hour could be fully mitigated by shifting one second of green time from the northbound phase to the eastbound phase.

As shown in **Tables 22-15, <u>22-17, 22-19, and to-22-1921</u>**, the significant adverse impacts could be fully mitigated.

Clarkson Street and Varick Street

The significant adverse impact at the eastbound approach of this intersection during the weekday AM peak hour could be fully mitigated by shifting one second of green time from the southbound phase to the eastbound/westbound phase. As shown in **Table 22-15**, the significant adverse impact could be fully mitigated.

Spring Street and West Street

The significant adverse impact at the westbound approach of this intersection during the weekday PM peak hour could not be mitigated (see **Table 22-1820**). Installation of a traffic signal at this intersection was determined to be infeasible due to its close proximity to the Canal Street intersection.

Spring Street and Washington Street

The significant adverse impact southbound approach of this intersection during the weekday PM peak hour could not-be <u>fully</u> mitigated <u>by installing a new 2 phase (90 second cycle length)</u> traffic signal at this intersection using standard mitigation measures, such as signal timing, roadway widenings, daylighting, etc. (see **Tables <u>22-13 and 22-1820</u>**). There is potential for this impact to be mitigated by installation of a traffic signal. Between the DEIS and FEIS, this will be explored in consultation with NYCDOT.

EFFECTS OF TRAFFIC MITIGATION ON PEDESTRIAN OPERATIONS

As described above, intersection operations would improve overall with the implementation of the recommended traffic mitigation measures, which include changes to existing signal timings and lane utilizations. A review of the effects of these changes on pedestrian circulation and service levels at intersection corners and crosswalks showed that they would not alter the conclusions made for the pedestrian impact analyses, nor would they result in the potential for any additional significant adverse pedestrian impacts.

SOUTH SITE OFFICE USE

As discussed in Chapter 14, "Transportation," traffic conditions were evaluated at seven intersections for the weekday AM, midday, PM, and Saturday peak hours, assuming the South Site would be developed into an office use, for both the proposed project and the proposed project with big box retail development scenarios. The analysis prepared for the seven selected study area intersections identified the potential for significant adverse traffic impacts at the same intersections as the proposed project and proposed project with big box retail with South Site hotel use. These impacts and their recommended mitigation measures are discussed below.

PROPOSED PROJECT

Tables 22-23 to 22-26 itemize the recommended mitigation measures that address the identified impacts under the proposed project with South Site office use. With the implementation of these standard traffic mitigation measures (including primarily signal timing changes, approach daylighting, and lane restriping), which are subject to review and approval by NYCDOT, the significant adverse traffic impacts identified above could be fully mitigated.

<u>Table 22-23</u>
<u>Recommended Mitigation Measures: Proposed Project (South Site Office)</u>
Weekday AM Peak Hour

<u>vveekuay Awi i eak iidui</u>									
Intersection	No Action Signal Timing	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Recommended Signal Timing						
Clarkson Street and Washington Street	EB: Green = 40 s SB: Green = 40 s	Shift 1 second of green time from the EB phase to the SB phase.	<u>EB: Green = 39 s</u> SB: Green = 41 s						
West Houston Street and Washington Street	<u>WB: Green = 40 s</u> <u>SB: Green = 40 s</u>	1) Restripe the SB approach from one 8-foot parking lane, one 11-foot moving lane, one 5-foot bike lane, and one 8-foot parking lane to one 11-foot right-turn lane, one 13-foot shared moving/bike lane, and one 8-foot parking lane. 2) Install "No Standing Anytime" for 100-feet at the SB approach to create an additional right-turn lane.	No change from No Action						
Clarkson Street and West Street	<u>SB-L: Green = 34 s</u> <u>NB/SB: Green = 104 s</u>	Shift 1 second of green time from the NB/SB phase to the SB left-turn phase.	<u>SB-L: Green = 35 s</u> <u>NB/SB: Green = 103 s</u>						
West Houston Street and West Street	EB/WB: Green = 33 s NB/SB: Green = 90 s NB-L: Green = 10 s	Shift 1 second of green time from the NB/SB phase to the EB/WB phase.	EB/WB: Green = 34 s NB/SB: Green = 89 s NB-L: Green = 10 s						
Clarkson Street and Hudson Street	EB: Green = 31 s NB: Green = 49 s	Shift 3 seconds of green time from the NB phase to the EB phase.	<u>EB: Green = 34 s</u> <u>NB: Green = 46 s</u>						
Notes: EB = Eastbound; WB	= Westbound; NB = Northbound	; SB = Southbound; L = Left; T = 1	hrough; R = Right.						

Recommended Mitigation Measures: Proposed Project (South Site Office) Weekday Midday Peak Hour

<u>Intersection</u>	No Action Signal Timing	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Recommended Signal Timing						
West Houston Street and West Street	EB/WB: Green = 33 s <u>NB/SB: Green = 60 s</u> <u>NB-L: Green = 10 s</u>	Shift 2 seconds of green time from the NB left-turn phase to the EB/WB phase.	EB/WB: Green = 35 s <u>NB/SB: Green = 60 s</u> <u>NB-L: Green = 8 s</u>						
Notes: EB = Eastbound; WB = We	Notes: EB = Eastbound: WB = Westbound: NB = Northbound: SB = Southbound: L = Left: T = Through: R = Right.								

<u>Table 22-25</u> <u>Recommended Mitigation Measures: Proposed Project (South Site Office)</u> Weekday PM Peak Hour

Intersection	No Action Signal Timing	Recommended Mitigation <u>Measures</u>	Recommended Signal Timing
Clarkson Street and Washington Street	EB: Green = 40 s SB: Green = 40 s	Shift 1 second of green time from the EB phase to the SB phase.	EB: Green = 39 s SB: Green = 41 s
West Houston Street and <u>Washington Street</u>	WB: Green = 39 s SB: Green = 41 s	1) Restripe the SB approach from one 8-foot parking lane, one 11-foot moving lane, one 5-foot bike lane, and one 8-foot parking lane to one 11-foot right-turn lane, one 13-foot shared moving/bike lane, and one 8-foot parking lane. 2) Install "No Standing Anytime" for 100-feet at the SB approach to create an additional right-turn lane.	No change from No Action
Clarkson Street and West Street	SB-L: Green = 34 s NB/SB: Green = 104 s	Shift 2 seconds of green time from the NB/SB phase to the SB left-turn phase.	SB-L: Green = 36 s NB/SB: Green = 102 s
West Houston Street and West Street	<u>EB/WB: Green = 33 s</u> NB/SB: Green = 90 s <u>NB-L: Green = 10 s</u>	Shift 3 seconds of green time from the NB/SB phase to the EB/WB phase.	<u>EB/WB: Green = 36 s</u> NB/SB: Green = 87 s <u>NB-L: Green = 10 s</u>
Notes: EB = Eastbound; WB = We	estbound; NB = Northbound; SB =	Southbound; L = Left; T = Through	: R = Right.

<u>Table 22-26</u> <u>Recommended Mitigation Measures: Proposed Project (South Site Office)</u> Saturday Peak Hour

			Buturuu rum 110ur
<u>Intersection</u>	No Action Signal Timing	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Recommended Signal Timing
West Houston Street and Washington Street	<u>WB: Green = 40 s</u> <u>SB: Green = 40 s</u>	1) Restripe the SB approach from one 8-foot parking lane, one 11-foot moving lane, one 5-foot bike lane, and one 8-foot parking lane to one 11-foot right-turn lane, one 13-foot shared moving/bike lane, and one 8-foot parking lane. 2) Install "No Standing Anytime" for 100-feet at the SB approach to create an additional right-turn lane.	No change from No Action
Clarkson Street and West Street	SB-L: Green = 34 s NB/SB: Green = 74 s	Shift 1 seconds of green time from the NB/SB phase to the SB left-turn phase.	SB-L: Green = 35 s NB/SB: Green = 73 s
West Houston Street and West Street	<u>EB/WB: Green = 33 s</u> NB/SB: Green = 60 s <u>NB-L: Green = 10 s</u>	Shift 2 seconds of green time from the NB left-turn phase to the EB/WB phase.	<u>EB/WB: Green = 35 s</u> NB/SB: Green = 60 s <u>NB-L: Green = 8 s</u>
Clarkson Street and Hudson Street	EB: Green = 31 s NB: Green = 49 s	Shift 1 second of green time from the NB phase to the EB phase.	EB: Green = 32 s NB: Green = 48 s
Notes: EB = Eastbound; WB = We	estbound; NB = Northbound; SB =	Southbound; L = Left; T = Through	; R = Right.

A discussion of the recommended mitigation measures is provided below. **Tables 22-27 to 22-30** compare the levels of service (LOS) and lane group delays for the impacted intersections under the 2024 No Action, With Action (the proposed project), and Mitigation conditions for the four analysis peak hours.

Clarkson Street and Washington Street

The significant adverse impacts at the southbound approach of this intersection during the weekday AM and PM peak hours could be fully mitigated by shifting 1 second of green time from the eastbound phase to the southbound phase. As shown in **Tables 22-27 and 22-29**, the significant adverse impacts could be fully mitigated. The same mitigation measures were recommended for the proposed project with South Site hotel use.

West Houston Street and Washington Street

The significant adverse impacts at the southbound approach of this intersection during the weekday AM, PM, and Saturday peak hours could be fully mitigated by restriping the southbound approach from one eight-foot parking lane, one 11-foot moving lane, one five-foot bike lane, and one eight-foot parking lane to one 11-foot right-turn lane, one 13-foot shared moving/bike lane, and one eight-foot parking lane; and prohibiting parking (installing "No Standing Anytime" sign) on the west curbside of the southbound approach for approximately 100 feet from the intersection. As shown in **Tables 22-27, 22-29, and 22-30**, the significant adverse impacts could be fully mitigated. The same mitigation measures were recommended for the proposed project with South Site hotel use.

Clarkson Street and West Street

The significant adverse impacts at the southbound left-turn lane group of this intersection during the weekday AM and Saturday peak hours could be fully mitigated by shifting one second of green time from the northbound/southbound phase to the southbound left-turn phase.

The significant adverse impact at the southbound left-turn lane group of this intersection during the weekday PM peak hour could be fully mitigated by shifting two seconds of green time from the northbound/southbound phase to the southbound left-turn phase.

As shown in Tables 22-27, 22-29, and 22-30, the significant adverse impacts could be fully mitigated.

Compared to the proposed project with South Site hotel use, the recommended mitigation measure would be same for the weekday AM peak hour. During the weekday midday peak hour, the proposed project with South Site office use would not result in the potential significant adverse traffic impact that would otherwise occur under the proposed project with South Site hotel use. During the weekday PM and Saturday peak hours, the recommended mitigation measures for the proposed project with South Site office use would require one second less of green time shift each, respectively, as compared to the proposed project with South Site hotel use.

West Houston Street and West Street

The significant adverse impact at the eastbound left-turn lane group of this intersection during the weekday AM peak hour could be fully mitigated by shifting one second of green time from the northbound/southbound phase to the eastbound/westbound phase.

The significant adverse impacts at the westbound right-turn lane group of this intersection during the weekday midday and Saturday peak hours could be fully mitigated by shifting two seconds of green time from the northbound left-turn phase to the eastbound/westbound phase.

The significant adverse impact at the westbound right-turn lane group of this intersection during the weekday PM peak hour could be fully mitigated by shifting three seconds of green time from the northbound/southbound phase to the eastbound/westbound phase.

As shown in Tables 22-27 to 22-30, the significant adverse impacts could be fully mitigated.

Table 22-27 2024 No Action, With Action, and Mitigation Conditions Level of Service Analysis **Proposed Project (South Site Office)** Weekday AM Peak Hour

						Week	day AM	-		-			
= =		2024 No	Action				th Action				2024 Miti	gation	
Intersection	<u>Lane</u> Group	<u>v/c</u> Ratio	Delay (sec)	LÖS	<u>Lane</u> Group	<u>v/c</u> Ratio	Delay (sec)	LÖS		<u>Lane</u> Group	<u>v/c</u> Ratio	Delay (sec)	LOS
		•		Clarksor	Street and	Washing	ton Street	t			•		•
EB SB	IR LI	0.80 0.99	28.7 60.9	<u>C</u> E	IR LT	0.91 1.03	37.2 70.5	D E	- ±	IR LI	0.93 1.00	41.7 62.9	<u>D</u> <u>E</u>
_	In		42.4	D	In		50.6	D	_	Int		50.2	D
			We	est Hous	ton Street a	and Wash	ington Str	eet					
<u>WB</u> <u>SB</u>	<u>LT</u>	0.69	23.3	<u>C</u>	<u>LT</u>	0.70	23.7	<u>C</u>	=	LI	0.70	23.7	<u>C</u>
<u>SB</u>	<u>U</u> <u>:</u> <u>IR</u>	. € .		<u>C</u> <u>E</u>	<u>IR</u>	<u>-</u> 1.41	=	Ē	=	Ī	0.62	23.7	<u>C</u>
=	<u>IR</u>	<u>1.31</u>	<u>177.6</u>	<u>E</u>	<u>IR</u>	<u>1.41</u>	<u>224.0</u>	<u> </u>	±	<u>II</u> I	0.77	<u>≡</u> 36.2	Ē
=	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	91.7	F	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u>-</u> 113.9	<u>C</u> <u>E</u> <u>:</u>	=	<u>rs</u> Int		26.0	G C - D C
=	1111	L.	<u> 31.1</u>		son Street			L <u>=</u>	_=_	1111	4	20.0	<u> </u>
NB	TR	0.89	22.1		<u>IR</u>	0.93	25.3	С	- 1	TR	0.94	26.8	С
NB SB	IR L I	1.34	228.5	CI EI B		1.39	249.3	C E B	±	<u>IR</u> Li H	1.34	230.2	Ē
=		0.81	18.8		<u>L</u> <u>I</u>	0.81	18.8		_		0.82	19.6	CIEIBID
=	<u>In</u>	<u>t.</u>	<u>36.3</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>In</u>		<u>39.8</u>	<u>D</u>	_=	Int	<u>.</u>	<u>39.5</u>	<u>D</u>
					ouston Stre			•					
<u>EB</u>	l E	0.71	90.0	비미네네네데이이	F	0.75	<u>97.3</u>		±	F	0.71	<u>88.1</u>	
<u></u>	내었다. <u>다</u> 었다.다	0.09 0.70	47.1 66.2	븓	ᆅᄱᅼᄧᆛᇏᆁᆊᄣ	0.09 0.70	47.1 66.6	븓	=	네데니다	0.08 0.68	46.3 64.2	닏
WD	ιĒ	0.82	76.6	Ē	ι <u>τ</u>	0.83	77.8	ΙĒ	=	ιĒτ	0.81	73.8	Ē
=	R	1.37	248.5	Ē	R	1.38	250.1	Ē	=	R	1.34	233.6	Ē
<u>NB</u>	Ī	0.34	76.2	<u>E</u>	L	0.34	76.2	E	-	L	0.34	76.2	E
	Ī	0.92	32.9	<u>E</u>	Ī	0.94	<u>35.0</u>	<u>E</u>	=	Ī	0.95	37.0	₽
<u>SB</u>	Ŧ	0.95 0.03	37.2 12.3	臣	F	0.95 0.03	37.2 12.3	발	=	F	0.96 0.03	<u>39.5</u> 12.7	말
=	Int		50.1	D	In		51.3	D	-	Int		51.8	D
_			00.1		on Street a						u.	01.0	
EB	LT	1.19	135.8		LT	1.30	181.3	F	±	LT	1.19	131.1	F
EB NB	LI IR	0.74	19.5	E B	TR	0.74	19.5	<u>Е</u> <u>В</u>	اتًا	<u>IIR</u>	0.79	23.3	E C E
<u></u>	In	t	59.6	E	In	t.	<u>78.6</u>	E		Int		62.7	E
Notes: L = Left Tu			Right Tur	n, LOS :	= Level of S	Service, E	B = Eastb	ound, W	/B =	= Westbour	nd, $NB = 1$	Northboun	ıd, SB =
Southbound, Int. =	Intersection	1.											

Southbound, Int. = Intersection. + Denote a significant adverse traffic impact.

Table 22-28 2024 No Action, With Action, and Mitigation Conditions Level of Service Analysis **Proposed Project (South Site Office)** Weekday Midday Peak Hour

=		<u>Weekday Midday</u>										
=		2024 No	<u>Action</u>		2024 With Action				2024 Mitigation			
=	Lane	v/c	Delay	=	Lane	v/c	Delay	= =	Lane	<u>v/c</u>	Delay	=
<u>Intersection</u>	<u>Group</u>	Ratio	(sec)	LOS	<u>Group</u>	Ratio	(sec)	LOS	<u>Group</u>	Ratio	(sec)	LOS
	West Houston Street and Washington Street ⁽¹⁾											
<u>WB</u> <u>SB</u>	<u>LT</u>	0.63	21.9	<u>C</u>	<u>LT</u>	0.60	21.3	<u>C</u>	<u>I</u>	0.60	21.3	<u>C</u>
<u>SB</u>		. 8 .			4	. 5		Ē.	I	0.56	21.9	<u>C</u>
=	<u>IR</u>	<u>1.14</u>	109.8	Ē	<u>IR</u>	<u>1.10</u>	<u>97.0</u>	<u> </u>	=	= -	- <u>=</u>	<u> </u>
≣:	- 1-4	=	<u>=</u>	E	= 1-4	=	= -		R	0.57	25.8	C
<u> </u>	<u>Int</u>		<u>61.1</u>		Int		<u>54.6</u>	<u>D</u>	Int	_	22.2	<u>C</u>
					Houston Stre							
<u>EB</u>	Ē	0.24	<u>36.2</u>	<u>D</u>	Ē	0.25	<u>36.5</u>	<u>D</u> =	<u> </u>	0.23	<u>34.5</u>	<u>C</u>
D	<u>R</u>	0.06	32.3	달	<u>R</u>	0.06	32.3	🖺 ▫	<u>R</u>	0.05	<u>30.8</u>	달
<u>WB</u>	녍	0.37	37.7 38.5	본	I .≒ I	0.36	37.5 38.3	∺ =	<u> </u>	0.34	35.6 36.3	분
=	<u>I</u> R	0.40 1.40	262.6	붙	분	0.39 1.54	303.6		<u>I</u> <u>II</u> R	0.37 1.43	256.8	F
<u>NB</u>		0.13	53.3	Ē	†	0.13	53.3	l E ±		0.17	56.6	F
	Ŧ	0.93	35.7	D	₹	0.92	34.7	Ē =	I	0.92	34.7	c
<u>SB</u>	ĪIR	1.02	54.5			1.02	54.5		I I R	1.02	54.5	
	<u>R</u>	0.04	15.4	В	<u>R</u>	0.04	15.4	В	<u>R</u>	0.04	15.4	В
=	Int		59.1	E	Int		61.7	Ε _	Int		58.2	<u>E</u>

Notes: L = Left Turn, T = Through, R = Right Turn, LOS = Level of Service, EB = Eastbound, WB = Westbound, NB = Northbound, SB =

Southbound, Int. = Intersection.

<u>+ Denote a significant adverse traffic impact.</u>
 (1) Intersection not impacted during the weekday midday peak hour; analysis presented to demonstrate the proposed lane restriping mitigation measures would not result in additional significant adverse traffic impacts.

Table 22-29 2024 No Action, With Action, and Mitigation Conditions Level of Service Analysis **Proposed Project (South Site Office)** Weekday PM Peak Hour

									V	<u>eekaa</u>	<u>y Pivi</u>	<u>Peak I</u>	<u> Hour</u>
=						Week	day PM						
=		2024 No	Action		2024 With Action				2024 Mitigation				
=	Lane	<u>v/c</u>	Delay	=	Lane	v/c	Delay	=	=	Lane	<u>v/c</u>	Delay	=
Intersection	Group	Ratio	(sec)	LOS	Group	Ratio	(sec)	LOS	=	Group	Ratio	(sec)	LOS
Clarkson Street and Washington Street													
EB SB	IR LT	0.85	32.0	<u>C</u> E	IR LT	0.82	30.0	<u>C</u> E	=	IR LT	0.84	<u>32.4</u>	<u>C</u> E
<u>SB</u>		1.00	61.4			1.04	72.5		±		1.01	64.5	
_	Int	<u>i.</u>	<u>45.0</u>	<u>D</u>	Int		<u>49.2</u>	<u>D</u>	=	Int	<u>i.</u>	<u>46.8</u>	<u>D</u>
					iston Street								
WB SB	<u>LT</u>	0.91	<u>42.0</u>	<u>D</u>	LI	0.94	<u>46.9</u>	<u>D</u>	=	<u>II</u> I R	0.94	<u>46.9</u>	<u> </u>
<u>SB</u>	<u> </u>	1 10	2540	Ē	<u>IR</u>	1 56	200 4	Ē	=	_ ±	<u>0.76</u>	<u>28.1</u>	<u>C</u>
=	112	<u>1.49</u>	<u>254.9</u>	드	112	<u>1.56</u>	<u>288.4</u>	<u> </u>	±	Ē	0.89	52.1	Ē
=	Int	<u> </u>	143.8	F	Int		161.3	F	==	Int		41.9	D
		<u> </u>			rkson Street	=			_=1		<u> </u>	1110	
NB	TR	0.94	25.8		IR	0.93	25.2	С		<u>TR</u>	0.95	28.6	С
NB SB	IR L I	1.35	234.2	CIEIB		1.43	268.5	C E B	±		1.35	233.9	<u>CI</u> EI B
	Ī	0.67	14.4	B		0.67	14.4	<u>B</u>	_	Ī	0.68	15.6	В
=	Int	<u>L</u>	37.3	D	Int		40.6	D	=	Int	<u>L</u>	40.3	D
				West	Houston Str	eet and W	est Street						
<u>EB</u>	L	0.64	75.2 46.5 62.2 67.3	<u>E</u>	L	0.66	<u>77.8</u>	E	_	L	0.57	<u>65.1</u>	<u>E</u>
=	<u>R</u>	0.06	<u>46.5</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>R</u>	0.06	<u>46.5</u>	D	=	<u>R</u>	0.05	<u>44.1</u>	D
<u>WB</u>	<u> </u>	0.64	62.2	틀	<u> </u>	0.63	<u>62.1</u> 67.1		=	<u>.</u> _	0.58 0.66	57.0 60.7	투
=	l 블	0.73	<u>67.3</u>	<u>-</u>	블	0.72			<u>=</u>	片	<u>0.66</u>		
NB	F	1.35 0.42	235.5 79.3	듣	<u>K</u>	1.45 0.42	278.8 79.3	 	ᆂ	<u> </u>	1.34 0.42 0.97	228.3 79.3	듣
IND		0.95	79.3 35.3	D D		0.94	34.4	<u> </u>	=		0.42	41.2	<u> </u>
<u>SB</u>		0.78	25.0	비미네네티메이이요		0.78	25.0		=	내려나다[뭐나마요	0.81	27.9	
_	R	0.02	12.2	B	Ē	0.02	12.2	B	=	R	0.02	13.5	В
	Int		46.8	D	Int		50.0	D	-	Int		50.6	D
Notes: L = Left T	urn, T = Th	rough, R	= Right Tu	ırn, LOS	= Level of	Service,	EB = East	tbound,	WB	= Westbou	nd, NB =	Northboun	d, SB =
Southhound Int -	Intersection												

Southbound, Int. = Intersection. + Denote a significant adverse traffic impact.

<u>Table 22-30</u>
2024 No Action, With Action, and Mitigation Conditions Level of Service Analysis

<u>Proposed Project (South Site Office)</u>
Saturday Peak Hour

											ii uuy		
=					,		<u>ırday</u>						
=		2024 No	Action				th Action			2024 Mitigation			
=	<u>Lane</u>	v/c	Delay	=	<u>Lane</u>	v/c	Delay	=	_	<u>Lane</u>	v/c	Delay	=
<u>Intersection</u>	<u>Group</u>	Ratio	(sec)	LOS	Group	Ratio	(sec)	LOS		Group	Ratio	(sec)	LOS
			We	st Hous	ton Street a	and Wash	ington Str	eet					
WB SB	<u>LI</u> <u>IR</u> <u>:</u>	0.61	<u>21.1</u>	<u>C</u>	<u>LT</u>	0.61	21.3	<u>C</u>	=	<u>LI</u>	0.61	21.3	<u>C</u>
<u>SB</u>	≣	- =	Ē	Ē	Ē	≞	Ē		=	Ī	0.46	<u>19.8</u>	<u>B</u>
=	<u>TR</u>	0.96	56.3	Ē	<u>IR</u>	1.02	71.2	Ē	±	<u>II</u> I R	0.57	<u>=</u> 25.5	<u>C</u> <u>B</u> <u>C</u>
=		<u> </u>		=		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	_=				<u>C</u>
=	<u>In</u> t	<u>.</u>	<u>34.6</u>	<u>C</u>	<u>In</u> t		<u>40.6</u>	D	_=	<u>ln</u>	t <u>.</u>	<u>21.5</u>	<u>C</u>
				Clark	son Street		Street						
NB SB	IR L	0.77	<u>18.5</u>	BIEIB	IR L I	0.78	<u>18.9</u>	<u>B</u> <u>E</u> B	=	<u>TR</u> ∟⊨	0.79	<u>19.8</u>	BILIC
<u>SB</u>	<u>L</u>	0.82	<u>56.3</u>	트	I <u>L</u>	0.87	<u>62.5</u>	E	±	L	0.85	<u>58.5</u>	E
=		0.79	<u>19.6</u>			0.79	<u>19.6</u>		_=		0.80	20.5	<u>C</u>
=	<u>Int. 21.4 C Int. 22.2 C Int. 22.8 C</u>							<u>C</u>					
					ouston Stre						•		
<u>EB</u>	Ē	0.17	34.8	이이미미디미디미미		0.17	<u>35.0</u>		=	Ŀ	<u>0.16</u>	<u>33.1</u>	임임임의비밀임임
- W/D	Ë	0.03	<u>31.9</u>	뜯	₽	0.03	<u>31.9</u>	달	=	<u>₹</u>	0.03	30.4	달
<u>WB</u>	<u>.</u> =	0.44	<u>39.3</u>	본	I .≒	0.44	39.3 40.0	I片	=	<u>.</u> =	0.42	37.2	본
=	봄	0.48	<u>40.1</u> 193.5	붙	Ⅰ 봄	0.47 1.36	224.6	블	- 1	븜	0.45 1.29	<u>37.8</u> 192.5	l 븓
<u>NB</u>	4	1.29 0.13	53.0	듣	4	0.13	53.0	=	±	4	0.16	56.1	=
IND		0.13	27.7	분		0.13	27.6	늗	=	₽	0.10	27.6	<u> </u>
<u>SB</u>	₽	1.01	52.4	声		1.01	52.4	声	=	†	1.01	52.4	l ğ
<u>55</u>	네워니 <u>디</u> 워니니H요	0.04	15.4	B	ᆧ <i>ᇝ</i> ᆁᆁᆔᇝ	0.04	15.4	B	=	게데니니 <mark>디</mark> 메니니	0.04	15.4	B
=	Inf		51.5	D	Int		54.6	D	=	In		51.9	D
					on Street a						_		
EB	LT	0.92	<u>53.5</u>			0.96	60.4	Е	±	LT	0.92	53.5	D
EB NB	<u>LT</u> TR	0.48	<u>13.7</u>	<u>D</u> B	<u>LT</u> TR	0.48	13.7	E B		<u>LT</u> TR	0.49	14.4	<u>D</u> B
=	Int. 28.9 C Int. 32.0 C Int. 29.7 C												
Notes: L = Left Tur	Notes: L = Left Turn, T = Through, R = Right Turn, LOS = Level of Service, EB = Eastbound, WB = Westbound, NB = Northbound, SB =												
Southbound, Int. =	Intersection	<u>).</u>											
+ Denote a significa	ant adverse	traffic im	oact.										

The recommended mitigation measures for the impacted peak hours would be the same as those recommended for the proposed project with South Site hotel use.

Clarkson Street and Hudson Street

The significant adverse impact at the eastbound approach of this intersection during the weekday AM peak hour could be fully mitigated by shifting three seconds of green time from the northbound phase to the eastbound phase.

The significant adverse impact at the eastbound approach of this intersection during the Saturday peak hour could be fully mitigated by shifting one second of green time from the northbound phase to the eastbound phase.

As shown in Tables 22-27 and 22-30, the significant adverse impacts could be fully mitigated.

The recommended mitigation measures for the impacted peak hours would be the same as those recommended for the proposed project with South Site hotel use.

PROPOSED PROJECT WITH BIG BOX RETAIL

Tables 22-31 to 22-34 itemize the recommended mitigation measures that address the identified impacts under the proposed project with big box retail with South Site office use. With the implementation of these standard traffic mitigation measures (including primarily signal timing

changes, approach daylighting, and lane restriping), which are subject to review and approval by NYCDOT, the significant adverse traffic impacts identified above could be fully mitigated except for the intersection of West Houston Street at Varick Street during the weekday PM peak hour.

<u>Recommended Mitigation Measures:</u> <u>Proposed Project with Big Box Retail (South Site Office)</u> Weekday AM Peak Hour

ī			iday ilivi i can iloui
<u>Intersection</u>	No Action Signal Timing	Recommended Mitigation <u>Measures</u>	Recommended Signal Timing
Clarkson Street and Washington Street	EB: Green = 40 s SB: Green = 40 s	Shift 2 seconds of green time from the EB phase to the SB phase.	EB: Green = 38 s SB: Green = 42 s
West Houston Street and <u>Washington Street</u>	WB: Green = 40 s SB: Green = 40 s	1) Restripe the SB approach from one 8-foot parking lane, one 11-foot moving lane, one 5-foot bike lane, and one 8-foot parking lane to one 11-foot right-turn lane, one 13-foot shared moving/bike lane, and one 8-foot parking lane. 2) Install "No Standing Anytime" for 100-feet at the SB approach to create an additional right-turn lane.	No change from No Action
Clarkson Street and West Street	<u>SB-L: Green = 34 s</u> <u>NB/SB: Green = 104 s</u>	Shift 1 second of green time from the NB/SB phase to the SB left-turn phase.	<u>SB-L: Green = 35 s</u> <u>NB/SB: Green = 103 s</u>
West Houston Street and West Street	EB/WB: Green = 33 s <u>NB/SB: Green = 90 s</u> <u>NB-L: Green = 10 s</u>	Shift 1 second of green time from the NB/SB phase to the EB/WB phase.	EB/WB: Green = 34 s <u>NB/SB: Green = 89 s</u> <u>NB-L: Green = 10 s</u>
Clarkson Street and Hudson Street	EB: Green = 31 s NB: Green = 49 s	Shift 3 seconds of green time from the NB phase to the EB phase.	EB: Green = 34 s NB: Green = 46 s
Notes: EB = Eastbound; WB = We	estbound; NB = Northbound; SB =	Southbound; L = Left; T = Through;	R = Right.

<u>Recommended Mitigation Measures:</u> <u>Proposed Project with Big Box Retail (South Site Office)</u> Weekday Midday Peak Hour

Intersection	No Action Signal Timing	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Recommended Signal Timing
West Houston Street and Washington Street	WB: Green = 40 s SB: Green = 40 s	1) Restripe the SB approach from one 8-foot parking lane, one 11-foot moving lane, one 5-foot bike lane, and one 8-foot parking lane to one 11-foot right-turn lane, one 13-foot shared moving/bike lane, and one 8-foot parking lane. 2) Install "No Standing Anytime" for 100-feet at the SB approach to create an additional right-turn lane.	No change from No Action
West Houston Street and Varick Street	WB-T: Green = 7 s <u>WB: Green = 33 s</u> <u>SB: LPI = 7s</u> SB: Green = 33 s	Shift 1 second of green time from the WB phase to the SB phase.	WB-T: Green = 7 s <u>WB: Green = 32 s</u> <u>SB: LPI = 7s</u> SB: Green = 34 s
Clarkson Street and West Street	<u>SB-L: Green = 34 s</u> <u>NB/SB: Green = 74 s</u>	Shift 2 seconds of green time from the NB/SB phase to the SB left-turn phase.	<u>SB-L: Green = 36 s</u> <u>NB/SB: Green = 72 s</u>
West Houston Street and West Street	<u>EB/WB: Green = 33 s</u> <u>NB/SB: Green = 60 s</u> <u>NB-L: Green = 10 s</u>	Shift 2 seconds of green time from the NB left-turn phase to the EB/WB phase.	<u>EB/WB: Green = 35 s</u> <u>NB/SB: Green = 60 s</u> <u>NB-L: Green = 8 s</u>
Clarkson Street and Hudson Street	EB: Green = 31 s NB: Green = 49 s	Shift 2 seconds of green time from the NB phase to the EB phase.	EB: Green = 33 s NB: Green = 47 s
Notes: EB = Eastbound; WB = We	estbound; NB = Northbound; SB =	Southbound; L = Left; T = Through; R	= Right.

<u>Recommended Mitigation Measures:</u> <u>Proposed Project with Big Box Retail (South Site Office)</u> <u>Weekday PM Peak Hour</u>

Intersection	No Action Signal Timing	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Recommended Signal Timing
Clarkson Street and Washington Street	EB: Green = 40 s SB: Green = 40 s	Shift 2 seconds of green time from the EB phase to the SB phase.	EB: Green = 38 s SB: Green = 42 s
West Houston Street and Washington Street	<u>WB: Green = 39 s</u> <u>SB: Green = 41 s</u>	Restripe the SB approach from one 8-foot parking lane, one 11-foot moving lane, one 5-foot bike lane, and one 8-foot parking lane to one 11-foot right-turn lane, one 13-foot shared moving/bike lane, and one 8-foot parking lane; Install "No Standing Anytime" for 100-feet at the SB approach to create an additional right-turn lane; Shift 2 seconds of green time from the SB phase to the WB phase.	WB: Green = 41 s SB: Green = 39 s
West Houston Street and Varick Street	<u>WB-T: Green = 7 s</u> WB: Green = 33 s <u>SB: LPI = 7s</u> <u>SB: Green = 33 s</u>	<u>Unmitigated</u>	No change from No Action
Clarkson Street and West Street	<u>SB-L: Green = 34 s</u> <u>NB/SB: Green = 104 s</u>	Shift 3 seconds of green time from the NB/SB phase to the SB left-turn phase.	<u>SB-L: Green = 37 s</u> <u>NB/SB: Green = 101 s</u>
West Houston Street and West Street	EB/WB: Green = 33 s <u>NB/SB: Green = 90 s</u> <u>NB-L: Green = 10 s</u>	<u>Unmitigated</u>	No change from No Action
Clarkson Street and Hudson Street	<u>EB: Green = 31 s</u> <u>NB: Green = 49 s</u>	Shift 2 seconds of green time from the NB phase to the EB phase.	EB: Green = 33 s NB: Green = 47 s
Notes: EB = Eastbound; WB = We	estbound; NB = Northbound; SB =	Southbound; L = Left; T = Through	n; R = Right.

<u>Recommended Mitigation Measures:</u> <u>Proposed Project with Big Box Retail (South Site Office)</u> <u>Saturday Peak Hour</u>

Intersection	No Action Signal Timing	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Recommended Signal Timing
West Houston Street and Washington Street	<u>WB: Green = 40 s</u> <u>SB: Green = 40 s</u>	Restripe the SB approach from one 8-foot parking lane, one 11-foot moving lane, one 5-foot bike lane, and one 8-foot parking lane to one 11-foot right-turn lane, one 13-foot shared moving/bike lane, and one 8-foot parking lane. Install "No Standing Anytime" for 100-feet at the SB approach to create an additional right-turn lane.	No change from No Action
Clarkson Street and West Street	<u>SB-L: Green = 34 s</u> <u>NB/SB: Green = 74 s</u>	Shift 3 seconds of green time from the NB/SB phase to the SB left-turn phase.	<u>SB-L: Green = 37 s</u> <u>NB/SB: Green = 71 s</u>
West Houston Street and West Street	EB/WB: Green = 33 s NB/SB: Green = 60 s NB-L: Green = 10 s	<u>Unmitigated</u>	No change from No Action
Clarkson Street and Hudson Street	EB: Green = 31 s NB: Green = 49 s	Shift 3 seconds of green time from the NB phase to the EB phase.	EB: Green = 34 s NB: Green = 46 s
Notes: EB = Eastbound; WB = We	estbound; NB = Northbound; SB =	Southbound; L = Left; T = Through	; R = Right.

A discussion of the recommended mitigation measures is provided below. **Tables 22-35 to 22-38** compare the levels of service (LOS) and lane group delays for the impacted intersections under the 2024 No Action, With Action (the proposed project with big box retail), and Mitigation conditions for the four analysis peak hours.

Clarkson Street and Washington Street

The significant adverse impacts at the southbound approach of this intersection during the weekday AM and PM peak hours could be fully mitigated by shifting two seconds of green time from the eastbound phase to the southbound phase. As shown in **Tables 22-35 and 22-37**, the significant adverse impacts could be fully mitigated. The same mitigation measures were recommended for the proposed project with South Site hotel use.

West Houston Street and Washington Street

The significant adverse impacts at the southbound approach of this intersection during the weekday AM, midday, and Saturday peak hours could be fully mitigated by restriping the southbound approach from one eight-foot parking lane, one 11-foot moving lane, one five-foot bike lane, and one eight-foot parking lane to one 11-foot right-turn lane, one 13-foot shared moving/bike lane, and one eight-foot parking lane; and prohibiting parking (installing "No Standing Anytime" sign) on the west curbside of the southbound approach for approximately 100 feet from the intersection.

The significant adverse impacts at the westbound and southbound approaches of this intersection during the weekday PM peak hour could be fully mitigated by restriping the southbound approach from one eight-foot parking lane, one 11-foot moving lane, one five-foot bike lane, and one eight-foot parking lane to one 11-foot right-turn lane, one 13-foot shared moving/bike lane, and one eight-foot parking lane; prohibiting parking (installing "No Standing Anytime" sign) on the west curbside of the southbound approach for approximately 100 feet from the intersection; and shifting two seconds of green time from the southbound phase to the westbound phase.

As shown in Tables 22-35 to 22-38, the significant adverse impacts could be fully mitigated.

The recommended mitigation measures for the impacted peak hours would be the same as those recommended for the proposed project with South Site hotel use. During the weekday PM peak hour, one additional second of green time shift from the southbound phase to the westbound phase would also be needed.

West Houston Street and Varick Street

The significant adverse impact at the southbound right-turn lane group of this intersection during the weekday midday peak hour could be fully mitigated by shifting one second of green time from the westbound phase to the southbound phase. As shown in **Table 22-36**, the significant adverse impact could be fully mitigated.

The significant adverse impact at the southbound right-turn lane group of this intersection during the weekday PM peak hour could not be mitigated (see **Table 22-37**).

The same mitigation measures were recommended for the proposed project with South Site hotel use.

Clarkson Street and West Street

The significant adverse impact at the southbound left-turn lane group of this intersection during the weekday AM peak hour could be fully mitigated by shifting one second of green time from the northbound/southbound phase to the southbound left-turn phase.

The significant adverse impact at the southbound left-turn lane group of this intersection during the weekday midday peak hour could be fully mitigated by shifting two seconds of green time from the northbound/southbound phase to the southbound left-turn phase.

The significant adverse impacts at the southbound left-turn lane group of this intersection during the weekday PM and Saturday peak hours could be fully mitigated by shifting three seconds of green time from the northbound/southbound phase to the southbound left-turn phase.

As shown in Tables 22-35 to 22-38, the significant adverse impacts could be fully mitigated.

The proposed project with big box retail with South Site office use would result in an additional potential significant adverse traffic impact during the weekday AM peak hour that would otherwise not occur under the proposed project with big box retail with South Site hotel use. For the other impacted peak hours, the same mitigation measures were recommended for the proposed project with South Site hotel use.

West Houston Street and West Street

The significant adverse impacts at the eastbound left-turn lane group and westbound right-turn lane group at this intersection during the weekday AM peak hour could be fully mitigated by shifting one second of green time from the northbound/southbound phase to the eastbound/westbound phase. As shown in **Table 22-35**, the significant adverse impacts could be fully mitigated.

The significant adverse impact at the westbound right-turn lane group of this intersection during the weekday midday peak hour could be fully mitigated by shifting two seconds of green time from the northbound left-turn phase to the eastbound/westbound phase. As shown in **Table 22-36**, the significant adverse impact could be fully mitigated.

The significant adverse impacts at the westbound right-turn lane group of this intersection during the weekday PM and Saturday peak hours could not be mitigated (see **Tables 22-37 and 22-38**).

The proposed project with big box retail with South Site office use would result in potential significant adverse impact at an additional movement (westbound right-turn) during the weekday AM peak hour that would otherwise not occur under the proposed project with big box retail with South Site hotel use. The same mitigation measure recommended for the proposed project with big box retail with South Site hotel use during this peak hour would also mitigate the potential significant adverse impact at the westbound right-turn movement. For the weekday midday peak hour, the recommended mitigation measure would be the same as the one recommended for the proposed project with big box retail with South Site hotel use. For the weekday PM peak hour, the potential impact under the South Site office scenario could not be fully mitigated; for the South Site hotel scenario, the potential impact could be fully mitigated with signal timing changes. For the Saturday peak hour, the potential impacts for both the office and hotel use scenarios could not be fully mitigated.

Clarkson Street and Hudson Street

The significant adverse impacts at the eastbound approach of this intersection during the weekday AM and Saturday peak hours could be fully mitigated by shifting three seconds of green time from the northbound phase to the eastbound phase.

The significant adverse impacts at the eastbound approach of this intersection during the weekday midday and PM peak hours could be fully mitigated by shifting two seconds of green time from the northbound phase to the eastbound phase.

As shown in Tables 22-35 to 22-38, the significant adverse impacts could be fully mitigated.

For the weekday AM, midday, and Saturday peak hours, the same mitigation measures were recommended for the proposed project with big box retail with South Site hotel use. For the weekday PM peak hour, one additional second of green time shift would be needed as compared to the proposed project with big box retail with South Site hotel use.

Table 22-35
2024 No Action, With Action, and Mitigation Conditions Level of Service Analysis
Proposed Project with Big Box Retail (South Site Office)
Weekday AM Peak Hour

1										ccnua	1111	I Cuii I	IOUI	
Ξ	<u>Weekday AM</u>													
=		2024 No	Action			2024 Wi	th Action				2024 Mit	igation		
_	Lane	v/c	Delay	_	Lane	v/c	Delay	_	_	Lane	v/c	Delay	-	
Intersection	Group	Ratio	(sec)	LOS	Group	Ratio	(sec)	LOS	=	Group	Ratio	(sec)	LOS	
			(Clarkson	Street and	d Washin	gton Stre	et						
EB SB	口田	0.80	28.7	CIE	IR LI	0.88	34.6	СIE	=	口田	0.94	42.9	D	
<u>SB</u>	LT	0.99	60.9		LT	<u>1.04</u>	<u>74.1</u>		<u>+</u>	<u>LT</u>	0.99	58.5		
=	<u>Int</u>		<u>42.4</u>	D	Int		50.8	D	=	Int	<u>.</u>	<u>49.3</u>	<u>D</u>	
			<u>We</u>		on Street	and Was	hington S							
<u>WB</u>	LI	0.69	23.3	C	LT	0.70	23.7	С	=	Ī	0.70	23.7	C	
=_	<u> </u>	. = .	, = ,	Ē	<u> </u>	, ≣, ,		Ē	=	Ī	0.61	<u>23.6</u>	<u>입</u> 다 미	
<u>SB</u>		<u>1.31</u>	<u>177.6</u>			<u>1.42</u>	<u>226.8</u>	<u>E</u>	±	Ē R	<u>=</u> 0.78	<u>37.2</u>	<u> </u>	
=	<u> </u>	=	=	-	<u> </u>	=	<u> </u>	=	_=				<u>D</u>	
=	<u>Int</u>	_	<u>91.7</u>	<u>E</u>	<u>Int</u>	_	<u>115.1</u>	E	= .	<u>Int</u>		<u> 26.2</u>	C	
Clarkson Street and West Street														
NB SB	IR L	0.89	<u>22.1</u>	<u>CI</u> EIB	IR L	0.92	24.8		=	<u>TR</u>	0.93	<u>26.3</u>	C E B	
<u>SB</u>	<u></u>	1.34	228.5	<u> </u>		1.38	244.4	늘	±	<u></u>	1.33	225.5	늘	
=		0.81	<u>18.8</u>			0.81	<u>18.8</u>		=	<u> </u>	0.82	<u>19.6</u>	D B	
	<u>Int.</u> <u>36.3</u> <u>D</u>				Int		<u>39.1</u>	D	_=1	<u>Int. 38.8 D</u>				
					ouston Stre									
<u>EB</u>	ələlələlə 다.	0.71	90.0	НОШИНИЮЮ В		0.75	97.3		±	ᄱᄖᄖᅜᄧᅜ	0.71	88.1	<u> МОТИНЕТЕ</u>	
- M/D	<u> </u>	0.09 0.70	47.1 66.2	臣	<u> </u>	0.09 0.71	47.1 66.6	븓	=	<u> </u>	0.08 0.68	46.3 64.2	臣	
<u>WB</u>	Ė	0.70	76.6	듣	🛓		77.8	-	=	녆	0.81	73.8	듣	
=	₽ R	1.37	248.5	F	R	0.83 1.38	253.4	-	=	분	1.35	236.8	듣	
NB	<u> </u>	$\frac{1.37}{0.34}$	76.2	F	<u> </u>	0.34	76.2	<u> </u>	±	<u> </u>	$\frac{1.33}{0.34}$	76.2	늗	
<u> 145</u>	Ŧ	0.92	32.9	<u> </u>	I	0.94	34.3	<u> </u>	=	₹	0.95	36.3	ਜ਼ੋ	
<u>SB</u>	Ē	0.95	37.2	Ď	I <u></u>	0.95	37.2	B	=	₽	0.96	39.5	Ď	
	Ř	0.03	12.3	B	Ŕ	0.03	12.3	B	=	Ř	0.03	12.7	B	
=	Int		50.1	D	Int		51.2	D		Int		51.7	D	
				Clarks	on Street a	nd Huds	on Street							
EB	<u>LI</u>	1.19	135.8		LI	1.29	175.9	E	±	LI	1.17	126.5	E	
EB NB	ĪR	0.74	19.5	EIBI	ĪR	0.74	19.5	B	=	ĪR	0.79	23.3	E C E	
	Int. 59.6 E Int. 76.2 E Int.								60.7	E				
Notes: L = Left	Turn, T =	Through	h. R = R	ight Tu	rn, LOS =	Level o	f Service	. EB =	Ea	stbound, \	WB = W	estbound	. NB =	
Northbound, SB =	= Southbou	und, Int. =	= Intersec	tion.	•					,				
+ Denote a signif	icant adver	rse traffic	impact.											

Table 22-36 2024 No Action, With Action, and Mitigation Conditions Level of Service Analysis **Proposed Project with Big Box Retail (South Site Office)** Weekday Midday Peak Hour

=	<u>Weekday Midday</u>												
=		Action			ith Action				2024 Mit				
=	Lane	<u>v/c</u>	Delay	=	Lane	<u>v/c</u>	Delay	=	=	<u>Lane</u>	<u>v/c</u>	Delay	=
<u>Intersection</u>	<u>Group</u>	<u>Ratio</u>	(sec)	LOS	<u>Group</u>	<u>Ratio</u>	(sec)	LOS	=	<u>Group</u>	<u>Ratio</u>	(sec)	LOS
West Houston Street and Washington Street													
<u>WB</u>	<u>LT</u> <u>:</u> <u>TR</u> :	0.63	<u>21.9</u>	<u>C</u>	<u>LT</u>	0.65	<u>22.5</u>	<u>C</u> <u></u> E	=	<u>I</u>	0.65	22.5	ଧାରା …ସାର
 CD	<u>-</u>	1 = 1	<u>=</u> 109.8	Ē	<u> </u>	1 200	124 5	Ē	=	⊥	<u>0.62</u>	23.7	<u>C</u>
<u>SB</u>	<u>1K</u>	<u>1.14</u>	109.8	드	<u>IR</u> -	<u>1.20</u>	<u>134.5</u>	드	±	Ē	<u>0.61</u>	27.8	Ē
Ξ	Int		61.1	Ē	Int	<u> </u>	72.9	E	=	Int		23.6	<u> </u>
<u> </u>	1111	<u> </u>			uston Stre				_=1	<u> </u>	<u>u</u>	20.0	
WB	ı	1.10	111.0			1.05	96.6			1	1.10	111.5	F
<u>*** D</u>	I I R	0.68	25.5	티얼티얼	L I R	0.70	26.0		=	I I R	0.72	27.5	Ē
<u>SB</u>	Ī	1.16	107.3	Ē	Ī	1.16	107.3	Ē	=	Ī	1.12	92.6	Ē
	<u>R</u>	0.79	50.8		R	0.86	60.1	E	<u>+</u>	R	0.82	53.4	되 <u>이</u> 되
=	Int	_	89.3	E	<u>Int.</u> <u>87.9</u> <u>E</u>					Int	<u>t.</u>	80.1	E
Clarkson Street and West Street													
<u>NB</u> SB	IR	0.88	23.1	C	IR	0.90	24.3	С	=	IR	0.93	27.5	C
<u>SB</u>	<u>L</u>	1.27	<u>182.0</u>	<u>С</u> Е В	토	1.32	203.5	<u>C</u> E B	±	<u>L</u> I	<u>1.25</u>	<u>171.1</u>	E
=		0.78	19.3			0.78	<u>19.3</u>		_=		0.80	21.2	
=	<u>Int</u>	4	<u>36.7</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>Int</u>		<u>39.9</u>	D	=	<u>In</u>	<u>i.</u>	<u>39.2</u>	<u>D</u>
ED.		0.04			ouston Stre						0.00	0.1.5	
<u>EB</u>	F	0.24 0.06	36.2	브	F	0.25 0.06	36.5	브	=	F	0.23	34.5	볼
<u></u>	4	0.06	32.3 37.7	봄	4	0.06	32.3 37.7	片	=	4	0.05 0.35	30.8 35.8	봄
<u>wb</u>		0.40	38.5	B	i -	0.40	38.4	片	=	ı -	0.38	36.4	片
=	R	1.40	262.6	F	R	1.55	310.5	F	±	R	1.45	263.2	F
NB	Ī	0.13	53.3	D	Ī	0.13	53.3	D	=	Ī	0.17	56.6	Ē
	Ī	0.93	35.7	D	Ī	0.95	37.0	D	-	Ī	0.95	37.0	D
<u>SB</u>		1.02	54.5			1.02	54.5		=		1.02	54.5	
=		0.04	<u>15.4</u>			0.04	<u>15.4</u>	<u>B</u>	=		0.04	<u>15.4</u>	<u>B</u>
=	Int. 59.1 E				Int. 63.1 E					<u>In</u> t	<u>t.</u>	<u>59.6</u>	<u>E</u>
			4500		on Street a								
EB NB	<u>LT</u> TR	1.24	156.0	E		1.31	<u>186.3</u>	E	±		1.23 0.78	<u>151.1</u>	트
IND		<u>0.75</u>	<u>19.5</u>	<u>B</u>	<u>TR</u> Int	0.75	<u>19.5</u> 79.1	<u>В</u> Е	_=	<u>IR</u> Int		22.0 68.1	<u>E</u> <u>C</u>
Notes I Left			Int. 66.6 E						<u>-</u>				

Notes: L = Left Turn, T = Through, R = Right Turn, LOS = Level of Service, EB = Eastbound, WB = Westbound, NB = Northbound, SB = Southbound, Int. = Intersection.

+ Denote a significant adverse traffic impact.

<u>Table 22-37</u>

<u>2024 No Action, With Action, and Mitigation Conditions Level of Service Analysis</u>

<u>Proposed Project with Big Box Retail (South Site Office)</u>

Weekday PM Peak Hour

									<u> </u>	<u>еекаа</u>	<u>y 1 1V1</u>	1 can 1	<u>tivui</u>	
=	<u>Weekday PM</u>													
		2024 No	Action			2024 Wi	th Action			2024 Mitigation				
=	Lane	v/c	Delay		Lane	<u>v/c</u>	Delay	=	=	Lane	v/c	Delay	=	
<u>Intersection</u>	<u>Group</u>	<u>Ratio</u>	(sec)	LOS	<u>Group</u>	<u>Ratio</u>	(sec)	LOS	=	<u>Group</u>	Ratio	(sec)	LOS	
Clarkson Street and Washington Street														
EB SB	IR LT	0.85	32.0	ПID	IR LI	0.88	34.9	<u>C</u> E	= ±	IR LI	0.93	42.8	<u>D</u> E	
<u>SB</u>		1.00	61.4			1.07	82.4		±		1.02	65.2		
=	<u>Int. 45.0 D</u>				In		<u>55.7</u>	<u>E</u>	_=	<u>Int</u>	_	<u>52.6</u>	<u>D</u>	
West Houston Street and Washington Street														
<u>WB</u>	<u>II</u> IR	0.91	<u>42.0</u>	<u>D</u> <u>E</u>	<u>LT</u>	0.98	<u>55.3</u>	<u>E</u>	±	<u>II</u> I R	0.92	42.4	<u>D</u> <u>C</u> E	
- -	<u>.</u>	4 = 10	0540	Ē	<u>IR</u>	4 00	047.0	į	=	Ŧ	0.83	<u>34.4</u>	<u>C</u>	
<u>SB</u>	TR	<u>1.49</u>	<u>254.9</u>	트	TK	<u>1.63</u>	<u>317.2</u>	트	±	- D	1.01	<u>≡</u> 84.2	Ē	
=	<u> </u>		143.8	Ē	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	180.1	Ē	=	<u>rs</u> Int		46.7	E E	
	1111	<u>. </u>							_=_	1111		40.7	<u> </u>	
West Houston Street and Varick Street WB L 0.94 68.9 E L 0.90 59.5 E _														
<u>VV D</u>	I I R	<u>0.94</u> 0.87	68.9 36.3	티미디디	Ī	0.90	<u>59.5</u> 40.9		=	<u>Unmitigated</u>				
<u>-</u> <u>SB</u>	I	1.44	327.5	F	🖶	1.44	327.5	F	=					
<u> </u>	Ŕ	0.70	40.3	Ď	I R	0.82	50.8	D	±					
	Int		196.3	E	Int		193.5	E						
Clarkson Street and West Street														
NB	<u>IR</u>	0.94	25.8		<u>TR</u>	0.95	27.8	С	_ [IR	0.98	34.5	С	
SB	Ī	1.35	234.2	C E B	Ī	1.46	280.1	<u>C</u> E B	<u>±</u>	<u>L</u> I	1.34	227.7	<u>C</u> E B	
=	Ī	0.67	14.4	В	I	0.67	14.4	В		I	0.69	16.3		
=	<u>Int</u>		37.3	D	Int	=	43.1	D		Int		43.7	D	
				West Ho	ouston Stre	eet and V	Vest Stree	<u>et</u>						
<u>EB</u>	L	0.64	<u>75.2</u>	<u>E</u>	L	0.66	<u>77.8</u>	<u>E</u>	=					
=	ᆧ 81 <u>부</u> 18141118	0.06	46.5	ᄪ൮ᄺᄪᄪᆀᄋᅼᄋᆸ	<u>R</u>	0.06	46.5		=					
<u>WB</u>	L	0.64	62.2	E	8141 <u>1</u> 8141118	0.64	62.2	E	=					
=	ᄪ	0.73	<u>67.3</u>	Ē	ഥ	0.73	<u>67.3</u>	Ι <u>Ε</u>	=					
<u>=</u> NB	₽	1.35	<u>235.5</u>	늘	₽	<u>1.47</u>	<u>288.2</u>	<u> </u>	±		Unmitio	gated		
<u>INB</u>	I ⊨	0.42	<u>79.3</u>	틀	⊨	0.42	<u>79.3</u> 36.9		=					
<u>=</u> <u>SB</u>		<u>0.95</u> 0.78	35.3 25.0			0.96 0.78	25.0	=	=					
<u>50</u>	₽	0.02	12.2	B	₽	0.02	12.2	B	=					
=	Int		46.8	D	In:		52.0	D	=					
=	<u></u>	<u> </u>	10.0		on Street a	_			= 1					
<u>EB</u>	LT	1.19	136.9		LI	1.26	161.9		±	LI	1.18	127.9	F	
NB	ĪŔ	0.51	14.2	E B	ĪŔ	0.51	14.2	E B	=	ĪŔ	0.53	15.7	E B	
_	Int		65.3	E	In		77.4	E		Int		63.7	E	
Notes: L = Left				ight Tu			f Service		Ea	stbound, \				
Northbound, SB														
	+ Denote a significant adverse traffic impact.													

+ Denote a significant adverse traffic impact.

<u>Table 22-38</u>
2024 No Action, With Action, and Mitigation Conditions Level of Service Analysis

Proposed Project with Big Box Retail (South Site Office)

Saturday Peak Hour

										Sau	<u>nuay</u>	<u>Peak l</u>	<u>livui</u>		
<u> Saturday</u>															
		2024 No	Action			2024 Wi	th Action			2024 Mitigation					
=	Lane	<u>v/c</u>	Delay	=	Lane	<u>v/c</u>	Delay	=	-	Lane	<u>v/c</u>	Delay	=		
<u>Intersection</u>	Group	Ratio	(sec)	LOS	Group	Ratio	(sec)	LOS	=	Group	Ratio	(sec)	LOS		
West Houston Street and Washington Street															
<u>WB</u>	LI IR :	0.61	21.1	<u>C</u>	LT	0.67	22.6	<u>C</u>	=	HI	0.67	22.6	 		
	<u>.</u>		_#_	<u>C </u> E		. 🗐 .		<u>C</u> <u>E</u>	=	Ī	0.54	21.3	<u>C</u>		
<u>SB</u>	\underline{IR}	0.96	56.3	트	<u>IR</u>	1.14	<u>109.3</u>	E	±	Ē	=	=	Ē		
=		<u> </u>		=			<u> </u>	-	=		<u>0.63</u>	28.2	<u> </u>		
=	<u>Int</u>	4	<u>34.6</u>	<u>C</u>	<u>In</u>		<u>57.3</u>	<u>E</u>		<u>Int.</u> 23.1 <u>C</u>					
			40.5		son Street				- 1						
NB SB	<u>IR</u>	0.77	18.5	BILIB	IR L I	0.81	<u>19.9</u>	I₽	= ±	<u>IR</u>	0.85	23.0 57.2	달		
<u>28</u>	L	0.82 0.79	<u>56.3</u> 19.6	트	⊨	0.93 0.79	<u>71.4</u> 19.6	듬	±	<u>L</u> T	0.86 0.82	<u>57.2</u> 22.5	틀		
=	 Int		21.4	C	<u> </u>		23.5	<u>B</u> <u>E</u> B	=			25.3	CILLICI CI		
_ <u> Int. 21.4 C Int. 23.5 C Int. 25.3 C</u> West Houston Street and West Street											<u> </u>				
<u>EB</u>		0.17	34.8				35.0	_	- 1						
<u>==</u>	L D	0.03	31.9	브	L	0.18 0.03	31.9		=						
WB	<u>4</u>	0.03	39.3	불	<u>4</u>	0.03	39.5	片	=						
****	ιĒ	0.48	40.1	声	l i -	0.48	40.2	l 🖺	=						
	게데니다[V	1.29	193.5	디오미르르르		0.48 1.39 0.13 0.83	236.4		±						
NB	Ī	0.13	53.0	D	Ī	0.13	53.0	D	=		<u>Unmitiç</u>	gated			
_	Ī	0.81	27.7	C	Ī	0.83	28.8	C	-						
<u>SB</u>	Ī	1.01	52.4	<u>D</u>	Ī	<u>1.01</u>	52.4	D	=						
=		0.04	<u>15.4</u>			0.04	<u>15.4</u>		=						
=	<u>Int</u>		<u>51.5</u>	<u>D</u>	In		<u>55.9</u>	E	_						
					on Street a	and Huds	on Street								
EB NB	<u>LT</u> IR	0.92	53.5	<u>D</u> B	ഥ	1.05	84.8	E B	±	<u>LT</u> TR	0.96	<u>57.6</u>	<u>Е</u> В С		
<u>NB</u>		<u>0.48</u>	<u>13.7</u>	<u>B</u>	<u>TR</u>	0.48	<u>13.7</u>	<u>B</u>	_=		<u>0.51</u>	<u>15.9</u>	<u>B</u>		
=	<u>Int</u>		<u>28.9</u>	<u>C</u>	Int	_	<u>43.0</u>	D	=	<u>In</u>	_	<u>33.1</u>			
Notes: L = Left	Turn, T =	Throug	n, R = R	ight Tu	rn, LOS =	Level o	f Service	, EB =	Ea	stbound, \	WB = W	estbound	, NB =		
Northbound, SB :				tion.											
+ Denote a signif										-44- 41			-4-1-1		
(1) Intersection not impacted during the Saturday peak hour; analysis presented to demonstrate the proposed lane restriping															

MITIGATION IMPLEMENTATION

mitigation measures would not result in additional significant adverse traffic impacts.

Subject to the approvals of NYCDOT, the above recommended mitigation measures would be implemented to mitigate the projected significant adverse traffic impacts at the completion of the proposed project's and the proposed project with big box retail's full build-out in 2024. Because the development of the proposed project and the proposed project with big box retail could be built all at once or may be phased, and development of the three development sites may take place in any order, an "interim impact assessment" was conducted to determine the impacts that could occur prior to the 2024 full build-out and the mitigation measures that could be advanced to address these impacts.

Under the No Action, the North Site is assumed to be redeveloped with approximately 137,000 gross square feet (gsf) of office space, 66,000 gsf of destination retail space, 18,500 gsf of local retail space, and 285,000 gsf of hotel use (438 rooms). Under the With Action, the North Site is assumed to be redeveloped with approximately 593 residential units, 71,000 gsf of destination retail space, and 29,000 gsf of local retail space for either the proposed project or the proposed project with big box retail. Should the North Site be completed first, based on the travel demand assumptions presented in Chapter 14, "Transportation," the resulting incremental trips under the

proposed project and the proposed project with big box retail, when compared to the No Action development, would be below the *CEQR Technical Manual* analysis thresholds of 50 peak hour vehicle trips and 200 peak hour pedestrian trips requiring a detailed traffic and pedestrian analysis. Therefore, completion of the North Site is not expected to result in any significant adverse traffic or pedestrian impacts and no mitigation would be needed.

For the Center and South Sites, under the No Action, they would have to be constructed concurrently and is assumed to be redeveloped with approximately 290,000 gsf of office space, 194,500 gsf of destination retail space, 43,000 gsf of local retail space, and 50,000 gsf of event space. Under the With Action, redevelopment of the Center and South Sites could take place in any order. The Center Site is assumed to be redeveloped with approximately 993 residential units, 52,000 gsf of destination retail use, and 8,000 gsf of local retail use for the proposed project and approximately 993 residential units, 42,200 gsf of destination retail use, 104,800 gsf of big box retail use, and 8,000 gsf of local retail use for the proposed project with big box retail. The South Site is assumed to be redeveloped with approximately 229,700 gsf of hotel use (353) rooms) and 41,400 gsf of event space for either the proposed project or the proposed project with big box retail. Similarly, should the South Site be completed first, the resulting incremental vehicle and pedestrian trips under the proposed project and the proposed project with big box retail, when compared to the No Action development, would be below the CEOR Technical Manual analysis thresholds of 50 peak hour vehicle trips and 200 peak hour pedestrian trips requiring a detailed traffic and pedestrian analysis. Therefore, completion of the South Site is not expected to result in any significant adverse traffic or pedestrian impacts and no mitigation would be needed.

Should the Center Site be completed first, based on the travel demand assumptions presented in Chapter 14, "Transportation," the resulting incremental vehicle and pedestrian trips under the proposed project and the proposed project with big box retail, when compared to the No Action development, would be comparable to those under the full build-out of the proposed project and the proposed project with big box retail. Therefore, it can be expected that the potential significant adverse impacts associated with the completion of the Center Site would also be comparable to those under the full build-out of the proposed project and the proposed project with big box retail. All of the 2024 full build-out mitigation measures (including signal timing changes, approaching daylighting, and lane restriping) could be advanced upon completion of the Center Site to mitigate the significant adverse impacts that would be anticipated for that time.

D. CONSTRUCTION

As described in Chapter 20, "Construction," there is a potential forwould be temporary construction-period air quality and noise impacts.

Between the DEIS and FEIS, a detailed modeling analysis will bewas conducted to quantify the levels of construction air quality concentrations that may occur at project elements and/or at existing tenants should they be completed and occupied during construction on one or more of the other project buildings. If any No potential exceedances of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS), or applicable *de minimis* criteria are were identified, the analysis will examine the practicability and feasibility of implementing additional control measures as necessary to reduce or eliminate the impacts.

Between the DEIS and the FEIS, a detailed modeling analysis was conducted to quantify the levels of construction noise that may occur at the future 354-361 West Street development, and/or at project elements should they be completed and occupied during construction on one or more of the other project buildings. The proposed project buildings would be newly introduced sensitive receptors subject to *CEQR Technical Manual* noise exposure guidelines (requiring interior $L_{10(1)}$ noise levels less than or equal to 45 dBA for residential and hotel guest room spaces or 50 dBA for commercial spaces).

The detailed modeling analysis concluded that construction of the proposed project has the potential to result in construction noise levels that exceed *CEQR Technical Manual* noise impact criteria at the future 354-361 West Street development site. Furthermore, should the proposed project proceed by a phased schedule resulting in one or more project buildings being completed and occupied while construction occurs at one or more other project buildings, construction would have the potential to result in elevated noise levels at completed and occupied project building(s) that are predicted to result in exceedances of *CEQR Technical Manual* noise exposure guidelines and would constitute significant adverse noise impacts at some façades.

Since 354-361 West Street and the proposed project buildings are or will be mapped with Noise (E) designations requiring between 26 and 41 dBA of window/wall attenuation, which would be achieved by means of installing acoustically rated insulated glass windows, and an alternate means of ventilation (i.e., air conditioning that does not degrade the acoustical performance of the façade) to allow for the maintenance of a closed-window condition, there are no feasible and practicable mitigation measures that would be able to reduce or eliminate the potential significant adverse noise impacts. Source or path controls beyond those already identified for the construction of the proposed project would not be effective in reducing the level of construction noise at the receptors that have the potential to experience significant adverse construction noise impacts. Additional noise receptor controls at these locations would require change to the building design that would have disproportionately high cost considering that the potential noise impacts would be temporary, the interior noise levels during construction are expected to be no more than approximately 10 dBA over the acceptable threshold levels, and that the potential impacts would be limited to construction hours, which would not include regular night-time or weekend periods.

In the event of phased construction, at the proposed elevated outdoor space included in the proposed project, the detailed modeling analysis indicated that noise levels during construction are predicted to be in the low to high 80s dBA, which would exceed the *CEQR Technical Manual* recommended noise level threshold for open space. However, as described in Chapter 17, "Noise," noise levels at this location exceed this threshold in the existing condition and would exceed this threshold in the future with the proposed project as well. The detailed analysis found that construction would affect noise levels at this proposed open space only for construction hours during a relatively short period of time beyond the already relatively high noise levels resulting from traffic. To avoid the potential for significant adverse construction noise impacts at the proposed elevated open space, the proposed elevated open space would be closed during the demolition, excavation, and foundation construction stages at either of the adjacent building sites, i.e., the North or Center Sites. See Chapter 21, "Alternatives to the Proposed Actions," for mitigation measures related to the Revised Proposed Project Alternative.

Between the DEIS and the FEIS, a detailed modeling analysis will be conducted to quantify the levels of construction noise that may occur at project elements and/or at existing tenants should they be completed and occupied during construction on one or more of the other project

buildings. The proposed project buildings would be newly introduced sensitive receptors subject to CEQR Technical Manual noise exposure guidelines (requiring interior L₁₀₍₁₎ noise levels less than or equal to 45 dBA for residential and hotel guest room spaces or 50 dBA for commercial spaces). Based on the results of this analysis, noise control measures beyond those specified in Chapter 20, "Construction," and/or window/wall attenuation levels beyond those specified in Chapter 17, "Noise," may be identified to reduce or eliminate the impacts.

In the event of phased construction, at the proposed outdoor publicly accessible open space on a platform spanning West Houston Street, construction activities occurring at the Center Site or the North Site would produce noise levels in the high 70s to low 80s dBA, which would exceed the levels recommended by CEQR for passive open spaces (55 dBA L₁₀). While this is not desirable, noise levels in many parks and open space areas throughout the city (which are located near heavily trafficked roadways and/or near construction sites) experience comparable—and sometimes higher—noise levels. Nonetheless, noise levels in this range at the project generated publicly accessible open space could constitute a significant adverse noise impact. The predicted level of construction noise that would occur at this publicly accessible open space under a phased construction schedule will be examined further in the detailed noise modeling analysis to be conducted between the DEIS and FEIS. As warranted, the FEIS will include a consideration of practicable and feasible mitigation measures to reduce or eliminate the impacts.