Decennial Census Data Profile, 2010 to 2020
City Council District 1*

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
POPULATION							
Total population	168,247	100.0	185,331	100.0	17,084	10.2	0.0
Population in households	159,575	94.8	175,253	94.6	15,678	9.8	-0.2
Population in group quarters	8,672	5.2	10,078	5.4	1,406	16.2	0.2
Institutionalized population	2,111	1.3	1,799	1.0	-312	-14.8	-0.3
Average household size	2.10		2.03		-0.07		
Population per acre	90.6		102.1		11.5		
AGE							
Total population	168,247	100.00	185,331	100.00	17,084	10.2	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	22,488	13.4	25,620	13.8	3,132	13.9	0.4
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	168,247	100.0	185,331	100.0	17,084	10.2	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	20,048	11.9	23,027	12.4	2,979	14.9	0.5
White non-Hispanic	76,760	45.6	87,273	47.1	10,513	13.7	1.5
Black non-Hispanic	6,677	4.0	8,441	4.6	1,764	26.4	0.6
Asian non-Hispanic	60,414	35.9	57,294	30.9	-3,120	-5.2	-5.0
Some other race, non-Hispanic	685	0.4	1,616	0.9	931	135.9	0.5
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	3,663	2.2	7,680	4.1	4,017	109.7	1.9
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	84,285	100.0	97,415	100.0	13,130	15.6	0.0
Occupied housing units	76,166	90.4	86,317	88.6	10,151	13.3	-1.8
Vacant housing units	8,119	9.6	11,098	11.4	2,979	36.7	1.8

*2013 City Council District boundaries

Sources: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files

Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau "infuses noise" systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau's latest disclosure avoidance method.

Decennial Census Data Profile, 2010 to 2020
City Council District 2*

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
POPULATION							
Total population	167,837	100.0	173,399	100.0	5,562	3.3	0.0
Population in households	153,884	91.7	159,682	92.1	5,798	3.8	0.4
Population in group quarters	13,953	8.3	13,717	7.9	-236	-1.7	-0.4
Institutionalized population	553	0.3	338	0.2	-215	-38.9	-0.1
Average household size	1.79		1.80		0.01		
Population per acre	154.8		158.9		4.1		
AGE							
Total population	167,837	100.00	173,399	100.00	5,562	3.3	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	16,501	9.8	16,418	9.5	-83	-0.5	-0.3
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	167,837	100.0	173,399	100.0	5,562	3.3	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	33,815	20.1	33,722	19.4	-93	-0.3	-0.7
White non-Hispanic	94,178	56.1	92,526	53.4	-1,652	-1.8	-2.7
Black non-Hispanic	10,827	6.5	12,058	7.0	1,231	11.4	0.5
Asian non-Hispanic	24,601	14.7	26,609	15.3	2,008	8.2	0.6
Some other race, non-Hispanic	861	0.5	1,790	1.0	929	107.9	0.5
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	3,555	2.1	6,694	3.9	3,139	88.3	1.8
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	92,545	100.0	97,519	100.0	4,974	5.4	0.0
Occupied housing units	86,095	93.0	88,500	90.8	2,405	2.8	-2.2
Vacant housing units	6,450	7.0	9,019	9.2	2,569	39.8	2.2

*2013 City Council District boundaries

Sources: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files

Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau "infuses noise" systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau's latest disclosure avoidance method.

Decennial Census Data Profile, 2010 to 2020
City Council District 3*

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
POPULATION							
Total population	168,489	100.0	202,572	100.0	34,083	20.2	0.0
Population in households	162,168	96.2	191,690	94.6	29,522	18.2	-1.6
Population in group quarters	6,321	3.8	10,882	5.4	4,561	72.2	1.6
Institutionalized population	568	0.3	222	0.1	-346	-60.9	-0.2
Average household size	1.62		1.66		0.04		
Population per acre	87.9		106.4		18.5		
AGE							
Total population	168,489	100.00	202,572	100.00	34,083	20.2	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	13,640	8.1	17,733	8.8	4,093	30.0	0.7
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	168,489	100.0	202,572	100.0	34,083	20.2	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	22,042	13.1	29,541	14.6	7,499	34.0	1.5
White non-Hispanic	113,947	67.6	120,965	59.7	7,018	6.2	-7.9
Black non-Hispanic	7,855	4.7	11,324	5.6	3,469	44.2	0.9
Asian non-Hispanic	19,855	11.8	30,758	15.2	10,903	54.9	3.4
Some other race, non-Hispanic	883	0.5	1,887	0.9	1,004	113.7	0.4
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	3,907	2.3	8,097	4.0	4,190	107.2	1.7
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	113,622	100.0	131,069	100.0	17,447	15.4	0.0
Occupied housing units	100,210	88.2	115,269	87.9	15,059	15.0	-0.3
Vacant housing units	13,412	11.8	15,800	12.1	2,388	17.8	0.3

*2013 City Council District boundaries

Sources: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files

Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau "infuses noise" systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau's latest disclosure avoidance method.

Decennial Census Data Profile, 2010 to 2020
City Council District 4*

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
POPULATION							
Total population	168,370	100.0	184,083	100.0	15,713	9.3	0.0
Population in households	164,349	97.6	178,855	97.2	14,506	8.8	-0.4
Population in group quarters	4,021	2.4	5,228	2.8	1,207	30.0	0.4
Institutionalized population	156	0.1	112	0.1	-44	-28.2	0.0
Average household size	1.77		1.83		0.06		
Population per acre	103.1		113.5		10.4		
AGE							
Total population	168,370	100.00	184,083	100.00	15,713	9.3	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	19,743	11.7	22,389	12.2	2,646	13.4	0.5
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	168,370	100.0	184,083	100.0	15,713	9.3	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	9,977	5.9	14,232	7.7	4,255	42.6	1.8
White non-Hispanic	131,810	78.3	128,313	69.7	-3,497	-2.7	-8.6
Black non-Hispanic	3,937	2.3	5,728	3.1	1,791	45.5	0.8
Asian non-Hispanic	19,109	11.3	27,837	15.1	8,728	45.7	3.8
Some other race, non-Hispanic	652	0.4	1,441	0.8	789	121.0	0.4
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	2,885	1.7	6,532	3.5	3,647	126.4	1.8
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	111,176	100.0	119,129	100.0	7,953	7.2	0.0
Occupied housing units	93,053	83.7	97,632	82.0	4,579	4.9	-1.7
Vacant housing units	18,123	16.3	21,497	18.0	3,374	18.6	1.7

*2013 City Council District boundaries

Sources: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files

Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau "infuses noise" systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau's latest disclosure avoidance method.

Decennial Census Data Profile, 2010 to 2020
City Council District 5*

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
POPULATION							
Total population	168,325	100.0	181,432	100.0	13,107	7.8	0.0
Population in households	163,239	97.0	178,028	98.1	14,789	9.1	1.1
Population in group quarters	5,086	3.0	3,404	1.9	-1,682	-33.1	-1.1
Institutionalized population	2,876	1.7	960	0.5	-1,916	-66.6	-1.2
Average household size	1.74		1.82		0.08		
Population per acre	190.6		210.4		19.8		
AGE							
Total population	168,325	100.00	181,432	100.00	13,107	7.8	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	21,028	12.5	24,728	13.6	3,700	17.6	1.1
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	168,325	100.0	181,432	100.0	13,107	7.8	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	14,438	8.6	16,938	9.3	2,500	17.3	0.7
White non-Hispanic	125,433	74.5	125,312	69.1	-121	-0.1	-5.4
Black non-Hispanic	7,171	4.3	6,372	3.5	-799	-11.1	-0.8
Asian non-Hispanic	17,235	10.2	24,310	13.4	7,075	41.1	3.2
Some other race, non-Hispanic	716	0.4	1,495	0.8	779	108.8	0.4
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	3,332	2.0	7,005	3.9	3,673	110.2	1.9
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	104,499	100.0	107,138	100.0	2,639	2.5	0.0
Occupied housing units	94,032	90.0	97,583	91.1	3,551	3.8	1.1
Vacant housing units	10,467	10.0	9,555	8.9	-912	-8.7	-1.1

*2013 City Council District boundaries

Sources: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files

Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau "infuses noise" systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau's latest disclosure avoidance method.

Decennial Census Data Profile, 2010 to 2020
City Council District 6*

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
POPULATION							
Total population	168,265	100.0	181,471	100.0	13,206	7.8	0.0
Population in households	165,014	98.1	176,060	97	11,046	6.7	-1.1
Population in group quarters	3,251	1.9	5,411	3	2,160	66.4	1.1
Institutionalized population	754	0.4	656	0.4	-98	-13.0	0.0
Average household size	1.82		1.90		0.08		
Population per acre	94.9		101.7		6.8		
AGE							
Total population	168,265	100.00	181,471	100.00	13,206	7.8	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	24,738	14.7	27,266	15	2,528	10.2	0.3
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	168,265	100.0	181,471	100.0	13,206	7.8	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	18,800	11.2	21,660	11.9	2,860	15.2	0.7
White non-Hispanic	123,079	73.1	122,327	67.4	-752	-0.6	-5.7
Black non-Hispanic	9,270	5.5	9,375	5.2	105	1.1	-0.3
Asian non-Hispanic	13,131	7.8	18,570	10.2	5,439	41.4	2.4
Some other race, non-Hispanic	723	0.4	1,736	1.0	1,013	140.1	0.6
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	3,262	1.9	7,803	4.3	4,541	139.2	2.4
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	100,443	100.0	106,403	100.0	5,960	5.9	0.0
Occupied housing units	90,486	90.1	92,464	86.9	1,978	2.2	-3.2
Vacant housing units	9,957	9.9	13,939	13.1	3,982	40.0	3.2

*2013 City Council District boundaries

Sources: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files

Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau "infuses noise" systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau's latest disclosure avoidance method.

Decennial Census Data Profile, 2010 to 2020
City Council District 7*

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
POPULATION							
Total population	167,682	100.0	164,976	100.0	-2,706	-1.6	0.0
Population in households	154,416	92.1	150,426	91.2	-3,990	-2.6	-0.9
Population in group quarters	13,266	7.9	14,550	8.8	1,284	9.7	0.9
Institutionalized population	1,020	0.6	928	0.6	-92	-9.0	0.0
Average household size	2.43		2.30		-0.13		
Population per acre	141.3		139.3		-2.0		
AGE							
Total population	167,682	100.00	164,976	100.00	-2,706	-1.6	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	28,810	17.2	22,898	13.9	-5,912	-20.5	-3.3
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	167,682	100.0	164,976	100.0	-2,706	-1.6	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	78,022	46.5	68,100	41.3	-9,922	-12.7	-5.2
White non-Hispanic	42,956	25.6	46,140	28.0	3,184	7.4	2.4
Black non-Hispanic	31,754	18.9	27,493	16.7	-4,261	-13.4	-2.2
Asian non-Hispanic	10,653	6.4	15,618	9.5	4,965	46.6	3.1
Some other race, non-Hispanic	990	0.6	1,808	1.1	818	82.6	0.5
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	3,307	2.0	5,817	3.5	2,510	75.9	1.5
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	67,738	100.0	70,758	100.0	3,020	4.5	0.0
Occupied housing units	63,495	93.7	65,494	92.6	1,999	3.1	-1.1
Vacant housing units	4,243	6.3	5,264	7.4	1,021	24.1	1.1

*2013 City Council District boundaries

Sources: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files

Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau "infuses noise" systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau's latest disclosure avoidance method.

Decennial Census Data Profile, 2010 to 2020
City Council District 8*

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
POPULATION							
Total population	167,256	100.0	176,889	100.0	9,633	5.8	0.0
Population in households	160,369	95.9	168,661	95.3	8,292	5.2	-0.6
Population in group quarters	6,887	4.1	8,228	4.7	1,341	19.5	0.6
Institutionalized population	2,031	1.2	1,273	0.7	-758	-37.3	-0.5
Average household size	2.73		2.55		-0.18		
Population per acre	71.6		75.2		3.60		
AGE							
Total population	167,256	100.00	176,889	100.00	9,633	5.8	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	44,111	26.4	41,107	23.2	-3,004	-6.8	-3.2
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	167,256	100.0	176,889	100.0	9,633	5.8	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	108,109	64.6	106,859	60.4	-1,250	-1.2	-4.2
White non-Hispanic	8,977	5.4	12,238	6.9	3,261	36.3	1.5
Black non-Hispanic	42,568	25.5	44,982	25.4	2,414	5.7	-0.1
Asian non-Hispanic	4,996	3.0	7,279	4.1	2,283	45.7	1.1
Some other race, non-Hispanic	934	0.6	1,747	1.0	813	87.0	0.4
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	1,672	1.0	3,784	2.1	2,112	126.3	1.1
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	62,532	100.0	69,452	100.0	6,920	11.1	0.0
Occupied housing units	58,806	94.0	66,164	95.3	7,358	12.5	1.3
Vacant housing units	3,726	6.0	3,288	4.7	-438	-11.8	-1.3

*2013 City Council District boundaries

Sources: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files

Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau "infuses noise" systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau's latest disclosure avoidance method.

Decennial Census Data Profile, 2010 to 2020
City Council District 9*

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
POPULATION							
Total population	158,999	100.0	177,574	100.0	18,575	11.7	0.0
Population in households	154,065	96.9	171,504	96.6	17,439	11.3	-0.3
Population in group quarters	4,934	3.1	6,070	3.4	1,136	23.0	0.3
Institutionalized population	873	0.5	352	0.2	-521	-59.7	-0.3
Average household size	2.26		2.20		-0.06		
Population per acre	119.1		134.6		15.5		
AGE							
Total population	158,999	100.00	177,574	100.00	18,575	11.7	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	34,970	22.0	32,937	18.5	-2,033	-5.8	-3.5
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	158,999	100.0	177,574	100.0	18,575	11.7	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	40,885	25.7	47,078	26.5	6,193	15.1	0.8
White non-Hispanic	14,873	9.4	26,895	15.1	12,022	80.8	5.7
Black non-Hispanic	94,849	59.7	86,730	48.8	-8,119	-8.6	-10.9
Asian non-Hispanic	3,969	2.5	7,485	4.2	3,516	88.6	1.7
Some other race, non-Hispanic	1,036	0.7	1,939	1.1	903	87.2	0.4
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	3,387	2.1	7,447	4.2	4,060	119.9	2.1
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	75,565	100.0	83,100	100.0	7,535	10.0	0.0
Occupied housing units	68,204	90.3	78,037	93.9	9,833	14.4	3.6
Vacant housing units	7,361	9.7	5,063	6.1	-2,298	-31.2	-3.6

*2013 City Council District boundaries

Sources: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files

Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau "infuses noise" systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau's latest disclosure avoidance method.

Decennial Census Data Profile, 2010 to 2020
City Council District 10*

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
POPULATION							
Total population	166,269	100.0	158,511	100.0	-7,758	-4.7	0.0
Population in households	163,571	98.4	154,930	97.7	-8,641	-5.3	-0.7
Population in group quarters	2,698	1.6	3,581	2.3	883	32.7	0.7
Institutionalized population	1,233	0.7	894	0.6	-339	-27.5	-0.1
Average household size	2.68		2.47		-0.21		
Population per acre	96.6		93.9		-2.7		
AGE							
Total population	166,269	100.00	158,511	100.00	-7,758	-4.7	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	33,072	19.9	25,695	16.2	-7,377	-22.3	-3.7
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	166,269	100.0	158,511	100.0	-7,758	-4.7	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	117,944	70.9	104,261	65.8	-13,683	-11.6	-5.1
White non-Hispanic	30,878	18.6	33,724	21.3	2,846	9.2	2.7
Black non-Hispanic	10,768	6.5	10,641	6.7	-127	-1.2	0.2
Asian non-Hispanic	4,269	2.6	4,829	3.0	560	13.1	0.4
Some other race, non-Hispanic	812	0.5	1,484	0.9	672	82.8	0.4
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	1,598	1.0	3,572	2.3	1,974	123.5	1.3
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	64,223	100.0	65,640	100.0	1,417	2.2	0.0
Occupied housing units	61,017	95.0	62,634	95.4	1,617	2.7	0.4
Vacant housing units	3,206	5.0	3,006	4.6	-200	-6.2	-0.4

*2013 City Council District boundaries

Sources: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files

Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau "infuses noise" systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau's latest disclosure avoidance method.

Decennial Census Data Profile, 2010 to 2020
City Council District 11*

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
POPULATION							
Total population	152,762	100.0	165,494	100.0	12,732	8.3	0.0
Population in households	146,763	96.1	158,299	95.7	11,536	7.9	-0.4
Population in group quarters	5,999	3.9	7,195	4.3	1,196	19.9	0.4
Institutionalized population	3,339	2.2	3,535	2.1	196	5.9	-0.1
Average household size	2.41		2.45		0.04		
Population per acre	32.9		35.7		2.8		
AGE							
Total population	152,762	100.00	165,494	100.00	12,732	8.3	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	33,349	21.8	34,176	20.7	827	2.5	-1.1
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	152,762	100.0	165,494	100.0	12,732	8.3	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	59,629	39.0	74,257	44.9	14,628	24.5	5.9
White non-Hispanic	51,086	33.4	43,692	26.4	-7,394	-14.5	-7.0
Black non-Hispanic	27,416	17.9	28,190	17.0	774	2.8	-0.9
Asian non-Hispanic	10,600	6.9	12,543	7.6	1,943	18.3	0.7
Some other race, non-Hispanic	1,540	1.0	2,678	1.6	1,138	73.9	0.6
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	2,491	1.6	4,134	2.5	1,643	66.0	0.9
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	65,063	100.0	68,203	100.0	3,140	4.8	0.0
Occupied housing units	60,901	93.6	64,550	94.6	3,649	6.0	1.0
Vacant housing units	4,162	6.4	3,653	5.4	-509	-12.2	-1.0

*2013 City Council District boundaries

Sources: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files

Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau "infuses noise" systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau's latest disclosure avoidance method.

Decennial Census Data Profile, 2010 to 2020
City Council District 12*

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
POPULATION							
Total population	166,055	100.0	176,523	100.0	10,468	6.3	0.0
Population in households	162,676	98.0	173,123	98.1	10,447	6.4	0.1
Population in group quarters	3,379	2.0	3,400	1.9	21	0.6	-0.1
Institutionalized population	2,338	1.4	1,847	1.0	-491	-21.0	-0.4
Average household size	2.65		2.65		0.00		
Population per acre	53.4		56.9		3.5		
AGE							
Total population	166,055	100.00	176,523	100.00	10,468	6.3	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	40,593	24.4	37,318	21.1	-3,275	-8.1	-3.3
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	166,055	100.0	176,523	100.0	10,468	6.3	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	40,203	24.2	47,509	26.9	7,306	18.2	2.7
White non-Hispanic	6,954	4.2	4,847	2.7	-2,107	-30.3	-1.5
Black non-Hispanic	112,164	67.5	113,704	64.4	1,540	1.4	-3.1
Asian non-Hispanic	2,398	1.4	3,271	1.9	873	36.4	0.5
Some other race, non-Hispanic	1,715	1.0	2,541	1.4	826	48.2	0.4
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	2,621	1.6	4,651	2.6	2,030	77.5	1.0
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	64,654	100.0	68,441	100.0	3,787	5.9	0.0
Occupied housing units	61,368	94.9	65,303	95.4	3,935	6.4	0.5
Vacant housing units	3,286	5.1	3,138	4.6	-148	-4.5	-0.5

*2013 City Council District boundaries

Sources: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files

Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau "infuses noise" systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau's latest disclosure avoidance method.

Decennial Census Data Profile, 2010 to 2020
City Council District 13*

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
POPULATION							
Total population	156,053	100.0	167,319	100.0	11,266	7.2	0.0
Population in households	150,433	96.4	161,919	96.8	11,486	7.6	0.4
Population in group quarters	5,620	3.6	5,400	3.2	-220	-3.9	-0.4
Institutionalized population	3,139	2.0	2,281	1.4	-858	-27.3	-0.6
Average household size	2.54		2.64		0.10		
Population per acre	21.0		22.4		1.4		
AGE							
Total population	156,053	100.00	167,319	100.00	11,266	7.2	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	33,160	21.2	34,266	20.5	1,106	3.3	-0.7
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	156,053	100.0	167,319	100.0	11,266	7.2	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	59,569	38.2	73,976	44.2	14,407	24.2	6.0
White non-Hispanic	65,215	41.8	52,625	31.5	-12,590	-19.3	-10.3
Black non-Hispanic	16,722	10.7	19,136	11.4	2,414	14.4	0.7
Asian non-Hispanic	11,296	7.2	15,710	9.4	4,414	39.1	2.2
Some other race, non-Hispanic	1,333	0.9	2,282	1.4	949	71.2	0.5
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	1,918	1.2	3,590	2.1	1,672	87.2	0.9
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	63,065	100.0	64,865	100.0	1,800	2.9	0.0
Occupied housing units	59,239	93.9	61,431	94.7	2,192	3.7	0.8
Vacant housing units	3,826	6.1	3,434	5.3	-392	-10.2	-0.8

*2013 City Council District boundaries

Sources: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files

Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau "infuses noise" systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau's latest disclosure avoidance method.

Decennial Census Data Profile, 2010 to 2020
City Council District 14*

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
POPULATION							
Total population	164,181	100.0	168,535	100.0	4,354	2.7	0.0
Population in households	160,134	97.5	162,384	96.4	2,250	1.4	-1.1
Population in group quarters	4,047	2.5	6,151	3.6	2,104	52.0	1.1
Institutionalized population	1,176	0.7	954	0.6	-222	-18.9	-0.1
Average household size	3.02		2.88		-0.14		
Population per acre	138.4		142.2		3.8		
AGE							
Total population	164,181	100.00	168,535	100.00	4,354	2.7	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	46,481	28.3	41,060	24.4	-5,421	-11.7	-3.9
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	164,181	100.0	168,535	100.0	4,354	2.7	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	116,798	71.1	122,951	73.0	6,153	5.3	1.9
White non-Hispanic	4,938	3.0	4,856	2.9	-82	-1.7	-0.1
Black non-Hispanic	35,536	21.6	32,618	19.4	-2,918	-8.2	-2.2
Asian non-Hispanic	4,466	2.7	3,989	2.4	-477	-10.7	-0.3
Some other race, non-Hispanic	1,077	0.7	1,875	1.1	798	74.1	0.4
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	1,366	0.8	2,246	1.3	880	64.4	0.5
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	56,001	100.0	58,609	100.0	2,608	4.7	0.0
Occupied housing units	53,103	94.8	56,351	96.1	3,248	6.1	1.3
Vacant housing units	2,898	5.2	2,258	3.9	-640	-22.1	-1.3

*2013 City Council District boundaries

Sources: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files

Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau "infuses noise" systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau's latest disclosure avoidance method.

Decennial Census Data Profile, 2010 to 2020
City Council District 15*

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
POPULATION							
Total population	167,756	100.0	174,158	100.0	6,402	3.8	0.0
Population in households	162,523	96.9	165,993	95.3	3,470	2.1	-1.6
Population in group quarters	5,233	3.1	8,165	4.7	2,932	56.0	1.6
Institutionalized population	861	0.5	750	0.4	-111	-12.9	-0.1
Average household size	2.88		2.77		-0.11		
Population per acre	75.5		78.1		2.6		
AGE							
Total population	167,756	100.00	174,158	100.00	6,402	3.8	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	48,827	29.1	43,839	25.2	-4,988	-10.2	-3.9
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	167,756	100.0	174,158	100.0	6,402	3.8	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	105,378	62.8	110,629	63.5	5,251	5.0	0.7
White non-Hispanic	11,304	6.7	10,469	6.0	-835	-7.4	-0.7
Black non-Hispanic	43,559	26.0	43,373	24.9	-186	-0.4	-1.1
Asian non-Hispanic	4,301	2.6	4,207	2.4	-94	-2.2	-0.2
Some other race, non-Hispanic	1,527	0.9	2,318	1.3	791	51.8	0.4
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	1,687	1.0	3,162	1.8	1,475	87.4	0.8
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	59,833	100.0	62,963	100.0	3,130	5.2	0.0
Occupied housing units	56,435	94.3	59,980	95.3	3,545	6.3	1.0
Vacant housing units	3,398	5.7	2,983	4.7	-415	-12.2	-1.0

*2013 City Council District boundaries

Sources: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files

Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau "infuses noise" systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau's latest disclosure avoidance method.

Decennial Census Data Profile, 2010 to 2020
City Council District 16*

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
POPULATION							
Total population	162,560	100.0	170,109	100.0	7,549	4.6	0.0
Population in households	158,680	97.6	163,898	96.3	5,218	3.3	-1.3
Population in group quarters	3,880	2.4	6,211	3.7	2,331	60.1	1.3
Institutionalized population	1,546	1.0	985	0.6	-561	-36.3	-0.4
Average household size	2.92		2.80		-0.12		
Population per acre	122.9		128.2		5.3		
AGE							
Total population	162,560	100.00	170,109	100.00	7,549	4.6	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	49,716	30.6	44,936	26.4	-4,780	-9.6	-4.2
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	162,560	100.0	170,109	100.0	7,549	4.6	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	94,312	58.0	102,044	60.0	7,732	8.2	2.0
White non-Hispanic	2,102	1.3	3,058	1.8	956	45.5	0.5
Black non-Hispanic	62,026	38.2	58,813	34.6	-3,213	-5.2	-3.6
Asian non-Hispanic	1,748	1.1	2,165	1.3	417	23.9	0.2
Some other race, non-Hispanic	946	0.6	1,528	0.9	582	61.5	0.3
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	1,426	0.9	2,501	1.5	1,075	75.4	0.6
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	57,185	100.0	60,702	100.0	3,517	6.2	0.0
Occupied housing units	54,278	94.9	58,619	96.6	4,341	8.0	1.7
Vacant housing units	2,907	5.1	2,083	3.4	-824	-28.3	-1.7

*2013 City Council District boundaries

Sources: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files

Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau "infuses noise" systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau's latest disclosure avoidance method.

Decennial Census Data Profile, 2010 to 2020
City Council District 17*

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
POPULATION							
Total population	155,805	100.0	173,373	100.0	17,568	11.3	0.0
Population in households	151,408	97.2	166,695	96.1	15,287	10.1	-1.1
Population in group quarters	4,397	2.8	6,678	3.9	2,281	51.9	1.1
Institutionalized population	1,194	0.8	225	0.1	-969	-81.2	-0.7
Average household size	2.95		2.75		-0.20		
Population per acre	50.6		56.2		5.6		
AGE							
Total population	155,805	100.00	173,373	100.00	17,568	11.3	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	47,105	30.2	45,907	26.5	-1,198	-2.5	-3.7
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	155,805	100.0	173,373	100.0	17,568	11.3	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	105,010	67.4	111,437	64.3	6,427	6.1	-3.1
White non-Hispanic	2,090	1.3	3,261	1.9	1,171	56.0	0.6
Black non-Hispanic	45,299	29.1	52,525	30.3	7,226	16.0	1.2
Asian non-Hispanic	1,129	0.7	1,651	1.0	522	46.2	0.3
Some other race, non-Hispanic	1,063	0.7	1,834	1.1	771	72.5	0.4
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	1,214	0.8	2,665	1.5	1,451	119.5	0.7
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	54,517	100.0	63,937	100.0	9,420	17.3	0.0
Occupied housing units	51,394	94.3	60,725	95.0	9,331	18.2	0.7
Vacant housing units	3,123	5.7	3,212	5.0	89	2.8	-0.7

*2013 City Council District boundaries

Sources: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files

Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau "infuses noise" systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau's latest disclosure avoidance method.

Decennial Census Data Profile, 2010 to 2020
City Council District 18*

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
POPULATION							
Total population	164,979	100.0	181,384	100.0	16,405	9.9	0.0
Population in households	163,631	99.2	179,128	98.8	15,497	9.5	-0.4
Population in group quarters	1,348	0.8	2,256	1.2	908	67.4	0.4
Institutionalized population	659	0.4	622	0.3	-37	-5.6	-0.1
Average household size	2.77		2.84		0.07		
Population per acre	67.5		75.0		7.5		
AGE							
Total population	164,979	100.00	181,384	100.00	16,405	9.9	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	43,932	26.6	43,785	24.1	-147	-0.3	-2.5
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	164,979	100.0	181,384	100.0	16,405	9.9	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	96,232	58.3	99,623	54.9	3,391	3.5	-3.4
White non-Hispanic	5,340	3.2	5,309	2.9	-31	-0.6	-0.3
Black non-Hispanic	47,431	28.7	45,310	25.0	-2,121	-4.5	-3.7
Asian non-Hispanic	10,757	6.5	23,206	12.8	12,449	115.7	6.3
Some other race, non-Hispanic	2,594	1.6	3,967	2.2	1,373	52.9	0.6
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	2,625	1.6	3,969	2.2	1,344	51.2	0.6
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	62,040	100.0	65,612	100.0	3,572	5.8	0.0
Occupied housing units	59,013	95.1	63,179	96.3	4,166	7.1	1.2
Vacant housing units	3,027	4.9	2,433	3.7	-594	-19.6	-1.2

*2013 City Council District boundaries

Sources: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files

Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau "infuses noise" systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau's latest disclosure avoidance method.

Decennial Census Data Profile, 2010 to 2020
City Council District 19*

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
POPULATION							
Total population	156,231	100.0	167,716	100.0	11,485	7.4	0.0
Population in households	154,581	98.9	165,995	99	11,414	7.4	0.1
Population in group quarters	1,650	1.1	1,721	1	71	4.3	-0.1
Institutionalized population	1,439	0.9	1,308	0.8	-131	-9.1	-0.1
Average household size	2.62		2.69		0.07		
Population per acre	20.5		22.0		1.5		
AGE							
Total population	156,231	100.00	167,716	100.00	11,485	7.4	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	29,001	18.6	29,949	17.9	948	3.3	-0.7
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	156,231	100.0	167,716	100.0	11,485	7.4	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	23,469	15.0	31,830	19.0	8,361	35.6	4.0
White non-Hispanic	83,836	53.7	66,233	39.5	-17,603	-21.0	-14.2
Black non-Hispanic	2,515	1.6	2,692	1.6	177	7.0	0.0
Asian non-Hispanic	43,837	28.1	63,096	37.6	19,259	43.9	9.5
Some other race, non-Hispanic	525	0.3	1,040	0.6	515	98.1	0.3
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	2,049	1.3	2,825	1.7	776	37.9	0.4
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	62,301	100.0	65,119	100.0	2,818	4.5	0.0
Occupied housing units	59,048	94.8	61,667	94.7	2,619	4.4	-0.1
Vacant housing units	3,253	5.2	3,452	5.3	199	6.1	0.1

*2013 City Council District boundaries

Sources: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files

Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau "infuses noise" systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau's latest disclosure avoidance method.

Decennial Census Data Profile, 2010 to 2020
City Council District 20*

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
POPULATION							
Total population	158,482	100.0	171,786	100.0	13,304	8.4	0.0
Population in households	156,762	98.9	169,882	98.9	13,120	8.4	0.0
Population in group quarters	1,720	1.1	1,904	1.1	184	10.7	0.0
Institutionalized population	1,582	1.0	1,223	0.7	-359	-22.7	-0.3
Average household size	2.86		2.79		-0.07		
Population per acre	49.3		53.2		3.9		
AGE							
Total population	158,482	100.00	171,786	100.00	13,304	8.4	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	26,394	16.7	27,762	16.2	1,368	5.2	-0.5
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	158,482	100.0	171,786	100.0	13,304	8.4	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	25,157	15.9	26,029	15.2	872	3.5	-0.7
White non-Hispanic	23,144	14.6	15,309	8.9	-7,835	-33.9	-5.7
Black non-Hispanic	4,374	2.8	3,988	2.3	-386	-8.8	-0.5
Asian non-Hispanic	102,321	64.6	123,565	71.9	21,244	20.8	7.3
Some other race, non-Hispanic	613	0.4	935	0.5	322	52.5	0.1
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	2,873	1.8	1,960	1.1	-913	-31.8	-0.7
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	59,144	100.0	64,563	100.0	5,419	9.2	0.0
Occupied housing units	54,888	92.8	60,895	94.3	6,007	10.9	1.5
Vacant housing units	4,256	7.2	3,668	5.7	-588	-13.8	-1.5

*2013 City Council District boundaries

Sources: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files

Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau "infuses noise" systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau's latest disclosure avoidance method.

Decennial Census Data Profile, 2010 to 2020
City Council District 21*

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
POPULATION							
Total population	160,845	100.0	170,943	100.0	10,098	6.3	0.0
Population in households	159,138	98.9	168,724	98.7	9,586	6.0	-0.2
Population in group quarters	1,707	1.1	2,219	1.3	512	30.0	0.2
Institutionalized population	1,070	0.7	867	0.5	-203	-19.0	-0.2
Average household size	3.70		3.55		-0.15		
Population per acre	54.3		56.5		2.2		
AGE							
Total population	160,845	100.00	170,943	100.00	10,098	6.3	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	39,231	24.4	40,920	23.9	1,689	4.3	-0.5
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	160,845	100.0	170,943	100.0	10,098	6.3	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	117,285	72.9	127,168	74.4	9,883	8.4	1.5
White non-Hispanic	8,340	5.2	6,792	4.0	-1,548	-18.6	-1.2
Black non-Hispanic	16,807	10.4	13,078	7.7	-3,729	-22.2	-2.7
Asian non-Hispanic	15,686	9.8	19,867	11.6	4,181	26.7	1.8
Some other race, non-Hispanic	1,223	0.8	1,983	1.2	760	62.1	0.4
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	1,504	0.9	2,055	1.2	551	36.6	0.3
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	46,332	100.0	49,846	100.0	3,514	7.6	0.0
Occupied housing units	43,027	92.9	47,464	95.2	4,437	10.3	2.3
Vacant housing units	3,305	7.1	2,382	4.8	-923	-27.9	-2.3

*2013 City Council District boundaries

Sources: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files

Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau "infuses noise" systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau's latest disclosure avoidance method.

Decennial Census Data Profile, 2010 to 2020
City Council District 22*

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
POPULATION							
Total population	158,900	100.0	159,486	100.0	586	0.4	0.0
Population in households	147,057	92.5	154,360	96.8	7,303	5.0	4.3
Population in group quarters	11,843	7.5	5,126	3.2	-6,717	-56.7	-4.3
Institutionalized population	11,373	7.2	4,013	2.5	-7,360	-64.7	-4.7
Average household size	2.36		2.28		-0.08		
Population per acre	49.1		48.3		-0.8		
AGE							
Total population	158,900	100.00	159,486	100.00	586	0.4	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	23,699	14.9	22,109	13.9	-1,590	-6.7	-1.0
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	158,900	100.0	159,486	100.0	586	0.4	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	44,191	27.8	42,193	26.5	-1,998	-4.5	-1.3
White non-Hispanic	76,844	48.4	78,108	49.0	1,264	1.6	0.6
Black non-Hispanic	11,221	7.1	7,825	4.9	-3,396	-30.3	-2.2
Asian non-Hispanic	21,761	13.7	23,135	14.5	1,374	6.3	0.8
Some other race, non-Hispanic	1,862	1.2	2,367	1.5	505	27.1	0.3
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	3,021	1.9	5,858	3.7	2,837	93.9	1.8
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	67,868	100.0	71,481	100.0	3,613	5.3	0.0
Occupied housing units	62,372	91.9	67,608	94.6	5,236	8.4	2.7
Vacant housing units	5,496	8.1	3,873	5.4	-1,623	-29.5	-2.7

*2013 City Council District boundaries

Sources: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files

Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau "infuses noise" systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau's latest disclosure avoidance method.

Decennial Census Data Profile, 2010 to 2020
City Council District 23*

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
POPULATION							
Total population	152,674	100.0	160,554	100.0	7,880	5.2	0.0
Population in households	150,183	98.4	158,377	98.6	8,194	5.5	0.2
Population in group quarters	2,491	1.6	2,177	1.4	-314	-12.6	-0.2
Institutionalized population	1,871	1.2	1,112	0.7	-759	-40.6	-0.5
Average household size	2.75		2.79		0.04		
Population per acre	21.8		23.0		1.2		
AGE							
Total population	152,674	100.00	160,554	100.00	7,880	5.2	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	29,681	19.4	28,269	17.6	-1,412	-4.8	-1.8
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	152,674	100.0	160,554	100.0	7,880	5.2	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	20,952	13.7	22,632	14.1	1,680	8.0	0.4
White non-Hispanic	48,327	31.7	36,495	22.7	-11,832	-24.5	-9.0
Black non-Hispanic	17,730	11.6	17,183	10.7	-547	-3.1	-0.9
Asian non-Hispanic	55,823	36.6	71,606	44.6	15,783	28.3	8.0
Some other race, non-Hispanic	4,490	2.9	6,599	4.1	2,109	47.0	1.2
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	5,352	3.5	6,039	3.8	687	12.8	0.3
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	57,462	100.0	59,155	100.0	1,693	2.9	0.0
Occupied housing units	54,642	95.1	56,667	95.8	2,025	3.7	0.7
Vacant housing units	2,820	4.9	2,488	4.2	-332	-11.8	-0.7

*2013 City Council District boundaries

Sources: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files

Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau "infuses noise" systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau's latest disclosure avoidance method.

Decennial Census Data Profile, 2010 to 2020
City Council District 24*

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
POPULATION							
Total population	154,377	100.0	165,862	100.0	11,485	7.4	0.0
Population in households	148,163	96.0	159,610	96.2	11,447	7.7	0.2
Population in group quarters	6,214	4.0	6,252	3.8	38	0.6	-0.2
Institutionalized population	1,554	1.0	926	0.6	-628	-40.4	-0.4
Average household size	2.80		2.83		0.03		
Population per acre	37.3		40.2		2.9		
AGE							
Total population	154,377	100.00	165,862	100.00	11,485	7.4	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	32,415	21	34,307	20.7	1,892	5.8	-0.3
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	154,377	100.0	165,862	100.0	11,485	7.4	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	34,375	22.3	36,790	22.2	2,415	7.0	-0.1
White non-Hispanic	48,578	31.5	40,795	24.6	-7,783	-16.0	-6.9
Black non-Hispanic	18,994	12.3	19,009	11.5	15	0.1	-0.8
Asian non-Hispanic	45,255	29.3	60,184	36.3	14,929	33.0	7.0
Some other race, non-Hispanic	2,446	1.6	3,673	2.2	1,227	50.2	0.6
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	4,729	3.1	5,411	3.3	682	14.4	0.2
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	55,532	100.0	59,585	100.0	4,053	7.3	0.0
Occupied housing units	52,881	95.2	56,490	94.8	3,609	6.8	-0.4
Vacant housing units	2,651	4.8	3,095	5.2	444	16.7	0.4

*2013 City Council District boundaries

Sources: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files

Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau "infuses noise" systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau's latest disclosure avoidance method.

Decennial Census Data Profile, 2010 to 2020
City Council District 25*

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
POPULATION							
Total population	165,946	100.0	171,118	100.0	5,172	3.1	0.0
Population in households	165,354	99.6	169,573	99.1	4,219	2.6	-0.5
Population in group quarters	592	0.4	1,545	0.9	953	161.0	0.5
Institutionalized population	331	0.2	518	0.3	187	56.5	0.1
Average household size	2.97		2.91		-0.06		
Population per acre	113.6		117.2		3.6		
AGE							
Total population	165,946	100.00	171,118	100.00	5,172	3.1	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	32,328	19.5	31,407	18.4	-921	-2.8	-1.1
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	165,946	100.0	171,118	100.0	5,172	3.1	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	73,812	44.5	71,043	41.5	-2,769	-3.8	-3.0
White non-Hispanic	21,131	12.7	17,330	10.1	-3,801	-18.0	-2.6
Black non-Hispanic	2,538	1.5	2,813	1.6	275	10.8	0.1
Asian non-Hispanic	64,576	38.9	75,522	44.1	10,946	17.0	5.2
Some other race, non-Hispanic	967	0.6	1,648	1.0	681	70.4	0.4
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	2,922	1.8	2,762	1.6	-160	-5.5	-0.2
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	58,758	100.0	61,118	100.0	2,360	4.0	0.0
Occupied housing units	55,684	94.8	58,225	95.3	2,541	4.6	0.5
Vacant housing units	3,074	5.2	2,893	4.7	-181	-5.9	-0.5

*2013 City Council District boundaries

Sources: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files

Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau "infuses noise" systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau's latest disclosure avoidance method.

Decennial Census Data Profile, 2010 to 2020
City Council District 26*

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
POPULATION							
Total population	154,600	100.0	183,954	100.0	29,354	19.0	0.0
Population in households	153,239	99.1	180,691	98.2	27,452	17.9	-0.9
Population in group quarters	1,361	0.9	3,263	1.8	1,902	139.8	0.9
Institutionalized population	627	0.4	399	0.2	-228	-36.4	-0.2
Average household size	2.54		2.34		-0.20		
Population per acre	44.3		52.8		8.5		
AGE							
Total population	154,600	100.00	183,954	100.00	29,354	19.0	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	27,764	18	28,545	15.5	781	2.8	-2.5
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	154,600	100.0	183,954	100.0	29,354	19.0	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	53,705	34.7	53,887	29.3	182	0.3	-5.4
White non-Hispanic	43,358	28.0	53,099	28.9	9,741	22.5	0.9
Black non-Hispanic	9,986	6.5	11,520	6.3	1,534	15.4	-0.2
Asian non-Hispanic	42,679	27.6	57,049	31.0	14,370	33.7	3.4
Some other race, non-Hispanic	1,572	1.0	2,424	1.3	852	54.2	0.3
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	3,300	2.1	5,975	3.2	2,675	81.1	1.1
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	65,536	100.0	82,693	100.0	17,157	26.2	0.0
Occupied housing units	60,270	92.0	77,226	93.4	16,956	28.1	1.4
Vacant housing units	5,266	8.0	5,467	6.6	201	3.8	-1.4

*2013 City Council District boundaries

Sources: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files

Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau "infuses noise" systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau's latest disclosure avoidance method.

Decennial Census Data Profile, 2010 to 2020
City Council District 27*

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
POPULATION							
Total population	155,742	100.0	171,987	100.0	16,245	10.4	0.0
Population in households	153,511	98.6	169,942	98.8	16,431	10.7	0.2
Population in group quarters	2,231	1.4	2,045	1.2	-186	-8.3	-0.2
Institutionalized population	1,065	0.7	979	0.6	-86	-8.1	-0.1
Average household size	3.23		3.24		0.01		
Population per acre	30.4		33.8		3.4		
AGE							
Total population	155,742	100.00	171,987	100.00	16,245	10.4	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	35,837	23	34,599	20.1	-1,238	-3.5	-2.9
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	155,742	100.0	171,987	100.0	16,245	10.4	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	19,768	12.7	24,712	14.4	4,944	25.0	1.7
White non-Hispanic	2,577	1.7	2,883	1.7	306	11.9	0.0
Black non-Hispanic	114,496	73.5	107,609	62.6	-6,887	-6.0	-10.9
Asian non-Hispanic	10,392	6.7	21,155	12.3	10,763	103.6	5.6
Some other race, non-Hispanic	3,864	2.5	6,452	3.8	2,588	67.0	1.3
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	4,645	3.0	9,176	5.3	4,531	97.5	2.3
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	51,200	100.0	55,249	100.0	4,049	7.9	0.0
Occupied housing units	47,567	92.9	52,464	95.0	4,897	10.3	2.1
Vacant housing units	3,633	7.1	2,785	5.0	-848	-23.3	-2.1

*2013 City Council District boundaries

Sources: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files

Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau "infuses noise" systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau's latest disclosure avoidance method.

Decennial Census Data Profile, 2010 to 2020
City Council District 28*

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
POPULATION							
Total population	167,902	100.0	182,507	100.0	14,605	8.7	0.0
Population in households	166,892	99.4	180,152	98.7	13,260	7.9	-0.7
Population in group quarters	1,010	0.6	2,355	1.3	1,345	133.2	0.7
Institutionalized population	45	0.0	74	0.0	29	64.4	0.0
Average household size	3.30		3.24		-0.06		
Population per acre	30.2		32.8		2.6		
AGE							
Total population	167,902	100.00	182,507	100.00	14,605	8.7	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	41,643	24.8	36,765	20.1	-4,878	-11.7	-4.7
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	167,902	100.0	182,507	100.0	14,605	8.7	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	30,926	18.4	33,568	18.4	2,642	8.5	0.0
White non-Hispanic	6,096	3.6	5,786	3.2	-310	-5.1	-0.4
Black non-Hispanic	76,827	45.8	66,786	36.6	-10,041	-13.1	-9.2
Asian non-Hispanic	28,535	17.0	37,478	20.5	8,943	31.3	3.5
Some other race, non-Hispanic	13,393	8.0	22,471	12.3	9,078	67.8	4.3
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	12,125	7.2	16,418	9.0	4,293	35.4	1.8
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	54,282	100.0	58,080	100.0	3,798	7.0	0.0
Occupied housing units	50,563	93.1	55,541	95.6	4,978	9.8	2.5
Vacant housing units	3,719	6.9	2,539	4.4	-1,180	-31.7	-2.5

*2013 City Council District boundaries

Sources: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files

Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau "infuses noise" systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau's latest disclosure avoidance method.

Decennial Census Data Profile, 2010 to 2020
City Council District 29*

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
POPULATION							
Total population	154,905	100.0	164,248	100.0	9,343	6.0	0.0
Population in households	153,678	99.2	162,418	98.9	8,740	5.7	-0.3
Population in group quarters	1,227	0.8	1,830	1.1	603	49.1	0.3
Institutionalized population	997	0.6	912	0.6	-85	-8.5	0.0
Average household size	2.26		2.32		0.06		
Population per acre	56.1		59.7		3.6		
AGE							
Total population	154,905	100.00	164,248	100.00	9,343	6.0	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	26,253	16.9	28,977	17.6	2,724	10.4	0.7
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	154,905	100.0	164,248	100.0	9,343	6.0	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	27,788	17.9	33,996	20.7	6,208	22.3	2.8
White non-Hispanic	77,307	49.9	65,241	39.7	-12,066	-15.6	-10.2
Black non-Hispanic	6,059	3.9	6,918	4.2	859	14.2	0.3
Asian non-Hispanic	37,882	24.5	47,301	28.8	9,419	24.9	4.3
Some other race, non-Hispanic	1,857	1.2	3,365	2.0	1,508	81.2	0.8
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	4,012	2.6	7,427	4.5	3,415	85.1	1.9
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	72,008	100.0	74,190	100.0	2,182	3.0	0.0
Occupied housing units	67,892	94.3	69,906	94.2	2,014	3.0	-0.1
Vacant housing units	4,116	5.7	4,284	5.8	168	4.1	0.1

*2013 City Council District boundaries

Sources: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files

Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau "infuses noise" systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau's latest disclosure avoidance method.

Decennial Census Data Profile, 2010 to 2020
City Council District 30*

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
POPULATION							
Total population	154,533	100.0	166,955	100.0	12,422	8.0	0.0
Population in households	154,211	99.8	166,076	99.5	11,865	7.7	-0.3
Population in group quarters	322	0.2	879	0.5	557	173.0	0.3
Institutionalized population	191	0.1	155	0.1	-36	-18.8	0.0
Average household size	2.72		2.77		0.05		
Population per acre	26.7		28.7		2.0		
AGE							
Total population	154,533	100.00	166,955	100.00	12,422	8.0	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	32,592	21.1	32,306	19.4	-286	-0.9	-1.7
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	154,533	100.0	166,955	100.0	12,422	8.0	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	47,855	31.0	58,431	35.0	10,576	22.1	4.0
White non-Hispanic	88,501	57.3	79,583	47.7	-8,918	-10.1	-9.6
Black non-Hispanic	2,219	1.4	3,430	2.1	1,211	54.6	0.7
Asian non-Hispanic	13,310	8.6	20,597	12.3	7,287	54.7	3.7
Some other race, non-Hispanic	963	0.6	1,826	1.1	863	89.6	0.5
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	1,685	1.1	3,088	1.8	1,403	83.3	0.7
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	60,666	100.0	63,922	100.0	3,256	5.4	0.0
Occupied housing units	56,757	93.6	59,851	93.6	3,094	5.5	0.0
Vacant housing units	3,909	6.4	4,071	6.4	162	4.1	0.0

*2013 City Council District boundaries

Sources: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files

Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau "infuses noise" systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau's latest disclosure avoidance method.

Decennial Census Data Profile, 2010 to 2020

City Council District 31*

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
POPULATION							
Total population	156,431	100.0	173,008	100.0	16,577	10.6	0.0
Population in households	151,429	96.8	167,280	96.7	15,851	10.5	-0.1
Population in group quarters	5,002	3.2	5,728	3.3	726	14.5	0.1
Institutionalized population	3,471	2.2	2,913	1.7	-558	-16.1	-0.5
Average household size	3.05		3.02		-0.03		
Population per acre	15.5		17.4		1.9		
AGE							
Total population	156,431	100.00	173,008	100.00	16,577	10.6	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	41,947	26.8	40,775	23.6	-1,172	-2.8	-3.2
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	156,431	100.0	173,008	100.0	16,577	10.6	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	25,744	16.5	31,450	18.2	5,706	22.2	1.7
White non-Hispanic	17,059	10.9	17,757	10.3	698	4.1	-0.6
Black non-Hispanic	106,004	67.8	107,808	62.3	1,804	1.7	-5.5
Asian non-Hispanic	2,878	1.8	4,380	2.5	1,502	52.2	0.7
Some other race, non-Hispanic	1,777	1.1	3,657	2.1	1,880	105.8	1.0
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	2,969	1.9	7,956	4.6	4,987	168.0	2.7
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	53,383	100.0	58,232	100.0	4,849	9.1	0.0
Occupied housing units	49,621	93.0	55,305	95.0	5,684	11.5	2.0
Vacant housing units	3,762	7.0	2,927	5.0	-835	-22.2	-2.0

*2013 City Council District boundaries

Sources: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files

Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau "infuses noise" systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau's latest disclosure avoidance method.

Decennial Census Data Profile, 2010 to 2020
City Council District 32*

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
POPULATION							
Total population	155,763	100.0	165,611	100.0	9,848	6.3	0.0
Population in households	154,106	98.9	163,852	98.9	9,746	6.3	0.0
Population in group quarters	1,657	1.1	1,759	1.1	102	6.2	0.0
Institutionalized population	795	0.5	751	0.5	-44	-5.5	0.0
Average household size	2.86		2.92		0.06		
Population per acre	22.4		23.3		0.9		
AGE							
Total population	155,763	100.00	165,611	100.00	9,848	6.3	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	34,782	22.3	33,084	20	-1,698	-4.9	-2.3
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	155,763	100.0	165,611	100.0	9,848	6.3	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	50,989	32.7	57,569	34.8	6,580	12.9	2.1
White non-Hispanic	64,615	41.5	54,892	33.1	-9,723	-15.0	-8.4
Black non-Hispanic	11,404	7.3	11,659	7.0	255	2.2	-0.3
Asian non-Hispanic	19,785	12.7	27,878	16.8	8,093	40.9	4.1
Some other race, non-Hispanic	4,467	2.9	7,305	4.4	2,838	63.5	1.5
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	4,503	2.9	6,308	3.8	1,805	40.1	0.9
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	58,774	100.0	60,548	100.0	1,774	3.0	0.0
Occupied housing units	53,817	91.6	56,031	92.5	2,214	4.1	0.9
Vacant housing units	4,957	8.4	4,517	7.5	-440	-8.9	-0.9

*2013 City Council District boundaries

Sources: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files

Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau "infuses noise" systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau's latest disclosure avoidance method.

Decennial Census Data Profile, 2010 to 2020
City Council District 33*

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
POPULATION							
Total population	161,156	100.0	207,729	100.0	46,573	28.9	0.0
Population in households	155,695	96.6	203,867	98.1	48,172	30.9	1.5
Population in group quarters	5,461	3.4	3,862	1.9	-1,599	-29.3	-1.5
Institutionalized population	489	0.3	438	0.2	-51	-10.4	-0.1
Average household size	2.52		2.43		-0.09		
Population per acre	56.7		72.9		16.2		
AGE							
Total population	161,156	100.00	207,729	100.00	46,573	28.9	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	41,753	25.9	52,523	25.3	10,770	25.8	-0.6
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	161,156	100.0	207,729	100.0	46,573	28.9	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	22,979	14.3	25,732	12.4	2,753	12.0	-1.9
White non-Hispanic	117,782	73.1	144,337	69.5	26,555	22.5	-3.6
Black non-Hispanic	9,152	5.7	10,881	5.2	1,729	18.9	-0.5
Asian non-Hispanic	7,680	4.8	14,910	7.2	7,230	94.1	2.4
Some other race, non-Hispanic	834	0.5	3,429	1.7	2,595	311.2	1.2
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	2,729	1.7	8,440	4.1	5,711	209.3	2.4
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	68,677	100.0	91,849	100.0	23,172	33.7	0.0
Occupied housing units	61,695	89.8	84,009	91.5	22,314	36.2	1.7
Vacant housing units	6,982	10.2	7,840	8.5	858	12.3	-1.7

*2013 City Council District boundaries

Sources: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files

Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau "infuses noise" systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau's latest disclosure avoidance method.

Decennial Census Data Profile, 2010 to 2020
City Council District 34*

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
POPULATION							
Total population	154,656	100.0	166,776	100.0	12,120	7.8	0.0
Population in households	152,640	98.7	163,906	98.3	11,266	7.4	-0.4
Population in group quarters	2,016	1.3	2,870	1.7	854	42.4	0.4
Institutionalized population	327	0.2	257	0.2	-70	-21.4	0.0
Average household size	2.68		2.41		-0.27		
Population per acre	64.4		69.3		4.9		
AGE							
Total population	154,656	100.00	166,776	100.00	12,120	7.8	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	31,769	20.5	25,029	15	-6,740	-21.2	-5.5
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	154,656	100.0	166,776	100.0	12,120	7.8	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	84,529	54.7	72,138	43.3	-12,391	-14.7	-11.4
White non-Hispanic	41,217	26.7	57,159	34.3	15,942	38.7	7.6
Black non-Hispanic	14,847	9.6	15,172	9.1	325	2.2	-0.5
Asian non-Hispanic	11,155	7.2	14,749	8.8	3,594	32.2	1.6
Some other race, non-Hispanic	914	0.6	1,914	1.1	1,000	109.4	0.5
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	1,994	1.3	5,644	3.4	3,650	183.0	2.1
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	60,709	100.0	72,381	100.0	11,672	19.2	0.0
Occupied housing units	56,869	93.7	67,948	93.9	11,079	19.5	0.2
Vacant housing units	3,840	6.3	4,433	6.1	593	15.4	-0.2

*2013 City Council District boundaries

Sources: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files

Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau "infuses noise" systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau's latest disclosure avoidance method.

Decennial Census Data Profile, 2010 to 2020
City Council District 35*

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
POPULATION							
Total population	152,017	100.0	177,485	100.0	25,468	16.8	0.0
Population in households	146,486	96.4	172,602	97.2	26,116	17.8	0.8
Population in group quarters	5,531	3.6	4,883	2.8	-648	-11.7	-0.8
Institutionalized population	1,084	0.7	1,055	0.6	-29	-2.7	-0.1
Average household size	2.34		2.28		-0.06		
Population per acre	81.3		94.8		13.5		
AGE							
Total population	152,017	100.00	177,485	100.00	25,468	16.8	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	29,585	19.5	30,980	17.5	1,395	4.7	-2.0
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	152,017	100.0	177,485	100.0	25,468	16.8	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	20,144	13.3	23,478	13.2	3,334	16.6	-0.1
White non-Hispanic	42,626	28.0	65,035	36.6	22,409	52.6	8.6
Black non-Hispanic	77,780	51.2	62,223	35.1	-15,557	-20.0	-16.1
Asian non-Hispanic	6,508	4.3	14,270	8.0	7,762	119.3	3.7
Some other race, non-Hispanic	998	0.7	2,910	1.6	1,912	191.6	0.9
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	3,961	2.6	9,569	5.4	5,608	141.6	2.8
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	69,032	100.0	81,221	100.0	12,189	17.7	0.0
Occupied housing units	62,727	90.9	75,787	93.3	13,060	20.8	2.4
Vacant housing units	6,305	9.1	5,434	6.7	-871	-13.8	-2.4

*2013 City Council District boundaries

Sources: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files

Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau "infuses noise" systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau's latest disclosure avoidance method.

Decennial Census Data Profile, 2010 to 2020
City Council District 36*

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
POPULATION							
Total population	151,497	100.0	167,677	100.0	16,180	10.7	0.0
Population in households	149,142	98.4	164,406	98	15,264	10.2	-0.4
Population in group quarters	2,355	1.6	3,271	2.0	916	38.9	0.4
Institutionalized population	585	0.4	634	0.4	49	8.4	0.0
Average household size	2.50		2.43		-0.07		
Population per acre	86.6		95.8		9.2		
AGE							
Total population	151,497	100.00	167,677	100.00	16,180	10.7	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	36,439	24.1	29,517	17.6	-6,922	-19.0	-6.5
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	151,497	100.0	167,677	100.0	16,180	10.7	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	28,334	18.7	31,427	18.7	3,093	10.9	0.0
White non-Hispanic	8,966	5.9	35,367	21.1	26,401	294.5	15.2
Black non-Hispanic	107,463	70.9	82,826	49.4	-24,637	-22.9	-21.5
Asian non-Hispanic	3,071	2.0	7,396	4.4	4,325	140.8	2.4
Some other race, non-Hispanic	974	0.6	2,043	1.2	1,069	109.8	0.6
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	2,689	1.8	8,618	5.1	5,929	220.5	3.3
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	66,846	100.0	73,338	100.0	6,492	9.7	0.0
Occupied housing units	59,761	89.4	67,571	92.1	7,810	13.1	2.7
Vacant housing units	7,085	10.6	5,767	7.9	-1,318	-18.6	-2.7

*2013 City Council District boundaries

Sources: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files

Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau "infuses noise" systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau's latest disclosure avoidance method.

Decennial Census Data Profile, 2010 to 2020
City Council District 37*

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
POPULATION							
Total population	152,013	100.0	163,010	100.0	10,997	7.2	0.0
Population in households	150,252	98.8	159,193	97.7	8,941	6.0	-1.1
Population in group quarters	1,761	1.2	3,817	2.3	2,056	116.8	1.1
Institutionalized population	371	0.2	225	0.1	-146	-39.4	-0.1
Average household size	3.22		3.02		-0.20		
Population per acre	67.3		72.2		4.9		
AGE							
Total population	152,013	100.00	163,010	100.00	10,997	7.2	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	42,235	27.8	35,497	21.8	-6,738	-16.0	-6.0
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	152,013	100.0	163,010	100.0	10,997	7.2	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	88,641	58.3	85,149	52.2	-3,492	-3.9	-6.1
White non-Hispanic	6,509	4.3	17,251	10.6	10,742	165.0	6.3
Black non-Hispanic	41,641	27.4	36,649	22.5	-4,992	-12.0	-4.9
Asian non-Hispanic	9,758	6.4	14,014	8.6	4,256	43.6	2.2
Some other race, non-Hispanic	3,052	2.0	3,898	2.4	846	27.7	0.4
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	2,412	1.6	6,049	3.7	3,637	150.8	2.1
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	51,762	100.0	56,779	100.0	5,017	9.7	0.0
Occupied housing units	46,652	90.1	52,685	92.8	6,033	12.9	2.7
Vacant housing units	5,110	9.9	4,094	7.2	-1,016	-19.9	-2.7

*2013 City Council District boundaries

Sources: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files

Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau "infuses noise" systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau's latest disclosure avoidance method.

Decennial Census Data Profile, 2010 to 2020
City Council District 38*

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
POPULATION							
Total population	167,967	100.0	178,196	100.0	10,229	6.1	0.0
Population in households	165,442	98.5	174,314	97.8	8,872	5.4	-0.7
Population in group quarters	2,525	1.5	3,882	2.2	1,357	53.7	0.7
Institutionalized population	2,292	1.4	2,579	1.4	287	12.5	0.0
Average household size	3.23		3.13		-0.10		
Population per acre	51.4		56.1		4.7		
AGE							
Total population	167,967	100.00	178,196	100.00	10,229	6.1	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	39,973	23.8	41,773	23.4	1,800	4.5	-0.4
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	167,967	100.0	178,196	100.0	10,229	6.1	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	67,596	40.2	63,724	35.8	-3,872	-5.7	-4.4
White non-Hispanic	31,369	18.7	31,059	17.4	-310	-1.0	-1.3
Black non-Hispanic	7,152	4.3	7,414	4.2	262	3.7	-0.1
Asian non-Hispanic	59,128	35.2	71,293	40.0	12,165	20.6	4.8
Some other race, non-Hispanic	754	0.4	1,543	0.9	789	104.6	0.5
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	1,968	1.2	3,163	1.8	1,195	60.7	0.6
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	54,931	100.0	59,060	100.0	4,129	7.5	0.0
Occupied housing units	51,291	93.4	55,652	94.2	4,361	8.5	0.8
Vacant housing units	3,640	6.6	3,408	5.8	-232	-6.4	-0.8

*2013 City Council District boundaries

Sources: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files

Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau "infuses noise" systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau's latest disclosure avoidance method.

Decennial Census Data Profile, 2010 to 2020
City Council District 39*

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
POPULATION							
Total population	162,288	100.0	174,201	100.0	11,913	7.3	0.0
Population in households	160,443	98.9	172,378	99	11,935	7.4	0.1
Population in group quarters	1,845	1.1	1,823	1.0	-22	-1.2	-0.1
Institutionalized population	1,155	0.7	503	0.3	-652	-56.5	-0.4
Average household size	2.45		2.55		0.10		
Population per acre	62.3		66.9		4.6		
AGE							
Total population	162,288	100.00	174,201	100.00	11,913	7.3	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	35,921	22.1	42,179	24.2	6,258	17.4	2.1
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	162,288	100.0	174,201	100.0	11,913	7.3	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	26,029	16.0	24,830	14.3	-1,199	-4.6	-1.7
White non-Hispanic	105,339	64.9	108,016	62.0	2,677	2.5	-2.9
Black non-Hispanic	7,948	4.9	7,280	4.2	-668	-8.4	-0.7
Asian non-Hispanic	17,796	11.0	22,908	13.2	5,112	28.7	2.2
Some other race, non-Hispanic	1,001	0.6	2,237	1.3	1,236	123.5	0.7
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	4,175	2.6	8,930	5.1	4,755	113.9	2.5
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	69,643	100.0	72,706	100.0	3,063	4.4	0.0
Occupied housing units	65,402	93.9	67,725	93.1	2,323	3.6	-0.8
Vacant housing units	4,241	6.1	4,981	6.9	740	17.4	0.8

*2013 City Council District boundaries

Sources: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files

Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau "infuses noise" systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau's latest disclosure avoidance method.

Decennial Census Data Profile, 2010 to 2020
City Council District 40*

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
POPULATION							
Total population	152,313	100.0	155,155	100.0	2,842	1.9	0.0
Population in households	150,100	98.5	151,121	97.4	1,021	0.7	-1.1
Population in group quarters	2,213	1.5	4,034	2.6	1,821	82.3	1.1
Institutionalized population	1,045	0.7	1,562	1.0	517	49.5	0.3
Average household size	2.71		2.54		-0.17		
Population per acre	105.8		107.8		2.0		
AGE							
Total population	152,313	100.00	155,155	100.00	2,842	1.9	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	34,989	23	28,856	18.6	-6,133	-17.5	-4.4
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	152,313	100.0	155,155	100.0	2,842	1.9	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	25,322	16.6	25,335	16.3	13	0.1	-0.3
White non-Hispanic	20,963	13.8	32,489	20.9	11,526	55.0	7.1
Black non-Hispanic	91,574	60.1	73,092	47.1	-18,482	-20.2	-13.0
Asian non-Hispanic	10,169	6.7	13,588	8.8	3,419	33.6	2.1
Some other race, non-Hispanic	1,205	0.8	2,237	1.4	1,032	85.6	0.6
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	3,080	2.0	8,414	5.4	5,334	173.2	3.4
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	57,905	100.0	62,693	100.0	4,788	8.3	0.0
Occupied housing units	55,321	95.5	59,395	94.7	4,074	7.4	-0.8
Vacant housing units	2,584	4.5	3,298	5.3	714	27.6	0.8

*2013 City Council District boundaries

Sources: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files

Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau "infuses noise" systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau's latest disclosure avoidance method.

Decennial Census Data Profile, 2010 to 2020
City Council District 41*

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
POPULATION							
Total population	151,826	100.0	163,150	100.0	11,324	7.5	0.0
Population in households	149,237	98.3	159,417	97.7	10,180	6.8	-0.6
Population in group quarters	2,589	1.7	3,733	2.3	1,144	44.2	0.6
Institutionalized population	848	0.6	663	0.4	-185	-21.8	-0.2
Average household size	2.69		2.63		-0.06		
Population per acre	84.6		91.0		6.4		
AGE							
Total population	151,826	100.00	163,150	100.00	11,324	7.5	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	40,734	26.8	36,095	22.1	-4,639	-11.4	-4.7
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	151,826	100.0	163,150	100.0	11,324	7.5	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	19,493	12.8	24,370	14.9	4,877	25.0	2.1
White non-Hispanic	3,451	2.3	10,798	6.6	7,347	212.9	4.3
Black non-Hispanic	124,809	82.2	114,785	70.4	-10,024	-8.0	-11.8
Asian non-Hispanic	1,000	0.7	2,515	1.5	1,515	151.5	0.8
Some other race, non-Hispanic	932	0.6	2,119	1.3	1,187	127.4	0.7
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	2,141	1.4	8,563	5.2	6,422	300.0	3.8
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	59,790	100.0	64,340	100.0	4,550	7.6	0.0
Occupied housing units	55,551	92.9	60,697	94.3	5,146	9.3	1.4
Vacant housing units	4,239	7.1	3,643	5.7	-596	-14.1	-1.4

*2013 City Council District boundaries

Sources: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files

Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau "infuses noise" systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau's latest disclosure avoidance method.

Decennial Census Data Profile, 2010 to 2020
City Council District 42*

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
POPULATION							
Total population	151,703	100.0	167,500	100.0	15,797	10.4	0.0
Population in households	148,384	97.8	163,655	97.7	15,271	10.3	-0.1
Population in group quarters	3,319	2.2	3,845	2.3	526	15.8	0.1
Institutionalized population	1,168	0.8	1,593	1.0	425	36.4	0.2
Average household size	2.73		2.68		-0.05		
Population per acre	29.3		37.8		8.5		
AGE							
Total population	151,703	100.00	167,500	100.00	15,797	10.4	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	41,612	27.4	39,116	23.4	-2,496	-6.0	-4.0
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	151,703	100.0	167,500	100.0	15,797	10.4	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	32,272	21.3	37,126	22.2	4,854	15.0	0.9
White non-Hispanic	5,205	3.4	5,161	3.1	-44	-0.8	-0.3
Black non-Hispanic	108,239	71.3	108,854	65.0	615	0.6	-6.3
Asian non-Hispanic	2,872	1.9	5,784	3.5	2,912	101.4	1.6
Some other race, non-Hispanic	1,140	0.8	2,311	1.4	1,171	102.7	0.6
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	1,975	1.3	8,264	4.9	6,289	318.4	3.6
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	57,968	100.0	64,322	100.0	6,354	11.0	0.0
Occupied housing units	54,306	93.7	61,063	94.9	6,757	12.4	1.2
Vacant housing units	3,662	6.3	3,259	5.1	-403	-11.0	-1.2

*2013 City Council District boundaries

Sources: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files

Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau "infuses noise" systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau's latest disclosure avoidance method.

Decennial Census Data Profile, 2010 to 2020
City Council District 43*

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
POPULATION							
Total population	168,260	100.0	184,407	100.0	16,147	9.6	0.0
Population in households	167,037	99.3	182,804	99.1	15,767	9.4	-0.2
Population in group quarters	1,223	0.7	1,603	0.9	380	31.1	0.2
Institutionalized population	942	0.6	953	0.5	11	1.2	-0.1
Average household size	2.48		2.64		0.16		
Population per acre	49.2		54.6		5.4		
AGE							
Total population	168,260	100.00	184,407	100.00	16,147	9.6	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	32,794	19.5	37,912	20.6	5,118	15.6	1.1
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	168,260	100.0	184,407	100.0	16,147	9.6	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	23,633	14.0	30,779	16.7	7,146	30.2	2.7
White non-Hispanic	101,932	60.6	89,429	48.5	-12,503	-12.3	-12.1
Black non-Hispanic	2,051	1.2	2,890	1.6	839	40.9	0.4
Asian non-Hispanic	37,392	22.2	55,276	30.0	17,884	47.8	7.8
Some other race, non-Hispanic	631	0.4	1,305	0.7	674	106.8	0.3
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	2,621	1.6	4,728	2.6	2,107	80.4	1.0
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	76,182	100.0	73,609	100.0	-2,573	-3.4	0.0
Occupied housing units	67,407	88.5	69,129	93.9	1,722	2.6	5.4
Vacant housing units	8,775	11.5	4,480	6.1	-4,295	-48.9	-5.4

*2013 City Council District boundaries

Sources: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files

Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau "infuses noise" systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau's latest disclosure avoidance method.

Decennial Census Data Profile, 2010 to 2020
City Council District 44*

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
POPULATION							
Total population	157,534	100.0	172,026	100.0	14,492	9.2	0.0
Population in households	156,634	99.4	170,100	98.9	13,466	8.6	-0.5
Population in group quarters	900	0.6	1,926	1.1	1,026	114.0	0.5
Institutionalized population	644	0.4	745	0.4	101	15.7	0.0
Average household size	3.18		3.35		0.17		
Population per acre	74.0		80.8		6.8		
AGE							
Total population	157,534	100.00	172,026	100.00	14,492	9.2	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	51,802	32.9	58,529	34	6,727	13.0	1.1
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	157,534	100.0	172,026	100.0	14,492	9.2	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	13,932	8.8	16,577	9.6	2,645	19.0	0.8
White non-Hispanic	122,149	77.5	115,486	67.1	-6,663	-5.5	-10.4
Black non-Hispanic	1,660	1.1	2,622	1.5	962	58.0	0.4
Asian non-Hispanic	17,787	11.3	26,984	15.7	9,197	51.7	4.4
Some other race, non-Hispanic	448	0.3	5,050	2.9	4,602	1027.2	2.6
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	1,558	1.0	5,307	3.1	3,749	240.6	2.1
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	54,911	100.0	54,213	100.0	-698	-1.3	0.0
Occupied housing units	49,327	89.8	50,769	93.6	1,442	2.9	3.8
Vacant housing units	5,584	10.2	3,444	6.4	-2,140	-38.3	-3.8

*2013 City Council District boundaries

Sources: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files

Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau "infuses noise" systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau's latest disclosure avoidance method.

Decennial Census Data Profile, 2010 to 2020
City Council District 45*

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
POPULATION							
Total population	153,160	100.0	156,735	100.0	3,575	2.3	0.0
Population in households	152,821	99.8	155,497	99.2	2,676	1.8	-0.6
Population in group quarters	339	0.2	1,238	0.8	899	265.2	0.6
Institutionalized population	48	0	275	0.2	227	472.9	0.2
Average household size	2.89		2.85		-0.04		
Population per acre	57.1		58.5		1.4		
AGE							
Total population	153,160	100.00	156,735	100.00	3,575	2.3	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	37,025	24.2	32,778	20.9	-4,247	-11.5	-3.3
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	153,160	100.0	156,735	100.0	3,575	2.3	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	12,484	8.2	13,838	8.8	1,354	10.8	0.6
White non-Hispanic	30,465	19.9	28,824	18.4	-1,641	-5.4	-1.5
Black non-Hispanic	100,264	65.5	92,985	59.3	-7,279	-7.3	-6.2
Asian non-Hispanic	6,290	4.1	9,411	6.0	3,121	49.6	1.9
Some other race, non-Hispanic	1,154	0.8	2,408	1.5	1,254	108.7	0.7
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	2,503	1.6	9,269	5.9	6,766	270.3	4.3
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	56,679	100.0	57,715	100.0	1,036	1.8	0.0
Occupied housing units	52,806	93.2	54,467	94.4	1,661	3.1	1.2
Vacant housing units	3,873	6.8	3,248	5.6	-625	-16.1	-1.2

*2013 City Council District boundaries

Sources: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files

Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau "infuses noise" systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau's latest disclosure avoidance method.

Decennial Census Data Profile, 2010 to 2020
City Council District 46*

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
POPULATION							
Total population	167,268	100.0	176,985	100.0	9,717	5.8	0.0
Population in households	166,597	99.6	176,046	99.5	9,449	5.7	-0.1
Population in group quarters	671	0.4	939	0.5	268	39.9	0.1
Institutionalized population	485	0.3	534	0.3	49	10.1	0.0
Average household size	2.82		2.88		0.06		
Population per acre	25.1		27.0		1.9		
AGE							
Total population	167,268	100.00	176,985	100.00	9,717	5.8	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	38,313	22.9	36,173	20.4	-2,140	-5.6	-2.5
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	167,268	100.0	176,985	100.0	9,717	5.8	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	13,677	8.2	15,341	8.7	1,664	12.2	0.5
White non-Hispanic	52,927	31.6	44,527	25.2	-8,400	-15.9	-6.4
Black non-Hispanic	88,987	53.2	95,398	53.9	6,411	7.2	0.7
Asian non-Hispanic	8,311	5.0	12,375	7.0	4,064	48.9	2.0
Some other race, non-Hispanic	928	0.6	1,835	1.0	907	97.7	0.4
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	2,438	1.5	7,509	4.2	5,071	208.0	2.7
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	63,591	100.0	64,738	100.0	1,147	1.8	0.0
Occupied housing units	59,138	93.0	61,232	94.6	2,094	3.5	1.6
Vacant housing units	4,453	7.0	3,506	5.4	-947	-21.3	-1.6

*2013 City Council District boundaries

Sources: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files

Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau "infuses noise" systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau's latest disclosure avoidance method.

Decennial Census Data Profile, 2010 to 2020
City Council District 47*

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
POPULATION							
Total population	168,066	100.0	177,963	100.0	9,897	5.9	0.0
Population in households	166,492	99.1	175,854	98.8	9,362	5.6	-0.3
Population in group quarters	1,574	0.9	2,109	1.2	535	34.0	0.3
Institutionalized population	1,045	0.6	895	0.5	-150	-14.4	-0.1
Average household size	2.72		2.80		0.08		
Population per acre	59.1		61.9		2.8		
AGE							
Total population	168,066	100.00	177,963	100.00	9,897	5.9	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	36,568	21.8	38,502	21.6	1,934	5.3	-0.2
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	168,066	100.0	177,963	100.0	9,897	5.9	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	25,583	15.2	29,386	16.5	3,803	14.9	1.3
White non-Hispanic	84,879	50.5	72,598	40.8	-12,281	-14.5	-9.7
Black non-Hispanic	13,659	8.1	13,784	7.7	125	0.9	-0.4
Asian non-Hispanic	41,332	24.6	55,995	31.5	14,663	35.5	6.9
Some other race, non-Hispanic	534	0.3	1,324	0.7	790	147.9	0.4
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	2,079	1.2	4,876	2.7	2,797	134.5	1.5
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	67,162	100.0	66,477	100.0	-685	-1.0	0.0
Occupied housing units	61,133	91.0	62,909	94.6	1,776	2.9	3.6
Vacant housing units	6,029	9.0	3,568	5.4	-2,461	-40.8	-3.6

*2013 City Council District boundaries

Sources: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files

Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau "infuses noise" systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau's latest disclosure avoidance method.

Decennial Census Data Profile, 2010 to 2020
City Council District 48*

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
POPULATION							
Total population	167,458	100.0	180,580	100.0	13,122	7.8	0.0
Population in households	166,107	99.2	178,430	98.8	12,323	7.4	-0.4
Population in group quarters	1,351	0.8	2,150	1.2	799	59.1	0.4
Institutionalized population	813	0.5	1,433	0.8	620	76.3	0.3
Average household size	2.42		2.53		0.11		
Population per acre	62.8		67.8		5.0		
AGE							
Total population	167,458	100.00	180,580	100.00	13,122	7.8	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	31,567	18.9	36,477	20.2	4,910	15.6	1.3
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	167,458	100.0	180,580	100.0	13,122	7.8	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	13,150	7.9	15,018	8.3	1,868	14.2	0.4
White non-Hispanic	125,323	74.8	120,206	66.6	-5,117	-4.1	-8.2
Black non-Hispanic	2,753	1.6	4,070	2.3	1,317	47.8	0.7
Asian non-Hispanic	23,526	14.0	33,137	18.4	9,611	40.9	4.4
Some other race, non-Hispanic	598	0.4	1,458	0.8	860	143.8	0.4
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	2,108	1.3	6,691	3.7	4,583	217.4	2.4
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	76,386	100.0	74,766	100.0	-1,620	-2.1	0.0
Occupied housing units	68,558	89.8	70,636	94.5	2,078	3.0	4.7
Vacant housing units	7,828	10.2	4,130	5.5	-3,698	-47.2	-4.7

*2013 City Council District boundaries

Sources: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files

Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau "infuses noise" systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau's latest disclosure avoidance method.

Decennial Census Data Profile, 2010 to 2020
City Council District 49*

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
POPULATION							
Total population	159,037	100.0	171,182	100.0	12,145	7.6	0.0
Population in households	154,389	97.1	166,887	97.5	12,498	8.1	0.4
Population in group quarters	4,648	2.9	4,295	2.5	-353	-7.6	-0.4
Institutionalized population	1,867	1.2	2,017	1.2	150	8.0	0.0
Average household size	2.86		2.87		0.01		
Population per acre	20.1		22.4		2.3		
AGE							
Total population	159,037	100.00	171,182	100.00	12,145	7.6	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	41,486	26.1	40,495	23.7	-991	-2.4	-2.4
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	159,037	100.0	171,182	100.0	12,145	7.6	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	48,554	30.5	56,127	32.8	7,573	15.6	2.3
White non-Hispanic	54,248	34.1	48,521	28.3	-5,727	-10.6	-5.8
Black non-Hispanic	39,082	24.6	40,241	23.5	1,159	3.0	-1.1
Asian non-Hispanic	12,897	8.1	19,379	11.3	6,482	50.3	3.2
Some other race, non-Hispanic	978	0.6	1,905	1.1	927	94.8	0.5
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	3,278	2.1	5,009	2.9	1,731	52.8	0.8
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	58,364	100.0	61,815	100.0	3,451	5.9	0.0
Occupied housing units	53,949	92.4	58,089	94.0	4,140	7.7	1.6
Vacant housing units	4,415	7.6	3,726	6.0	-689	-15.6	-1.6

*2013 City Council District boundaries

Sources: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files

Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau "infuses noise" systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau's latest disclosure avoidance method.

Decennial Census Data Profile, 2010 to 2020
City Council District 50*

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
POPULATION							
Total population	155,310	100.0	165,074	100.0	9,764	6.3	0.0
Population in households	153,321	98.7	162,527	98.5	9,206	6.0	-0.2
Population in group quarters	1,989	1.3	2,547	1.5	558	28.1	0.2
Institutionalized population	1,053	0.7	778	0.5	-275	-26.1	-0.2
Average household size	2.73		2.84		0.11		
Population per acre	10.8		11.5		0.7		
AGE							
Total population	155,310	100.00	165,074	100.00	9,764	6.3	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	33,073	21.3	33,747	20.4	674	2.0	-0.9
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	155,310	100.0	165,074	100.0	9,764	6.3	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	19,301	12.4	23,231	14.1	3,930	20.4	1.7
White non-Hispanic	116,022	74.7	106,083	64.3	-9,939	-8.6	-10.4
Black non-Hispanic	3,476	2.2	4,936	3.0	1,460	42.0	0.8
Asian non-Hispanic	13,941	9.0	26,279	15.9	12,338	88.5	6.9
Some other race, non-Hispanic	543	0.3	1,199	0.7	656	120.8	0.4
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	2,027	1.3	3,346	2.0	1,319	65.1	0.7
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	59,481	100.0	60,628	100.0	1,147	1.9	0.0
Occupied housing units	56,140	94.4	57,242	94.4	1,102	2.0	0.0
Vacant housing units	3,341	5.6	3,386	5.6	45	1.3	0.0

*2013 City Council District boundaries

Sources: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files

Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau "infuses noise" systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau's latest disclosure avoidance method.

Decennial Census Data Profile, 2010 to 2020
City Council District 51*

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
POPULATION							
Total population	154,383	100.0	159,491	100.0	5,108	3.3	0.0
Population in households	153,182	99.2	158,998	99.7	5,816	3.8	0.5
Population in group quarters	1,201	0.8	493	0.3	-708	-59.0	-0.5
Institutionalized population	942	0.6	21	0.0	-921	-97.8	-0.6
Average household size	2.76		2.75		-0.01		
Population per acre	10.3		10.8		0.5		
AGE							
Total population	154,383	100.00	159,491	100.00	5,108	3.3	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	34,642	22.4	32,112	20.1	-2,530	-7.3	-2.3
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	154,383	100.0	159,491	100.0	5,108	3.3	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	13,196	8.5	17,602	11.0	4,406	33.4	2.5
White non-Hispanic	129,899	84.1	123,377	77.4	-6,522	-5.0	-6.7
Black non-Hispanic	1,755	1.1	1,658	1.0	-97	-5.5	-0.1
Asian non-Hispanic	7,859	5.1	13,095	8.2	5,236	66.6	3.1
Some other race, non-Hispanic	339	0.2	796	0.5	457	134.8	0.3
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	1,335	0.9	2,963	1.9	1,628	121.9	1.0
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	58,811	100.0	61,249	100.0	2,438	4.1	0.0
Occupied housing units	55,427	94.2	57,871	94.5	2,444	4.4	0.3
Vacant housing units	3,384	5.8	3,378	5.5	-6	-0.2	-0.3

*2013 City Council District boundaries

Sources: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files

Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau "infuses noise" systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau's latest disclosure avoidance method.