

**A RESOLUTION OF THE NEW YORK CITY WORKFORCE
INVESTMENT BOARD EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE AUTHORIZING
PERFORMANCE BASED BUDGET ALLOCATIONS FOR
YEAR 5 OF ADULT SPECIAL POPULATIONS CONTRACTS**

WHEREAS, in 2001 the New York City Human Resources Administration (“HRA”) entered into five year contracts (the “ASP Contracts”) with employment service providers for the delivery of Workforce Investment Act (“WIA”) funded services to customers qualifying as special populations;

WHEREAS, in 2003 HRA assigned the ASP Contracts to the New York City Department of Employment (“DOE”);

WHEREAS, in 2003 the City of New York eliminated DOE and transferred responsibility for the administration of WIA-funded adult and dislocated worker programs to the New York City Department of Small Business Services (“SBS”); and

WHEREAS, SBS now administers the ASP Contracts; and

WHEREAS, it is appropriate to determine funding allocations for the fifth year of the ASP Contracts (“Year 5”) according to each contractor’s performance during the third year and first half of the fourth year (the “Evaluation Period”) of its ASP Contract;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Executive Committee of the New York City Workforce Investment Board, as follows:

1. Budget Allocations. The budget for Year 5 of each ASP Contract shall be determined as set forth below.

(a) For each ASP Contractor that received an excellent rating for its performance during the Evaluation Period, the budget for its Extension Period shall be an amount equal to 125% of the budget for Year 4 of its ASP Contract.

(b) For each ASP Contractor that received a very good rating for its performance during the Evaluation Period, the budget for its Extension Period shall be an amount equal to 115% of the budget for Year 4 of its ASP Contract.

(c) For each ASP Contractor that received a satisfactory rating for its performance during the Evaluation Period, the budget for its Extension Period shall be an amount equal to 105% of the budget for Year 4 of its ASP Contract.

(d) For each ASP Contractor that received a needs improvement rating for its performance during the Evaluation Period, the budget for its Extension Period shall be equal to its budget for Year 4 of its ASP Contract.

(e) For each ASP Contractor that received an unsatisfactory rating for its performance during the Evaluation Period, the budget for its Extension Period shall be 67% of its budget for Year 4 of its ASP Contract.

2. It is hereby acknowledged that all ASP Contract budget modifications shall be contingent upon all necessary City approvals.